

Classification of symplectic birational involutions of manifolds of OG10 type

Lisa Marquand¹ · Stevell Muller²

Received: 9 April 2024 / Accepted: 23 January 2025 / Published online: 25 February 2025 © The Author(s) 2025

Abstract

We give a complete classification of symplectic birational involutions of manifolds of OG10 type. We approach this classification with three techniques—via involutions of the Leech lattice, via involutions of cubic fourfolds, and finally lattice enumeration via a modified Kneser's neighbour algorithm. The classification consists of three involutions with an explicit geometric realisation via cubic fourfolds, and three exceptional involutions which cannot be obtained by any known construction.

Keywords Hyperkähler manifolds \cdot Cubic fourfold \cdot Symplectic involutions \cdot Birational transformations

Mathematics Subject Classification 14J42 · 14E07 · 14J70 · 14J50

1 Introduction

The classification of symplectic automorphisms of irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds has been widely studied. In his celebrated paper [34], Mukai classified symplectic automorphisms of a K3 surface. This was further streamlined by Kondō [21], who related automorphisms of K3 surfaces to automorphisms of the Niemeier lattices. In recent years, there has been intense work on classifying symplectic automorphisms of higher dimensional irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds. Using a similar approach to Kondō, Mongardi obtained a classification of prime order symplectic automorphisms of manifolds of $K3^{[n]}$ type [31, 32]. Similar results were obtained by Huybrechts [17]. A classification of symplectic automorphisms of manifolds of OG6 type was obtained in [15]. In contrast, manifolds of OG10 type admit no regular symplectic automorphisms, as shown recently in [14]. For a K3 surface, the group of automorphisms and the group of birational transformations

 Stevell Muller muller@math.uni-sb.de
 Lisa Marquand

lisa.marquand@nyu.edu

¹ Courant Institute, New York University, 251 Mercer Street, New York, NY 10012, USA

² Mathematik und Informatik, Universität des Saarlandes, Gebäude E.2.4 - Raum: 221, 66123 Saarbrücken, Germany

	4	٨	mom1r(A)	Action on A	Caa
	Λ_+	Λ_{-}	$rank(\Lambda_{-})$	Action on A_{Λ}	Geo.
(1)	$U^3 \oplus D^3_4(-1)$	$E_{6}(-2)$	6	Nontrivial	Yes
(2)	$U^3 \oplus A_2(-1) \oplus E_8(-2)$	$E_{8}(-2)$	8	Trivial	Yes
(3)	$U^2 \oplus A_1 \oplus A_1(-1) \oplus E_8(-2)$	M(-1)	10	Nontrivial	Yes
(4)	$U^{2}(2) \oplus A_{1} \oplus A_{1}(-1) \oplus E_{6}(-2)$	$D_{12}^+(-2)$	12	Trivial	No
(5)	$\langle 2 \rangle^3 \oplus \langle -2 \rangle^9$	G_{12}	12	Nontrivial	No
(6)	$\langle 2 \rangle^3 \oplus \langle -2 \rangle^5$	G_{16}	16	Nontrivial	No

Table 1 Classification of birational involutions of manifolds of OG10 type

coincide; in higher dimensions this is no longer the case. One can consider instead the group of symplectic birational transformations of an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold.

In this paper, we investigate symplectic birational involutions of manifolds deformation equivalent to O'Grady's ten dimensional exceptional example (OG10 type) [38]. Our interest is motivated by our desire to study the fixed loci; each irreducible component inherits an induced holomorphic symplectic structure. In the case of involutions, the associated moduli space of OG10 manifolds with an involution of a given type is a type IV period domain. In this way we obtain variations of Hodge structures of K3 type. This does not occur for higher order cyclic groups (see [23, 49]).

Let X be a manifold of OG10 type, and let $f \in Bir(X)$ be a symplectic birational involution. We obtain an induced involution on the second cohomology $\Lambda := H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, determining two lattices Λ_+ , Λ_- , the invariant and the coinvariant lattices respectively. Vice versa, specifying such lattices (subject to certain lattice theoretic conditions) determines a symplectic birational transformation of some manifold of OG10 type via the Global Torelli Theorem (Theorem 2.4). Our main theorem is a classification of symplectic birational involutions of manifolds of OG10 type. We classify them by distinguishing their induced action on the discriminant group $A_{\Lambda} := \Lambda^*/\Lambda$. In the column labelled *Geo*. of Table 1, we indicate whether we can geometrically realise the involution via known constructions of OG10 type manifolds.

Theorem 1.1 Let X be a manifold of OG10 type, $f \in Bir(X)$ a symplectic birational involution. Then the induced action ι on $\Lambda := H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is determined by one of the cases (1)–(6) below.

Here M *is the unique index two overlattice of* $D_9(2) \oplus \langle 24 \rangle$ *(see* [27] *for an explicit description).*

Moreover, each involution of Λ listed above is unique up to conjugacy in $O(\Lambda)$, and there exists a manifold of OG10 type with a birational involution inducing such an isometry.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is divided into three cases (Theorems 3.1, 4.1, and 6.1). First, in Theorem 3.1, we classify symplectic birational involutions acting trivially on the discriminant group by using the same techniques of [17, 32]; we relate these involutions to involutions of the Leech lattice. This recovers the Nikulin type involution with coinvariant lattice $E_8(-2)$, and more interestingly, we obtain an involution with coinvariant lattice $D_{12}^+(-2)$ that cannot be realised in the case of K3 surfaces. Thus we obtain the involutions labelled (2) and (4) in Table 1.

Secondly, in Theorem 4.1, we classify symplectic birational involutions acting nontrivially on the discriminant group whose coinvariant lattice has rank(Λ_{-}) < 12. In this case, we

reduce the classification to the classification of involutions of cubic fourfolds. More precisely, under the rank assumption such an involution induces an involution on a smaller lattice, which can be identified with the primitive middle cohomology of some cubic fourfold via the Torelli theorem of Voisin [47]. The coinvariant lattice Λ_{-} can then be identified with the invariant lattice of an antisymplectic involution of the cubic fourfold, which have been classified (see [23, 27]). The only possibilities are the involutions labelled (1) and (3) in Table 1.

Thirdly, in Theorem 6.1, we classify symplectic birational involutions that act nontrivially on the discriminant group, with rank(Λ_{-}) ≥ 12 . With this hypothesis, it is fairly easy to identify the possible lattices Λ_{+} ; however, the corresponding lattices Λ_{-} are not unique in their genera. There are 12 possible genera for Λ_{-} ; we first enumerate the possible lattices in each genus, and then exclude all but two possibilities, obtaining the involutions labelled (5) and (6) in Table 1. The results of this enumeration are displayed in Table 2. We accomplish this enumeration using a modification of Kneser's neighbour method [20]; this is computer aided (see Appendix A for more details). Our enumeration results can be found in the database [29].

In all three cases, we obtain involutions ι of the lattice Λ acting as in cases (1)–(6). We conclude that there exists a manifold X of type OG10 with a birational involution $f \in Bir(X)$ inducing ι using a corollary of the Torelli Theorem (Theorem 2.4). However, we are able to geometrically realise the involutions in cases (1)–(3) through known constructions, using the construction of [24]. More precisely, using the observation of Saccà [42, §3.1] (see also [23]), an involution of a cubic fourfold induces a birational transformation of the corresponding compactified intermediate Jacobian X, a manifold of OG10 type by [24, 42], giving a geometric realisation of the involutions in cases (1)–(3).

CASE	GENUS	Ν	WITH ROOTS	WITHOUT ROOTS, BUT WITH $(-6, 3)$	GEOMETRIC CASES
(1)	$II_{(0,18)}2_{II}^{+6}3^{+1}$	430	430	0	None
(2)	$II_{(0,14)}2_{II}^{-8}3^{+1}$	21	21	0	None
	$II_{(0,12)}2_2^{-12}3^{+1}$	5	4	0	1: <i>G</i> ₁₂
	$II_{(0,13)}2_1^{-11}3^{+1}$	23	22	1	None
	$II_{(0,14)}2_0^{-10}3^{+1}$	70	70	0	None
	$II_{(0,15)}2_7^{-9}3^{+1}$	211	211	0	None
(3)	$II_{(0,16)}2_6^{-8}3^{+1}$	617	616	0	1: <i>G</i> ₁₆
	$II_{(0,17)}2_5^{-7}3^{+1}$	1291	1291	0	None
	$II_{(0,18)}2_4^{-6}3^{+1}$	2524	2524	0	None
	$II_{(0,19)}2_3^{-5}3^{+1}$	3682	3682	0	None
	$II_{(0,20)}2_2^{-4}3^{+1}$	3375	3375	0	None
	$II_{(0,21)}2_1^{-3}3^{+1}$	1316	1316	0	None

Table 2 Genus enumeration and geometric cases of Theorem 6.1

Outline

We recall the relevant definitions and previous results in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3 we consider symplectic birational involutions acting trivially on the discriminant group of Λ (Theorem 3.1), and obtain the involutions (2) and (4). In Sect. 4, we obtain a classification of symplectic birational involutions that act nontrivially on the discriminant group of Λ and satisfy rank(Λ_{-}) < 12 (Theorem 4.1), and obtain the involutions (1) and (3). In Sect. 5 we geometrically realise the involutions (1)–(3) via the construction of [24]. In Sect. 6, we obtain a classification of symplectic birational involutions acting nontrivially on the discriminant group of Λ but have rank(Λ_{-}) \geq 12 (Theorem 6.1), and obtain the involutions (5) and (6). This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1. Details of the enumeration algorithm required for the proof of Theorem 6.1 can be found in Appendix A.

Notations

Throughout, all lattices are assumed to be integral and even unless stated otherwise. Let L be a lattice and $\iota \in O(L)$ an isometry of order 2. We denote by L_+ and L_- the invariant and coinvariant lattices defined by ι respectively. For any lattice L, we denote by L(n) the lattice with the bilinear form scaled by $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$. The discriminant group of a lattice is denoted by $A_L = L^*/L$. We denote by $\operatorname{div}_L(v) = \max\{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z} \mid v \cdot L \subset \lambda\mathbb{Z}\}\)$ the divisibility of an element $v \in L$. We assume all ADE lattices are positive definite. We denote by \mathbb{L} the Leech lattice, which is the unique even negative definite unimodular lattice without roots. A 2-elementary lattice L is determined by its signature, and the invariants δ_L and $l(A_L)$. Here $\delta_L \in \{0, 1\}$ with $\delta_L = 0$ if and only if q_{A_L} takes values in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and $l(A_L)$ is the minimum number of generators of A_L .

