



Article Epidemiology and Characteristics of Gastric Carcinoma in Childhood—An Analysis of Data from Population-Based and Clinical Cancer Registries

Michael Abele ^{1,*,†}[®], Lisa Grabner ^{1,†}, Tabea Blessing ², Andreas Block ³, Abbas Agaimy ⁴[®], Christian Kratz ⁵, Thorsten Simon ⁶[®], Gabriele Calaminus ⁷[®], Sabine Heine ⁸, Selim Corbacioglu ⁹, Holger Christiansen ¹⁰, Dominik T. Schneider ²[®] and Ines B. Brecht ¹

- ¹ Pediatric Hematology/Oncology, Department of Pediatrics, University Hospital Tuebingen, 72076 Tuebingen, Germany
- ² Clinic of Pediatrics, Klinikum Dortmund, University Witten/Herdecke, 44137 Dortmund, Germany
- ³ Department of Hematology and Oncology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, 20251 Hamburg, Germany
- ⁴ Institute of Pathology, University Hospital Erlangen, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nuernberg, 91054 Erlangen, Germany
- ⁵ Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Hannover Medical School, 30625 Hannover, Germany
- ⁶ Department of Pediatric Oncology and Hematology, University Children's Hospital of Cologne, 50937 Cologne, Germany
- ⁷ Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, University Hospital Bonn, 53127 Bonn, Germany
- ⁸ Pediatric Oncology and Hematology, Children's Hospital Medical Center, University Clinics Homburg, 66421 Homburg, Germany
- ⁹ Department of Pediatric Hematology, Oncology and Stem Cell Transplantation, University of Regensburg, 93053 Regensburg, Germany
- ¹⁰ Department of Pediatric Oncology and Hematology, University of Leipzig, 04103 Leipzig, Germany
- * Correspondence: michael.abele@med.uni-tuebingen.de
- † These authors contributed equally to this work.

Simple Summary: While gastric carcinoma is prevalent in adults and is one of the most common causes of cancer-related death, the disease is exceptionally rare in children. The aim of this analysis was to present peculiarities of gastric carcinoma in children and adolescents. A combination of *Helicobacter pylori* infection and tumor predisposition and/or immunodeficiency appears to contribute significantly to the risk of developing gastric carcinoma at a young age. In adolescents, these tumors are often diagnosed at an advanced stage of disease, which is associated with a poor prognosis and emphasizes the need for the development of new therapeutic strategies, such as molecular-targeted treatments.

Abstract: (1) Background: Gastric carcinoma is an exceptionally rare tumor in childhood. Little is known about the etiology, epidemiology, and clinical features of pediatric gastric carcinomas. This analysis aimed to fill this gap by increasing knowledge about the occurrence of gastric carcinoma in childhood. (2) Material and methods: Data from gastric carcinoma cases diagnosed between 2000 and 2017/2018 were retrieved from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program (SEER) and the German Center for Cancer Registry Data. Data from patients <20 years of age were analyzed for patient- and tumor-related characteristics. In addition, clinical data from patients with gastric carcinoma registered in the German Registry for Rare Pediatric Tumors (STEP) were analyzed for diagnostics, therapy, and outcome. (3) Results: Ninety-one cases of gastric carcinoma, mainly in adolescents, were identified in the epidemiologic cancer registries. Among patients with recorded staging data, advanced tumor stages were common (66.7%). Within the follow-up period covered, 63.7% of patients with clinical follow-up data died. Eight pediatric patients with gastric carcinoma were enrolled in the STEP registry, among whom two were patients with hereditary CDH1 mutations and another was a patient with Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. Three patients were found to have distinctly decreased immunoglobulin concentrations. All four patients in whom complete resection was achieved remained in remission. Three of the other four patients died despite multimodal therapy.



Citation: Abele, M.; Grabner, L.; Blessing, T.; Block, A.; Agaimy, A.; Kratz, C.; Simon, T.; Calaminus, G.; Heine, S.; Corbacioglu, S.; et al. Epidemiology and Characteristics of Gastric Carcinoma in Childhood—An Analysis of Data from Population-Based and Clinical Cancer Registries. *Cancers* 2023, *15*, 317. https:// doi.org/10.3390/cancers15010317

Academic Editor: Anna Kakehashi

Received: 25 November 2022 Revised: 29 December 2022 Accepted: 30 December 2022 Published: 3 January 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

(4) Conclusions: A combination of *Helicobacter pylori* infection and tumor predisposition and/or immunodeficiency appears to promote the development of gastric carcinoma in childhood. While patients with localized disease stages have a good chance of achieving durable remission through complete resection, patients with stage IV carcinomas face a dismal prognosis, highlighting the need to develop new strategies such as mutation-guided treatments.

Keywords: gastric cancer; rare tumors; pediatric oncology; epidemiology

1. Introduction

Gastric carcinoma in adults is a common disease, accounting for 5.6% of all cancers and 7.7% of all cancer-related deaths worldwide [1]. It is a multifactorial disease, and the main factors influencing carcinogenesis are *Helicobacter pylori* (HP), exogenous factors such as diet, tobacco smoking, and salt consumption, and genetic predisposition [2–4]. The vast majority of gastric carcinomas are histologically adenocarcinomas [2]. A distinction is made between adenocarcinomas arising from the cardia and from the more distal areas of the stomach, as they differ in both etiology and clinical course [5]. Since the early stages of the disease are often without clinical symptoms, the diagnosis is often made at an advanced stage of disease, resulting in an unfavorable prognosis with an average 5-year survival rate of approximately 40% [6]. In sharp contrast, gastric carcinomas in childhood are classified as very rare cancers, with a crude annual incidence rate <2/1.000.000, corresponding to roughly 0.1% of all pediatric cancers [7]. Only a few such cases have been clinically characterized in publications [8–14]. Consequently, the epidemiology, etiology, clinical characteristics, and treatment of pediatric gastric carcinomas, along with potential differences from adult characteristics, are poorly understood [8,14]. The rare occurrence of these tumors poses a serious challenge for treating physicians. The present analysis aims to improve our understanding of the epidemiology of gastric carcinomas in childhood and to characterize pediatric patients with gastric carcinomas by presenting clinical features, management, and outcomes.

