Towards healthy and tasty plant-based milk alternatives: Food-grade microbial fermentation for improved nutritional value and flavor perception

Dissertation

zur Erlangung des Grades

der Doktorin der Ingenieurwissenschaften

der Naturwissenschaftlich-Technischen Fakultät

der Universität des Saarlandes

von

Muzi Tangyu

Saarbrücken

Tag des Kolloquiums :	10. November 2022		
Dekan :	Prof. Dr. Ludger Santen		
Berichterstatter :	Prof. Dr. Christoph Wittmann		
	Prof. Dr. Andriy Luzhetskyy		
Akad. Mitarbeiter :	Dr. Ruth Kiefer		

Vorsitz : Prof. Dr. Uli Kazmaier

Publications

Partial results of this work have been published in advance authorized by the Institute of Systems Biotechnology (Universität des Saarlandes) represented by Prof. Dr. Christoph Wittmann.

Peer-reviewed articles

- Tangyu M, Muller J, Bolten CJ, Wittmann C (2019). Fermentation of plant-based milk alternatives for improved flavour and nutritional value. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 103(23-24):9263-9275
- Tangyu M, Fritz M, Aragao-Börner R, Ye L, Bogicevic B, Bolten CJ, Wittmann C (2021). Genome-based selection and application of food-grade microbes for chickpea milk fermentation towards increased L-lysine content, elimination of indigestible sugars, and improved flavour. Microb Cell Factories 20(1):1-21
- Tangyu M, Fritz M, Ye L, Aragão Börner R, Morin-Rivron D, Campos-Giménez E, Bolten CJ, Bogicevic B, Wittmann C (2022). Co-cultures of *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* cooperatively upgrade sunflower seed milk to high levels of vitamin B₁₂ and multiple co-benefits. Microb Cell Factories 21(1):1-23
- Tangyu M, Fritz M, Ye L, Aragão Börner R, Morin-Rivron D, Campos-Giménez E, Bolten CJ, Bogicevic B, Wittmann C. Fermentation modulated the spectrum of key aroma volatiles in plant milks towards the improved flavor and sensory perception. In preparation.

Patent contribution

- Ye L, Tangyu M, Aragão Börner R, Bogicevic B, Wittmann C, Bolten CJ, Muller JA (2022). Plant-based products fermented with *B. amyloliquefaciens* and *P. freudenreichii.* (18121-EP-EPA, filed state).
- Ye L, Tangyu M, Aragão Börner R, Bogicevic B, Wittmann C, Bolten CJ, Muller JA (2022). Plant-based products fermented with *L. paracasei* and/or *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*. (18123-EP-EPA, filed state).

Conference contribution

Oral Presentation

- Tangyu M, Ye L, et al. (2022). Co-cultures of Propionibacterium freudenreichii and Bacillus amyloquefaciens cooperatively ferment plant milk to high vitamin B₁₂ and multiple benefits. 18th International Society for Microbial Ecology (ISME) Conference, Lausanne, Switzerland.
- Tangyu M, Ye L, et al. (2021). Genome-based selection and application of foodgrade microbes for plant milk fermentation towards increased protein quality, elimination of indigestible sugars, and improved flavour. 35th European Federation of Food Science and Technology (EFFoST) International Conference, Lausanne, Switzerland.
- Tangyu M (2019). Plant-based milk alternatives through microbial fermentationstate of the art and future perspectives. Invited talk: MLUA Dairy Science Day, Oranienburger, Germany.
- Tangyu M, Fritz, M, et al. (2019). A systems view on food-grade microbes during fermentation of plant-based materials for human nutrition. Metabolic Engineering International Conference, Tianjin, China.
- Tangyu M, Wittmann C, et al. (2019). Effects of mixed-culture fermentation on improving nutrients, flavor and texture of plant-based milk alternative. Saarland university Ph.D. day, Saarland, Germany.

Poster Presentation

- Ye L, Tangyu M, et al. (2021). Enhancing vitamin B₁₂ production by cofermentation. 35th European Federation of Food Science and Technology International Conference, Lausanne, Switzerland.
- Tangyu M, Fritz, M, et al. (2019). A systems view on food-grade microbes during fermentation of plant-based materials for human nutrition. Metabolic Engineering International Conference, Tianjin, China.

Acknowledgment

It is a trip not only for gaining more knowledge but also for being a better person. Before I started, I knew it should be a long trip, with challenges, difficulties, and many unknown. Luckily, I was never alone on the way. Hereby I would like to acknowledge all people, who were willing to travel with me, and who made the trip full of unforgettable memory.

I would first like to thank my supervisor. Many thanks, Christoph, you guide me through the whole trip. It is my great pleasure to be in your group and work with you. Thanks for guiding me with your knowledge; for being patient and supportive from the first day to the end; for always saying yes in spite of being very busy, when I randomly jumped into your office asking whether I could bother you with some questions; for encouraging me and cheering me up whenever I was down; for correcting my paper word-for-word, over and over. Your passion for science and enthusiasm for life inspired me for being a scientist and for being a better person. There are many things I learned from you: put a high standard for work; be scrupulous; be patient; be relaxed; have a balance between work and family; and last but not the least, BVB is the best football team ever. I would also like to thank Dr. Christoph Bolten, you are a nice collaborator, and also a nice supervisor for me. You brought me to the trip. Thanks for giving me the chance to work on this project. I could not have started the Ph.D. without your encouragement. I still remembered how you explained everything in detail, guided me on how to behave in interview, and comforted and trusted me when I was nervous. I know you become busier and busier, thanks for trying to follow up and helping me all the time. Thanks Prof. Dr. Andriy Luzhetskyy as my second-supervisor for reviewing my dissertation and helping to speed up the process.

My trip was financially supported by Nestlé Research Center (NRC). I would like to thank NRC team, especially people from Applied Microbiology group. It was a

so nice experience to work with you. Thanks, Dr. Biljana Bogicevic for leading the project, being supportive, and concerning me all the time, also thanks to Dr. Lijuan Ye and Dr. Rosa Aragão Börner, for sharing me information and knowledge and adding valuable comments and ideas to each important step. I want to thank all people who contributed to this project, thanks to Dr. Delphine Morin-Rivron, Dr. Esther Campos, and, Dr. Jeroen André Muller, for all your efforts that shaped the project. My friend Anne, thanks for concerning me and sharing many moments with me, I was so relaxed and cheered up when I got your email every time.

I met many amazing people in iSBio, who went on the trip together with me and brought many memorable moments. Thanks, Michael, my wonderful co-author as well, you saved me many times by fixing all machines. I hope you don't need to change fiber often in the future. Thanks, Susanne Haßdenteufel for helping me with all administration stuff which I still have no idea about after four years of training. Thanks, Selma, Sofija, and Julian, for sharing the office with me, for many laughs together, for listening to my complaining, for cheering me up, and for worrying about me, for understanding me. Thanks to Anna, for exploring longest chip, for playing games, for sharing my secret potato field story. Peng and Wei, thank you for surrounding me with my mother's tone, always standing at my side, and checking my thesis page by page for correcting all formating mistakes. I would like to thank everyone in Isbio, All of you helped me during the trip.

I also got the chance on the way, to know many people from outside. Yuan, we started the trip together, and finished it also in the same year. It is my great pleasure to have you in the trip. You are one of the best scientists in my mind. Yitong and Zhehao, thanks for helping me with formatting and the cover page, and thanks for giving me many advice on my personal life.

V

Special thanks go to Iza and Kyoyoung, you made the trip mean much more to me. There are too many things and moments I want to say thanks, you know it. Thanks for your accompany during this trip and for always being there as my harbor. I will not lose you for the rest of life. I love you.

Finally, thanks my family, for sharing every single moment during my trip, for supporting me forever, and for everything. I love you.

Of course, there are many many other people who helped me during the trip, I am sorry I cannot mention all of your names in this short acknowledgment. But I remember all of you, thanks all of you.

感谢四年前,开始这段旅程的决定。也感谢在这四年中,从未想过放弃的坚持。 愿永远不要停下前进的脚步,不要失去拼搏的勇气,不同流俗,不忘初心。行之 苟有恒,久久自芬芳。

Table of content

Sumn	naryXI
Zusar	nmenfassungXII
1 I	ntroduction1
1.1	General introduction1
1.2	Objectives3
2	Theoretical Background4
2.1	Plant types5
2.2	Key quality criteria of plant-based milk alternatives6
2.2.1	Physico-chemical properties of plant-based milk6
2.2.2	Protein and amino acids in plant-based milk8
2.2.3	Vitamins in plant-based milk9
2.2.4	Bioavailability of bioactive compounds in plant-based milk 10
2.2.5	Sensory profile of plant-based milk 12
2.2.6	Technical processing and fortification of plant-based milk
2.3	Fermentation of plant materials13
2.3.1	Improvement of protein and amino acid quantity and quality in plant materials by
	fermentation 14
2.3.2	Improvement of vitamin content in plant materials by fermentation
2.3.3	Decrease of anti-nutrient content and improvement of mineral availability of plant
	materials by fermentation
2.3.4	Improvement of the bioactive component concentration and bioavailability in plant
	materials by fermentation
2.3.5	Improvement of sensory profile of plant materials by fermentation
2.4	Mixed-culture fermentation can provide synergistic effects to enhance
qualit	y17
2.4.1	Growth of strains during mixed-culture fermentation of plant materials
2.4.2	The effect of mixed-culture fermentation on protein and amino acid quantity and
	quality in plant materials

2.4.3 The effect of mixed-culture fermentation on vitamin quantity in plant materials ... 20

	2.4.4	The effect of mixed-culture fermentation on decrease of anti-nutrients and	
		increase of mineral availability of plant materials	. 20
	2.4.5	The effect of mixed-culture fermentation on bioactive component concentration	
		and bioavailability in plant materials	. 22
	2.4.6	The effect of mixed-culture fermentation on sensory values of plant materials	. 22
3	3 N	laterial and Methods	23
	3.1	Microorganisms	.23
	3.2	Genome-based strain selection	.23
	3.3	Medium	.24
	3.3.1	Strain-specific pre-culture media	. 24
	3.3.2	Differential agar media	. 26
	3.3.3	Plant-based milk alternative medium	. 27
	3.4	Cultivation	.28
	3.4.1	Pre-cultures	. 28
	3.4.2	Screening for vitamin B ₁₂ production	. 30
	3.4.3	Chickpea milk fermentation	. 30
	3.4.4	Sunflower seed milk fermentation for vitamin B12 production	. 31
	3.4.5	Oat milk, sunflower seed milk, pea milk, and faba milk fermentation for flavor	
		studies	. 32
	3.5	Analytical methods	.32
	3.5.1	Quantification of colony forming units	. 32
	3.5.2	Quantification of moisture	. 33
	3.5.3	Quantification of protein and amino acid	. 33
	3.5.4	Quantification of sugars, organic acids, and alcohols	. 34
	3.5.5	Quantification of lipids	. 35
	3.5.6	Quantification of vitamin B12	. 35
	3.5.7	Quantification of thiamine, riboflavin, niacin, pyridoxine, and biotin	. 36
	3.5.8	Quantification of cobalt	. 36
	3.5.9	Estimation of sunflower seed milk protein digestibility and score	. 36
	3.5.10	Mass isotopomer analysis by GC-MS	. 37
	3.5.11	Headspace solid-phase micro extraction (HS-SPME)	. 38
	3.5.12	Gas chromatography-mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis of volatile flavor	
		compounds	. 38
	3.6	Data analysis	.39

3.6.1	Processing of ¹³ C data	39
3.6.2	Identification and grouping of volatile compounds	39
3.6.3	Functional evaluation of flavor profiles based on odor thresholds	40
3.6.4	Principal component analysis (PCA) and hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA)	42
3.6.5	Statistical analysis	42

4	Results and Discussion4	1:	3
---	-------------------------	----	---

4.1 Genome-based selection and application of food-grade microbes for

chickpea milk fermentation towards increasing L-lysine content.......43

4.1.1	Nutritional characteristics of chickpea milk	44
4.1.2	Genome-based selection of food-grade microbes for L-lysine production	46

- 4.1.3 Evaluation of the pre-selected microbes for chickpea milk fermentation 51
- 4.1.5 Fermentation of chickpea milk using *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511..58

- 4.1.7 Metabolic pathway analysis: Fermentation by-products and flavor molecules 62