2 Preliminaries

In this section we collect preliminary results. In Sect. 2.1 we recall the definition of manifolds of OG10 type. In Sect. 2.2, we recall a criteria for when an isometry of the lattice Λ is induced by a birational involution, based on the Torelli theorem. In Sect. 2.3 we give further necessary conditions on the existence of induced isometry depending on the action on the discriminant group of Λ . We recall the relevant results on cubic fourfolds and the construction of [24, 42] in Sect. 2.4.

2.1 Manifolds of OG10 type

An **irreducible holomorphic symplectic** manifold is a simply connected, compact, Kähler manifold *X* such that $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2)$ is generated by a nondegenerate holomorphic 2-form σ . Let *X* be an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold that is deformation equivalent to O'Grady's 10-dimensional exceptional example [38]. Then we say *X* is a manifold of *OG*10 type.

It follows from the definition of irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds that $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is a torsion-free \mathbb{Z} -module; equipped with the Beauville–Bogomolov–Fujiki form q_X it becomes a lattice. By [41], for X a manifold of OG10 type there is an isometry

 $(H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}),q_X)\cong \Lambda$, where

$$\Lambda := U^3 \oplus E_8(-1)^2 \oplus A_2(-1).$$

A marking is a choice of an isometry $\eta : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \to \Lambda$. The purpose of this paper is to classify symplectic birational involutions of manifolds X of OG10 type, in terms of their action on $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \Lambda$. We always assume that a manifold of OG10 type has a fixed marking η throughout.

2.2 Torelli theorem

We denote by Bir(X) the group of birational transformations of X respectively. For an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold X, a birational transformation $f \in Bir(X)$ is well defined in codimension one. We thus obtain an isometry $f^* : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 2.1 We say a birational transformation $f \in Bir(X)$ is **symplectic** if the induced action $f^* : H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \to H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ acts trivially on σ .

Assume now that X is a manifold of OG10 type, and consider the associated orthogonal representation

$$\eta_* : \operatorname{Bir}(X) \to O(\Lambda); \quad f \mapsto \eta \circ (f^*)^{-1} \circ \eta^{-1}.$$

Note that η_* is injective by [35, Theorem 3.1].

Definition 2.2 An isometry $g \in O(\Lambda)$ is **induced** by a birational transformation if there exists a manifold X of OG10 type and $f \in Bir(X)$ such that $\eta_*(f) = g$.

A birational transformation of X preserves the birational Kähler cone $\mathcal{BK}(X)$. This in turn imposes restrictions on which involutions of the lattice Λ are induced by birational involutions of such a manifold X. The structure of the birational Kähler cone for a manifold of OG10 type is now fully understood [30].

In particular, the walls of $\overline{\mathcal{BK}(X)}$ are defined by the hyperplanes $D^{\perp} \subset \mathcal{C}(X)$, where *D* is a **stably prime exceptional divisor** [26, §5], and $\mathcal{C}(X)$ denotes the connected component of the positive cone of *X* containing a Kähler class. We define the following set of vectors:

$$\mathcal{W}_{OG10}^{pex} := \{ v \in \Lambda : v^2 = -2 \} \cup \{ v \in \Lambda : v^2 = -6, \text{ div}_{\Lambda}(v) = 3 \}.$$

Proposition 2.3 [30, Proposition 3.1] Let (X, η) be a marked manifold of OG10 type. Then $D \in \text{Pic}(X)$ effective is stably prime exceptional if and only if $\eta(D) \in W_{OG10}^{pex}$.

It follows that $\mathcal{BK}(X)$ is contained in an **exceptional chamber**; that is, a component of

$$\mathcal{C}(X) \setminus \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{W}_{OG10}^{pex}} v^{\perp}$$

(see [30, Theorem 3.2]). Using this description, we can rephrase the Global Torelli theorem (due to Huybrechts, Markman and Verbitsky) in a way that is more suited for the study of symplectic birational transformations of X. This provides us with criteria for when an isometry $g \in O(\Lambda)$ is induced.

Theorem 2.4 [15, Theorems 2.15 and 2.17] An involution $g \in O(\Lambda)$ is induced by a symplectic birational transformation if and only if Λ_{-} is negative definite and

$$\Lambda_{-} \cap \mathcal{W}_{OG10}^{pex} = \varnothing.$$

Deringer

Proof Although stated for manifolds of OG6 type, the proof of [15, Theorems 2.15 and 2.17] apply verbatim in the case of OG10 manifolds.

Remark 2.5 All birational symplectic transformations of a manifold of OG10 type are nonregular—indeed, by [14], a manifold of OG10 type has no nontrivial symplectic automorphisms of finite order.

2.3 Discriminant action

In order to classify symplectic birational involutions of manifolds of OG10 type, we consider two cases corresponding to the induced action of $\iota \in O(\Lambda)$ on the discriminant group

$$A_{\Lambda} := \Lambda^* / \Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z} / 3\mathbb{Z}.$$

It follows that an involution acts by $\iota|_{A_{\Lambda}} = \pm id_{A_{\Lambda}}$.

Remark 2.6 Note that $A_{\Lambda} = A_{A_2(-1)}$; let $A_2(-1)$ be generated by α_1, α_2 where $\alpha_i^2 = -2$, and $\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2 = 1$. Then $A_{A_2(-1)} \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by

$$\gamma := \left[\frac{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{3}\right]$$

and $q_{A_2(-1)}(\gamma) = -\frac{2}{3} + 2\mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 2.7 Let X be a manifold of OG10 type, let $f \in Bir(X)$ be a symplectic birational involution, and let $\iota = \eta_*(f) \in O(\Lambda)$ the induced isometry of f. Then Λ_- is a negative definite lattice of rank $r \leq 21$, with $\Lambda_- \cap W_{OG10}^{pex} = \emptyset$, and the following hold:

- If ι acts trivially on A_Λ, then Λ₋ is a 2-elementary, negative definite lattice whose genus is determined by the invariants (r, l(A_Λ₋), δ_Λ₋).
- (2) If ι acts by -id on A_{Λ} , then Λ_+ is a 2-elementary lattice with signature (3, 21 r).

Proof The negative definiteness and the claim that $\Lambda_{-} \cap \mathcal{W}_{OG10}^{pex} = \emptyset$ follows from Theorem 2.4. Claim (1) follows by [15, Lemma 2.8]; for claim (2) consider $\iota' := -\iota$; it follows that Λ_{+} is 2-elementary.

2.4 Cubic fourfolds

Cubic fourfolds lead to irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds through various constructions, and one can study birational transformations induced by automorphisms of the cubic. This was first studied by Camere [6] in her work on symplectic involutions of the Fano variety of lines, an irreducible holomorphic symplectic variety [3].

We use this idea for manifolds of OG10 type. Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be a smooth cubic fourfold, and let $\pi_U : J_U \to U \subset (\mathbb{P}^5)^{\vee}$ be the Donagi–Markman fibration; i.e the family of intermediate Jacobians of the smooth hyperplane sections of V. The total space J_U has a holomorphic symplectic form, by [10]. The main result of [24] is the construction, for a general V, of a smooth projective irreducible holomorphic symplectic compactification \mathcal{J}_V of J_U , with a Lagrangian fibration $\pi : \mathcal{J}_V \to (\mathbb{P}^5)^{\vee}$ extending π_U . It was shown that \mathcal{J}_V is an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold of OG10 type. This result was extended to every smooth cubic fourfold [42], to obtain the following theorem. **Theorem 2.8** [24, 42] Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be a smooth cubic fourfold, and let $\pi_{U} : J_{U} \to U \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ $(\mathbb{P}^5)^{\vee}$ be the Donagi–Markman fibration. Then there exists a smooth projective irreducible symplectic compactification \mathcal{J}_V of J_U of OG10 type with a morphism $\pi : \mathcal{J}_V \to (\mathbb{P}^5)^{\vee}$ extending π_{II} .

We note that the same result holds for the irreducible holomorphic symplectic compactification \mathcal{J}_V^T of the nontrivial J_U -torsor $J_U^T \to U$ of [48].

Recall that the primitive cohomology $H^4(V, \mathbb{Z})_{prim}$ admits a Hodge structure of K3-type (up to a Tate twist). In particular, as a lattice

$$H^4(V,\mathbb{Z})_{prim}\cong U^2\oplus E_8^2\oplus A_2.$$

We define the lattice of primitive algebraic cycles

$$A(V)_{prim} := H^{2,2}(X) \cap H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})_{prim}.$$

The main result of [27] (see also [23]) is a classification of involutions of a smooth cubic fourfold in terms of the sublattice $A(V)_{prim}$.

Theorem 2.9 [27, Theorem 1.1] Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be a general cubic fourfold with ϕ_i an involution of V fixing a linear subspace of \mathbb{P}^5 of codimension i. Then either:

(1) $i = 1, \phi_1$ is antisymplectic and $A(V)_{prim} \cong E_6(2)$; (2) $i = 2, \phi_2$ is symplectic and $A(V)_{prim} \cong E_8(2)$; (3) i = 3, ϕ_3 is antisymplectic and $A(V)_{prim} \cong M$.

Here M is the unique rank 10 *even lattice obtained as an index* 2 *overlattice of* $D_9(2) \oplus \langle 24 \rangle$.

3 Trivial action on the discriminant group

Throughout, we let X be a manifold of OG10 type, and $\Lambda \cong H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. We prove the following:

Theorem 3.1 Let X be a manifold of OG_{10} type, and $f \in Bir(X)$ be a symplectic birational involution. Suppose that $\iota := \eta_*(f)$ acts trivially on the discriminant group A_{Λ} . Then one of the following holds:

- (1) $\Lambda_{-} \cong E_{8}(-2)$ and $\Lambda_{+} \cong U^{3} \oplus E_{8}(-2) \oplus A_{2}(-1)$; or (2) $\Lambda_{-} \cong D_{12}^{+}(-2)$ and $\Lambda_{+} \cong U^{2}(2) \oplus A_{1} \oplus A_{1}(-1) \oplus E_{6}(-2)$.

Moreover, each involution of Λ listed above is unique up to conjugacy in $O(\Lambda)$, and there exists a manifold of OG10 type with a birational involution inducing such an isometry.

The strategy to prove Theorem 3.1 is as follows: we first consider arithmetic involutions $\iota \in O(\Lambda)$ such that ι acts trivially on A_{Λ} , and Λ_{-} is negative definite. In Sect. 3.1 we use techniques of Kondō and Mongardi to embed the coinvariant lattice Λ_{-} into the Leech lattice \mathbb{L} . We extend the involution ι to one of the Leech lattice \mathbb{L} , and use the classification of involutions [16] to obtain three candidates. In Sect. 3.2 we prove Theorem 3.1 by caseby-case analysis. More precisely, we show that only $E_8(-2)$ and $D_{12}^+(-2)$ are realised as coinvariant lattices Λ_{-} for an involution of Λ . We then show $\Lambda_{-} \cap \mathcal{W}_{OG10}^{pex} = \emptyset$, and conclude by Theorem 2.4 that such an involution ι is induced by a geometric symplectic birational involution $f \in Bir(X)$ of a manifold X of OG10 type. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1, and cases (2) and (4) of Theorem 1.1.