2. Materials and Methods

We retrieved data from the German Center for Cancer Registry Data (ZfKD). This national cancer registry receives data from the population-based cancer registries of all of the German federal states, as required by the Federal Cancer Registry Data Act [15]. For comparison, we also retrieved data from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program (SEER) of the National Cancer Institute, which collects and publishes data from population-based cancer registries covering nearly 50% of the US population (SEER Research Plus Data, 18 Registries, Nov 2020 Submission) [16]. The retrieved datasets consisted of all patients recorded by the ZfKD and SEER who met the following inclusion criteria: diagnosis of malignancy with the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) codes C16.0–C16.9; initial diagnosis under the age of 20 years and between 2000 and 2017 (ZfKD) or 2018 (SEER). Patients with gastric carcinoma were identified by filtering based on the recorded International Classification of Diseases for Oncology (ICD-O) histology codes and included in this analysis. Importantly, due to structural changes in the reporting requirements, not all federal registries reported to the ZfKD over the entire period. The ZfKD only received data from all federal registries from 2009 to 2015. An overview of the respective reportings by year and registry, including reported follow-ups, can be found in Supplemental Material Table S1.

Pseudonymized data for patient- and tumor-related features (age at diagnosis, cancer site, and histology per ICD-O, status per TNM-Classification of Malignant Tumors (TNM), grading, recorded treatment, and outcome) were included in the obtained datasets from the ZfKD and SEER. A limitation of the present study is that TNM staging information

3 of 13

was missing in approximately 50% of cases in the ZfKD records. In the results section, frequencies are related to cases with recorded data.

We calculated the crude incidence rates for the under-20 age group as the number of cases per person-years, calculated according to the average population count between 2009 and 2015, as reports from all state registries were obtained from the ZfKD during this period. Data on the childhood population were obtained from the Federal Statistical Office of Germany [17].

Further analysis was based on patients registered in the database of the German Registry for Rare Pediatric Tumors (STEP), which is a prospective clinical registry of the German Society for Pediatric Oncology and Hematology (GPOH). The inclusion criteria were diagnosis with a gastric carcinoma and an age under 18 years at diagnosis. Pseudonymized data for patient-, tumor-, and treatment-related characteristics were collected based on original source data using standardized case report forms from the STEP registry. Staging was based on the TNM classification by the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC; Supplemental Material Table S2) [18]. All patients and/or their guardians gave informed consent for data collection and analysis at diagnosis. The STEP registry was approved by the institutional review boards of both the University Erlangen (Re. No. 4340) and the participating hospitals.

3. Results

We identified 91 cases of gastric carcinoma in children and adolescents in the epidemiologic cancer registries (ZfKD: n = 31; SEER: n = 60). The characteristics of these patients are shown in Table 1. Most patients were diagnosed in adolescence, and 80.2% were 15–19 years old at diagnosis. The median age at diagnosis for patients recorded by the ZfKD was 17.6 years (range: 0–19 years). In both the ZfKD and SEER data, a slight female preponderance was observed (57.1%). Almost all gastric carcinomas were adenocarcinomas, with poorly cohesive adenocarcinomas, namely signet ring cell carcinomas, being the most commonly specified histologic subtype (38.5%). Based on the Laurén classification, diffuse type tumors were therefore most frequent, followed by intestinal-type and indeterminate-type carcinomas [19]. However, in 47.5% of cases, the ICD-O morphology code 8140/3 (adenocarcinoma, not otherwise specified) was reported, so no further histologic assignment was possible in these patients. There were no cases of Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-associated lymphoepithelioma-like gastric carcinoma. Tumors were mainly located in the cardia (n = 19), body (n = 12), and antrum (n = 13) of the stomach and only rarely in the curvature region. In terms of TNM staging, the T stage was relatively evenly distributed between T1 and T4. Lymph node metastases were detected in 51.2% of cases. Distant metastases were found in 61.1% of cases. Accordingly, advanced disease stages, especially stage IV, were common (66.7%). Tumors were also frequently poorly differentiated on pathologic examination. Most gastric carcinomas were classified as high-grade tumors (86.9%). While most of these observations were identical for ZfKD and SEER, certain differences were noted. In the SEER cases, more tumors were categorized as overlapping lesions, and we found a higher frequency of distant metastases and stage IV cancers (ZfKD: 56.3%, SEER: 71.4%). The ZfKD also had a slightly higher proportion of patients younger than 15 years of age (ZfKD: 25.8%, SEER: 16.7%).

Table 1. Patient characteristics and clinical features of gastric carcinoma in patients aged 0–19 years registered at the German Center for Cancer Registry Data 2000–2017 and in the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program 2000–2018. Proportions are calculated based on cases with recorded data. Abbreviation: n.a., not applicable.

| Characteristics | | Cancer Registry Data 0–2017 (n = 31) | Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program (SEER) 2000–2018 ($n = 60$) | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|---|--|------------|--|
| | Count | Proportion | Count | Proportion | |
| Sex | | | | | |
| Male | 13 | 41.9% | 26 | 43.3% | |
| Female | 18 | 58.1% | 34 | 56.7% | |
| Age at diagnosis | | | | | |
| <10 | 5 | 16.1% | 0 | 0% | |
| 10–14 | 3 | 9.7% | 10 | 16.7% | |
| 15–19 | 23 | 74.2% | 50 | 83.3% | |
| Histology | | | | | |
| Adenocarcinoma | 30 | 96.8% | 60 | 100.0% | |
| Signet cell carcinoma thereof | 10 | 32.3% | 25 | 41.7% | |
| Squamous cell carcinoma | 1 | 3.2% | 0 | 0% | |
| Localization | | | | | |
| Cardia (C16.0) | 9 | 29.0% | 10 | 16.7% | |
| Fundus (C16.1) | 0 | 0% | 3 | 5.0% | |
| Stomach body (C16.2) | 8 | 25.8% | 4 | 6.7% | |
| Antrum (C16.3) | 4 | 12.9% | 9 | 15.0% | |
| Pylorus (C16.4) | 1 | 3.2% | 4 | 6.7% | |
| Lesser curvature (C16.5) | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1.7% | |
| Greater curvature (C16.6) | 0 | 0% | 3 | 5.0% | |
| Overlapping lesion (C16.8) | 0 | 0% | 6 | 10.0% | |
| Not specified (C16.9) | 9 | 29.0% | 20 | 33.3% | |
| T stage | | | | | |
| 1 | 4 | 30.8% | 11 | 44.0% | |
| 2 | 2 | 15.4% | 7 | 28.0% | |
| 3 | 3 | 23.1% | 3 | 12.0% | |
| 4 | 4 | 30.8% | 4 | 16.0% | |
| X/no data | 18 | n.a. | 35 | n.a. | |
| N stage | | | | | |
| 0 | 5 | 41.7% | 16 | 51.6% | |
| 1 | 4 | 33.3% | 10 | 32.3% | |
| 2 | 2 | 16.7% | 2 | 6.5% | |
| 3 | 1 | 8.3% | 3 | 9.7% | |
| X/no data | 19 | n.a. | 29 | n.a. | |
| M stage | | | | | |
| 0 | 7 | 43.8% | 14 | 36.8% | |
| 1 | 9 | 56.3% | 24 | 63.2% | |
| X/no data | 15 | n.a. | 22 | n.a. | |
| Disease stage | | | | | |
| I | 5 | 31.3% | 8 | 22.9% | |
| II | 2 | 12.5% | 1 | 2.9% | |
| III | 0 | 0% | 1 | 2.9% | |
| IV | 9 | 56.3% | 25 | 71.4% | |
| Unknown/no data | 15 | n.a. | 25 | n.a. | |
| Grading | | | | | |
| Low-grade (I/II) | 4 | 20.0% | 4 | 9.8% | |
| High-grade (III) | 16 | 80.0% | 37 | 90.2% | |
| Unknown/no data | 11 | n.a. | 19 | n.a. | |