4.2 Co-cultures of *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* and *Bacillus*

4.1.6

amyloliquefaciens cooperatively ferment sunflower seed milk to high levels of

4.2.10	Functional interactions between <i>P. freudenreichii</i> NCC 1177 and <i>B.</i>	
	amyloliquefaciens NCC 156	89
4.2.11	Flavor development during co-culture fermentation	96
4.2.12	Impact of co-fermentation and fermented sunflower seed milk	98
4.3	Food-grade LAB upgrade the volatile aroma spectrum of plant m	nilks
towar	ds improved flavor and sensory perception	102
4.3.1	Screening of a collection of different LAB strains for their plant milk ferme	entation
	capacity	103
4.3.2	Spectrum of volatile aroma compounds in unfermented plant-based milks	S
	assessed by solid-phase microextraction and GS-MS analysis	106
4.3.3	Impact of LAB-based plant milk fermentation on the profile of flavor-asso	ciated
	volatiles	109
4.3.4	Flavor metabolism during fermentation	112
4.3.5	PCA extracts strain-specific flavor phenotypes	114
4.3.6	Odor implications of plant-based milk alternatives fermented by represen	itative
	strains	117
5 (Conclusions and Outlook	123
5 (6 /	Conclusions and Outlook	123 127
5 (6 / _{6.1}	Conclusions and Outlook Appendix Abbreviations	123 127 127
5 (6 Å 6.1 6.2	Conclusions and Outlook Appendix Abbreviations Symbols	123 127 127 129
5 (6 / 6.1 6.2 6.3	Conclusions and Outlook Appendix Abbreviations Symbols Supplementary data	123 127 127 129 130
5 (6.1 6.2 6.3 6.3.1	Conclusions and Outlook Appendix Abbreviations Symbols Supplementary data Odor threshold	123 127 127 129 130
5 (6.1 6.2 6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2	Conclusions and Outlook Appendix Abbreviations Symbols Supplementary data Odor threshold	123 127 127 129 130
5 (6.1 6.2 6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3	Conclusions and Outlook Appendix Abbreviations	123 127 127 129 130 134 seed milk
 5 6 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 	Conclusions and Outlook Appendix Abbreviations	123 127 127 127 129 130 134 seed milk 135
 5 6 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 	Conclusions and Outlook Appendix Abbreviations	123 127 127 127 129 130 130 134 seed milk 135 136
 5 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 6.3.5 	Conclusions and Outlook Appendix Abbreviations Symbols Supplementary data	123 127 127 127 129 130 130 134 seed milk 135 136 137
 5 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 6.3.5 6.3.6 	Conclusions and Outlook Appendix Abbreviations	123 127 127 127 129 130 130 134 seed milk 135 136 137 139
5 6 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 6.3.5 6.3.6 6.3.7	Conclusions and Outlook Appendix Abbreviations Symbols Supplementary data Odor threshold Microbial growth during chickpea milk fermentation Metabolic signature profile of mono-strain during pasteurized sunflower s fermentation Amino acids during 96 h co-fermentation of sunflower seed milk Fiber Selection for flavor analysis Volatile compounds identification Flavor study: PCA loading plot	123 127 127 127 129 130 130 134 seed milk 135 136 137 139 143

Summary

Plant-based milk alternatives are often nutritionally unbalanced, and their flavor profiles limit their acceptance. In this work, mono- and co-culture fermentation processes were developed to upgrade plant milks into more healthy and tasty products.

Here, chickpea milk, limited in L-lysine should be enriched in the essential amino acid by fermentation with food-grade microbes. Promising strains could be identified from more than 2,500 natural candidates based on their genomic repertoire. As an example, L. paracasei subsp. paracasei NCC 2511 and B. amyloliquefaciens NCC 156 accumulated high levels of L-lysine when grown in chickpea milk. Subsequently, a novel co-culture process was established to increase the vitamin B₁₂ level in sunflower seed milk, a waste stream from the industrial sunflower oil production. Multi-substrate utilization strain В. amyloliquefaciens NCC 156 and vitamin B₁₂ producing P. freudenreichii NCC 1177 emerged as perfect partners. After iterative optimization, co-fermentation of the two strains increased vitamin B_{12} to 17 µg (100 g)⁻¹, 7-fold higher than the recommended daily uptake. Finally, the flavor metabolism of lactic acid bacteria during plant milk fermentation was studied. Notably, several of the food-grade approved strains delivered beneficial changes opening up the possibility to create new flavors and diversify plant milk products.

Zusammenfassung

In dieser Arbeit wurden Mono- und Co-Kultur-Fermentationsverfahren entwickelt, um Pflanzenmilch zu gesünderen und schmackhafteren Produkten aufzuwerten.

Dabei sollte Kichererbsenmilch, die wenig L-Lysin enthält, durch Fermentation mit Mikroben mit der essenziellen Aminosäure angereichert werden. Aus mehr als 2.500 natürlichen, lebensmitteltauglichen Kandidaten konnten anhand ihres genomischen Repertoires vielversprechende Stämme identifiziert werden. Zum Beispiel akkumulierten L. paracasei NCC 2511 und B. amyloliquefaciens NCC 156 hohe Mengen an L-Lysin, wenn sie in Kichererbsen-Milch gezüchtet wurden. Anschließend wurde ein neuartiges Co-Kultur-Verfahren zur Erhöhung des Vitamin B12-Gehalts in Sonnenblumenkernmilch, einem Abfallprodukt der industriellen Sonnenblumenölproduktion, entwickelt. B. amyloliguefaciens NCC 156 und der Vitamin B₁₂ produzierende *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177 erwiesen sich als perfekte Kandidaten. Nach iterativer Optimierung erhöhte die Co-Fermentation der beiden Stämme den Vitamin B₁₂-Gehalt auf 17 µg (100 g)⁻¹, das 7-fache der empfohlenen täglichen Aufnahme. Schließlich wurde der Geschmacksstoffwechsel von Milchsäurebakterien während der Fermentation von Pflanzenmilch untersucht. Mehrere der für Lebensmittel zugelassenen Stämme führten zu vorteilhaften Veränderungen, die die Möglichkeit eröffnen, neue Geschmacksrichtungen zu entwickeln und Pflanzenmilchprodukte zu diversifizieren.

XII

1 Introduction

1.1 General introduction

Plant-based milk alternatives are water extracts of plants, which become increasingly popular for human nutrition. Over the years, the global market for these products has become a multi-billion dollar business and will reach a value of approximately 36 billion USD by 2026 (Rasika et al. 2021). Notably, 40% of the consumers are meanwhile willing to reduce the use of animal-based protein because of climate change concerns (Aschemann-Witzel et al. 2021). Meanwhile, many consumers demand plant-based milk alternatives due to sustainability aspects, health-related reasons, lifestyle and dietary choices.

To fully meet consumer expectations in terms of product quality, plant milks are intended to resemble animal milk. Unfortunately, plant-based milk alternatives are often nutritionally unbalanced and their flavor profiles limit acceptance. From the nutritional side, plant proteins often exhibit low quality, poor digestibility, and an undesired limitation in essential amino acids (Millward 1999). In particular, L-lysine, which is required for hormone formation, catalytic and structural proteins, and immune system support and is therefore, one of the most impacting nutrients (Aggarwal et al. 2022), often exhibits much lower abundance in many plant-based milks than in animal milks. As an example, chickpea-based milk only contains approximately half of the amount in a typical 10% dry matter formulation (Csapó et al. 2009a; Rachwa-Rosiak et al. 2015). In addition, certain vitamins such as vitamin B₁₂, are present at low levels or even absent. This vitamin is required for the development, and function of the central nervous system, healthy red blood cell formation, and DNA synthesis, and displays the most important micronutrient for vegans to be aware of (Guetterman et al. 2022; Mikkelsen and Apostolopoulos 2019). From the flavor and sensory side, plant-based milk alternatives are generally perceived as a product with displeasing taste. Their typically beany and grassy

flavor, as well as the bitter and seedy taste, are received as "off-flavor" by consumers, especially in countries without a tradition for this type of products (Diarra et al. 2005; Sethi et al. 2016; Tangyu et al. 2022).

Towards more valuable and tasty products, natural fermentation appears an appealing option to improve the nutrition, aroma, taste, and also the texture of plant milks and, moreover, deliver co-benefits such as increased nutritional value, sensory profile, stability, and microbial safety (Ayivi et al. 2020; Cichońska and Ziarno 2021b; Sethi et al. 2016; Tangyu et al. 2019). To date, plant-based milk fermentation mainly uses mono-cultures of microbes, such as LAB, bacilli, and yeasts, for this purpose. More recently, new concepts propose mixed-culture fermentation with two or more microbial species. However, at present, many of the selection of strains and strain combinations are still conducted in trial and error approaches. It seems difficult, or not even infeasible to predict the outcome, due to our still poor understanding of the underlying microbes and microbial interactions in different plant-based environments. Hereby, the understanding of the needs and capabilities of the microbes appears crucial to delivering food with predictable benefits as the results of natural processes catalyzed by safe microbes (Kohlstedt et al. 2014; Schwechheimer et al. 2018a; Schwechheimer et al. 2018b; Schwechheimer et al. 2018c). Possibilities for a more rational selection and combination of strains with predictable synergistic interaction with the help of systems biology approaches would be highly valuable towards smarter fermentation processes and better products with improved nutrition, flavor, and sensory quality.

1.2 Objectives

The present work aimed to develop potential mono- and mixed-culture fermentation processes enabling improvement of the nutritional value, focusing on L-lysine and vitamin B₁₂, flavor, and sensory acceptance of plant-based milks. To this end, first, a systematic workflow for product-derived strain selection should be developed with a pathway-based in-silico screening approach. Then for understanding mixed-culture for improving nutrition, the physiology of strains such as growth, sugar consumption, organic acid and amino acid metabolism, and B-group vitamin production, was supposed to be studied. The metabolic behavior and specific nutritional need and limitation of strains further provided the base for selection and understanding of mixed-cultures with predictable synergistic interaction. Additionally, flavor changes during plant milk fermentation were studied in detail, revealing species-related and plant milk-related differences and, notably, highlighting several well-performing strains that delivered a range of beneficial flavor changes.

2 Theoretical Background

Plant-based milk alternatives have been consumed for hundreds and thousands of years. These products are meant to give consumers an alternative choice of animal milk, an emulsion containing nutrients such as lipids, proteins, amino acids, vitamins, and minerals and produced by lactating mammals to provide nutrients for the growth and development of their sucklings (Haug et al. 2007; Mäkinen et al. 2016; Sethi et al. 2016). Today, milk alternatives are commercially obtained from a variety of plants, such as legumes, seeds, nuts, cereals, and pseudo-cereals (Mäkinen et al. 2016). Over the past years, the market for these plant-based milk alternatives has continually increased and, in the USA alone, reached an annual volume of approximately US\$1.8 billion (Fig. 1). From a global perspective, the dairy alternative market size was valued at US\$ 13 billion in 2018 and is estimated to be further expanded to US\$ 36 billion by 2026 (Rasika et al. 2021). The increasing preference for plant-based milk alternatives is driven by different factors and consumer demands: health-related challenges such as lactose intolerance and milk allergies (Crittenden and Bennett 2005), consumer concerns about cow milk hormones and cholesterol (Epstein 1990), ethical disputes regarding the use of animals (Hughes 1995), environmental issues (Rotz et al. 2010), changes in lifestyle towards vegetarian and vegan food, presumably healthier diet (Craig 2010) and the marketed health-promoting properties of these products (Paucar-Menacho et al. 2010). Accordingly, leading dairy companies are adding plant-based milk alternative products to their portfolio.

However, plant-based milk alternatives often do not provide the full nutritional value of cow milk (Sethi et al. 2016) and suffer from undesirable off-flavors (Desai et al. 2002; Sethi et al. 2016; Vanga and Raghavan 2018). Therefore, commercial products positioned as plant-based milk alternatives are typically amended with additives such as vitamins, amino acids, minerals, and flavors (Sethi et al. 2016).



U.S. Milk Alternative Sales On the Rise

Soy, Almond & Other Non-Dairy Milk Product Sales

Fig. 1. U.S. market development for plant-based milk alternative products (soy, almond, and other non-dairy milk products). The data are taken from (Bloomberg Surveillance 2015).

2.1 Plant types

Due to a constantly increasing demand for non-dairy alternatives and growing interest to explore different functional properties, various plants are used to produce non-dairy milk alternatives (Sethi et al. 2016). The relevant plant sources can be classified into five types: (i) legumes (beans), (ii) nuts, (iii) seeds, (iv) pseudo-cereals, and (v) cereals (**Fig. 2**) (Sethi et al. 2016). Soy-based drinks are the dominating plant-based milk alternatives in the Western world (Mäkinen et al. 2016). In addition, drinks based on almond (Ginsberg and Ostrowski 2007), coconut (Seow and Gwee 1997), sunflower seeds (Fujisawa et al. 1986), chickpea (Rao et al. 1988), lupine (Ivanović et al. 1983), hemp (Vahanvaty 2009), sesame (Afaneh et al. 2011), quinoa (Pineli et al. 2015), pea (Li et al. 2004), and rice (Mitchell et al. 1990) are meanwhile available and contribute to the diversity of the plant-based milk alternative market.

Depending on the individual raw material, the corresponding drink differs significantly in composition and flavor.

2.2 Key quality criteria of plant-based milk alternatives

Compared to cow milk, plant-based milk alternatives are often nutritionally unbalanced and technically challenged, moreover, their flavor profiles limit acceptance. To improve the overall quality of plant-based milk alternatives, researchers and developers in academia and industry, have to overcome certain challenges (**Fig. 3**).

2.2.1 Physico-chemical properties of plant-based milk

Plant-based milk alternative manufacturing has a general layout of consecutive unit operations (**Fig. 4**). Generally, plant-based drinks are prepared by crushing plant material, followed by extraction of its soluble parts into water. The properties of the final product depend on the raw material and, furthermore, on the specifications of the individual steps for disintegration, homogenization, formulation, emulsification, and storage. Different strategies are applied to improve the homogenization and stability of plant-based milks towards the characteristics of animal milk, which is a natural emulsion. As an example, plant-based drinks from starchy materials (such as cereals or pseudo-cereals) easily gelate during sterilization (autoclaving or pasteurization), which causes technical problems in downstream processing (Mäkinen et al. 2016). Furthermore, the excessive lipid content of seeds and nuts (**Fig. 2, Fig. 3**) may lead to an undesired phase separation and reduced product stability, so these compounds are removed during processing (Briviba et al. 2016). More details on plant-based milk alternative manufacturing can be found in a recent excellent review (Mäkinen et al. 2016).