3.1 The Leech lattice

We reduce the classification of involutions $\iota \in O(\Lambda)$ acting trivially on A_{Λ} to a classification of involutions of the Leech lattice \mathbb{L} .

Proposition 3.2 Let $\iota \in O(\Lambda)$ be an involution acting trivially on A_{Λ} such that Λ_{-} is negative definite and such that $\Lambda_{-} \cap W_{OG10}^{pex} = \emptyset$. Then there exists a primitive embedding of Λ_{-} into the Leech lattice \mathbb{L} . Further, there exists an involution of the Leech lattice \mathbb{L} such that $\mathbb{L}_{-} \cong \Lambda_{-}$.

Proof By assumption, we know that Λ_{-} is negative definite, it contains no vectors v such that $v^{2} = -2$ and it has rank at most 21 (since Λ has real signature (3, 21)). Since Λ_{-} is the coinvariant lattice of ι , which acts trivially on A_{Λ} , we also know that Λ_{-} is 2-elementary, the isometry $\iota|_{\Lambda_{-}} = -id_{\Lambda_{-}}$ fixes no nontrivial vectors in Λ_{-} and it acts trivially on $A_{\Lambda_{-}}$. Moreover

$$gcd(|A_{\Lambda}|, |A_{\Lambda_{-}}|) = 1$$

so a direct application of [36, Proposition 1.15.1] gives us that

$$\operatorname{rank} \Lambda_{-} + l(A_{\Lambda_{-}}) \leq \operatorname{rank} \Lambda_{-} + l(A_{\Lambda_{+}}) \leq \operatorname{rank} \Lambda_{-} + \operatorname{rank} \Lambda_{+} = \operatorname{rank} \Lambda = 24.$$

Hence under these conditions, similar results as in [28, Corollary 4.19] hold; meaning that there exists a primitive embedding $j \colon \Lambda_- \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}$, and $-id_{\Lambda_-}$ extends to an involution of \mathbb{L} so that $\mathbb{L}_- = j(\Lambda_-)$ (up to replacing $O^{\#}(\Lambda_{\Lambda_-})$ by $\langle -id_{\Lambda_-} \rangle$ in the proof of [28, Corollary 4.19]).

The nontrivial involutions $\iota \in O(\mathbb{L})$ are classified:

Proposition 3.3 [16, Table 1] *There exist exactly three conjugacy classes of nontrivial involutions of the Leech lattice* \mathbb{L} *. They are classified by specifying their invariant/coinvariant lattices:*

(1) $\mathbb{L}_{-} \cong E_8(-2)$ and $\mathbb{L}_{+} \cong BW_{16}$; (2) $\mathbb{L}_{-} \cong D_{12}^+(-2)$ and $\mathbb{L}_{+} \cong D_{12}^+(-2)$; or (3) $\mathbb{L}_{-} \cong BW_{16}$ and $\mathbb{L}_{+} \cong E_8(-2)$.

We have three possible candidates for Λ_{-} as above. It remains to be seen whether there exists an involution $\iota \in O(\Lambda)$ whose coinvariant lattice is the given candidate. We show such an involution exists provided there exists a primitive embedding of each candidate into Λ .

Lemma 3.4 Let M be a 2-elementary lattice with a primitive embedding $M \hookrightarrow L$ into a lattice L and let

 $N := (M)_L^{\perp}$. Then there exists an involution $\iota \in O(L)$ such that the coinvariant lattice $L_- = M$ and the invariant lattice $L_+ = N$.

Proof By assumption we have that

$$M \oplus N \hookrightarrow L \hookrightarrow L \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong (M \oplus N) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

We can define $\iota_{\mathbb{Q}} : L_{\mathbb{Q}} \to L_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by $\iota(x) = -x$ for $x \in M$, and $\iota(x) = x$ for $x \in N$. We want to show that $\iota_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is defined over *L*. By assumption $L/(M \oplus N) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^a$, and thus for all $x \in L$, we have that $2x \in M \oplus N$. Let $x \in L$. By above, we can write $x = \frac{x_- + x_+}{2}$, with $x_- \in M$, $x_+ \in N$. Thus $\iota_{\mathbb{Q}}(x) = x \mod M \oplus N$, and $[\iota_{\mathbb{Q}}(x)] = [x] \ln L/(M \oplus N)$; thus $\iota_{\mathbb{Q}}(x) \in L$.

It remains to be seen whether a primitive embedding exists in each of the cases in Proposition 3.3. We require the following lemma:

Lemma 3.5 Let *M* be a 2-elementary, negative definite lattice of rank *r* with invariants (r, a, δ) , where $a = l(A_M)$. Then there exists a primitive embedding $M \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ if and only if there exists a lattice *N* of signature (3, 21 - r) satisfying the following properties:

(1) $A_N \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^a \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z};$

(2) $q_N|_{(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^a} \cong -q_M;$

(3) $q_N|_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}} \cong q_\Lambda$.

We say $\delta := \delta_N = 0$ or 1 if and only if $\delta_M = 0$ or 1. In this case, $N \cong (M)_{\Lambda}^{\perp}$

Proof This follows immediately from [36, Prop 1.15.1].

Theorem 3.6 Let $M \in \{E_8(-2), D_{12}^+(-2), BW_{16}\}$. There exists an involution of Λ with $\Lambda_- \cong M$ if and only if $M = E_8(-2)$ or $D_{12}^+(-2)$. Moreover, such involution is unique up to conjugacy in $O(\Lambda)$.

Proof We show the existence of a primitive embedding into Λ in the case of $M = E_8(-2)$, $D_{12}^+(-2)$, and prove the nonexistence of such an embedding in the case of $M = BW_{16}$.

First, consider the involution of Λ defined by interchanging the two copies of $E_8(-1)$, and identity elsewhere. Then $\Lambda_- \cong E_8(-2)$ and thus $E_8(-2)$ embeds primitively into Λ (see [33, §5] for more details).

Next, we show that $D_{12}^+(-2)$ embeds primitively into Λ by applying Lemma 3.5. Recall that the lattice $D_{12}^+(-2)$ is an even, 2-elementary lattice with signature (0, 12), a = 12 and $\delta = 1$. We need to check whether or not the genus g of even lattices determined by the signature pair (3, 9) and $q_{A_2(-1)} \oplus (-q_{D_{12}^+(-2)})$ is empty. We use the function **is_genus** on Hecke [13], and obtain that g is not empty and in particular, it contains the lattice

$$N := U^{2}(2) \oplus A_{1} \oplus A_{1}(-1) \oplus E_{6}(-2).$$

The latter can be shown by computing the *genus symbol* of a gram matrix associated to N and comparing it to the symbol of g (the genus symbol, in the convention of Conway and Sloane, uniquely determines a genus, see [8, Chapter 15, §7] for more details). This is implemented for instance on Hecke [13, genus].

Finally, we show that the Barnes–Wall lattice BW_{16} does not embed primitively into Λ . Recall that BW_{16} is an even 2-elementary lattice of signature (0, 16), a = 8 and $\delta = 0$. By Lemma 3.5, a primitive embedding $BW_{16} \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ exists if and only if there exists an even lattice N of signature (3, 5) and discriminant group $A_N \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ such that $q_N|_{(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^8}$ takes values in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $q_N|_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}} = q_{A_2(-1)}$. Similarly to the $D_{12}^+(-2)$ case, one uses the function **is_genus** to determine that the genus of even lattices with invariants (3, 5) and $q_N = q_{A_2(-1)} \oplus (-q_{BW_{16}})$ is empty and so we do not have a primitive embedding of BW_{16} into Λ .

To conclude, we note that Lemma 3.5 tells us that the genus of the complement of $M = E_8(-2)$, $D_{12}^+(-2)$ in Λ is uniquely determined. Moreover, according to [36, Theorem 1.14.2], such a genus consists of a unique isometry class, and for any representative N of such isometry class, we have that $O(N) \rightarrow O(A_N)$ is surjective. Hence, by [36, Corollary 1.5.2], any two involutions of Λ with $\Lambda_+ \cong N$ and $\Lambda_- \cong M$ are conjugate in $O(\Lambda)$.

3.2 Proof of Theorem 3.1

We have exhibited involutions $\iota \in O(\Lambda)$ acting trivially on A_{Λ} with Λ_{-} isomorphic to either $E_8(-2)$ or $D_{12}^+(-2)$. In order to conclude that both involutions are induced by symplectic birational involutions of a manifold X of OG10 type we must show that neither contain vectors v with $v^2 = -2$, or $v^2 = -6$ and $\operatorname{div}_{\Lambda}(v) = 3$.

Lemma 3.7 Let $\iota \in O(\Lambda)$ be an involution and suppose that Λ_- contains a vector v with $v^2 = -6$ and $\operatorname{div}_{\Lambda}(v) = 3$. Then A_{Λ_-} contains an element of order 3.

Proof Let $v \in \Lambda_-$ be such that $v^2 = -6$ and $\operatorname{div}_{\Lambda}(v) = 3$. Then 3 divides the divisibility of v in Λ_- . We can write $\operatorname{div}_{\Lambda_-}(v) = 3k$ for some positive integer k. Then $[v^*] = \left[\frac{v}{3k}\right]$ defines a nonzero element of A_{Λ_-} ; in particular, kv^* is a nontrivial element of order 3.

Proof of Theorem 3.1 The discriminant group of both $E_8(-2)$ and $D_{12}^+(-2)$ contains no elements of order three; by Lemma 3.7 primitive vectors $v \in \Lambda_-$ with $v^2 = -6$ and $\operatorname{div}_{\Lambda}(v) = 3$ cannot exist. The maximal norm of both lattices is -4, and so they do not contain any roots. Thus in both cases Λ_- is a negative definite lattice with $\Lambda_- \cap W_{OG10}^{pex} = \emptyset$; we have also shown these are the only possible negative definite coinvariant lattices for an involution $\iota \in O(\Lambda)$ acting trivially on the discriminant group A_{Λ} . By Theorem 2.4 each such involutions is induced by a symplectic birational involution of a manifold of OG10 type. The classification of the corresponding invariant lattices follow from the proof of Theorem 3.6.