While the ZfKD-recorded treatment modalities were limited, more detailed information on therapy was available from the SEER registry (Table 2). Chemotherapy was the primary treatment modality (71.6% overall), whereas the majority of patients recorded by the SEER registry did not receive resection of the primary tumor (62.1%). In contrast, 52.9% of patients with gastric carcinoma recorded by the ZfKD underwent surgery. Radiotherapy was performed infrequently (13.7% overall) and was most commonly used as adjuvant therapy. Within the recorded follow-up period, 63.7% of patients with gastric carcinoma died (Table 3). While the ZfKD recorded 48.4% of patients as deceased (77.8% in stage IV), the rate of deceased patients in the SEER registry was 71.7%. According to the SEER data, the median time to last follow-up was 8 months (mean: 26.7 months, range 0–168 months). Consistently, the median time from diagnosis to death based on the ZfKD data was 10.2 months (range 0–28 months). The median age at death in these patients was 18.8 years (range 13.5–20.9 years).

Table 2. Treatment characteristics of gastric carcinoma in patients aged 0–19 years registered in the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program 2000–2018.

| Treatment Modalities | Count | Proportion |
|--|-------|------------|
| Surgery of primary tumor | | |
| Local excision | 1 | 1.7% |
| Partial gastrectomy | 8 | 13.3% |
| Near total/total gastrectomy | 12 | 20.0% |
| Surgery not otherwise specified | 1 | 1.7% |
| No resection of primary tumor | 36 | 60.0% |
| Unknown | 2 | 3.3% |
| Lymph node surgery | | |
| Resection of ≥ 4 regional lymph nodes | 17 | 28.3% |
| No lymph node resection | 31 | 51.7% |
| Unknown | 12 | 20.0% |
| Radiation | | |
| Yes | 8 | 13.3% |
| neoadjuvant | 2 | 3.3% |
| adjuvant | 6 | 10.0% |
| No | 52 | 86.7% |
| Chemotherapy | | |
| Yes | 45 | 75.0% |
| No/unknown | 15 | 25.0% |

Table 3. Outcome of/last reported follow-up for gastric carcinoma in patients aged 0–19 years registered at the German Center for Cancer Registry Data 2000–2017 and in the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program 2000–2018.

| Status | | German Center for Cancer Registry Data (ZfKD) 2000–2017 ($n = 31$) | | Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program (SEER) 2000–2018 (n = 60) | | |
|----------|-------|---|-------|---|--|--|
| | Count | Proportion | Count | Proportion | | |
| Alive | 16 | 51.6% | 17 | 28.3% | | |
| Deceased | 15 | 48.4% | 43 | 71.7% | | |

Using cases recorded by the ZfKD from 2009 to 2015, we were able to calculate a crude incidence rate for gastric carcinoma in children and adolescents in Germany of 0.16 per million during this period.

Eight patients with gastric carcinoma were enrolled in the STEP registry, with a median age at diagnosis of 16.1 years (range 12.7–17.4 years). The characteristics of these patients are shown in Table 4. The most common symptoms that led to diagnostic testing were

abdominal pain (n = 4), stool irregularity (n = 2), and anemia (n = 2). These symptoms were usually present between one and three months prior to diagnosis. The initial clinical diagnosis was made by gastroscopy (n = 7) or imaging (n = 1) and subsequently confirmed by histopathological analysis. All carcinomas were classified as adenocarcinomas, with signet ring cell carcinoma being the most common subtype (n = 3). Based on the Laurén classification, diffuse type (n = 3) and intestinal type (n = 2) carcinomas were present, as well as mixed type tumors (n = 3) [19]. Signet ring cell segments were detected in all three mixed type tumors. Precancerous lesions were intestinal metaplasia in the setting of chronic gastritis (n = 2) and hyperplastic polyps with intestinal metaplasia (n = 1). One of the two patients with hereditary diffuse gastric cancer (HDGC) was found to have moderate foveolar hyperplasia consistent with in situ carcinoma. EBV was not detected in any tissue sample. Overexpression of HER2/neu and microsatellite instability were not found in all samples examined (n = 6; n = 4). Peutz–Jeghers syndrome was detected in one patient during a subsequent diagnostic workup after the diagnosis of gastric carcinoma. Two patients were diagnosed with a germline CDH1 mutation causing HDGC. In one of these patients, gastric carcinoma was detected at an early stage during a cancer screening gastroscopy. Immunoglobulin concentrations of IgA and IgG were significantly decreased at diagnosis in three patients. Two of these patients were diagnosed with type A gastritis. One patient already had a known autosomal recessive agammaglobulinemia. On histopathologic examination, seven patients showed evidence of chronic gastritis. Infection with *HP* was detected in gastric tissue samples in six patients. Advanced disease stages were frequently observed at diagnosis (5× stage IV). The peritoneum was the most common site of metastases. All patients in whom complete resection (CR) was achieved by gastrectomy were still in remission at their last follow-up (n = 4). In the other four cases, resection of the primary tumor was not reasonably feasible due to advanced metastatic spread. Three of these patients died within a short time, and the fourth patient showed progression on therapy at the last follow-up. The first-line chemotherapies included 5-fluorouracil, cisplatin/oxaliplatin, and docetaxel (n = 5). In addition to chemotherapy, immunotherapies and regional deep hyperthermia were also administered.