Fig. 2. Macronutrient composition, functional components, and limiting factors of common plants used for plant-based milk alternative production. The data are collected from previous work (Afaneh et al. 2011; Bernat et al. 2015; Callaway 2004; DebMandal and Mandal 2011; Duranti et al. 2008; Erbaş et al. 2005; Fernandez and Berry 1988; Hove 1974; Juliano and Hicks 1996; Lambo et al. 2005; Lampart-Szczapa et al. 2003; Lebiedzińska and Szefer 2006; Makinde and Akinoso 2013; Moneret-Vautrin et al. 1999; Noimark and Cox 2008; Önning et al. 1998; Paucar-Menacho et al. 2010; Ranhotra et al. 1993; Roy et al. 2010; Seow and Gwee 1997; Sethi et al. 2016; Škrbić and Filipčev 2008; Ulyatu et al. 2015; Vahanvaty 2009; Vanga and Raghavan 2018; Vidal-Valverde et al. 2003; Vilche et al. 2003; Villamide and San Juan 1998; Wood and Grusak 2007). The data of micronutrient composition are acquired from the National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference Release (NDB) (https://ndb.nal.usda.gov/ndb/). NDB identification of the selected materials selected is: milk (01212), soy (16111), chickpea (45041830), pea (45272128), lupine (16076), coconut milk (45117929), almond (12061), sunflower seed kernels (12036), Hemp seed (12012), sesame seed (12023), quinoa (20035), rice (20090), and oat (20132). Carb, carbohydrates except for fiber; Funct. peptides, functional peptides; Unsaturated FA, unsaturated fatty acids.

2.2.2 Protein and amino acids in plant-based milk

Without a doubt, the plants used offer certain attractive properties (**Fig. 2**). Some of the raw materials, such as legumes and seeds, have a protein content comparable to cow milk (although the amino acid quality is not comparable to the same extent).



Fig. 3. Quality criteria and major functional molecule of plant-based milk alternative. Stereoviews of the soybean 11S globulin ribbon diagram were acquired from (Adachi et al. 2003).

However, the protein content of many plant-based drinks can be low. About 50% of commercial plant-based milk alternatives contain only a little or even no protein (< 0.5%), while only selected soybased milk analogs reach the higher level of cow milk (3.7%) (Jeske et al. 2017).

Additionally, plant proteins often exhibit low quality, poor digestibility, and an undesired limitation in amino acid composition (Millward 1999). This limitation also holds for the essential amino acid L-lysine, which is required for hormone formation, catalytic and structural proteins, and immune system support and is,therefore, one of the most impacting nutrients (Flodin 1997). Critically, it exhibits a much lower abundance in many plant-based milks, for example, chickpea-based milk only contains approximately half of the amount in a typical 10% dry matter formulation (Csapó et al. 2009a; Rachwa-Rosiak et al. 2015). Except this, sulfur-containing amino acids L-methionine and L-cysteine, and L-tryptophan are other amino acids typically underrepresented (Millward 1999).

2.2.3 Vitamins in plant-based milk

Plant-based milk alternatives are rich in certain vitamins (such as vitamin C, vitamin B₁, and, B₆) (Gernand et al. 2016) However, many other vitamins such as vitamin D and vitamin B₁₂, are present at low levels or even absent (**Fig. 2, Fig. 3, Table 1**), which seems partially responsible for the vitamin deficiency of people following a strict vegan diet (Pawlak et al. 2014). Moreover, vitamins are sensitive molecules and easily degraded during washing and heating steps, which further reduce their content.

Among all, lack of vitamin B₁₂ (cobalamin) is one of the most severe limitations of plant-based products in general. Chemically, vitamin B₁₂ is a cobalt-containing tetrapyrrole, one of the most complex small molecules made by nature (Warren et al. 2002). It is crucial for neurodevelopment, cell division and cell differentiation, and

Table 1. Nutritional comparison of cow milk and selected plant materials used for theproduction of plant-based milk alternatives.

(100 g) ⁻¹	Cow milk (dry)	Soybean (dry)	Sunflower seed (dry, partially defatted)	Oat (dry, partially debranned)
Protein (g)	26	43	48	15
Ca ²⁺ (mg)	912	140	114	55
Fe ²⁺ (mg)	0.47	3.95	6.62	4.00
Thiamin (Vitamin B1) (mg)	0.28	0.43	3.19	0.69
Riboflavin (Vitamin B2) (mg)	1.20	0.76	0.27	0.12
Niacin (Vitamin B₃) (mg)	0.65	1.06	7.31	1.47
Vitamin B ₆ (mg)	0.30	0.22	0.75	0.12
Total folate (µg)	37	205	222	32
Vitamin B ₁₂ (µg)	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Vitamin D (D ₂ + D ₃) (µg)	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0

The data are taken from the USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference (<u>https://ndb.nal.usda.gov/ndb/</u>)

displays the most important micronutrient for vegans to be aware of (Guetterman et al. 2022; Mikkelsen and Apostolopoulos 2019; Stabler 2013; Yamada 2013). In addition, recent reports suggest that B₁₂ might improve immunity against Covid-19 infections (dos Santos 2020; Shakoor et al. 2021).

2.2.4 Bioavailability of bioactive compounds in plant-based milk

A careful inspection reveals that many important nutrient compounds suffer from a low bioavailability. For instance, soy isoflavones mainly exist in the form of genistin and daidzin, which are glucosides of genistein and daidzein and far less bioavailable than the corresponding aglycone forms (Vacek et al. 2008; Xu et al. 1994). Moreover, plant-derived products can contain anti-nutritional factors. As an example, phytates and saponins form insoluble complexes with valuable minerals (such as Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺,



Fig. 4. Flow chart for the manufacture of fermented plant-based milk alternatives. The unit operations given in brackets are optional and depend on the chosen raw material and the expectation of the final product.

Fe²⁺, Zn²⁺), which decreases their bioavailability (Rekha and Vijayalakshmi 2010; West et al. 1978). Plant-based oligosaccharides, such as raffinose, stachyose, and verbascose, respectively, can only be digested by intestinal bacteria through fermentation, which results in flatulence, diarrhea, and other discomforts (Onyesom et al. 2005). The intestinal tract can furthermore be disturbed by trypsin and other protease inhibitors in plant-based milk alternatives, which interfere with protein and starch digestion by inactivating the digesting enzymes (Anderson and Wolf 1995).

2.2.5 Sensory profile of plant-based milk

It is approved by consumer and marketing studies that taste has a key impact on food selection (Glanz et al. 1998). In this regard, the natural taste of plant-based milk alternatives, unfortunately, exhibits only limited acceptance (Mäkinen et al. 2016). Although certain components of plant materials (such as soluble fibers) positively influence texture and mouthfeel (Vasquez-Orejarena et al. 2018), plantbased milk alternatives are still generally perceived as a product with displeasing taste, probably also because of previous experiences with less appealing products in the market (Wansink et al. 2005). Legume-based products tend to smell beany and earthy, which is regarded as undesired in countries without tradition for this kind of products. Volatile compounds such as *n*-hexanal and *n*-hexanol, which originate from oxidation of plant lipids, are mainly responsible for this type of off-flavor. Plant phenols (including anti-nutrients such as tannins and saponins), terpenes, glucosinolates, and flavonoids mediate a bitter, acrid, or astringent taste, depending on their molecular weight (Drewnowski and Gomez-Carneros 2000). Regrettably, certain bioactive (and therefore otherwise beneficial) compounds such as isoflavonoids are linked to an objectionable aftertaste (Matsuura et al. 1989). Additionally, a greenish, greyish, or brownish color, which corresponds to the color of the plant raw material, a chalky or sandy texture, and a thin mouthfeel due to insoluble particles, negatively influence the purchase willingness (Peyer et al. 2016).

2.2.6 Technical processing and fortification of plant-based milk

To solve some of the above-mentioned challenges, different manufacturing strategies have been developed. In early process steps, excess lipids (from nuts and seeds) and starch (from cereals and pseudo-cereals) are separated and/or enzymatically hydrolyzed to prevent phase separation, gelation, and increase product stability (Rustom et al. 1993). Homogenization is used to disrupt bigger particles and lipid droplets and uniform the particle size, which also improves stability (Briviba et al. 2016).

In order to overcome the known nutritional and sensory limitations, commercial plant-based milk alternatives are typically supplemented with sweeteners, artificial flavors, protein, amino acids, minerals (Ca ²⁺, Mg²⁺, Fe²⁺, Zn²⁺), and vitamins (B₁₂, B₂, D, and E) (Sethi et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2007). Moreover, extended mechanical and thermal pre-processing (e.g. roasting, dehulling, blanching, soaking, cooking, sprouting, microwaving, cold plasma) is applied to reduce anti-nutrients such as protease inhibitors (Jiang et al. 2013; Yuan et al. 2008), decrease, reduce, and mask off-flavor (Chang et al. 2019; Han et al. 2019; Jain et al. 2013; Varghese and Pare 2019), and improve mouthfeel and color (Dakwa et al. 2005; Kim et al. 1986). However, some anti-nutrients are very resistant. For example, phytates cannot be destroyed entirely even by heating to 100°C (Anderson and Wolf 1995).

2.3 Fermentation of plant materials

Leading food and beverage companies have committed to removing ingredients perceived as artificial from their products—clean label foods and beverages are not only a trend but are seemingly becoming an expectation. Accordingly, natural plantbased milk alternatives, which meet the nutritional quality and taste of animalderived milk without blending, are of particular interest (Asioli et al. 2017). An

appealing option to reach this goal is fermentation. Since the early days of mankind, fermentation has been a natural approach to producing food, and today, fermented foods are more popular than ever before (Adler et al. 2013). During the production of coffee, bread, chocolate, wine, cheese, mixed pickles, kombucha, kimchi, and sauerkraut, food-grade microbes improve the nutritional value, aroma and taste, texture, and stability of foods and beverages and contribute to their microbial safety. In particular, the application of mono-culture fermentation to food products is well understood (Leroy and De Vuyst 2004; National Research Council 1992). Fermentation is applied to cereals such as maize, wheat, rice, and sorghum for a quite long time (National Research Council 1992). Plant materials support the growth of microorganisms (Espirito-Santo et al. 2014; Peyer et al. 2016; Sethi et al. 2016). LAB, bacilli, and yeasts (e.g. *Saccharomyces*), are most widely used for plant-based fermentation (Jeske et al. 2018; Steinkraus 1997). Being studied mainly as mono-cultures, these microbes have proven properties to enhance important nutritional and/or sensory attributes.

2.3.1 Improvement of protein and amino acid quantity and quality in plant materials by fermentation

Most importantly, fermentation can increase protein content by the growth of the fermenting food-grade microbes and by making more plant protein soluble and improving amino acid composition and availability. As an example, *Bifidobacterium* significantly increased the crude protein content of soy-based drinks (Hou et al. 2000). Moreover, fermentation of soybean meal with *Lactobacillus plantarum* resulted in a beneficial increase of essential amino acids such as L-lysine (Song et al. 2008). Similar to this, the increase of protein quality and digestibility and occasionally also the L-lysine content by fermentation were also observed in plant milks from peanut, soy, cowpea, and mung bean (Frias et al. 2008a; Frias et al.

2008b; Ghosh et al. 2013; Khalil 2006; Reyes-Moreno et al. 2004; Sanni et al. 1999; Santos et al. 2014).

2.3.2 Improvement of vitamin content in plant materials by fermentation

Notably, specific microbial strains synthesize vitamins during fermentation (LeBlanc et al. 2013), including vitamin K (Bentley and Meganathan 1982) and vitamins of the B group (LeBlanc et al. 2011). For example, yeast is well known for its ability to produce vitamin B₂ (Lindegren 1945). Remarkably, only a few bacteria can make vitamin B₁₂ (Survase et al. 2006). The vitamin contains cobalt which is therefore ultimately required for biosynthesis (Roth et al. 1996). In addition, the lower-ligand B₁₂ precursor dimethylbenzimidazole (DMBI), its starter unit riboflavin (vitamin B₂), nicotinamide (vitamin B₃), catalytically involved in DMBI formation, and oxygen availability have been shown to support vitamin B₁₂ production (Hörig and Renz 1980; Roth et al. 1996), underlining the complexity of the biosynthetic process. *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* is the only generally regarded as safe (GRAS) approved bacterium, known to synthesize active B₁₂ (Chamlagain et al. 2016), with a wide application in foods.

Compared to synthetic fortification, fortification by naturally vitamin-producing microorganisms is widely recognized as safer, more natural, and more environmentally friendly (LeBlanc et al. 2011).

2.3.3 Decrease of anti-nutrient content and improvement of mineral availability of plant materials by fermentation

Fermentation by itself or combined with other treatments like cooking, sprouting, and soaking can dramatically reduce the level of anti-nutrients such as tannins, phytates, and cyanides in plant-based food (Anderson and Wolf 1995; Onyesom et al. 2005; Soetan and Oyewole 2009; Wang et al. 2003). LAB are capable to produce phytases and furthermore provide the optimum pH conditions for these enzymes,

which then catalyze the hydrolysis of phytates into *myo*-inositol and phosphate, improving digestibility and increase of mineral bioavailability (Rekha and Vijayalakshmi 2010). As an example, fermentation of finger millet significantly reduced various undesired anti-nutrients (phytates, tannins, trypsin inhibitor), while simultaneously enhancing mineral extractability and digestibility (Antony and Chandra 1998).