Remark 3.8 In fact, the lattice $D_{12}^+(-2)$ embeds primitively into the Mukai lattice of a K3 surface, and so one also obtains a symplectic birational involution of a manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ type with this coinvariant lattice (see [17], or [5, §7.5] for a different description).

4 Nontrivial action on discriminant, rank < 12

It remains to be seen whether there exist birational involutions of manifolds of OG10 type that act nontrivially on the discriminant group. In this section, we prove that this is indeed possible, and classify such involutions whose coinvariant lattice has small rank. More precisely, we prove the following:

Theorem 4.1 Let X be a manifold of OG10 type, and $f \in Bir(X)$ a symplectic birational involution, such that the induced action $\iota := \eta_*(f)$ is nontrivial on the discriminant group A_{Λ} . Assume further that

rank
$$\Lambda_{-} < 12$$
.

Then one of the following holds:

(1) $\Lambda_{-} \cong E_6(-2)$ and $\Lambda_{+} \cong U^3 \oplus D_4^3(-1)$; or (2) $\Lambda_{-} \cong M(-1)$ and $\Lambda_{+} \cong U^2 \oplus A_1 \oplus A_1(-1) \oplus E_8(-2)$,

where *M* is the unique rank 10 lattice obtained as an index 2 overlattice of $D_9(2) \oplus \langle 24 \rangle$. Moreover, each involution of Λ listed above is unique up to conjugacy in $O(\Lambda)$, and there exists a manifold of OG10 type with a birational involution inducing such an isometry.

We prove Theorem 4.1 as follows: in Sect. 4.1 we use Nikulin's classification of 2elementary lattices to develop a criterion for the invariant lattice Λ_+ to split a U summand, i.e. $\Lambda_+ = U \oplus \Gamma$ for some lattice Γ . This is always satisfied under the assumption that rank(Λ_-) < 12. The latter allows us to classify such involutions in Sect. 4.2 by utilising Theorem 2.9, on the classification of involutions of a cubic fourfold [23, 25, 27]. In particular, in the case of nontrivial action on A_{Λ} , the lattice Λ_- occurs as an invariant lattice for an antisymplectic involution on a cubic fourfold, leading to the possibilities above. Finally, we show that Theorem 2.4 applies, completing the proof of Theorem 4.1, and cases (1) and (3) of Theorem 1.1.

4.1 Splitting a U summand

Assume that $f \in Bir(X)$ is a symplectic birational involution of a manifold X of OG10 type, such that $\iota := \eta_*(f) \in O(\Lambda)$ is an involution that acts by -id on A_Λ . By Proposition 2.7, Λ_- is negative definite of rank $1 \le r \le 21$, and Λ_+ is a 2-elementary lattice of signature (3, 21-r). In order to prove Theorem 4.1, we first use Nikulin's classification of 2-elementary lattices. We state the result only in the case that we require here.

Lemma 4.2 ([37, Theorems 4.3.1], [11, Theorem 1.5.2]) Let N be a 2-elementary lattice of signature (3, 21 - r), $A_N \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^a$ and invariant $\delta := \delta_N$. Then N is unique in its genus, and the following hold:

(1) $a \le \min\{24 - r, r\},$ (2) $a \equiv r \mod 2,$ (3) $r \equiv 2 \mod 4 \text{ if } \delta = 0,$ (4) $\delta = 0, r \equiv 2 \mod 8 \text{ if } a = 0,$ (5) $r \equiv 3 \mod 8 \text{ if } a = 1,$ (6) $\delta = 0 \text{ if } a = 2, r \equiv 6 \mod 8,$ (7) $r \equiv 2 \mod 8 \text{ if } \delta = 0, a = 24 - r.$

Applying the above lemma, we establish a numerical criteria for Λ_+ to split a U summand.

Lemma 4.3 Let $r = \operatorname{rank} \Lambda_{-}$, a, δ as above. Then Λ_{+} splits of a U summand if and only if:

(1) $r \le 20$, and $a \le 22 - r$; (2) If a = 22 - r and $\delta = 0$, then $r \equiv 2 \mod 8$.

Proof Assume Λ_+ splits of a U summand, i.e $\Lambda_+ \cong N \oplus U$. Applying Nikulin's classification of 2-elementary lattices to the lattice N with invariants $((2, 20 - r), a, \delta)$, we see the above conditions are necessary for the existence of such a lattice N. Conversely, assume the conditions in the theorem hold. Then again by the classification, there exists a 2-elementary lattice N with invariants $((2, 20 - r), a, \delta)$. Then $N \oplus U$ has the same invariants as Λ_+ , and thus are in the same genus. Since Λ_+ is indefinite, it is unique and the claim holds.

4.2 Proof of Theorem 4.1

The following lemma provides us with a simple way to determine when there exists a vector $v \in \Lambda_-$ with $v^2 = -6$ and $\operatorname{div}_{\Lambda}(v) = 3$, which is necessary for the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Lemma 4.4 Let *L* be a lattice such that $A_L \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ and let $\iota \in O(L)$ be an involution acting nontrivially on A_L . Then for a vector $v \in L_-$ we have

$$\operatorname{div}_L(v) = 3 \iff \operatorname{div}_{L_-}(v) \in 3\mathbb{Z}.$$

🖄 Springer

Proof Let us denote $M := L_+$ and $N := L_-$. One implication is already clear from Lemma 3.7. Now let $v \in N$ primitive be such that $\operatorname{div}_N(v) \in 3\mathbb{Z}$ and let $w \in L$. Since ι has order 2, we know that $2L \subseteq M \oplus N$. Thus there exist $w_M \in M$ and $w_N \in N$ such that $w = \frac{w_M + w_N}{2}$. Now, since M and N are in orthogonal direct sum in L, $(v, w_M) = 0$ and moreover, $(v, w_N) \in 3\mathbb{Z}$. But now, $v \in N \subseteq L$ with L integral, so we have that

$$\left(v, \frac{w_M + w_N}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}(v, w_N)$$

is an integer. Hence, $(v, w_N) \in 2\mathbb{Z} \cap 3\mathbb{Z} = 6\mathbb{Z}$, and we deduce that $(v, w) \in 3\mathbb{Z}$. Hence, since we chose $w \in L$ arbitrary, we conclude that $\operatorname{div}_L(v) = 3$.

We prove Theorem 4.1 by first proving that under the rank assumption, Λ_+ splits a U summand, and then utilising the classification of involutions of a cubic fourfold in Theorem 2.9. Finally, we apply Lemma 4.4 to conclude such an involution is induced geometrically.

Proof of Theorem 4.1 Let $f \in Bir(X)$ be as in the assumptions of the statement, and denote by $\iota := \eta_*(f) \in O(\Lambda)$ the induced involution on Λ . We claim the conditions of Lemma 4.3 are satisfied—indeed, since $r := rank(\Lambda_-) < 12$, and by assumption $a \le r \le 22 - r$ we only need to exclude the case a = r = 11 and $\delta = 0$. For the 2-elementary lattice Λ_+ with invariants ((3, 21 - r), 22 - r, 0) to exist, by Lemma 4.2 (3) we see that $r \equiv 2 \mod 4$, a contradiction. It follows that conditions of Lemma 4.3 are always satisfied, and that there exists a 2-elementary lattice Γ such that $\Lambda_+ \cong \Gamma \oplus U$.

Let $U_1 := U$ be such that $\Lambda_+ = \Gamma \oplus U_1 \hookrightarrow \Lambda$. Denote by $L = (U_1)^{\perp}_{\Lambda}(-1)$; then L is an even, indefinite lattice with signature (20, 2) and discriminant group $A_L \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \cong A_{A_2}$. By [36, Cor. 1.13.3], L is unique up to isometry; thus we see that

$$L \cong U^2 \oplus E_8^2 \oplus A_2.$$

Since ι acts as the identity on $\Gamma \oplus U_1$, ι restricts to an isometry of L with

$$L_+ \cong \Gamma(-1)$$
 and $L_- \cong \Lambda_-(-1)$.

Note that Λ_{-} is negative definite of rank $r \leq 20$, and Γ has signature (2, 20 - r). We can choose a polarized Hodge structure H of weight 4 on the lattice L, of type (0, 1, 20, 1, 0), such that

$$H^{2,2} \cap L = \Lambda_{-}(-1).$$

Notice this implies $H^{3,1} \subset L_+$. By assumption, $\Lambda_- \cap \mathcal{W}_{OG10}^{pex} = \emptyset$; in particular, according to Lemma 4.4, we know that Λ_- contains no vectors v such that $v^2 = -2$ or $v^2 = -6$ and div $_{\Lambda_-}(v) = 3$. This condition assures that the Hodge structure H defines a point in the image of the period map for smooth cubic fourfolds by [22, Theorem 1.1]. It follows that there exists a smooth cubic fourfold V and a marking $\gamma : H^4(V, \mathbb{Z})_{prim} \to L$ such that $\gamma(A(V)_{prim}) = \Lambda_-(-1)$. For simplicity, in what follows, we identify $H^4(V, \mathbb{Z})_{prim}$ with L via the marking γ .

Let $\eta_V \in H^4(V, \mathbb{Z})$ be the square of the hyperplane class. We wish to extend $\iota|_L$ to an isometry of $H^4(V, \mathbb{Z})$ fixing η_V . We have that $L \oplus \langle \eta_V \rangle \subset H^4(V, \mathbb{Z})$ is an index 3 overlattice; in order to extend with the identity on $\langle \eta_V \rangle$, $\iota|_L$ must act trivially on $A_L \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \cong A_{\langle \eta_V \rangle}$ [36, Corollary 1.5.2]. By assumption, $\iota|_L$ acts by -id on A_L . Set $\sigma := -\iota|_L$; notice now that for the action of σ on $H^4(V, \mathbb{Z})_{prim}$ we have:

$$(H^4(V, \mathbb{Z})_{prim})_- = L_+ \cong \Gamma(-1), \text{ and } (H^4(V, \mathbb{Z})_{prim})_+ = L_- \cong \Lambda_-(-1).$$

Now $\sigma \oplus id_{\langle \eta_V \rangle}$ defines an isometry of $H^4(V, \mathbb{Z})$ fixing η_V ; let us denote this by σ_V . Thus $\sigma_V \in \operatorname{Aut}_{HS}(V, \eta_V)$, and by the strong version of the Global Torelli theorem for cubic fourfolds [50, Prop 1.3], there exists a unique automorphism $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(V)$ such that $\sigma_V = \phi^*$. Notice that σ_V acts nontrivially on $H^{3,1}$; the involution ϕ is antisymplectic for the cubic fourfold V, and by Theorem 2.9:

$$\Lambda_{-} \cong (H^{4}(V, \mathbb{Z})_{prim}(-1))_{+} = \begin{cases} E_{6}(-2), \\ M(-1). \end{cases}$$

Finally, we prove existence of an OG10 manifold X admitting such an involution. In both cases above, Λ_{-} is negative definite. Since $\Lambda_{-}(-1)$ appears as an invariant lattice for an involution of a smooth cubic fourfold (Theorem 2.9), it follows that $\Lambda_{-} \cap W_{OG10}^{pex} = \emptyset$ (Lemma 4.4). Hence by Theorem 2.4, the involution is induced geometrically by a symplectic birational transformation $f \in Bir(X)$ for some manifold X of OG10 type. Further we see that such an involution ι necessarily acts by -id on the discriminant group A_{Λ} ; if ι acted trivially, then Λ_{-} would be 2-elementary, a contradiction by Proposition 2.7. The determination of Λ_{+} in both cases follows.