| Gender | Age at Diagnosis (Years) | TNM | Stage/ Grading | Site of Metastases | Pre-Existing Diseases | Surgery of Primary Tumor | Therapy of Metastases | Medical Therapy | Status at Last FU | Time Since Diagnosis (Years) |
|--------|--------------------------------|----------|-------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|--|---|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| f | 14.3 | T1aN0M0 | IA/G3 | - | Chronic gastritis (H.p. pos.), CDH1 mutation | Gastrectomy | - | - | Alive off therapy | 2.8 |
| f | 16.1 | T1aN0M0 | IA/G3 | - | Chronic type A gastritis (<i>H.p.</i> neg.), IgG/IgA low | Resection (endoscopic) | - | - | Alive off therapy | 0.7 |
| f | 16.1 | T3N0M0 | IIA/G3 | - | Chronic gastritis (H.p. pos.) | Gastrectomy | - | 5-FU, Leucovorin, Oxaliplatin, Docetaxel (FLOT, 6 cycles, 4 thereof neoadjuvant) | Alive off therapy | 1.8 |
| f | 16.0 | TxN + M1 | IV/G3 | Ovaries, Peritoneal carcinomatosis, lymph nodes | Chronic gastritis (H.p. pos.), IgG/IgA low, CDH1 mutation | - | Resection of an ovarian metastasis | 5-FU, Leucovorin, Oxaliplatin, Docetaxel (FLOT, 5 cycles) | Dead of disease | 1.2 |
| m | 15.4 | T3N0M1 | IV/G2 | Singular peritoneal metastasis | Autosomal recessive agammaglobulinemia, Chronic type A gastritis (H.p. pos.) | Gastrectomy | Resection | - | Alive off therapy | 6.5 |
| f | 12.7 | T4N1M1 | IV/G2/3 | Peritoneal carcinomatosis | Dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumor (WHO I°), Peutz-Jeghers syndrome | - | - | 5-FU, Cisplatin, Docetaxel/Epirubicin (6 cycles), 5-FU, Leucovorin, Irinotecan, Oxaliplatin (FOLFOXIRI, 3 cycles), regional deep hyperthermia + chemotherapy (2×)* | Dead of disease | 0.8 |
| f | 16.7 | TxN3M1 | IV/G3 | Lung, liver, lymph nodes, bones, bone marrow | Chronic gastritis (H.p. pos.) | - | - | 5-FU, Leucovorin, Oxaliplatin, Docetaxel (FLOT, 6 cycles), 5-FU, Leucovorin, Irinotecan (FOLFIRI, 13 cycles), Ramucirumab/Paclitaxel, Nivolumab | Dead of disease | 1.0 |
| f | 17.4 | T4N2M1 | IV/G3 | Peritoneal carcinomatosis | Chronic gastritis (H.p. pos.) | - | - | 5-FU, Cisplatin, Docetaxel (6 cycles) | Alive on therapy | 0.3 |

Table 4. Characteristics of pediatric patients with gastric carcinoma registered with the German Registry for Rare Pediatric Tumors (STEP). Abbreviations: *H.p., Helicobacter pylori*; 5-FU, Fluorouracil; FU, Follow-up. * Chemotherapy: Carboplatin, Ifosfamide, Etoposide/Adriamycin.

4. Discussion

Gastric carcinomas predominantly arise in older adulthood, with less than 10% of all gastric cancers occurring in patients younger than 45 years of age, although an increase in incidence has recently been noted in young adults [20,21]. These tumors are very rare in childhood, accounting for less than 0.1% of all gastric carcinomas [22]. In our analysis of patients recorded in the ZfKD and SEER registries as well as the STEP registry, we found an increase in gastric carcinoma in adolescence, which has also been confirmed in other reports [8,14]. However, young children may also be affected. In contrast to gastric carcinoma in adults, where a preponderance of males was detected, we found a preponderance of females among pediatric patients with gastric carcinoma [23]. The reason for the female predominance in childhood gastric cancer remains unclear. As in adulthood, almost all gastric carcinomas are adenocarcinomas [2]. Although there are different classifications regarding the distinct histopathological subtypes (World Health Organization, Laurén, Japanese Gastric Cancer Association), these are not relevant with regard to therapy in the adult treatment guidelines [19,23,24]. Signet ring cell feature is a characteristic attribute of some gastric adenocarcinomas, and there is controversy about its possible influence on prognosis [10,25,26]. While signet ring cell carcinomas account for only 20% of adult gastric carcinomas, we found a much higher frequency of 38.5% for this histological subtype [22]. While non-cardia gastric cancer is the most common site of gastric carcinoma worldwide (80%), associated with HP infection, salt intake, and alcohol consumption, proximal gastric cancer is more common in adults in North America and Western Europe and is linked with obesity and gastroesophageal reflux [23,27]. Although we observed the gastric cardia as a common site of pediatric gastric carcinoma, the majority of gastric cancers were located at other sites. Accordingly, we found a high frequency of *HP* positivity in pediatric gastric carcinomas (6/8 STEP patients). Although this characteristic is not recorded in the epidemiologic cancer registries and thus could not be verified in the larger cohorts, HP has been detected in many other published pediatric gastric cancers [8,14]. Because HP is a proven risk factor for adult gastric carcinoma, and carcinogenesis involves a cascade from chronic inflammation to atrophy and sometimes intestinal metaplasia, this suggests that *HP* is also an important risk factor for the development of gastric cancer in childhood [3,11,23,28,29]. HP infections in childhood are common, and the prevalence of HP infections in children varies from 10% in the US and Western Europe to as high as 80% in India, Africa, or Latin America [30]. Notably, the frequency of HP positivity in our patients was distinctly higher than expected in the German population. In a Turkish cohort of 750 pediatric patients undergoing upper gastrointestinal endoscopy, 52% were HP positive. Of these, 74% had chronic gastritis and 6.2% and 2.8% had already developed atrophy and intestinal metaplasia, respectively, but no cases of cancer were found [30]. This indicates that HP infection alone may not be sufficient to trigger carcinogenesis in childhood. While, in adulthood, the duration of chronic gastritis and atrophy contribute to the risk of cancer development, as do lifestyle and diet, these risk factors do not appear to play a comparably important role in carcinogenesis in childhood [2,31]. In children, a genetic predisposition to cancer is often more relevant to carcinogenesis than in adulthood [32]. For gastric carcinomas, a number of cancer predisposition syndromes are known in adulthood, such as HDGC, gastric adenocarcinoma and proximal polyposis of the stomach (GAPPS), and familial intestinal gastric cancer (FIGC) [4]. In addition, there are cancer predisposition syndromes that are not unique to but are also associated with gastric carcinomas, such as Li-Fraumeni syndrome, Lynch syndrome, familial adenomatous polyposis, juvenile polyposis, and Peutz–Jeghers syndrome [4,33–36]. While truly hereditary cases account for approximately 3% of adult gastric cancers, accumulation within families occurs in up to 10% of cases [4]. HDGC is the most common cancer predisposition for gastric carcinoma and is caused by germline variants in CDH1 and CTNNA1 [37]. It is inherited in an autosomal dominant manner with high penetrance and increases the lifetime risk of developing gastric cancer to approximately 80% (in addition to a 60% lifetime risk of developing breast cancer in women) [10,37]. In the STEP cohort, HDGC was found in two