2.3.4 Improvement of the bioactive component concentration and bioavailability in plant materials by fermentation

Fermentation is capable of increasing the concentration or bio-accessibility of functional (bioactive) compounds. The fermentation of soy using bacteria with β -glucosidase ability, enables the conversion of glucoside isoflavones into aglycone isoflavones of higher bio-activity and bio-accessibility (Pyo et al. 2005), which is also observed for seeds of kerandang, a flowering plant belonging to the legume family (Titiek et al. 2013). Correspondingly, *L. plantarum* is able to transform sesaminol triglucoside of sesame milk into bioactive sesaminol aglycone with enhanced radical scavenging activity (Ulyatu et al. 2015). It was also reported that LAB fermentation of soy releases bioactive peptides, which inhibit angiotensin-converting enzymes, related to desired antihypertensive effect (Hou et al. 2000).

2.3.5 Improvement of sensory profile of plant materials by fermentation

Fermentation can improve the sensory profile of plant-based milk alternatives (Mital and Steinkraus 1979). As an example, microbial fermentation decreased the beany flavor of plant material, probably due to deprivation of *n*-hexanal and *n*-hexanol (Wang et al. 2003). In addition, fermentation forms preferred volatile flavors. For example, diacetyl (2,3-butanedione), which provides a nice, butterscotch-like aroma, is emitted during cereal-based fermentation (Peyer et al. 2016). Acetaldehyde, delivering a pungent, fruity (green apple) flavor with sweet notes, increases in

concentration in peanut, cereal and soy during fermentation (Horáčková et al. 2015; Lee and Beuchat 1991; Sethi et al. 2016). The flavor and taste of plant-based milk alternatives are also affected by changes in the level of amino acids with taste profiles (Yamanaka et al. 1970). Many LAB species have been proven valuable to contribute to improved sensory profiles. As an example, species of *Streptococcus, Lactococcus, Lacticaseibacillus, Lactiplantibacillus, Lactobacillus, Limosilactobacillus,* and *Leuconostoc* affected the flavor of cereal-based milk (Kumar et al. 2020; Shin and Han 2015), chickpea milk (Tangyu et al. 2021), sunflower seed milk (Tangyu et al. 2022), soy milk (Beasley et al. 2003; Blagden and Gilliland 2005), mung bean milk (Liang et al. 2022), cowpea milk (Sanni et al. 1999), pea-based materials (Pei et al. 2022; Yousseef et al. 2016), as well as fruity and vegetable juice (Cui et al. 2019).

2.4 Mixed-culture fermentation can provide synergistic effects to enhance quality

An interesting and obviously potent concept uses mixed cultures to ferment plant materials. Generally, interactions between microbes in mixed cultures are numerous and complex, for example: competition (-/- interaction), mutualism (+/+ interaction), commensalism (+/0 interaction), amensalism (-/0 interaction), and parasitism (+/- interaction) (Sieuwerts et al. 2008). Desired interactions during mixed-culture fermentation mainly are of mutualistic and commensalistic nature, by which beneficial activities of at least one microbe are promoted (National Research Council 1992).

The cooperation between *Streptococcus thermophilus* and *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* during yogurt fermentation is a well-understood example of mutualism. The proteolytic *Lactobacillus* strain benefits non- proteolytic *S*.

thermophilus through the release of peptides and free amino acids as nitrogen sources. Conversely, *S. thermophilus* provides *L. delbrueckii* with growth-stimulating factors such as formic acid, pyruvic acid, folic acid, and carbon dioxide (Sieuwerts et al. 2008). In a mixed culture, the two strains stimulate each other in growth, acid production, and volatile compound formation (Sieuwerts et al. 2008). A synergistic effect on growth has also been observed for soy fermentation (Chumchuere and Robinson 1999), probably related to the different glycolytic activities of the strains involved. More specifically, microbial consortia can cooperate to enable multistep bio-transformations. A prominent example demonstrated a close cooperation of microbes in simulated cocoa pulp fermentation. Both nutrients in turn are crucial co-substrates for acetic acid bacteria, which then accumulate acetate, the key molecule to initiate the formation of aroma and flavor compounds (Adler et al. 2013). Demonstrated effects of mixed cultures comparing with mono-



Fig. 5. Impact of mixed-culture fermentation on the quality of plant-based milk alternatives. Comparison between mixed-culture and mono culture fermentation: microbial growth (A); essential amino acid level (B), vitamin level (C). The white area covers desired synergistic effects of shown combinations (desired), while the grey area covers undesired effects, which results from mixed-cultures fermentation (undesired).

culture fermentation for the generation of plant-based milk alternatives are summarized in **Fig. 5** and **Fig. 6** and are described in more detail below.

2.4.1 Growth of strains during mixed-culture fermentation of plant materials

During soy fermentation, *Lactobacillus fermentum* NRRC 207 and *L. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* NCDO 1489 exhibited more than 100 fold higher cell numbers when mixed with *S. thermophilus*, and both strains improved the growth of *S. thermophilus* as well (Chumchuere and Robinson 1999). Such a synergetic effect was also observed by other researches (Champagne et al. 2009; Mital et al. 1974). The combination of amylolytic and probiotic bacteria strains also reduced the fermentation time of rice by an elevated acidification rate (Espirito-Santo et al. 2014). In addition, certain yeasts benefit the growth of LAB through excreting specific nutrients (Liu and Tsao 2009; Rekha and Vijayalakshmi 2010). However, not all combinations are desired for the survival of starter cultures (Angelov et al. 2005). As an example, the viable count of *Bifidobacterium longum* R015 and *L. fermentum* decreased in specific mixed-culture fermentation (**Fig. 5A**) (Champagne et al. 2009; Chumchuere and Robinson 1999).

2.4.2 The effect of mixed-culture fermentation on protein and amino acid quantity and quality in plant materials

Protein content and essential amino acid composition can differ substantially between mono- and mixed-culture fermentation of plant-based milk alternatives (**Fig. 5B**). Co-fermentation of peanut using *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *L. plantarum* significantly increased total protein level, and also L-lysine, L-methionine, and L-tryptophan content, as compared to the corresponding mono-culture fermentations (Sanni et al. 1999). Spontaneous co-fermentation of strains, originally existing in cowpea and chickpea, improved L-methionine levels approximately six fold (Zamora

and Fields 1979). However, in other cases, mixed-culture fermentation appeared inferior to mono-culture setups (Santos et al. 2014).

2.4.3 The effect of mixed-culture fermentation on vitamin quantity in plant materials

Moreover, mixed-cultures can impact vitamin formation. Co-fermentation of *L. plantarum* SM39 and *P. freudenreichii* DF13 showed a higher level of folate and vitamin B₁₂, which yielded up to 8400 ng L⁻¹ of folate, otherwise only achievable with genetically modified strains (Hugenschmidt et al. 2011; Smid and Lacroix 2013). So far, only a few pioneering studies have investigated bacterial vitamin production during plant material fermentation (**Fig. 5C**). A mixture of *Lactobacillus* strains including *L. plantarum* improved riboflavin, thiamine, and niacin contents in cowpea milk, as compared to the mono cultures (Sanni et al. 1999). Similar effects were observed for soy, using a co-fermentation of *Saccharomyces boulardii* and *Lactobacillus* casei (Rekha and Vijayalakshmi 2010). However, many of the tested strain combinations only showed little or even adverse effects (Champagne et al. 2010; Zamora and Fields 1979). It has been speculated that the decrease in a specific vitamin may relate to the fact that the organism itself requires it for growth (Rekha and Vijayalakshmi 2010).

2.4.4 The effect of mixed-culture fermentation on decrease of anti-nutrients and increase of mineral availability of plant materials

Interestingly, mixed-cultures help to reduce anti-nutrients (**Fig. 6A**), which in turn enhances mineral availability (**Fig. 6B**). A mixed culture of *L. acidophilus* and *L. plantarum* was more effective than fermentation of the individual strains to eliminate phytic acid and trypsin inhibitor in cowpea (Sanni et al. 1999). Similarly, a mixedculture of *S. thermophilus* CCRC 14085 *and Bifidobacterium infantis* CCRC 14603 dramatically decreased phytic acid (80%) and saponin (30%) in soy (Lai et al. 2013).

It was further found that a mixed *S. boulardii* and *L. plantarum* B4495 fermentation induced calcium bioavailability approximately six fold, as compared to the mono-culture fermentation (Rekha and Vijayalakshmi 2010).



Fig. 6. Impact of mixed-culture fermentation on the quality of plant-based milk alternatives. Comparison the effect between mixed-culture and mono culture fermentation on eliminating anti-nutrients (A) and altering mineral contents (B).

Stachyose and raffinose are undesired flatulence factors of plant-based milk alternatives, especially legume-based (Desai et al. 2002). Generally, a combination of different strains was more efficient to degrade these carbohydrates than the pure cultures (Santos et al. 2014). Soy fermented by a mixed-culture of different LAB yielded a lower level of stachyose and raffinose and a preferred higher content of acetic acid, fructose, glucose plus galactose (Wang et al. 2003). Similar effects were also observed in other studies (Santos et al. 2014). However, the outcome is strongly dependent on the exact strain combination. As an example, *Streptococcus* induced adverse effects in *L. fermentum* and *Bifidobacterium longum* to metabolize stachyose (Champagne et al. 2009; Chumchuere and Robinson 1999).

2.4.5 The effect of mixed-culture fermentation on bioactive component concentration and bioavailability in plant materials

Fermentation of soy by bacteria, which possess β -glucosidase activity, enables biotransformation of isoflavones into the more bio-active aglycone form (Pyo et al. 2005). Mixed-cultures of *S. boulardii* together with five *Lactobacillus* species converted over 95% of the glucoside into the aglycone isoflavone (Rekha and Vijayalakshmi 2010). However, other strain mixtures revealed lower bioconversion efficiency as compared to pure cultures. For example, a weaker bioconversion was detected, when *S. thermophilus* was mixed with *B. infantis, B. longum*, and *Lactobacillus helveticus* (Champagne et al. 2010; Chien et al. 2006). This observation emphasizes again the importance of strain selection in mediating synergetic effects between the different cultures.

2.4.6 The effect of mixed-culture fermentation on sensory values of plant materials

Although mono-culture fermentation seems as efficient as mixed-culture fermentation in lowering the content of the off-flavor molecules *n*-hexanal and *n*-hexanol (Lee 2001), mixed fermentation appears more potent in generating preferred flavor enhancers. For example, acetaldehyde, a key compound of the desired yogurt flavor, is formed more extensively by a mixture of two or more cultures (Horáčková et al. 2015; Lee 2001; Liu et al. 2002). A mixed-culture of *L. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* and *Streptococcus salivarius* subsp. *thermophilus* not only decreased the beany flavor of peanut milk, but also significantly increased whiteness, viscosity, gumminess, and smoothness (Lee 2001). An increase in luminosity and whiteness index values was also observed for almond fermentation by a mixed-culture of *Lactobacillus reuteri* and *S. thermophilus* (Bernat et al. 2015).

3 Material and Methods

3.1 Microorganisms

All microbial strains used in this work with NCC number were obtained from the NCC (Nestlé Culture Collection, Lausanne, Switzerland) (**Table 2**). *P. freudenreichii* DSM 4902 was obtained from the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany). All strains were food-grade approved based on the qualified presumption of safety (QPS) recommendation (EFSA Panel on Biological Hazards et al. 2017). They were maintained as frozen stocks in 30% glycerol (v/v) at -80°C.

3.2 Genome-based strain selection

The gene sequence information for strain selection was obtained from the NCC genome database (Gangoiti et al. 2017). The database was screened for the presence and absence of genes of interest using automated annotation. A proprietary database of enzyme domain profiles was used to screen all NCC genome proteins using NCBI's Reverse Position Specific Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (RPS-BLAST) algorithm, constrained by minimum query coverage (60%), minimum hit coverage (60%, (Marchler-Bauer et al. 2003). A particular enzyme domain was regarded as present when the corresponding E-value was below 1E-3.
3.3 Medium

3.3.1 Strain-specific pre-culture media

Depending on individual nutrient requirements, different media were used for precultivation of the different strains (**Table 2**). *Limosilactobacillus reuteri, Limosilactobacillus fermentum,* and all *Propionibacterium, Lentilactobacillus, Levilactobacillus, Lacticaseibacillus, Lactiplantibacillus, Lactiactobacillus, Lactobacillus, and Leuconostoc,* were grown in de Mann-Rogosa-Sharpe (MRS) medium, containing per liter: 52.0 g of MRS broth (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) and 1.0 mL of Tween-80 (Sigma-Aldrich) (De Man et al. 1960).

Fructilactobacillus sanfranciscensis and *Limosilactobacillus pontis* were grown in sanfrancisco medium (Vogel et al. 1994), which was modified in this work and contained 10.0 g of tryptone (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA), 7.0 g of yeast extract (Becton Dickinson), 7.0 g of glucose (Sigma-Aldrich), 7.0 g of fructose (Amresco, Solon, OH, USA), 7.0 g of maltose monohydrate (Sigma-Aldrich), 5.0 g of sodium acetate trihydrate, 5.0 g of di-ammonium hydrogen citrate, 2.5 g of KH₂PO₄, 2.0 g of Lab Lemco powder (Oxoid, Thermo Fisher, Rockford, IL, USA), 2.0 g of sodium gluconate, 0.5 g of L-cysteine hydrochloride, 0.4 g of MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.1 g of MnSO₄·H₂O, 0.05 g of FeSO₄·7H₂O, and 1.0 mL of Tween-80 per liter.