Let us conclude by remarking that, as in the proof of Theorem 3.6, for both involutions of the statement of Theorem 4.1 the lattice Λ_+ is unique in its genus and $O(\Lambda_+) \rightarrow O(A_{\Lambda_+})$ is surjective. Hence, again according to [36, Corollary 1.5.2], any involution of Λ with (Λ_+, Λ_-) as in the statement of Theorem 4.1 is unique up to conjugacy in $O(\Lambda)$.

Remark 4.5 It follows that if $f \in Bir(X)$ is a symplectic birational involution with $\Lambda_+ = U \oplus \Gamma$ for some lattice Γ , then rank $(\Lambda_-) < 12$. Indeed, one applies the same argument as in Theorem 4.1 to obtain an involution of a cubic fourfold (see also Theorem 5.1). Thus to conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1, it suffices to classify involutions with rank $(\Lambda_-) \ge 12$, nontrivial action on A_{Λ} and the assumption that Λ_+ does not split a U summand. We complete this classification in Sect. 6.

5 Geometrical realisations via cubic fourfold

In this section, we provide a geometrical realisation of the involutions (1)–(3) of Theorem 1.1, Table 1. All of these examples are obtained via involutions of some cubic fourfolds—we show that such involutions produce induced symplectic birational involutions of the associated compactified intermediate Jacobian.

Theorem 5.1 Let X be an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold of OG10 type. Let $f \in Bir(X)$ be a symplectic birational involution of X, and suppose that $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})_+ \cong \Gamma \oplus U$ for some lattice Γ . Then there exists a smooth cubic fourfold V with an involution ϕ whose action is determined by f. In particular, one of the following holds:

$$H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})_- \cong \begin{cases} E_6(-2), \\ E_8(-2), \\ M(-1). \end{cases}$$

Conversely, an involution ϕ of a smooth cubic fourfold V induces a symplectic birational involution f on the compactified associated Intermediate Jacobian \mathcal{J}_V , that leaves a copy of U invariant and whose action is determined by ϕ .

Proof Denote by $\iota := \eta_*(f) \in O(\Lambda)$ the induced involution on Λ . The existence of such a smooth cubic fourfold in the case of nontrivial action on the discriminant group is contained in the proof of Theorem 4.1. Thus we can assume ι acts trivially on the discriminant group A_{Λ} . The argument follows identically to that of Theorem 4.1 to obtain a smooth cubic fourfold V with an involution ι of $H^4(V, \mathbb{Z})_{prim}$. We extend it to an involution of $H^4(V, \mathbb{Z})$ with the identity on $\langle \eta_V \rangle$, where η_V is the square of the hyperplane class. Again, the strong version of the Global Torelli theorem for cubic fourfolds [50, Prop 1.3] implies that there exists a unique $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(V)$ such that $\iota \oplus \operatorname{id}_{\langle \eta_V \rangle} = \phi^*$, with ϕ symplectic. By Theorem 2.9:

$$(H^4(V,\mathbb{Z})_{prim})_-\cong E_8(2)$$

(see also [25, Theorem 1.2 (1)]). Thus necessarily $\Lambda_{-} \cong E_8(-2)$.

Conversely, suppose we have an involution $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(V)$ of a smooth cubic fourfold $V \subset \mathbb{P}^5$; let σ be the induced involution on $H^4(V, \mathbb{Z})_{prim}$. By ([24], [42, Theorem 1.6]), we can associate to V an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold \mathcal{J}_V of OG10 type, with a Lagrangian fibration $\pi : \mathcal{J}_V \to \mathbb{P}^5$ that compactifies the intermediate Jacobian fibration of V. Note that the compactification \mathcal{J}_V is not unique; the cubic fourfold V is a special cubic fourfold containing either a plane or a cubic scroll [27], and so may have many birational compactifications, as discussed in [42]. Let Θ denote the relative theta-divisor of \mathcal{J}_V ; then the sublattice $\langle \Theta, \pi^* \mathcal{O}(1) \rangle$ is isomorphic to the hyperbolic lattice U [42, Lemma 3.5, communicated by K. Hulek, R. Laza].

To obtain an involution of \mathcal{J}_V , we follow [42, Sect. 3.1]. The automorphism $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(V)$ acts on the universal family of hyperplane sections of V, and thus on the Donagi–Markman fibration $\mathcal{J}_U \to U$, where $U \subset (\mathbb{P}^5)^*$ parametrises smooth hyperplane sections of V [42, Section 3.1]. We thus obtain in this way a birational transformation $f : \mathcal{J}_V \dashrightarrow \mathcal{J}_V$, that leaves the sublattice $\langle \Theta, \pi^* \mathcal{O}(1) \rangle \cong U$ invariant. If $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(V)$ is symplectic (i.e acts trivially on $H^{3,1}(V)$), then the induced birational involution $f \in \operatorname{Bir}(\mathcal{J}_V)$ is symplectic, by [42, Lemma 3.2]. If not, there exists a regular antisymplectic involution $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{J}_V)$ given geometrically by sending $x \mapsto -x$ on the smooth fibers of $\mathcal{J}_V \to \mathbb{P}^5$. Further this involution τ commutes with the induced antisymplectic involution $f \in \operatorname{Bir}(\mathcal{J}_V)$. It follows that $\tau \circ f$ is a nontrivial symplectic birational involution of \mathcal{J}_V . Set $\tilde{f} := f$ if f is symplectic, $\tilde{f} := \tau \circ f$ otherwise. Note that \tilde{f} leaves $\langle \Theta, \pi^* \mathcal{O}(1) \rangle$ invariant in both cases.

Remark 5.2 Fix the notation of the last paragraph of the proof of Theorem 5.1. If ϕ and thus f is antisymplectic, then the symplectic birational involution \tilde{f} acts by -id on the discriminant group of Λ .

6 Nontrivial action on discriminant, rank \geq 12

As noted in Remark 4.5, it remains to classify symplectic birational involutions of manifold of OG10 type that act nontrivially on A_{Λ} , with rank $(\Lambda_{-}) \ge 12$ and the additional assumption that Λ_{+} does not split a U summand. The main aim of this section is to prove the following result:

Theorem 6.1 Let X be a manifold of OG10 type, and $f \in Bir(X)$ a symplectic birational involution such that the induced action $\eta_*(f)$ is nontrivial on the discriminant group A_{Λ} . Assume further that

rank
$$\Lambda_{-} \geq 12$$
.

Then one of the following holds:

🖄 Springer

(1) $\Lambda_{-} \cong G_{12}$ and $\Lambda_{+} \cong \langle 2 \rangle^{3} \oplus \langle -2 \rangle^{9}$; or (2) $\Lambda_{-} \cong G_{16}$ and $\Lambda_{+} \cong \langle 2 \rangle^{3} \oplus \langle -2 \rangle^{5}$.

Here, G_{12} and G_{16} are the lattices listed in the last column of Table 2.

Moreover, each involution of Λ listed above is unique up to conjugacy in $O(\Lambda)$, and there exists a manifold of OG10 type with a birational involution inducing such an isometry.

We prove Theorem 6.1 as follows: in Sect. 6.1 we classify the possible genera of the coinvariant lattice Λ_{-} for an involution $\iota \in O(\Lambda)$ with nontrivial action on A_{Λ} , and such that Λ_{-} has rank at least 12, is negative definite and the lattice Λ_{+} does not split a U summand. We obtain 12 possible genera for the lattice Λ_{-} . For each genera, we enumerate representatives for all the isometry classes of lattices in this genus. We outline the process in Sect. 6.2 (more details are contained in Appendix A). We then investigate whether each candidate coinvariant lattice occurs for a symplectic birational involution of a manifold of OG10 type. By Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 4.4, this is equivalent to verifying whether the potential coinvariant lattice Λ_{-} contains no vectors v such that $v^2 = -2$, or $v^2 = -6$ with div $_{\Lambda_{-}}(v) \in 3\mathbb{Z}$.

Our results of this enumeration and analysis are summarised in Table 2 in Sect. 6.3; for more explicit details see the database [29].

6.1 Genus of the remaining possible cases

We classify the possible genera of the coinvariant lattice Λ_{-} for an involution $\iota \in O(\Lambda)$ with nontrivial action on A_{Λ} , such that Λ_{-} is negative definite, and such that Λ_{+} does not split a U summand.

Proposition 6.2 Let $\iota \in O(\Lambda)$ acting nontrivially on A_{Λ} such that Λ_{-} is negative definite. Assume that Λ_{+} does not split a U summand. Let $r := \operatorname{rank} \Lambda_{-}$. Then one of the following holds:

(1) $\Lambda_{+} \cong U(2)^{3} and r = 18;$ (2) $\Lambda_{+} \cong U(2)^{3} \oplus D_{4} and r = 14; or$ (3) $\Lambda_{+} \cong \langle 2 \rangle^{3} \oplus \langle -2 \rangle^{21-r} and r \ge 12.$

Proof For ease of notation, let $M := \Lambda_+$. Since M does not split a U summand, the first part of the proof of Theorem 4.1 gives that $r \ge 12$. Assume first that $r \ne 21$: by Proposition 2.7, M is a 2-elementary lattice of signature (3, 21 - r). It is therefore uniquely determined, up to isometry, by the invariants (r, a, δ) (Lemma 4.2). There are two cases to consider by Lemma 4.3: either 22 - r < a, or a = 22 - r, $\delta = 0$ and $r \ne 2$ mod 8.

Case 1: Assume that 22 - r < a; we necessarily have that $22 - r < a \le 24 - r$. Since M is 2-elementary, we have that $a \equiv r \mod 2$; we can exclude the case a = 23 - r. Thus $a = 24 - r = \operatorname{rank} M$. The lattice N := M(1/2) is well defined [27, Lemma A.7]. Further, $A_N = \{1\}$, and so N is unimodular.