of the eight patients, and Peutz–Jeghers syndrome was found in another patient. Thus, cancer predisposition syndromes were more common than in adults. In addition to one case of autosomal recessive agammaglobulinemia, the immunoglobulin concentrations of IgA and IgG were markedly decreased at diagnosis in two other patients. Several immunodeficiencies, such as X-linked and autosomal recessive agammaglobulinemia, common variable immunodeficiency, and IPEX syndrome have already been associated with an increased risk of developing gastric carcinoma in adulthood and, in some cases, in pediatric case reports [38–43]. Based on our findings, immunodeficiencies may also play an important role in the development of gastric cancer in childhood. Taken together, our results suggest that a combination of *HP* infection and tumor predisposition and/or immunodeficiency contributes significantly to the risk of developing gastric carcinoma at a young age.

Because symptoms of gastric carcinoma are usually nonspecific, a correct diagnosis is often delayed by several months, which has also been acknowledged in other reports [10,14]. This aspect and frequent poor differentiation contribute to advanced disease stages at diagnosis. We found a markedly higher incidence of stage IV carcinomas (66.7% vs. 41%) and poor differentiation (86.9% vs. 65%) than in adults [22]. While stage IV carcinomas are associated with a poor prognosis, complete resection of the primary tumor at a localized stage of disease provides a good chance for durable remission in adults [23]. This also seems to be true for gastric carcinoma in childhood, as all STEP patients with CR remained in remission, whereas the patients with stage IV cancer recorded by the ZfKD had a survival rate of only 22% (adults 5-15%) [44,45]. The observed difference in the frequency of deceased patients between the ZfKD and SEER registry is presumably also related to this aspect, as the SEER registry recorded more patients with stage IV cancers and a lower proportion of patients with resection of the primary tumor. The treatment regimens applied, including first-line chemotherapies, were adopted from adult therapy protocols (Table 5) [23]. However, in the most recent treatment recommendations, taxane-based triplet chemotherapy is not recommended for advanced disease stages because no clear survival benefit over doublet regimens was observed, and it has a higher toxicity [23]. While adult therapy guidelines recommend the addition of trastuzumab in HER2-positive advanced gastric cancer (prevalence 10–20%), none of the tumor samples studied in the STEP cohort (n = 6) had HER2/neu overexpression [23,46]. PD-L1 status was rarely assessed at diagnosis, and only one of the STEP patients was treated with PD-L1 inhibition, and only then in the fourth line of therapy. Notably, while PD-L1 inhibition with nivolumab is recommended in adults with advanced cancers with a combined positive score ≥ 5 regardless of *HP* status, it has been reported that *HP*-positive patients had a higher risk of non-response to PD-L1 inhibition [23,47,48]. Because HP positivity was common in pediatric gastric carcinomas, this could affect the efficacy of this treatment. Currently, the treatment of gastric cancer in childhood should be based primarily on the evidence-based adult guidelines and should be undertaken in close collaboration with adult oncologists, particularly with regard to the treatment of microsatellite unstable cancers, which are associated with excellent long-term outcomes after PD-L1 inhibition [23,36]. The evaluation at diagnosis should include genetic testing for cancer predisposition (Table 6) in addition to analysis of HP, HER2/neu, and PD-L1 status. Children and adolescents with a family history of gastric carcinoma, as well as those with already diagnosed cancer predisposition syndromes and immunodeficiencies associated with gastric cancer, should undergo regular endoscopic surveillance. This can detect gastric carcinoma at a localized stage of disease, as in one of our patients, leading to a significant improvement in survival. Furthermore, in these patients with cancer predisposition, HP eradication treatment should be considered in cases of confirmed chronic gastritis with detection of *HP* to reduce the risk of gastric cancer [49]. In addition, consistent recording of these cancers in clinical cancer registries for rare childhood tumors, such as the STEP registry, is essential to successively improve the management of gastric carcinomas in childhood through the continuous recording and evaluation of diagnostic and therapeutic modalities.

| Stage | Surgery | Further Therapy |
|---|---|--|
| IA | Endoscopic or surgical resection | - |
| IB—III | Radical gastrectomy + D2 lymphadenectomy | Perioperative chemotherapy as a triplet chemotherapy regimen including a fluoropyrimidine, a platinum compound, and docetaxel (standard: 5-fluorouracil, leucovorin, oxaliplatin, docetaxel (FLOT)) Adjuvant chemotherapy, if no preoperative therapy has been performed Radiotherapy might be considered after resection with microscopic residual tumor (R1) Microsatellite instable cancer: no adjuvant chemotherapy, neoadjuvant downstaging with FLOT possible |
| IV—or locally advanced unresectable disease | IV: only in highly selected cases | Doublet chemotherapy regimen including a fluoropyrimidine and a platinum compound Plus additional trastuzumab in HER2-positive tumors Plus additional nivolumab if PD-L1 combined positive score ≥ 5 (pembrolizumab possible if PD-L1 combined positive score ≥ 10) |
| | | Second line therapy: Ramucirumab (VEGFR inhibitor) + paclitaxel |

Table 5. Treatment algorithm for gastric carcinoma, simplified illustration, based on the guidelines of the European Society for Medical Oncology [23] (Staging: as shown in Supplemental Material Table S2).