All *Bifidobacterium* strains were grown in MRS-Cys broth containing 52.0 g of MRS broth, 0.5 g of cysteine hydrochloride, and 1.0 mL of Tween-80 (Sigma-Aldrich) per liter.

The strains of *Bacillus* were grown in modified tryptic soy broth (TSB) containing 17.0 g of tryptone (Becton Dickinson), 5.0 g of NaCl, 3.0 g of soytone (Becton Dickinson), 2.5 g of K₂HPO₄, and 1.0 mL of 30% silicone antifoam (Sigma-Aldrich) per liter.

Strains	Growth medium	T (°C)	Application*			
Anaerobic cultivation						
Fructilactobacillus sanfranciscensis NCC 463	mHH	30	1			
F. sanfranciscensis NCC 2572	mHH	30	1			
F. sanfranciscensis NCC 2629	mHH	30	1			
Limosilactobacillus pontis NCC 380	mHH	30	1			
Bifidobacterium infantis NCC 365	MRS cystein	37	1			
B. longum subsp. infantis NCC 283	MRS cystein	37	2			
Propionibacterium freudenreichii NCC 1124	MRS	30	2			
P. freudenreichii NCC 1138	MRS	30	2			
P. freudenreichii NCC 1145	MRS	30	2			
P. freudenreichii NCC 1151	MRS	30	2			
P. freudenreichii NCC 1159	MRS	30	2			
P. freudenreichii NCC 1177	MRS	30	2			
P. freudenreichii NCC 1186	MRS	30	2			
P. freudenreichii NCC 1197	MRS	30	2			
P. freudenreichii NCC 1230	MRS	30	2			
P. freudenreichii NCC 1236	MRS	30	2			
P. freudenreichii DSM 4902	MRS	30	2			
P. freudenreichii NCC 1124	MRS	30	2			
Aerotolerant cultivation						
Lactobacillus helveticus NCC 1182	MRS	40	1			
L. helveticus NCC 1104	MRS	40	1			
L. helveticus NCC 158	MRS	40	1			
L. helveticus NCC 1276	MRS	30	3			
L. delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus NCC 621	MRS	37	1			
L. johnsonii NCC 2774	MRS	37	1			
L. johnsonii NCC 2822	MRS	37	1			
L. johnsonii NCC 2767	MRS	37	1			
L. acidophilus NCC 2766	MRS	37	1			
L. jensenii NCC 2867	MRS	37	1			
Lentilactobacillus hilgardii NCC 1497	MRS	30	1			
Lactiplantibacillus plantarum NCC 1385	MRS	30	1			
L. plantarum NCC 2988	MRS	30	3			
L. johnsonii NCC 533	MRS	37	3			
Levilactobacillus brevis NCC 372	MRS	30	1			
Limosilactobacillus reuteri NCC 1945	MRS	40	1			
L. reuteri NCC 2613	MRS	40	1			
L. reuteri NCC 2823	MRS	37	1			
L. fermentum NCC 660	MRS	37	3			
Lacticaseibacillus paracasei NCC 2537	MRS	30	1			
L. paracasei subsp. paracasei NCC 2511	MRS	30	1, 2, 3			
L. rhamnosus NCC 2891	MRS	37	3			

Table 2. Growth conditions and media used to pre-culture the different strains.

L. rhamnosus NCC 4007	MRS	37	3
Streptococcus thermophilus NCC 1326	HJL	37	3
S. thermophilus NCC 1988	HJL	37	3
S. thermophilus NCC 2019	HJL	37	3
S. thermophilus NCC 2059	HJL	37	3
Lactococcus lactis NCC 2180	HJL	30	3
L. lactis NCC 2242	HJL	30	3
Latilactobacillus sakei NCC 1692	MRS	30	3
Leuconostoc mesenteroides NCC 2832	MRS	30	3
Aerobic cultivation			
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens NCC 156	BST	40	1, 2
B. amyloliquefaciens NCC 2770	BST	40	1
B. subtilis NCC 199	BST	40	1
B. licheniformis NCC 2940	BST	40	1
B. flexus NCC 2902	BST	40	1
B. flexus NCC 2903	BST	40	1
B. pumilus NCC 2962	BST	40	1
Corynebacterium stationis NCC 3013	BHI	30	1
C. stationis NCC 3016	BHI	30	1

*1, Strains used in I-lysine study (Chapter 4.1); 2, Strains used in vitamin B12 study (Chapter 4.2);
3, Strains used in flavor study (Chapter 4.3).

Corynebacterium isolates were cultivated in brain heart infusion cysteine (BHI-Cys) broth containing 37.0 g of brain heart infusion (Becton Dickinson) and 0.5 g of L-cysteine hydrochloride per liter.

Streptococcus and *Lactococcus* strains were grown in HJL medium, adjusted to a final pH value of 6.5, and containing per liter: 30 g of tryptone (Becton Dickinson), 10 g of yeast extract (Becton Dickinson), 10 g of lactose (Sigma-Aldrich), 2 g of beef extract (Life Technologies Corporation, Detroit, MI, USA), and 5 g of KH₂PO₄ (Stingele et al. 1996).

3.3.2 Differential agar media

Lactic acid bacteria differential (LBD) agar was used to differentiate *P. freudenreichii* strains from *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511. It contained per liter: 10.0 g of casein enzymatic hydrolysate (Becton Dickinson), 3.0 g of casein acid

hydrolysate (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), 1.5 g of enzymic digest of soybean meal (Becton Dickinson), 1.0 g of yeast extract (Becton Dickinson), 2.5 g of fructose (Amresco), 2.5 g of K₂HPO₄ (Sigma-Aldrich), 55 mg of bromocresol green (Sigma-Aldrich), and 15.0 g of agar (Becton Dickinson) (Adler et al. 2013). In addition, TSB agar was used to grow *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 for the estimation of colony forming units. It contained per liter: 17.0 g of tryptone (Becton Dickinson), 5.0 g of NaCl, 3.0 g of soytone (Becton Dickinson), 2.5 g K₂HPO₄, and 1.0 mL of 30% silicone antifoam, and 15.0 g of agar (Becton Dickinson) (Tangyu et al. 2021).

3.3.3 Plant-based milk alternative medium

Chickpea milk medium

A chickpea suspension was prepared by mixing 10% (w/w) chickpea flour (E. Zwicky AG, Müllheim-Wigoltingen, Switzerland) with deionized water. A two-step heat treatment was applied for sterilization. First, the suspension was stirred (250 rpm, 2 h, 75°C), which was followed by autoclaving (121°C, 15 min). Prior to fermentation, the suspension was manually homogenized. In ¹³C tracer experiments, 98% [¹³C4] L-aspartate (Eurisotop, Gif-Sur-Yvette, France) was added to a final concentration of 11 mM, whereas controls received the same amount of naturally labeled L-aspartate. In further experiments, selected nutrients were added to chickpea milk from sterilized stocks before fermentation to investigate their impact: (i) citrate (10 mM), (ii) a mixture of the branched-chain amino acids valine, leucine, and isoleucine (5 mM each), and (iii) volatile aldehydes such as pentanal, hexanal, heptanal, octanal, nonanal, and benzaldehyde (5 mM each in separate experiments).

Low-pressure-pasteurized (LPP) sunflower seed milk medium

A suspension of sunflower seed press cake extract (6.8% w/w) was prepared by mixing 68 g of sunflower seed protein (All Organic Treasures) with 1 liter of deionized water. The suspension was pasteurized at 90°C for 6 h, while the

temperature was monitored. Then, the milk was aseptically filled into sterile plastic bottles (2 L) and kept at 4°C until use. Prior to cultivation, the LPP sunflower seed milk was manually homogenized. In selected supplementation studies, the pasteurized and homogenized sunflower seed milk was supplemented with one or several of the following additives: $CoCl_2$ (50 µM), riboflavin (40 µM), nicotinamide (27 mM), DMBI (100 µM), L-glutamate (0.6 mM), L-threonine (0.1 mM), glycine (0.3 mM), succinate (0.5 mM), lactate (1% w/w), and/or glucose (1% w/w). These were added from filter-sterilized stocks to the final concentrations given above. Non-inoculated controls were incubated under the same conditions and evaluated for sterility by plating on TSB and LBD agar.

Ultra-high-temperature (UHT) plant milk medium

Plant milk suspension was prepared by mixing the plant material with deionized water. The suspension was then homogenized and pre-heated to 75°C, immediately followed by UHT treatment in an automatized pilot-scale tubular heat exchanger (HT320 (ID920), OMVE, De Meern, The Netherlands). The suspension was homogenized and pre-heated to 75°C, immediately followed by continuous ultrahigh temperature (UHT) treatment Hereby, the pre-warmed suspension was heated for 4 seconds to 143°C at a flow rate of 30 L h⁻¹ and then efficiently cooled down to 4°C. All sterilization parameters were controlled by the integrated process control system. Finally, the milk was aseptically filled into sterile plastic bottles (2 L) and kept at 4°C until use. Prior to fermentation, the UHT plant milk was manually homogenized.

3.4 Cultivation

3.4.1 Pre-cultures

Strain specific-settings (medium and temperature) were used to propagate precultures (**Table 2**). Anaerobic strains were grown anaerobically at in 20 mL tubes, containing 10 mL of corresponding medium. For the first pre-cultivation, the tubes were inoculated from a glycerol stock (200 µL) and were then incubated overnight under a CO₂-enriched atmosphere (9-13%) (Anaerobic atmosphere generation bags, Merck). The first pre-culture of aerotolerant strains was grown at 30°C in 20 mL tubes, containing 10 mL of specific medium. For cultivation, the tubes were inoculated from a glycerol stock (200 µL), closed, and then incubated overnight, mimicking microaerobic conditions. Aerobic strains were grown aerobically. For this purpose, the microbe was cultivated overnight in 100 mL non-baffled shake flasks, filled with 10 mL growth medium, inoculated from a glycerol stock (200 µL), and incubated on a rotary shaker (30 ° C, 130 rpm, 80% humidity, Infors, Bottmingen, Switzerland). Independent of the strain, cells from the first pre-cultures were collected by centrifugation (5,000 $\times g$, 5 min, 4°C) and used as inoculum for a second pre-culture, which was then grown overnight under the same conditions, then served as inoculum for the main culture. In the flavor study, the second pre-cultures of all strains were cultivated anaerobically as described to increase their adaptability in anaerobic main-fermentation.

Seven selected strains were analysed for the correlation between optical density (OD) and colony forming units (cfu) during pre-cultivation. This yielded the following correlation factors $f = cfu (OD_{600}^{-1})$ during the exponential growth phase: 8.2E8 cfu (OD_{600}^{-1}) (NCC 1177), 5.3E8 cfu (OD_{600}^{-1}) (DSM 4902), 8.2E8 cfu (OD_{600}^{-1}) (NCC 1197), 1.9 E8 cfu (OD_{600}^{-1}) (NCC 1186), 3.8E8 cfu (OD_{600}^{-1}) (NCC 1138), 2.0E8 cfu (OD_{600}^{-1}) (NCC 2511), and 3.6 E7 cfu (OD_{600}^{-1}) (NCC 156). These factors were used to infer the required amount of pre-culture needed to prepare an inoculum of desired size (see below).

3.4.2 Screening for vitamin B₁₂ production

Strains of *P. freudenreichii* were grown in basic MRS medium and in supplemented MRS medium, additionally containing CoCl₂ (50 μ M) and DMBI (100 μ M). Dualphase processes were conducted. Cultivation included a first anaerobic phase in 100 mL glass bottles (filled with 40 mL medium) which were inoculated from glycerol stocks and then incubated for 48 hours in anaerobic jars under CO₂ (9-13%). Afterward, the broth was transferred to 100 mL baffled shake flasks and incubated aerobically for further 24 hours on a rotary shaker (130 rpm, 80% humidity, 5 cm shaking diameter, Infors). Three biological replicates were carried out for each condition.

3.4.3 Chickpea milk fermentation

For screening of L-lysine production, 400 μ L of the second precultures were collected and was used as inoculum for the main chickpea milk fermentation. Subsequently, *L. paracasei.* subsp. *paracasei* and *B. amyloliquefaciens* were analyzed for the correlation between optical density and colony forming units (cfu). The ideal amount of inoculum containing 2E7 cfu mL⁻¹ of cells was used for the main culture for a detailed study. Depending on the studied microbe, the main fermentation was then conducted in 20 mL of medium in 200 mL glass bottles under a CO₂ atmosphere (obligate anaerobes) or ambient air (aerotolerant) and in 100 mL non-baffled shake flasks (obligate aerobes).

Potential evaporation loss during fermentation was monitored using non-inoculated controls and used to correct the obtained data (Schwechheimer et al. 2018a). The non-inoculated cultures further served as controls to verify the sterility of the raw material and monitor the occurrence of abiotic changes that potentially altered the composition. In selected experiments, the pH value and dissolved oxygen (DO) level were monitored in shake flasks with immobilized sensor spots and fluorescence

detection (PreSens Precision Sensing GmbH, Regensburg, Germany) (Wittmann et al. 2003). Each fermentation was conducted as biological triplicates.