Assume that $\delta = 0$; this implies that N is an even unimodular lattice (see for example [27, Lemma A.9]). By Milnor's theorem on unimodular forms (see [36, Thm 0.2.1] for a precise statement), N exists if and only if

$$3 + r - 21 \equiv 0 \mod 8;$$
$$r \equiv 2 \mod 8.$$

Since $r \ge 12$, we have that r = 18. Thus N has signature (3, 3), and hence $N \cong U^3$. Thus $M \cong U(2)^3$.

Now assume that $\delta = 1$. It follows that N is an odd indefinite unimodular lattice (see for example [27, Lemma A.8]). By Milnor's theorem again, N exists and is isomorphic to $\langle 1 \rangle^3 \oplus \langle -1 \rangle^{21-r}$, thus

$$M \cong \langle 2 \rangle^3 \oplus \langle -2 \rangle^{21-r}.$$

Case 2: Assume that a = 22 - r, with $\delta = 0$ and $r \neq 2 \mod 8$. Note again that $r \ge 12$; if $r \le 11$, since $22 - r = a \le r \le 22 - r$, we must have that r = 11. But since $\delta = 0$, for M to exist $r \equiv 2 \mod 4$, a contradiction.

So $r \ge 12$, and since $r \equiv 2 \mod 4$, $r \in \{14, 18\}$. By assumption, $r \ne 2 \mod 8$, thus r = 14. Hence *M* has signature (3, 7) with a = 8 and $\delta = 0$. Consider the lattice $U(2)^3 \oplus D_4$; it has the same signature and invariants. Since indefinite 2-elementary lattices are unique up to isometry, we necessarily have $M \cong U(2)^3 \oplus D_4$.

Finally, assume that r = 21. In this case, M has signature (3, 0). Since $a \equiv r \mod 2$, we have a = 1, 3. By Lemma 4.2, Item (3), the case a = 1 cannot occur; thus a = 3. Again, the lattice N := M(1/2) is well defined. Further, $A_N = \{1\}$, so N is unimodular. Since there are no even unimodular lattices of rank 3, by Milnor's result, we must have that $\delta = 1$ and N is an odd unimodular lattice. Thus $N \cong \langle 1 \rangle^3$, and $M \cong \langle 2 \rangle^3$.

We now list the possible genera of Λ_{-} for the involutions above.

Corollary 6.3 Let $\iota \in O(\Lambda)$ acting nontrivially on A_{Λ} such that Λ_{-} is negative definite. Assume that Λ_{+} does not split a U summand. Let $r := \operatorname{rank} \Lambda_{-}$. Then the discriminant group is $A_{\Lambda_{-}} = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{a}$, and $q_{\Lambda_{-}}|_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}} = q_{A_{2}(-1)}$. Let $\delta = 0$ if the quadratic form of Λ_{+} takes values in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $\delta = 1$ otherwise. Further, the invariants (r, a, δ) are as follows:

(1) $(r, a, \delta) = (18, 6, 0)$

(2) $(r, a, \delta) = (14, 8, 0)$

(3)
$$(r, a, \delta) = (r, 24 - r, 1)$$
 for $12 \le r \le 21$.

Proof This follows immediately from Proposition 6.2.

In order to conclude our classification of symplectic birational involutions for manifolds of OG10 type, it remains to be seen whether an involution $\iota \in O(\Lambda)$ as in Proposition 6.2 is induced by a symplectic birational involution. By Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 4.4, we need to determine whether Λ_- contains any vector v such that $v^2 = -2$, or $v^2 = -6$ with $\operatorname{div}_{\Lambda_-}(v) \in 3\mathbb{Z}$. One possible strategy is to classify the possibilities for the lattices Λ_- . Unfortunately, these lattices are not unique in their respective genus, and have both large rank and discriminant (the methods of Conway–Sloane have not been extended [7]). We undertake this enumeration in the next Sect. 6.2, but first we illustrate this difficulty with two examples.

Example 6.4 Consider $\Lambda_+ \cong U(2)^3$. Then Λ_- has rank 18, and discriminant group

$$A_{\Lambda_{-}} \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^6,$$

and $q_{\Lambda_{-}}|_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}} = q_{A_{2}(-1)}$. There are two easily identifiable possibilities for Λ_{-} :

$$A_2(-1) \oplus K;$$

$$A_2(-1) \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus N,$$

where K is the Kummer lattice and N is the Nikulin lattice (see [33] for a description of these lattices). Both of these embed into the lattice Λ and are orthogonal to $U(2)^3$. Although both

examples contain vectors of square -2 and thus cannot be realised by a geometric birational involution, there may be other lattices in the same genus without vectors of square -2, or of square -6 and divisibility 3.

Example 6.5 Consider $\Lambda_+ \cong U(2)^3 \oplus D_4(-1)$. Then Λ_- has rank 14, and discriminant group

$$A_{\Lambda_{-}} \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^8.$$

Thus Λ_{-} is in the same genera as the lattice $A_{2}(-1) \oplus N \oplus D_{4}(-1)$. Again this example contains (-2)-vectors.

6.2 The enumeration

By Corollary 6.3, we have 12 possible genera to enumerate. This process is computer aided; we use a modified version of Kneser's neighbour method, first described in [19]. Details about the application of this method for quadratic lattices over totally real number fields and its algorithmic implementation can be found in [43, §2]. The main idea is that for each genus *g* of integral definite lattices and for some suitable prime number *p*, there exists a so-called *p*-neighbour graph [43, Page 742], which we denote here by $\text{Kne}_p(g)$, whose nodes represent the isometry classes of lattices in *g*. Two nodes of $\text{Kne}_p(g)$ are connected by an edge if for respective representatives *L*, *L'* of the corresponding isometry classes, *L* and *L'* are *p*-neighbours [20, (28.2)]. The enumeration of the isometry classes for all the nodes. We refer to Appendix A for more details on Kneser's algorithm and its modified implementation.

We represent each isometry class of lattices obtained by the previous enumeration by their Gram matrix, which are available in the files [29]. Each of them represent a coinvariant lattice Λ_{-} for an involution $\iota \in O(\Lambda)$. It remains to verify whether they are induced from a geometric involution or not.

Let *L* be one of the lattices enumerated; *L* is the coinvariant lattice for an involution $\iota \in O(\Lambda)$. We verify whether *L* is induced by a geometric involution by verifying if *L* contains any vector *v* such that $v^2 = -2$, or $v^2 = -6$ with div_L(v) $\in 3\mathbb{Z}$.

To check whether L contains vectors of square -2 or -6, one can use the method **short_vectors** on Hecke [13], which allows us to compute all vectors in L of a given square. For each such vector $v \in L$ such that $v^2 = -6$, computing the positive generator d of the \mathbb{Z} -ideal (v, L) is a simple routine which goes back to standard linear algebra (see also [13, **divisibility**]).

Finally, if *L* contains no vector *v* such that $v^2 = -2$, or $v^2 = -6$ with $\operatorname{div}_L(v) = 3$, then by Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 4.4, we know that the involution *i* is induced, and *L* is isometric to the coinvariant lattice associated to a symplectic birational involution on an irreducible symplectic manifold of *OG*10 type.

6.3 Proof of Theorem 6.1

We give in Table 2 the results of our enumeration and the analysis outlined above. For each possible genus, we give the number N of isometry classes of lattices it contains. In the column WITH ROOTS we record the number of classes that have a representative with (-2)-vectors. In the column WITHOUT ROOTS, **but** WITH (-6, 3) we record how many classes have a representative L without any (-2)-vectors but with at least one vector $v \in L$ such that

 $v^2 = -6$ and div_L(v) $\in 3\mathbb{Z}$. Finally, the last column GEOMETRIC CASES presents all possible isometry classes that are induced by a symplectic birational involution of a manifold X of OG10 type, and thus are isometric to $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})_-$.

Proof of Theorem 6.1 Let $f \in Bir(X)$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 6.1 and let $\iota := \eta_*(f) \in O(\Lambda)$. Then, according to Proposition 6.2, Corollary 6.3 and Table 2, we know that the pair (Λ_+, Λ_-) is as wanted. Similarly, by [36, Proposition 1.15.1], and the verification from Sect. 6.2, we know that any involution of Λ with (Λ_+, Λ_-) as in the statement of Theorem 6.1 is induced.

To conclude, similarly to the proof of Theorem 3.6, we observe that according to [36, Theorem 1.14.2], for Λ_+ as in the statement of Theorem 6.1 we have that $O(\Lambda_+) \rightarrow O(A_{\Lambda_+})$ is surjective. Therefore, [36, Corollary 1.5.2] tells us that any involution of Λ with (Λ_+, Λ_-) as in the statement of Theorem 6.1 is unique up to conjugacy.

The two lattices admitting a geometric realization from Table 2 are determined respectively by the following Gram matrices:

$$G_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & 2 & 2 & -$$

They correspond respectively to the 3rd and the 472nd lattices of the respective cases **c3r12** and **c3r16** of our database, available in [29]. We moreover display in Table 3 the Gram matrices for 5 representatives of the isometry classes in the genus of G_{12} (only the nonzero entries are shown).

Table 3 Case 3, *r* = 12

Lattices in the isometry class of Corollary 6.3 with $(r, a, \delta) = (12, 12, 1)$

$L_{12,12}^1 =$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & & & & & \\ & -2 & & & & \\ & & -2 & & & \\ & & & -2 & & \\ & & & -2 & & \\ & & & -2 & -2$
$L^2_{12,12} =$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -4 & 2 & -2 & -2 & 2 & -2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 $
$G_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & -$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$L_{12,12}^5 =$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $

Appendix A: Enumeration of definite genera for Z-lattices

The *genus* of a lattice is the collection of all full rank integral lattices in a given regular quadratic space which are pairwise locally isometric at each place of \mathbb{Q} . We usually refer to a lattice by its isometry class, and we represent a genus by the isometry classes of the lattices contained in it. By [20, Satz (21.3)], a genus consists of finitely many isometry classes of lattices, though the genus itself is infinite. In order to continue the classification of symplectic birational involutions of manifolds of OG10 type, via Theorem 2.4, we want to enumerate the following 12 genera given by Corollary 6.3 (the notation follows Conway–Sloane convention for genus symbols, see [8, Chapter 15]):

(1)
$$g = II_{(0,18)}2_{II}^{+6}3^{+1}$$
;
(2) $g = II_{(0,14)}2_{II}^{-8}3^{+1}$;
(3) $g = II_{(0,r)}2_{\delta}^{-(24-r)}3^{+1}$ for $12 \le r \le 21$ and $\delta \equiv 6-r \mod 8$.

These are nonempty genera of even negative definite lattices of rank ≥ 12 . Let g be one of the previous genera. In what follows, we explain how one can algorithmically enumerate all isometry classes in g.