Table 6. Overview of cancer predisposition syndromes associated with gastric carcinoma and the respective underlying genetic alterations [20,23,50].

| Genetic Alteration | Associated Cancer Predisposition Syndrome | | |
|------------------------|---|--|--|
| CDH1, CTNNA1 | Hereditary Diffuse Gastric Cancer (HDGC) | | |
| APC promotor 1B | Gastric Adenocarcinoma and Proximal Polyposis of the Stomach (GAPPS) | | |
| APC | Familial Adenomatous Polyposis (FAP) | | |
| BMPR1A, SMAD4 | Juvenile polyposis syndrome | | |
| <i>TP53</i> | Li-Fraumeni syndrome | | |
| MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2 | Lynch syndrome | | |
| STK11 (LKB1) | Peutz-Jeghers syndrome | | |

5. Conclusions

Our analysis provides a detailed picture of the characteristics of gastric carcinoma in childhood. Complete resection at a localized stage of disease provides a good chance of durable remission, whereas patients with stage IV carcinomas face a dismal prognosis. In contrast to carcinogenesis in adults, tumor predisposition syndromes and immunodeficiencies are much more common in childhood and, together with *HP* infections, appear to promote early cancer development. Close collaboration with adult oncologists is needed to provide optimal treatment of pediatric patients with gastric carcinoma, in consideration of these characteristics of childhood gastric carcinoma and a greater impact of long-term therapy-associated morbidity [51]. Therefore, an interdisciplinary network of cancer experts such as the European Cooperative Study Group for Pediatric Rare Tumors (EXPeRT) is essential to ensure optimal treatment of these tumors in childhood in accordance with the latest research findings and clinical experience [52].

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/cancers15010317/s1, Table S1: ZfKD—reports and follow-up received by state registries; Table S2: TNM staging for gastric carcinoma according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC), 8th edition.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.A. and I.B.B.; Formal analysis, M.A. and L.G.; Investigation, M.A., L.G., T.B., D.T.S. and I.B.B.; Methodology, M.A., L.G. and I.B.B.; Resources, A.B., A.A., C.K., T.S., G.C., S.H., S.C. and H.C.; Supervision, D.T.S. and I.B.B.; Writing—original draft, M.A., L.G. and I.B.B.; Writing—review & editing, M.A., L.G., T.B., A.B., A.A., C.K., T.S., G.C., S.H., S.C., H.C., D.T.S. and I.B.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the German Childhood Cancer Foundation, grant numbers DKS 2018.18 A/B and DKS 2021.05 A/B. We acknowledge support by the Open Access Publishing Fund of University of Tuebingen.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the STEP registry with its scientific analyses was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University Erlangen (Re. No. 4340, 17 October 2012).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the STEP registry. Not applicable regarding data of the epidemiologic cancer registries as this data collection is based on corresponding legislation.