3.4.4 Sunflower seed milk fermentation for vitamin B₁₂ production

Cultivations were generally conducted at 30°C in sunflower seed milk, while other conditions were varied for optimization and testing, as described. Anaerobic incubations were done in 100 mL glass bottles, filled with 40 mL medium, and incubated in anaerobic jars under CO₂ (9-13%). Aerobic incubations were conducted in 100 mL non-baffled shake flasks, filled with 40 mL medium, and incubated on a rotary shaker (80% humidity, 5 cm shaking diameter, Infors). The shaking rate was usually set to 130 rpm but changed in selected experiments as described. Finally, dual-phase setups were conducted. After a first anaerobic bottled phase in the jar system, the broth was transferred to a shake flask for a second aerobic phase. The incubation time was varied as given below. The processes were inoculated as follows. The optical density of the corresponding pre-culture was measured. The obtained correlation between optical density and cfu (see above) was then used to calculate the pre-culture volume that contained the desired colony forming units for inoculation. This volume was collected, followed by washing the cells with water. Then, cells in the suspension were counted using a Neubauer counting chamber. On basis of the estimated cell concentration, an appropriate volume was then used as inoculum. The initial cell concentration was adjusted to 10⁷ cells mL⁻¹, unless stated otherwise. In addition to monocultures, co-cultures were co-inoculated from individual pre-cultures in the same manner. Here, cells were added at different ratios, ranging from 1:1 to 1000:1, as given below. The corresponding conditions for each experiment are specified in the results section. Three biological replicates were carried out for each experiment. To minimize the impact of periodical sampling on culture conditions during time-resolved investigations, three complete incubations were sacrificed per data point, and

evaporation of culture volume was considered for data correction, as above described.

3.4.5 Oat milk, sunflower seed milk, pea milk, and faba milk fermentation for flavor studies

Anaerobic fermentations were conducted in 100 mL serum bottles (Becker et al. 2013; Lange et al. 2017), filled with 15 mL of the corresponding plant milk. The cultures were inoculated to a starting cell concentration of 2E7 colony formation units (cfu) mL⁻¹. The bottles were tightly sealed with an aluminum cap. Then, the air in the headspace was replaced by nitrogen (Becker et al. 2013; Lange et al. 2017). The inoculated plant milks were incubated on a rotary shaker (30°C, 130 rpm, 80% humidity, 5 cm shaking diameter, Infors). Three biological replicates were carried out for each fermentation, and non-inoculated fermentations were conducted as control.

3.5 Analytical methods

3.5.1 Quantification of colony forming units

Colony forming units (cfu) were determined by the plate serial dilution spotting method (Tangyu et al. 2021). Briefly, 1 mL culture samples were sequentially diluted using 0.85% NaCl (w/v), supplemented with 1.0 g L⁻¹ of tryptone (Becton Dickinson). For cfu determination of *Lacticaseibacillus*, *Lactiplantibacillus*, *Lactiactobacillus*, *Lactobacillus*, and *Leuconostoc*, MRS agar was used. For cfu counting of *F. sanfranciscensis* and *L. pontis*, samples were spotted onto sanfrancisco agar. *Bifidobacterium* strains were counted on MRS-Cys agar. For *Bifidobacterium*, MRS-Cys agar was used. For cfu estimation of *Bacillus*, samples were spotted onto TSB agar. *C. stationis* was counted on BHI-Cys agar. For *Corynebacterium*, BHI-Cys



Fig. 7. The colony of strains used in the studies. *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177 on LPD agar (A); *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 on TSB agar; *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 on LPD agar (C).

agar was used. For cfu estimation of *Streptococcus* and *Lactococcus*, samples were spotted onto HJL agar. For cfu determination of *P. freudenreichii* and *L. paracasei* LBD agar was used. On this agar, the strain-specific shape and color of colonies formed allowed for a clear differentiation (**Fig. 7**). All measurements were conducted in duplicate.

3.5.2 Quantification of moisture

Samples of 2 g were freeze-dried for 48 h. Afterward, the remaining total solids were quantified by measuring the residual weight.

3.5.3 Quantification of protein and amino acid

For the quantification of total amino acids, samples were homogenized (2 mL Precellys Lysing Kits filled with 1.4 mm ceramic balls, Bertin, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France) using a homogenizer (5000 rpm, 3×90 s with 30 s breaks on ice in between). Approximately 10 mg of a homogenized sample was then hydrolyzed (24 h, 6 M HCl, 100°C), dried, resuspended in deionized water, and filtered (0.22 µm, Millipore, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). The obtained amino acids were analyzed by HPLC (Agilent 1100 Infinity, Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) with pre-column derivatization with *ortho*-phthaldialdehyde and 2-aminobutyrate as an internal standard (Krömer et al. 2005). In short, the analytes

were separated at 40°C and a flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹ on a reversed-phase column (Gemini 5 µm C18 110 Å, 150 × 4.6 mm, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA) using the following gradient of eluent A (40 mM Na₂HPO4, 7.7 mM sodium azide, pH 7.8) and eluent B (45% acetonitrile, 45% methanol, 10% deionized water): 0-45% B from 0-45 min, 45-61% B from 45-47 min, 61-82% B from 47-48 min, 82-100% B from 48-48.5 min, 100% B from 48.5-50.5%, and 100-0% B from 51-53 min. The analytes were detected by fluorescence (340 nm/450 nm). L-cysteine, L-methionine, and L-tryptophan were degraded during the hydrolysis process so that these amino acids were not measurable, whereas L-glutamine and L-asparagine were converted into L-glutamate and L-asparate, respectively, so that the obtained data reflected the lumped pools (Wittmann 2007). The total protein amount was calculated by summing up the level of the individual amino acids. For the quantification of free amino acids, 1 mL fermentation sample was centrifuged (20,000 ×*g*, 10 min, 4°C). The obtained supernatant was filtered (0.22 µm, Millipore) and analyzed as described above.

3.5.4 Quantification of sugars, organic acids, and alcohols

Disaccharides (sucrose and maltose) and larger oligomers (raffinose, stachyose, and verbascose) were quantified in filtered samples (0.22 µm, Millipore) using HPLC (Agilent 1260 Infinity Series, Agilent Technologies). The analytes were separated on a sulfonated spherical PS/DVB resin (VA 300/7.8 Nucleogel sugar Pb, Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) with deionized water as the mobile phase (80°C, 0.4 mL min⁻¹) and detected via the refraction index. External standards were used for quantification. The concentrations of organic acids (lactate, acetate, pyruvate, citrate, α -ketoglutarate, isobutyrate, isovalerate) and alcohols (acetoin, ethanol, 2,3-butanediol) in filtered samples (0.22 µm, Millipore) were determined using HPLC (Agilent 1260 Infinity Series, Agilent Technologies) with ion exclusion (Aminex HPX-87H, 300 × 7.8 mm, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA), 12 mM H₂SO₄ as the mobile

phase (45°C, 0.5 mL min⁻¹), and refractive index detection (Lange et al. 2017). External standards were used for quantification.

3.5.5 Quantification of lipids

Analysis of the lipid (fat) content was conducted after hexane/isopropanol extraction (Caprioli et al. 2016). Briefly, 5 mL of hexane/isopropanol (3:2) was added to a 1 mL sample. The mixture was incubated for 24 h at 18°C and 230 rpm. After the addition of 5 mL of Na₂SO₄ (0.47 M), the extract was centrifuged at 4000 ×*g* for 10 min. The upper liquid phase was collected and extracted once more using 5 mL of hexane/isopropanol (7:2). Both lipid fractions were then combined and dried under nitrogen flow until a constant weight was reached. The remaining solids were weighed and used to calculate the lipid content.

3.5.6 Quantification of vitamin B₁₂

The analysis of vitamin B_{12} was based on the method proposed by AOAC International (AOAC 2019) which was slightly modified in this study (Giménez and Martin 2018). Briefly, 3 mL sample was mixed with 2.5 mL sodium acetate solution (0.4 M, pH 4.0) and 100 µL cyanide solution (1% w/v in deionized water), and 4.4 mL deionized water. For vitamin B_{12} extraction, the mixture was incubated for 20 min at 107 °C. Solids were then removed by centrifugation (5000 x *g*, 30 min). A defined fraction of the obtained supernatant (3 mL) was subjected to an automated immunoaffinity clean-up (GX-271 ASPEC system, Gilson, Germany). The obtained eluate from the clean-up was evaporated to dryness and dissolved in 0.3 mL ultrapure water (> 18.2 MΩ cm⁻¹). Subsequently, vitamin B_{12} was quantified using HPLC (Waters Acquity UPLC, Waters, Milford, MA, US) with separation on a silica bonded column (Acquity UPLC® HSS T3, 1.8 µm, 100 x 2.1 mm, Waters) using a gradient of water and acetonitrile as mobile phase and UV detection (550 nm). External

standards were used for quantification. Levels of vitamin B₁₂ were given as (µg cyanocobalamin) (100 g)⁻¹.

3.5.7 Quantification of thiamine, riboflavin, niacin, pyridoxine, and biotin

Samples were hydrolyzed in hydrochloric acid (100°C, 35 min), neutralized, and filtered (0.22 µm, Millipore). The subsequent analysis was based on method LI-00.610 (Bernat et al. 2015) using UHPLC-MS/MS with positive electrospray ionization and quantification via the peak area ratio of each analyte and an internal standard (Nestlé Quality Assurance Center, Dublin, Republic of Ireland).

3.5.8 Quantification of cobalt

The level of cobalt was quantified after sample work up by a high pressure asher (HPA-S High Pressure Asher, Anton Paar, IGZ Instruments, Zurich, Switzerland) using ICP-MS (Dubascoux et al. 2021; Hammer et al. 2005).

3.5.9 Estimation of sunflower seed milk protein digestibility and score

The nutritional quality of sunflower seed protein from non-fermented and fermented milk was assessed on the level of different parameters: in *vitro* protein digestibility (IVPD), amino acid score (AAS), and *in vitro* protein digestibility corrected amino acid score (PDCAAS) (Schaafsma 2000). In short, IVPD, AAS, and PDCAAS were determined using the K-PDCAAS kit (Megazyme International, Bray, Co. Wicklow, Ireland), following the instructions of the manufacturer. Briefly, samples were sequentially digested by (i) pepsin under acidic conditions, (0.06 M HCI, pH 2), and (ii) trypsin/chymotrypsin under neutral conditions (1.0 M Tris, pH 7.4) to simulate the physiological conditions of gastric and intestinal digestion. Undigested protein was precipitated by the addition of trichloroacetic acid (40% w/v) and was then removed by centrifugation (15,000 ×*g*, 10 min). The amount of reactive α -amino acids obtained after the treatmentwas quantified after derivatization with ninhydrin into a

purple dye via absorbance measurement at 570 nm. From the data, the IVPD was determined by correcting for the relative reactivity of certain α -amino acids (L-proline, L-lysine, L-histidine, L-arginine). The AAS was inferred from the ratio between the amino acid amount in the sample and the amount recommended by the FAO (Jeske et al. 2018). Finally, the PDCAAS was calculated by multiplying AAS and IVPD.

3.5.10 Mass isotopomer analysis by GC-MS

In isotopic tracer experiments, the ¹³C labeling patterns of L-lysine and L-aspartate were analyzed using GC-MS (Schwechheimer et al. 2018a). For ¹³C labeling analysis of the total amino acids, fermentation samples were treated as described above. Approximately 10 µL of a homogenized sample was hydrolyzed (24 h, 6 M HCl, 100°C), filtered (0.22 µm, Millipore), dried under a nitrogen flow, and dissolved in 50 µL of N,N-dimethylformamide (1% (v/v) pyridine). For ¹³C analysis of free Llysine, a 1 mL sample was centrifuged (20,000 $\times g$, 10 min, 4°C). An aliquot of 50 μ L of the obtained supernatant was filtered (0.22 µm, Millipore), dried under a nitrogen flow, and dissolved in 50 µL of N,N-dimethylformamide (1% (v/v) pyridine). The amino acids were then derivatized at 80°C for 30 min into the corresponding tbutyldimethylsilyl derivatives using 50 µL of *N*-methyl-*t*-butyldimethylsilyltrifluoroacetamide (MBDSTFA, Macherey-Nagel). Mass spectrometric analysis was conducted on a GC-MS instrument (Agilent 5977 A MSD, Agilent Technologies) equipped with an HP-5MS column (30 m, 0.250 mm, 0.25 µm, Agilent Technologies) using helium as the carrier gas (1.7 mL min⁻¹) and the following temperature gradient: 120°C (0-2 min), 8°C min⁻¹ (2-12 min), 10°C min⁻¹ (12-24.5 min), and 325°C (24.5-27 min).

3.5.11 Headspace solid-phase micro extraction (HS-SPME)

Approximately 5 mL broth was collected into a 20 mL vial, supplemented with 1 g NaCl, and incubated for 20 min at 40°C and 400 rpm in the dark (PAL RSI 120, CTC Analytics, Zwingen, Switzerland). Afterward, the contained volatiles were trapped onto an SPME fiber for another 20 min at 40°C, using two fiber types, divinylbenzene/polydimethylsiloxane (65 µm, DVB/PDMS, Agilent Technologies) and divinylbenzene/carboxen/polydimethylsiloxane (50/30 µm, DVB/CAR/PDMS, Agilent Technologies), respectively. A blank sample (an empty vial) was run before each sequence to ensure the quality of the fiber and check for potential background interference. The fibers were replaced every 50 measurements to exclude memory effects.