A.1. Kneser's neighbour algorithm

Throughout, let L be a lattice in the given genus g, let V be the ambient quadratic space associated to g $(L \subseteq V)$ and let p be a prime number not dividing det(L).

Definition A.1 ([20, §28], [18, §5]) Let L' be a full rank lattice in V. Then L' is called a *p*-neighbour of L if

$$L/(L \cap L') \cong L'/(L \cap L') \cong \mathbb{F}_p$$

as \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces.

Let *b* the underlying nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form of *L*. We call an element $y \in L$ *p*-admissible if $y \in L \setminus pL$ and $b(y, y) \in 2p^2 \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem A.2 ([44, §1], [20, §28]) Let $y \in L$ be *p*-admissible and let

$$L(y) := L_y + \mathbb{Z} \frac{1}{p} y \text{ where } L_y = \{ x \in L \mid b(x, y) \in p\mathbb{Z} \}.$$
 (A.3)

Then L(y) is a p-neighbour of L. Moreover, $L(y) \in g$ and any p-neighbour of L lying in g is of the form L(y) for some p-admissible vector $y \in L$.

We define C(g) to be the set of all the (finitely many) isometry classes of lattices in g, $E_p(g)$ to be the set

$$E_p(g) := \left\{ ([L], [L']) \in C(g)^2 \mid L, L' \text{ are } p \text{-neighbours} \right\}$$

and, finally, $\operatorname{Kne}_p(g) := (C(g), E_p(g))$ to be the *p*-neighbour graph of *g* (see [43, page 742]).

It follows that $\operatorname{Kne}_p(g)$ consists of finitely many nodes given by C(g), and two nodes [L], [L'] are connected by an edge if and only if $([L], [L']) \in E_p(g)$. The number of connected components of $\operatorname{Kne}_p(g)$ does not depend on p, and each of them is an union of what are called *proper spinor genera* of g (see [39, §102] for the notion of spinor genera). One

can show algorithmically (see [18, Corollary 5.4.10]) that the 12 genera we aim to enumerate consist of one proper spinor genus, meaning that their respective p-neighbour graphs are connected, for any prime number p. So in what follows, we assume that genera consists of only one proper spinor genus.

The neighbour construction (Eq. A.3) offers a practical way to construct representatives of isometry classes in g and it is the starting point for Kneser's neighbour algorithm (see [20, Satz 28.4]). In particular, this algorithm lets us reconstruct C(g) starting from a single isometry class [L] and any prime number $p \nmid \det(L)$.

Remark A.4 Constructing a first representative lattice L of a given genus g is feasible but nontrivial: we refer to [18, §3.4, §3.5] for more details.

The idea is the following: we start by constructing all the *p*-neighbouring isometry classes [L'] of [L] obtained from the neighbour construction. Then, we iterate this process to all the new isometry classes obtained until we have exhausted the *p*-neighbour graph, i.e. we cannot construct any new isometry class from the ones already obtained. Note that an isometry class can be reached by several different other ones in $\text{Kne}_p(g)$: one has to compare any new neighbour lattice to representatives of the isometry classes already computed. The following result ensures that this process allows us to recover C(g).

Theorem A.5 [44, §1 (ix)] *If the genus g consists only of one (proper) spinor genus*, rank $L \ge 3$ and $L \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ is isotropic, then the previous procedure returns representatives of all isometry classes in g.

However, classical implementations of this algorithm do not exhaust all the edges in the *p*-neighbour graph. In fact, for genera of definite lattices, there exists an invariant, called the *mass*, which allows us to determine whether or not we have enumerated C(g).

Definition A.6 Let g be a genus of definite lattices. We call the *mass* of g, which we denote m(g), the following sum

$$m(g) := \sum_{[L] \in C(g)} \frac{1}{\# O(L)}.$$
(A.7)

For any $[L] \in C(g)$, we call the term $w([L]) := \frac{1}{\#O(L)}$ the weight of [L] in g.

Thanks to the Smith–Minkowski–Siegel formula (see [7, §2, Eq. (2)]), one can actually compute the mass of a genus of definite lattices without enumerating its isometry classes. Therefore, while walking through $\operatorname{Kne}_p(g)$, one can associate to each visited node the weight of the corresponding isometry class. Adding the weights of the isometry classes already found gives us information on which proportion of C(g) we have enumerated. In particular, if the previous sum agrees with the mass of g, then all the isometry classes in g have been enumerated.

A.2. Practical implementation

We have explained the needed theory behind the enumeration of the genus g (we refer to [43] for more details). Let us now make some comments on practical implementation and possible improvements.

First of all, recall that p is a prime number not dividing det(L) and let n be the rank of L. We have the following proposition: **Proposition A.8** [20, Hilfssatz (28.7)] If $y, y' \in L$ are *p*-admissible and such that $[y] = [y'] \in L/pL$, then L(y) = L(y')

Therefore, in practice, one only has to enumerate isotropic lines l in $L/pL \cong \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$ and choose any p-admissible lift in L of a representative of l to construct the corresponding neighbour lattice. The set $\mathcal{L}_{p,n}$ of lines in \mathbb{F}_p^n is of cardinality $(p^n - 1)/(p - 1)$. In the context of this paper, where $p \ge 5$ and $n \ge 12$, enumerating isotropic elements in $\mathcal{L}_{p,n}$ at each iteration of the neighbours construction is infeasible: for instance, in the case p = 5and n = 15, $\mathcal{L}_{5,15}$ is of size 7629394531. The whole process of enumerating all the isotropic lines, looking for *p*-admissible lifts, constructing the corresponding neighbours, comparing each new neighbour to the already visited nodes in C(g) and computing the weight of every new visited node can take, in this case, up to 5 hours. If we do this process multiple times for different isometry classes, depending on the size of C(g), already for rank 15 the enumeration can take several days. Thus, one could use in complement the second part of [20, Hilfssaftz (28.7)] stating that if y, y' $\in L$ are p-admissible and if there exists $\phi \in O(L)$ such that $\phi(y) = y'$, then L(y) and L(y') are isometric. In other words, walking through Kne_p(g) only requires to lift representatives of O(L)-orbits of isotropic elements in $\mathcal{L}_{p,n}$ since two isotropic lines in a same orbit give rise to isometric neighbour lattices, which correspond to the same class in C(g). However, still in the context of this paper, even though #O(L) is finite for a definite lattice L, it turns out that the available algorithms (to our knowledge) to compute O(L)-orbits in $\mathcal{L}_{p,n}$ require either too much memory space or too much computation time, because of the high ranks and determinants of the lattices to find.

A.3. Further improvements

A way to bypass the complexity matters enunciated in the previous section, is to add randomisation. We iteratively proceed as follows: we choose a random isometry class among those already visited in C(g), a random prime number p not dividing det(L) and we collect a large sample $N \gg 0$ of random elements in $\mathcal{L}_{p,n}$ to construct neighbour lattices in $\operatorname{Kne}_p(g)$ from the isotropic lines among them. Selecting different prime numbers allow us to enumerate C(g) by finding neighbour lattices in different neighbour graphs in parallel. This can help if some lattices are connected by fewer edges in $\operatorname{Kne}_p(g)$ than in $\operatorname{Kne}_q(g)$ for different primes p and q. The enumeration of genera using Kneser's neighbour method has been implemented on Hecke [13] and we adapt this code with the random search just mentioned.

A final issue one can face with this randomised algorithm is that random walks in $\text{Kne}_p(g)$ can eventually lead to vain iterations. In this case, there might be only a little number of nodes which have not been visited yet and the probability to find them via random construction of neighbour lattices is low. This is the case, for instance, for isometry classes of lattices with relatively small weight: their isometry group has big cardinality and therefore, there are few edges connecting to the corresponding node in $\text{Kne}_p(g)$ for all small primes p. It is possible, at this stage, to complete the enumeration of C(g) by hand. To do so, let $C_{al}(g)$ be the list of representatives of isometry classes in g which have been already computed, and let

$$m := m(g) - \sum_{[L] \in C_{al}(g)} w([L]) \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Let q be the biggest prime number dividing the denominator of m. This prime q must divide the order of the isometry group of a class in $C(g)\setminus C_{al}(g)$, and thus such isometry class contains a lattice with at least one isometry of order q. In the paper [4], Brandhorst and Hofmann have developed methods to compute, given a genus g and a prime number q, pairs (*L*, *f*) consisting of a lattice $L \in g$ and an isometry $f \in O(L)$ of order *q*. Their algorithms have been implemented using a combination of Sage [46], GAP [45], Magma [2] and PARI [1] (see also [9, QuadFormWithIsom] for a more recent and centralized implementation by the second author). Running such codes, we can find new lattices in *g* not represented by any element of $C_{al}(g)$, and having an isometry of order *q*. Subtracting the weights of their respective isometry classes to *m*, we should eventually be able to clear out *q* from the divisors of the denominator of *m*. If *m* is still nonzero, we keep going with the next biggest prime q' dividing the denominator of *m*, and so on until m = 0. If *m* happens to be an integer after some iteration, we continue with the largest prime number smaller than the one previously tried.

A.4. Results and verification

Combining both the enumeration by random searches and the construction of lattices with an isometry of a given order, we enumerate each of the 12 genera mentioned at the beginning of this Appendix. The lattices we have enumerated are available in the folder "enumeration" in [29]. In the latter, each genus g is given as a file, and each line of this file corresponds to the Gram matrix of a representative of an isometry class in g. The different "reading files" made available allow one to read those genera on Hecke [13], Magma [2], Oscar [9] and Sage [46].

In order to verify our results, we refer to the folder "verification" in [29]. In this folder, we provide a notebook providing step-by-step Hecke instructions to verify:

- that each genus is correctly enumerated (see below for a brief explanation);
- the number of vectors of square -2, or of square -6 and divisibility at least 3 in each lattice.

Note that in this folder, the genera are saved differently. Now, each genus g is given by a folder, and each file in this folder correspond to a representative of an isometry class in g. In each such file, we remember the Gram matrix of the lattice L as well as the order of its orthogonal group O(L).

Remark A.9 Generators for the orthogonal groups of definite lattices are effectively computable [40]. However, such computations can be expensive for large rank lattices. In our enumeration process, which was originally purely written on Hecke, we made use of Magma for computing orthogonal group and testing isometry of lattices. For one's convenience, we have precomputed the order of the orthogonal groups of every lattices in our database using Magma [2] and stored it.

In order to check whether the genera in [29] are correctly enumerated, one can proceed in the following way.

Given a list S of lattices, and a genus g, one ensures that S is a complete set of representatives of isometry classes in g without repetition, by

- (1) checking that for all $L \in S$, the genus of L is g;
- (2) if one denotes m the mass of g, computing that

$$\sum_{L\in S} \frac{1}{\#O(L)} = m;$$

(3) testing whether lattices in S are pairwise non isometric.