Data Availability Statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy restrictions.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank their colleagues at the STEP registry and all staff of the reporting hospitals and patients contributing to this study. Part of this work was performed as part of the doctoral thesis of L.G.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Sung, H.; Ferlay, J.; Siegel, R.L.; Laversanne, M.; Soerjomataram, I.; Jemal, A.; Bray, F. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. CA Cancer J. Clin. 2021, 71, 209–249. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Van Cutsem, E.; Sagaert, X.; Topal, B.; Haustermans, K.; Prenen, H. Gastric cancer. Lancet 2016, 388, 2654–2664. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bornschein, J.; Selgrad, M.; Warnecke, M.; Kuester, D.; Wex, T.; Malfertheiner, P.H. pylori infection is a key risk factor for proximal gastric cancer. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* 2010, 55, 3124–3131. [CrossRef]
- 4. Oliveira, C.; Pinheiro, H.; Figueiredo, J.; Seruca, R.; Carneiro, F. Familial gastric cancer: Genetic susceptibility, pathology, and implications for management. *Lancet Oncol.* 2015, *16*, e60–e70. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Karimi, P.; Islami, F.; Anandasabapathy, S.; Freedman, N.D.; Kamangar, F. Gastric cancer: Descriptive epidemiology, risk factors, screening, and prevention. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* **2014**, *23*, 700–713. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, Y.; Feng, A.; Zheng, S.; Chen, C.; Lyu, J. Recent Estimates and Predictions of 5-Year Survival in Patients with Gastric Cancer: A Model-Based Period Analysis. *Cancer Control* 2022, 29, 10732748221099227. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ferrari, A.; Brecht, I.B.; Gatta, G.; Schneider, D.T.; Orbach, D.; Cecchetto, G.; Godzinski, J.; Reguerre, Y.; Bien, E.; Stachowicz-Stencel, T.; et al. Defining and listing very rare cancers of paediatric age: Consensus of the Joint Action on Rare Cancers in cooperation with the European Cooperative Study Group for Pediatric Rare Tumors. *Eur. J. Cancer* 2019, *110*, 120–126. [CrossRef]
- 8. Okuda, M.; Nomura, K.; Kato, M.; Lin, Y.; Mabe, K.; Miyamoto, R.; Okumura, A.; Kikuchi, S. Gastric cancer in children and adolescents in Japan. *Pediatr. Int.* 2019, *61*, 80–86. [CrossRef]
- Zheng, N.; Xiao, X.M.; Dong, K.R.; Chen, L.; Ma, Y.Y.; Li, K. Primary gastric tumors in infants and children: 15 cases of 20-year report. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. 2016, 142, 1061–1067. [CrossRef]
- 10. Bustamante, R.H.; Romero, W. Gastric adenocarcinoma in childhood: A case report and a literature review. Cir. Pediatr. 2021, 34, 151–155.
- Lin, C.H.; Lin, W.C.; Lai, I.H.; Wu, S.F.; Wu, K.H.; Chen, A.C. Pediatric gastric cancer presenting with massive ascites. World J. Gastroenterol. 2015, 21, 3409–3413. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Harting, M.T.; Blakely, M.L.; Herzog, C.E.; Lally, K.P.; Ajani, J.A.; Andrassy, R.J. Treatment issues in pediatric gastric adenocarcinoma. J. Pediatr. Surg. 2004, 39, e8–e10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. McGill, T.W.; Downey, E.C.; Westbrook, J.; Wade, D.; de la Garza, J. Gastric carcinoma in children. *J. Pediatr. Surg.* 1993, 28, 1620–1621. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Subbiah, V.; Varadhachary, G.; Herzog, C.E.; Huh, W.W. Gastric adenocarcinoma in children and adolescents. *Pediatr. Blood Cancer* 2011, 57, 524–527. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 15. Zentrum für Krebsregisterdaten (ZfKD) im Robert-Koch-Institut. Datensatz des ZfKD auf Basis der Epidemiologischen Landeskrebsregisterdaten, Verfügbare Diagnosejahre bis 2017 (für GKR Register nur bis Diagnosejahr 2015, für Hessen bis Diagnosejahr 2016); Zentrum für Krebsregisterdaten (ZfKD) im Robert-Koch-Institut: Berlin, Germany, 2020.
- 16. Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program SEER*Stat Database: Incidence—SEER Research Plus Data, 18 Registries, Nov 2020 Sub (2000–2018)—Linked To County Attributes—Total U.S., 1969–2019 Counties, National Cancer Institute, DCCPS, Surveillance Research Program, Released April 2021, based on the November 2020 Submission. 2021. Available online: https://seer.cancer.gov/data/citation.html (accessed on 14 March 2022).
- 17. GENESIS ONLINE. Available online: https://www-genesis.destatis.de/genesis/online (accessed on 14 March 2022).
- Amin, M.B.; Greene, F.L.; Edge, S.B.; Compton, C.C.; Gershenwald, J.E.; Brookland, R.K.; Meyer, L.; Gress, D.M.; Byrd, D.R.; Winchester, D.P. The Eighth Edition AJCC Cancer Staging Manual: Continuing to build a bridge from a population-based to a more "personalized" approach to cancer staging. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* 2017, 67, 93–99. [CrossRef]
- 19. Lauren, P. The Two Histological Main Types of Gastric Carcinoma: Diffuse and So-Called Intestinal-Type Carcinoma. An Attempt at a Histo-Clinical Classification. *Acta Pathol. Microbiol. Scand.* **1965**, *64*, 31–49. [CrossRef]
- Machlowska, J.; Baj, J.; Sitarz, M.; Maciejewski, R.; Sitarz, R. Gastric Cancer: Epidemiology, Risk Factors, Classification, Genomic Characteristics and Treatment Strategies. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2020, 21, 4012. [CrossRef]
- Anderson, W.F.; Camargo, M.C.; Fraumeni, J.F., Jr.; Correa, P.; Rosenberg, P.S.; Rabkin, C.S. Age-specific trends in incidence of noncardia gastric cancer in US adults. JAMA 2010, 303, 1723–1728. [CrossRef]
- 22. Tessler, R.A.; Dellinger, M.; Richards, M.K.; Goldin, A.B.; Beierle, E.A.; Doski, J.J.; Goldfarb, M.; Langer, M.; Nuchtern, J.G.; Raval, M.V.; et al. Pediatric gastric adenocarcinoma: A National Cancer Data Base review. J. Pediatr. Surg. 2019, 54, 1029–1034. [CrossRef]
- 23. Lordick, F.; Carneiro, F.; Cascinu, S.; Fleitas, T.; Haustermans, K.; Piessen, G.; Vogel, A.; Smyth, E.C.; Committee, E.G. Gastric cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guideline for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann. Oncol.* 2022, 33, 1005–1020. [CrossRef]
- 24. Carneiro, F.; Fukayama, M.; Grabsch, H. Digestive system tumours. In *WHO Classification of Tumours*, 5th ed.; International Agency for Research on Cancer: Lyon, France, 2019.
- Pernot, S.; Voron, T.; Perkins, G.; Lagorce-Pages, C.; Berger, A.; Taieb, J. Signet-ring cell carcinoma of the stomach: Impact on prognosis and specific therapeutic challenge. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 2015, *21*, 11428–11438. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Taghavi, S.; Jayarajan, S.N.; Davey, A.; Willis, A.I. Prognostic significance of signet ring gastric cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2012, 30, 3493–3498. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Crew, K.D.; Neugut, A.I. Epidemiology of gastric cancer. World J. Gastroenterol. 2006, 12, 354–362. [CrossRef]
- 28. Correa, P.; Piazuelo, M.B. The gastric precancerous cascade. J. Dig. Dis. 2012, 13, 2–9. [CrossRef]
- 29. Uemura, N.; Okamoto, S.; Yamamoto, S.; Matsumura, N.; Yamaguchi, S.; Yamakido, M.; Taniyama, K.; Sasaki, N.; Schlemper, R.J. Helicobacter pylori infection and the development of gastric cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2001**, *345*, 784–789. [CrossRef]
- Torres, J.; Perez-Perez, G.; Goodman, K.J.; Atherton, J.C.; Gold, B.D.; Harris, P.R.; la Garza, A.M.; Guarner, J.; Munoz, O. A comprehensive review of the natural history of Helicobacter pylori infection in children. *Arch. Med. Res.* 2000, *31*, 431–469. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Milne, A.N.; Carneiro, F.; O'Morain, C.; Offerhaus, G.J. Nature meets nurture: Molecular genetics of gastric cancer. *Hum. Genet.* 2009, 126, 615–628. [CrossRef]
- 32. Sweet-Cordero, E.A.; Biegel, J.A. The genomic landscape of pediatric cancers: Implications for diagnosis and treatment. *Science* **2019**, *363*, 1170–1175. [CrossRef]
- Chang, V.Y.; Federman, N.; Martinez-Agosto, J.; Tatishchev, S.F.; Nelson, S.F. Whole exome sequencing of pediatric gastric adenocarcinoma reveals an atypical presentation of Li-Fraumeni syndrome. *Pediatr. Blood Cancer* 2013, 60, 570–574. [CrossRef]
- Garrean, S.; Hering, J.; Saied, A.; Jani, J.; Espat, N.J. Gastric adenocarcinoma arising from fundic gland polyps in a patient with familial adenomatous polyposis syndrome. *Am. Surg.* 2008, 74, 79–83. [CrossRef]
- Hizawa, K.; Iida, M.; Yao, T.; Aoyagi, K.; Fujishima, M. Juvenile polyposis of the stomach: Clinicopathological features and its malignant potential. J. Clin. Pathol. 1997, 50, 771–774. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pietrantonio, F.; Miceli, R.; Raimondi, A.; Kim, Y.W.; Kang, W.K.; Langley, R.E.; Choi, Y.Y.; Kim, K.M.; Nankivell, M.G.; Morano, F.; et al. Individual Patient Data Meta-Analysis of the Value of Microsatellite Instability As a Biomarker in Gastric Cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2019, 37, 3392–3400. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fitzgerald, R.C.; Hardwick, R.; Huntsman, D.; Carneiro, F.; Guilford, P.; Blair, V.; Chung, D.C.; Norton, J.; Ragunath, K.; Van Krieken, J.H.; et al. Hereditary diffuse gastric cancer: Updated consensus guidelines for clinical management and directions for future research. J. Med. Genet. 2010, 47, 436–444. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Liu, R.; Li, S.; Yang, W.H.; Wang, L. IPEX Syndrome, FOXP3 and Cancer. J. Syndr. 2013, 1, 7. [CrossRef]
- Staines Boone, A.T.; Torres Martinez, M.G.; Lopez Herrera, G.; de Leija Portilla, J.O.; Espinosa Padilla, S.E.; Espinosa Rosales, F.J.; Lugo Reyes, S.O. Gastric adenocarcinoma in the context of X-linked agammaglobulinemia: Case report and review of the literature. J. Clin. Immunol. 2014, 34, 134–137. [CrossRef]
- Bachmeyer, C.; Monge, M.; Cazier, A.; Le Deist, F.; de Saint Basile, G.; Durandy, A.; Fischer, A.; Mougeot-Martin, M. Gastric adenocarcinoma in a patient with X-linked agammaglobulinaemia. *Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2000, 12, 1033–1035. [CrossRef]
- Conley, M.E.; Ziegler, M.M.; Borden, S.t.; Huff, D.S.; Boyle, J.T. Multifocal adenocarcinoma of the stomach in a child with common variable immunodeficiency. J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr. 1988, 7, 456–460. [CrossRef]