3.5.12 Gas chromatography-mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis of volatile flavor compounds

Volatiles were analysed by GC-MS (Agilent Technologies 8890 GC system), as described previously (Tangyu et al. 2021; Tangyu et al. 2022). Prior to injection, the loaded SPME fibre (see above) was transferred into the injector port (250°C) and maintained there for a 3 min desorption interval. The injection was then operated split-less. The volatiles were separated on an HP-5MS column (30 m, 250 μ m, 0.25 μ m, Agilent Technologies), using helium as carrier gas (0.5 mL min⁻¹). Chromatograms were recorded by monitoring the total ion current (TIC) over a mass range from 30 to 300 m/z, followed by deconvolution of the obtained signals (Agilent Chemstation, Agilent Technologies).

3.6 Data analysis

3.6.1 Processing of ¹³C data

The [M-57] ion cluster of the derivatized amino acids (*m*/z 431 for L-lysine, *m*/z 418 for L-aspartate) was selected to determine the ¹³C labeling pattern, as it represented the entire carbon backbone (Wittmann 2007). All samples were first measured in scan mode to exclude isobaric matrix interference with the analytes of interest and verify that the selected ion clusters were suited for ¹³C quantification. Subsequently, labeling patterns were determined in duplicate using selective ion monitoring. The derived mass isotopomer distributions were corrected for natural isotopes (van Winden et al. 2002) and used to derive the SFL (Schwechheimer et al. 2018a). The SFL expressed the labeling pattern as the average ¹³C enrichment of the included carbon. For method validation, naturally labeled samples were treated and analyzed.

3.6.2 Identification and grouping of volatile compounds

Detected volatiles were identified in two ways: First, the corresponding mass spectra were suspected to a mass spectra library search (NIST/EPA/NIH Mass Spectral Library 08). Compounds were regarded as identified when a match score > 75% to the corresponding library entry was obtained. For several analytes, additional conformation was obtained by analyzing external standards: hexanal, nonanal, benzaldehyde, phenylacetaldehyde, pentanal, octanal, 1-pentanol, 1-hexanol, and 1-octanol.

For data evaluation, volatiles were categorized chemically and functionally. On one hand, chemical groups distinguished between aldehydes, alcohols, ketones, organic acids, esters, furans, alkanes, alkenes, and others. On the other hand, flavor groups considered molecules of similar flavor such as (1) fruity, sweet, ethereal; (2) floral, nice green; (3) buttery, fatty, creamy, waxy; (4) cheesy, sour; (5) nutty, woody, toasted cocoa, terpenic, balsamic, camphoraceous; (6) green, grassy, beany,

herbal; (7) earthy, mushroom-like; and (8) pungent, spicy, sharp, phenolic. The aroma perception of the volatiles was collected from literatures (Akkad et al. 2019; Aznar et al. 2001; Boatright and Lei 1999; Bott and Chambers IV 2006; Cai et al. 2014; Costa et al. 2008; El Youssef et al. 2020; Fu et al. 2020; Högnadóttir and Rouseff 2003; Jirovetz et al. 2005; Liu et al. 2022; Lv et al. 2011; Maga and Katz 1979; Osorio et al. 2006; Ounamornas et al. 2017; Sabatini et al. 2008; Schmidt et al. 2008; The Good Scents Company Information System 2021; Van Opstaele et al. 2012; Verginer et al. 2010; Wu et al. 2016; Xiao et al. 2017; Xu et al. 2019; Yang et al. 2008; Zeng et al. 2008). Compounds without aroma description were classified as unknown and not considered further.

For each analyte i, the measurement yielded a peak area (A_i) that corresponded to the TIC of the eluting peak and reflected the absolute abundance of this compound. Its relative abundance a_i was obtained by normalization of A_i to the total peak area of all volatiles detected in the sample (A_{total}) according to **Eq. 1**.

$$a_i = \frac{A_i}{A_{total}} \times 100 \tag{Eq. 1}$$

To evaluate the abundance of such a flavor group within the overall flavor profile, the individual peak areas of all detected analytes attributed to this flavor were summed up (**Eq. 2**), with g being the attribute, e. g. fruity or cheesy or other. Likewise, the relative abundance of such a flavor group within the overall profile was obtained (**Eq. 3**).

$$A_g = \sum A_i \tag{Eq. 2}$$

$$a_g = \frac{A_g}{A_{total}} \times 100 \tag{Eq. 3}$$

3.6.3 Functional evaluation of flavor profiles based on odor thresholds

To assess the relative impact of the different volatiles within a sample on the overall flavor note, odor threshold values of the individual compounds in air were taken into

account. The odor thresholds were collected from previous studies (Chemical Book Group 2016; Nagata and Takeuchi 2003; Tamura et al. 2001; van Gemert 2011; Wu et al. 2016; Xu et al. 2017a; Yan et al. 2020; Yang et al. 2008; Yang et al. 2019). In cases, where literature data had not been collected in air but water, a correction was required. In short, odor thresholds in water ($C^*_{i, aqueous}$, $\mu g \, kg^{-1}$) were converted into odor thresholds in air ($C^*_{i, air}$, $\mu g \, L^{-1}$), considering the density of water (ρ = 0.997 kg L^{-1}), the ideal gas volume ($V_m = 24.77 \, L \, mol^{-1}$), the molecular weight of the compound (M_i , g mol^{-1}), and the air/aqueous partition coefficient (K_i) (**Eq. 4**). Hereby, the latter was acquired from previous work (Sander 2015) or estimated by the EPI Suite software (V 4.11) (**Appendix, Table S1**).

$$C_{i,air}^* = \frac{K_i \cdot \rho \cdot V_m \cdot C_{i,aqeous}^*}{M_i} \cdot 1000$$
(Eq. 4)

The calculation yielded individual odor thresholds at which each of the compounds could be sensed and which ranged from low (0.01 ppbv) to high (1.27×10^6 ppbv). The least recognizable among all detected compounds in this study was 2-ethyl-furan. Its odor threshold ($C^*_{2-ethyl-furan, air}$) was used as a reference to obtain relative odor thresholds ($c^*_{i, air}$), which were calculated for all other analytes (**Eq. 5**). This value described, how easy a compound could be sensed, relatively.

$$c^*_{i,air} = \frac{c^*_{i,air}}{c^*_{2-\text{ethyl-furan},air}} \cdot 100$$
(Eq. 5)

Then, for each compound, the relative abundance a_i was taken into account to infer its impact of it on the flavor within a mixture, named relative odor activity here (o_i) (**Eq. 6**).

$$o_i = \frac{a_i}{c_{i,air}^*}$$
(Eq. 6)

Summing up the activity values for molecules that belonged to the same flavor group, allowed to generally extract the impact of a certain type of flavor (og), as shown above (**Eq. 7**).

$$o_g = \sum o_i \tag{Eq. 7}$$

The comparison of the different notes then provided a rough estimate of the expected overall flavor note.

3.6.4 Principal component analysis (PCA) and hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA)

PCA and HCA were weighted by using unit variance and zero-mean normalization scaling, respectively (Wu et al. 2016). Moreover for PCA, growth was transformed to fold growth of cfu mL⁻¹, to account for significant differences. Subsequently, PCA and HCA were performed using SPSS (version 24.0).

3.6.5 Statistical analysis

All results displayed in Figures and Tables are shown as the mean values \pm standard deviations (SDs). Statistical evaluation of the data was conducted by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) test and Duncan's test. Differences in values were considered significant when the *p* value was less than 0.05 (+) and 0.01 (++). Statistical analyzes were performed using SPSS (version 24.0).

4 Results and Discussion

4.1 Genome-based selection and application of food-grade microbes for chickpea milk fermentation towards increasing L-lysine content

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*), one of the oldest and most widely consumed legumes worldwide (Fu and Zhang 2013), is regarded an attractive source of milk-alternative consumer products (Kishor et al. 2017; Li et al. 2016) with good protein quality (Chavan et al. 1987; Ribeiro and Melo 1990).

Unfortunately, suspensions of chickpea flour (termed chickpea milk below due to their milk-like appearance) do not match animal milk in all desired characteristics, which is a limitation that is generally observed for plant-based milk alternatives (Sethi et al. 2016). This limitation also holds for the essential amino acid L-lysine, which is required for hormone formation, catalytic and structural proteins, and immune system support and is therefore one of the most impacting nutrients (Flodin 1997). Critically, it exhibits much lower abundance in chickpea-based milk than in animal milk, approximately half of the amount in a typical 10% dry matter formulation (Csapó et al. 2009b; Rachwa-Rosiak et al. 2015). Moreover, chickpea milk contains elevated levels of indigestible sugars such as raffinose and stachyose, which cause flatulence, diarrhoea, and other discomfort upon consumption (Onyesom et al. 2005). In addition, it exhibits a grassy and beany taste that does not meet consumer expectations (Aguirre et al. 2008).

In this chapter, we present a systematic workflow to fortify a chickpea milk using fermentation with natural food-grade microbes. To improve upon the low level of Llysine, more than 30 strains were selected as candidates based on their genomic repertoire related to L-lysine metabolism. A screening round revealed that one-third (9) of the tested strains significantly accumulated L-lysine during chickpea milk

fermentation. ¹³C isotope studies showed that the two best-performing strains, *Lacticaseibacillus paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156, synthetize L-lysine *de novo*. Both microbes were used for a more detailed investigation of the fermentation process. They beneficially altered the amino acid and protein profile, utilized (indigestible) carbohydrates, and formed desired flavour molecules, which highlights them as microbes well suited for chickpea milk fermentation.

4.1.1 Nutritional characteristics of chickpea milk

Initial tests revealed that a one-step pre-treatment was not suitable to provide homogeneous, sterile chickpea milk for fermentation. Standard autoclaving (121°C, 15 min) resulted in undesired starch gelation and an inhomogeneous suspension, while pasteurization (63°C, 5 h) failed to avoid contamination in the subsequent fermentation studies (**Fig. 8**). After several tests, a suitable two-step heat pre-treatment was developed. It avoided starch gelation by an initial phase of stirring (75°C, 2 h), provided a sterile suspension by a subsequent autoclaving step (121°C, 15 min), and finally yielded a homogenous, easy to process suspension (**Fig. 8**).

From a chemical composition viewpoint, the unfiltered chickpea milk contained 16.2% (w/w, dry mass) protein, 8.7% soluble carbohydrates, 7.4% fat, 2.5% organic acid, and a remaining fraction accounting for 65.2% (e.g., starch, fibers, ash, and salt) (**Fig. 9**), which well compared to previous studies (Jukanti et al. 2012; Kishor et al. 2017; Rachwa-Rosiak et al. 2015; Ribeiro and Melo 1990; Singh et al. 1983; Wallace et al. 2016). Among the nonessential amino acids, L-glutamate (3.3%) and L-aspartate (1.6%) were the most abundant. The major essential amino acids were L-leucine (1.4%) and L-phenylalanine (1.0%). As expected, the level of L-lysine (8.7





mg (g dry mass)⁻¹) was only 30-70% of the value observed for animal milk (Guo et al. 2007). Soluble carbohydrates comprised digestible (sucrose, maltose) and



Fig. 9. Composition of non-filtered chickpea milk used for the fermentation studies. All values are related to the dry mass (w/w). n=3.

carbohydrates (raffinose, stachyose, verbascose). Stachyose (3.0%, w/w, dry mass), sucrose (2.8%), raffinose (1.4%), and maltose (1.2%) were the major soluble sugars. Verbascose was present in lower amounts, whereas the levels of glucose and fructose were negligible. In addition, chickpea milk contained organic acids such as 1.3% α -ketoglutarate (w/w, dry mass), 1.2% citrate, and trace amounts of succinate.

4.1.2 Genome-based selection of food-grade microbes for L-lysine production

Since the early days of humankind, fermentation has been a natural approach to producing food, and today, fermented foods are more popular than ever (Adler et al. 2013). Given the magnitude of available microbes for plant milk fermentation (or even food fermentation in general), a straightforward selection of the most appropriate microbes appears crucial. Previous approaches that successfully

increased the L-lysine content in chickpea (Reyes-Moreno et al. 2004), soybean (Frias et al. 2008a; Frias et al. 2008b; Ghosh et al. 2013; Santos et al. 2014), mung bean (Khalil 2006), and cowpea (Sanni et al. 1999) found the corresponding microbes mainly only spontaneously and occasionally. Here, potential L-lysine producers were systematically selected, based on their genomic repertoires.