In order to test whether two given definite lattices in a same genus are isometric, one can use algorithms of Plesken–Souvignier [40]. This can be challenging for lattices of high rank. Nonetheless, there is actually a way of making this procedure less challenging, by working with isometry invariants.

In fact, for instance, two lattices whose orthogonal groups have different order cannot be isometric. Hence, up to choosing a certain list of isometry invariants which are not hard to compute, one can definitely speed up step (3) and only call Plesken–Souvignier algorithm for comparing lattices with similar invariants. In our case, we have used:

- the order of the orthogonal group of the lattice;
- the minimum norm, in absolute value, of a vector in the lattice;
- the **kissing number** *k* of the lattices, which is equal to the number of vectors of minimum (absolute) norm;
- the decomposition of the **root sublattice** of the lattice (see [12]);
- the numbers of vectors of norm -4, and -6 with divisibility in $3\mathbb{Z}$.

More details in [29].

Acknowledgements The first author would like to thank her advisor, Radu Laza, for suggesting to her this problem as well as for the invaluable advice and suggestions. She would also like to thank Giulia Saccà for useful discussions, as well as Giovanni Mongardi, Annalisa Grossi, Luca Giovenzana, Claudio Onorati and Davide Veniani for their comments and suggestions on the previous version. The second author would like to thank his advisor, Simon Brandhorst, for the precious help provided regarding the work mentioned in the appendix. Finally, we thank the referees for their feedback and suggestions that improved the quality of this manuscript.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. L. Marquand was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-2101640 (PI Laza). S. Muller was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) [Gefördert durch die Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG)— Projektnummer 286237555—TRR 195].

Data availability The author confirms that all data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article, or in the supplementary database [28].

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- 1. Batut, C., Belabas, K., Benardi, D., Cohen, H., Olivier, M.: User's Guide to PARI-GP Université Bordeaux, Cedex (1998)
- Bosma, W., Cannon, J., Playoust, C.: The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. J. Symb. Comput. 24(3–4), 235–265 (1997). Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993)
- Beauville, A., Donagi, R.: La variété des droites d'une hypersurface cubique de dimension 4. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 301(14), 703–706 (1985)
- Brandhorst , S., Hofmann, T.: Finite subgroups of automorphisms of K3 surfaces. Forum Math. Sigma 11, Paper No. e54, 57, 2050–5094 (2023)
- Beckmann, T., Oberdieck, G.: Equivariant categories of symplectic surfaces and fixed loci of Bridgeland moduli spaces. Algebr. Geom. 9(4), 400–442 (2022)

- Camere, C.: Symplectic involutions of holomorphic symplectic four-folds. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 44(4), 687–702 (2012)
- Conway, J.H., Sloane, N.J.A.: Low-dimensional lattices IV. The mass formula. Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. Ser. A 419(1857), 259–286 (1988)
- Conway, J.H., Sloane, N.J.A.: Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 290, 3rd edn. Springer, New York (1999). With additional contributions by E. Bannai, R. E. Borcherds, J. Leech, S. P. Norton, A. M. Odlyzko, R. A. Parker, L. Queen and B. B. Venkov
- Decker, W., Eder, C., Fieker, C., Horn, M., Joswig, M. (eds.): The Computer Algebra System OSCAR: Algorithms and Examples. Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics, vol. 32, 1st edn. Springer, Berlin (2025)
- Donagi, R., Markman, E.: Spectral covers, algebraically completely integrable, Hamiltonian systems, and moduli of bundles. In: Integrable Systems and Quantum Groups (Montecatini Terme, 1993). Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1620, pp. 1–119. Springer, Berlin (1996)
- Dolgachev, I.: Integral quadratic forms: applications to algebraic geometry (after V. Nikulin). In: Bourbaki Seminar, Vol. 1982/1983. Astérisque, vol. 105, pp. 251–278. Soc. Math. France, Paris (1983)
- Ebeling, W.: Lattices and Codes. Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, 3rd edn. Springer Spektrum, Wiesbaden (2013). A course partially based on lectures by Friedrich Hirzebruch
- Fieker, C., Hart, W., Hofmann, T., Johansson, F.: Nemo/Hecke: computer algebra and number theory packages for the Julia programming language. In: Proceedings of the 2017 ACM on International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation, ISSAC '17, pp. 157–164. ACM, New York (2017)
- Giovenzana, L., Grossi, A., Onorati, C., Veniani, D.C.: Symplectic rigidity of O'Grady's tenfolds. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 152(7), 2813–2820 (2024)
- Grossi, A., Onorati, C., Veniani, D.C.: Symplectic birational transformations of finite order on O'Grady's sixfolds. Kyoto J. Math. 63(3), 615–639 (2023)
- Harada, K., Lang, M.-L.: On some sublattices of the Leech lattice. Hokkaido Math. J. 19(3), 435–446 (1990)
- Huybrechts, D.: On derived categories of K3 surfaces, symplectic automorphisms and the Conway group. In: Development of Moduli Theory—Kyoto 2013. Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, vol. 69, pp. 387–405. The Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo (2016), Edited by Osamu Fujino, Shigeyuki Kondō, Atsushi Moriwaki, Masa-Hiko Saito and Kōta Yoshioka
- Kirschmer, M.: Definite Quadratic and Hermitian Forms with Small Class Number. Habilitationsschrift. RWTH Aachen (2016). http://www.math.rwth-aachen.de/~Markus.Kirschmer/papers/herm.pdf
- 19. Kneser, M.: Klassenzahlen definiter quadratischer formen. Archiv der Mathematik 8, 241–250 (1957)
- 20. Kneser, M.: Quadratische Formen. Springer, Berlin (2002). Revised and edited in collaboration with Rudolf Scharlau (2002)
- Kondō, S.: Niemeier lattices, Mathieu groups, and finite groups of symplectic automorphisms of K3 surfaces. Duke Math. J. 92(3), 593–603 (1998). With an appendix by Shigeru Mukai
- 22. Laza, R.: The moduli space of cubic fourfolds via the period map. Ann. Math. (2) 172(1), 673-711 (2010)
- Laza, R., Pearlstein, G., Zhang, Z.: On the moduli space of pairs consisting of a cubic threefold and a hyperplane. Adv. Math. 340, 684–722 (2018)
- Laza, R., Saccà, G., Voisin, C.: A hyper-Kähler compactification of the intermediate Jacobian fibration associated with a cubic 4-fold. Acta Math. 218(1), 55–135 (2017)
- 25. Laza, R., Zheng, Z.: Automorphisms and periods of cubic fourfolds. Math. Z. 300(2), 1455–1507 (2022)
- Markman, E.: A survey of Torelli and monodromy results for holomorphic-symplectic varieties. In: Complex and Differential Geometry. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics, vol. 8, pp. 257–322. Springer, Heidelberg (2011), Edited by Wolfgang Ebeling, Klaus Hulek and Knut Smoczyk
- 27. Marquand, L.: Cubic fourfolds with an involution. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 376(2), 1373-1406 (2023)
- Marquand, L., Muller, S.: Finite groups of symplectic birational transformations of IHS manifolds of OG10 type (2024). arXiv:2310.06580
- Marquand, L., Muller, S.: Genus enumeration and geometric cases (2024). https://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.13171751
- Mongardi, G., Onorati, C.: Birational geometry of irreducible holomorphic symplectic tenfolds of O'Grady type. Math. Z. 300(4), 3497–3526 (2022)
- Mongardi, G.: On symplectic automorphisms of hyper-Kähler fourfolds of K3^[2] type. Mich. Math. J. 62(3), 537–550 (2013)
- Mongardi, G.: Towards a classification of symplectic automorphisms on manifolds of K3^[n] type. Math. Z. 282(3–4), 651–662 (2016)
- 33. Morrison, D.R.: On K3 surfaces with large Picard number. Invent. Math. 75(1), 105–121 (1984)

- Mukai, S.: Finite groups of automorphisms of K3 surfaces and the Mathieu group. Invent. Math. 94(1), 183–221 (1988)
- Mongardi, G., Wandel, M.: Automorphisms of O'Grady's manifolds acting trivially on cohomology. Algebr. Geom. 4(1), 104–119 (2017)
- Nikulin, V.V.: Integer symmetric bilinear forms and some of their geometric applications. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 43(1), 111–177, 238 (1980)
- Nikulin, V.V.: Factor groups of groups of automorphisms of hyperbolic forms with respect to subgroups generated by 2-reflections. Algebrogeometric applications. J. Sov. Math. 22, 1401–1475 (1983)
- O'Grady, K.G.: Desingularized moduli spaces of sheaves on a K3. J. Reine Angew. Math. 512, 49–117 (1999)
- O'Meara, O.T.: Introduction to Quadratic Forms. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer, New York (1971)
- 40. Plesken, W., Souvignier, B.: Computing isometries of lattices. J. Symb. Comput. 34(3), 327–334 (1997)
- Rapagnetta, A.: On the Beauville form of the known irreducible symplectic varieties. Math. Ann. 340(1), 77–95 (2008)
- Saccà, G.: Birational geometry of the intermediate Jacobian fibration of a cubic fourfold. Geom. Topol. 27(4), 1479–1538 (2023)
- Scharlau, R., Hemkemeier, B.: Classification of integral lattices with large class number. Math. Comput. 67, 737–749 (1998)
- 44. Schulze-Pillot, R.: An algorithm for computing genera of ternary and quaternary quadratic forms. In: Proceedings of the 1991 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation, ISSAC '91, pp. 134–143. Association for Computing Machinery, New York (1991)
- The GAP Group: GAP—Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.12.0 (2022) (https://www. gapsystem.org)
- The Sage Developers, Stein, W., Joyner, D., Kohel, D., Cremona, J., Eröcal, B.: Sagemath, version 9.2 (2020)
- 47. Voisin, C.: Théorème de Torelli pour les cubiques de P⁵. Invent. Math. 86(3), 577-601 (1986)
- Voisin, C.: Hyper-Kähler compactification of the intermediate Jacobian fibration of a cubic fourfold: the twisted case. In: Local and Global Methods in Algebraic Geometry. Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 712, pp. 341–355. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2018), Edited by Nero Budur, Tommaso de Fernex, Roi Docampo and Kevin Tucker
- Yu, C., Zheng, Z.: Moduli spaces of symmetric cubic fourfolds and locally symmetric varieties. Algebra Number Theory 14(10), 2647–2683 (2020)
- 50. Zheng, Z.: Orbifold aspects of certain occult period maps. Nagoya Math. J. 243, 1-20 (2019)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.