- Kinlen, L.J.; Webster, A.D.; Bird, A.G.; Haile, R.; Peto, J.; Soothill, J.F.; Thompson, R.A. Prospective study of cancer in patients with hypogammaglobulinaemia. *Lancet* 1985, 1, 263–266. [CrossRef]
- 43. Leone, P.; Vacca, A.; Dammacco, F.; Racanelli, V. Common Variable Immunodeficiency and Gastric Malignancies. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2018**, *19*, 451. [CrossRef]
- Warschkow, R.; Baechtold, M.; Leung, K.; Schmied, B.M.; Nussbaum, D.P.; Gloor, B.; Blazer Iii, D.G.; Worni, M. Selective survival advantage associated with primary tumor resection for metastatic gastric cancer in a Western population. *Gastric. Cancer* 2018, 21, 324–337. [CrossRef]
- 45. Chen, J.; Kong, Y.; Weng, S.; Dong, C.; Zhu, L.; Yang, Z.; Zhong, J.; Yuan, Y. Outcomes of surgery for gastric cancer with distant metastases: A retrospective study from the SEER database. *Oncotarget* **2017**, *8*, 4342–4351. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bang, Y.J.; Van Cutsem, E.; Feyereislova, A.; Chung, H.C.; Shen, L.; Sawaki, A.; Lordick, F.; Ohtsu, A.; Omuro, Y.; Satoh, T.; et al. Trastuzumab in combination with chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for treatment of HER2-positive advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction cancer (ToGA): A phase 3, open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2010, 376, 687–697. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Che, H.; Xiong, Q.; Ma, J.; Chen, S.; Wu, H.; Xu, H.; Hou, B. Association of Helicobacter pylori infection with survival outcomes in advanced gastric cancer patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. *BMC Cancer* **2022**, *22*, 904. [CrossRef]
- Janjigian, Y.Y.; Shitara, K.; Moehler, M.; Garrido, M.; Salman, P.; Shen, L.; Wyrwicz, L.; Yamaguchi, K.; Skoczylas, T.; Campos Bragagnoli, A.; et al. First-line nivolumab plus chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for advanced gastric, gastro-oesophageal junction, and oesophageal adenocarcinoma (CheckMate 649): A randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2021, 398, 27–40. [CrossRef]
- Lee, Y.C.; Chiang, T.H.; Chou, C.K.; Tu, Y.K.; Liao, W.C.; Wu, M.S.; Graham, D.Y. Association Between Helicobacter pylori Eradication and Gastric Cancer Incidence: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology* 2016, 150, 1113–1124 e1115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hansford, S.; Kaurah, P.; Li-Chang, H.; Woo, M.; Senz, J.; Pinheiro, H.; Schrader, K.A.; Schaeffer, D.F.; Shumansky, K.; Zogopoulos, G.; et al. Hereditary Diffuse Gastric Cancer Syndrome: CDH1 Mutations and Beyond. *JAMA Oncol.* 2015, 1, 23–32. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Ferrari, A.; Sultan, I. When adult cancers occur in children. Expert Rev. Anticancer Ther. 2010, 10, 1683–1685. [CrossRef]
- Ferrari, A.; Schneider, D.T.; Bisogno, G.; Reguerre, Y.; Godzinski, J.; Bien, E.; Stachowicz-Stencel, T.; Cecchetto, G.; Brennan, B.; Roganovic, J.; et al. Facing the challenges of very rare tumors of pediatric age: The European Cooperative Study Group for Pediatric Rare Tumors (EXPeRT) background, goals, and achievements. *Pediatr. Blood Cancer* 2021, 68 (Suppl. S4), e28993. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.