Bacterial genomes of the NCC were analyzed for their features related to L-lysine, including L-lysine biosynthesis, L-lysine degradation, and pathways competing with L-lysine biosynthesis for carbon precursors (Fig. 10). A component from the pathway architecture for bacterial L-lysine biosynthesis, the lysA gene (encoding diaminopimelate decarboxylase) was inferred as a premium selection criterion to identify potential L-lysine producers. This enzyme catalyzes the terminal step of Llysine synthesis, downstream of *meso*-diaminopimelate, where all synthetic routes converge (**Fig. 10**). Therefore, the presence of *lysA* was an essential (minimal) feature required for L-lysine formation. In total, 2,472 bacterial NCC genomes contained an annotated lysA gene, and most of them belonged to the orders Lactobacillales, Bacillales, Bifidobacteriales, and Corynebacteriales. Fewer than half of the genomes (1,117) additionally included a *dapF* gene, potentially indicating the succinylase and/or acetylase route to synthesize L-lysine (Fig. 10). Only 14 strains contained the *ddh* gene (encoding diaminopimelate dehydrogenase) as a key step of the dehydrogenase pathway. The selection was narrowed down by considering only strains approved for use in the food and feed chain according to the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA Panel on Biological Hazards et al. 2017). Among all potential L-lysine producers, i. e. all strains that contained lysA plus either dapF or ddh, 945 strains exhibited the qualified presumption of safety (QPS) status and therefore fulfilled this extra requirement. They belonged to five different families (Lactobacillaceae, Bacillaceae, Bifidobacteriaceae, Corynebacteraceae,



Fig. 10. Microbial pathways for the synthesis and degradation of L-lysine. Potential Llysine overproducers were identified from the NCC database based on the presence and absence of key genes related to the well-established routes of L-lysine metabolism (Rezola et al. 2011). Enzymes shown in yellow were essential for L-lysine biosynthesis, whereas enzymes shown in blue were involved in competing pathways and L-lysine degradation (Chou et al. 2010; Revelles et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2014). The presence of diaminopimelate decarboxylase (LysA) was regarded as essential for potential L-lysineproducing strains (level 1). Diaminopimelate epimerase (DapF) and diaminopimelate dehydrogenase (Ddh) were then used to infer the putative pathway involved (level 2). Abbreviations: DP, dehydrogenase pathway; SP, succinylase pathway; AP, acetylase 4-hydroxy-tetrahydrodipicolinate pathway; DapA, synthase; DapB. 4hydroxytetrahydrodipicolinate reductase; DapD, tetrahydrodipicolinate succinylase; DapC, succinyl-amino-ketopimelate transaminase; DapE, N-succinyl-diaminopimelate

desuccinylase; DapF, diaminopimelate epimerase; Ddh, diaminopimelate dehydrogenase; *THDP-NAT*, tetrahydrodipicolinate acetylase; PatA, *N*-acetyl-amino-ketopimelate aminotransferase; NAD-DAC, *N*-acetyl-diaminopimelate deacetylase; LysA, diaminopimelate decarboxylase; Hom, homoserine dehydrogenase; MurF, UDP-*N*acetylmuramoylalanyl-D-glutamyl-2,6-diamino-pimelate-D-alanyl-D-alanyl ligase; *EC* 1.4.3.14, L-lysine oxidase; *EC* 5.1.1.5, lysine racemase; KamA, L-lysine 2,3-aminomutase; DavB, lysine 2-monooxygenase; CadA, lysine decarboxylase; LucD, lysine N6-hydroxylase.

Carnobacteriaceae), including 12 genera and 33 different species. None of the strains that contained a *ddh* gene met the food safety constraint. Carnobacterium and *Pediococcus* were not further considered because they are mainly associated with producing bacteriocins for food preservation (Brillet et al. 2004; Porto et al. 2017), and the fermentation of materials other than milk such as wine, cheese, sausage, and cabbage (Wade et al. 2019), leaving Lactobacillaceae (757), Bacillaceae (34), Bifidobacteriaceae (119), and Corynebacteraceae (2) for the final selection. Accordingly, 31 potential producers (all containing lysA and dapF that covered the identified taxonomic diversity were selected for experimental studies. The selected strains (Fig. 11) represented 10 genera and 19 different species: (i) Lactobacillus helveticus (3), Lactobacillus johnsonii (3), Lactobacillus acidophilus (1), Lactobacillus delbrueckii (1), Limosilactobacillus reuteri (3), Limosilactobacillus pontis (1), Lacticaseibacillus paracasei (2), Lactiplantibacillus plantarum (1), Fructilactobacillus (3), sanfranciscensis Levilactobacillus brevis (1), Lentilactobacillus hilgardii (1); (ii) Bifidobacterium longum (1) and Bifidobacterium infantis (1); (iii) Bacillus amyloliquefaciens (2), Bacillus flexus (2), Bacillus licheniformis (1), Bacillus pumilus (1), and Bacillus subtilis (1); and (iv) Corynebacterium stationis (2). In addition, Lactobacillus jensenii NCC 2867, lacking lysA, was used as a negative control. Regarding oxygen sensitivity, the selected strains were classified as obligate anaerobes (6), aerotolerant (17), and obligate aerobes (9).

	LSP	PCP		LDP					
Strains	LysA + DapF	Hom	MurF	EC. 1.4.3.14	EC. 5.1.1.5	KamA	DavB	CadA	lucD
L. helveticus NCC 1182	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•
L. helveticus NCC 1104	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
L. helveticus NCC 158	•	•		: 😐	•	•	•	•	•
F. sanfranciscensis NCC 463	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
F. sanfranciscensis NCC 2572	•	•	•	. 🔸	•	•	•	•	•
F. sanfranciscensis NCC 2629	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•
L. hilgardii NCC 1497	•			. •	•	•	•	•	•
L delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus NCC 621	•	•		•		•	•	•	•
L plantarum NCC 1385	•			•		•	•	•	•
L. brevis NCC 372	•		•	. 🔸	•	•	•	•	•
L. johnsonii NCC 2774	•	•		•		•	•	•	•
L. johnsonii NCC 2822	•	•	٠	. •		•	•	•	•
L. johnsonii NCC 2767	•	•		•		•	•	•	•
L pontis NCC 380	•	•		•		•	•	•	•
L. reuterii NCC 1945	•	•	•	. 🔸	٠	•	•	•	•
L. reuteri NCC 2823	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
L. reuteri NCC 2613	•		٠	. •	٠	•	•	•	•
L paracasei subsp. paracasei NCC 2511	•			•		•	•	•	•
L paracasei NCC 2537	•			•		•	•	•	•
L. acidophilus NCC 2766	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•
B. amyloliquefaciens NCC 156	•		•	•		•	•	•	•
B. amyloliquefaciens NCC 2770	•		٠	. 😐	٠	•	•	•	•
B. subtilis NCC 199	•			•		•	•	•	•
B. licheniformis NCC 2940	•		٠	. •	٠	•	•	•	•
B. flexus NCC 2902	•			•		•	•	•	
B. flexus NCC 2903	•		•	•		•	•	•	•
B. pumilus NCC 2962	•		٠	•	٠	٠	•	•	•
B. infantis NCC 365	•			•	•	•	•	•	•
B. longum subsp. infantis NCC 283	. 🕘 :	٠	•	: •	•	•	•	•	•
C. stationis NCC 3013	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•
C. stationis NCC 3016	•		•	. •	•	•	•	•	•
L jensenii NCC 2867 (negative control)		•		: 🔶	•	•	•	•	• :

Fig. 11: Genomic repertoire of food-grade microbes linked to L-lysine metabolism: pathways for L-lysine biosynthesis (LSP), pathways competing with L-lysine biosynthesis for carbon precursors (PCP), and pathways for L-lysine degradation (LDP). The presence (yellow) and absence (blue) of corresponding key genes (Fig. 10) is indicated by color.

By strict filtering of genome sequences for key genes related to L-lysine synthesis and degradation, an initially high number of approximately 2,500 potential

candidates was narrowed down to 31 strains that possessed the key genes *lys A* and *dapF* for L-lysine biosynthesis, incomplete pathway sets for L-lysine degradation and fulfilled the QPS recommendation. The selected strains represented 10 different microbial genera and (given the low number) enabled straightforward experimental work.

4.1.3 Evaluation of the pre-selected microbes for chickpea milk fermentation

The selected 31 strains differed in their genomic repertoire regarding the number of completely and partially annotated L-lysine biosynthetic pathways (Fig. 11). They were next experimentally evaluated for their capability to ferment chickpea milk, and L. jensenii was included as a negative control (Fig. 11). Generally, the established fermentation process was highly reproducible, which enabled a clear evaluation of strain performance. In total, 60% of the strains (19 out of 32) were able to grow (Fig. 12, Appendix, Table S2). Depending on species and strain, the observed increase in the number of living cells ranged from 0.2 to 3.2 log cfu mL⁻¹. Generally, obligate aerobic and aerotolerant strains grew well, while obligate anaerobes showed weak or even no growth. The bacilli and corynebacteria showed the strongest growth. The cfu numbers for these strains increased at least tenfold (1 log) while the bifidobacteria grew only weakly. For the lactobacilli, the outcome was mixed. Some strains grew well (L. plantarum, L. paracasei subsp. paracasei, and two out of three L. reuteri), while others (F. sanfranciscensis, L. helveticus, L. johnsonii, L. acidophilus, the remaining L. reuteri and the negative control strain L. jensenii) did not grow.

Notably, 30% of the strains (9 out of 31 potential producers) increased the level of L-lysine (**Fig. 12**). The producers were exclusively found among four genera: *Lacticaseibacillus, Limosilactobacillus, Levilactobacillus,* and *Bacillus*. High and low producers were equally distributed between LAB and *Bacillus* groups. The best, third best, fifth best, and sixth best producers were LAB strains (*L. paracasei* subsp.



Fig. 12. Growth and L-lysine production of pre-selected food-grade strains during fermentation of chickpea milk. *Lactobacillus jensenii* was included as a negative control and is correspondingly annotated. The L-lysine concentration after 48 h of fermentation was significantly increased (yellow, p < 0.05), significantly decreased (blue, p < 0.05), or not significantly changed (light blue). The coloured squares represent the change in cfu after 24 h and 48 h: strong growth (yellow, increase > 1 log cfu mL⁻¹), weak growth (light blue, increase < 1 log cfu mL⁻¹), and no growth (blue, no change). In addition, the genomic repertoire of the strains for L-lysine biosynthesis is shown (**Fig. 10**): yellow, completely annotated pathway; light blue, partially annotated pathway; and blue, pathway not

annotated. Abbreviations: SP, succinylase pathway; AP, acetylase pathway; DP, dehydrogenase pathway. n=3.

paracasei NCC 2511, *L. paracasei* NCC 2537, *L. reuteri* NCC 2613, and *L. brevis*. NCC 372), while the second, fourth, seventh, eighth and ninth highest L-lysine levels were achieved by *Bacillus* strains (*B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156, *B. flexus* NCC 2902, *B. flexus* NCC 2940, *B. subtilis* NCC 199, *B. licheniformis* NCC 2940, and *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 2770). *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 increased the L-lysine content by 37% and 30%, respectively. In contrast, none of the *Bifidobacterium* and *Corynebacterium* isolates increased the L-lysine level. Several isolates degraded L-lysine. *B. pumilus* NCC 2962 decreased the L-lysine content by over 50%. Fifteen strains did not alter the content significantly.

As a valuable proof-of-concept, 30% of all experimentally tested strains exhibited the desired phenotype in increasing the L-lysine content, and it appears promising to extend this genome-based selection approach to other plant milk fermentation processes and traits. Although our study could not yield a complete picture, it provided at least systematic insight into the pathways that supported L-lysine production the most. Among the strains that exhibited growth and L-lysine accumulation, the majority (79%) possessed a completely annotated acetylase pathway (AP), while 32% exhibited a complete succinylase pathway (SP), and two isolates had both routes in parallel. None of the strains used here contained the dehydrogenase pathway (DP). The different biosynthetic routes are widely abundant in nature: there are SP-using classes and species such as *Escherichia coli, Corynebacterium, Bacillus,* and *Lactobacillus* (Dogovski et al. 2012; Hao et al. 2011; Schrumpf et al. 1991), AP users such as certain *Bacillus* and *Lactobacillus* (Cahyanto et al. 2006; Hao et al. 2011), and DP users such as

Corynebacterium and some *Bacillus* species (Dogovski et al. 2012). The AP and SP both supported L-lysine accumulation in chickpea milk, with a slightly better performance from the AP.

Among catabolic pathways and potential L-lysine withdrawal and degradation, there was no clear trend. The competing routes to homoserine (Becker et al. 2008; Nelofer et al. 2007) and peptidoglycan (Smith 2006; Xu et al. 2014) did not have an impact. However, *B. pumilus* NCC 2962, the only strain tested that possessed a lysine decarboxylase (EC. 4.1.1.18)-encoding gene (**Fig. 11**), dramatically decreased the L-lysine content so that future strain selection might consider potentially negative effects of this enzyme. Taken together, AP and SP genes appear to be genomic key features of well-performing L-lysine-producing strains. Based on performance, future focus should be given to strains of *Lacticaseibacillus, Limosilactobacillus, Levilactobacillus,* and *Bacillus.* Given that these genera are among the most widely used microbes for plant-based fermentation (Jeske et al. 2018; Steinkraus 1997), there seems striking potential ahead.

L. paracasei subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 appeared most promising for further studies regarding their superior capability to overproduce L-lysine. The inspection of their genomes revealed that they possessed a rich repertoire to potentially improve also other important traits of the plant milk. They contained several genes, functionally assigned to catalyze flavor formation, such as alcohol dehydrogenase (Liu et al. 2008), aldehyde dehydrogenase (Liu et al. 2008), and enzymes of branched-chain amino acid metabolism (Smit et al. 2009) (**Table 3**). Moreover, genes encoding carbohydrate degrading enzymes, known to be involved in the removal of indigestible sugars (Savoy de Giori et al. 2010), were present. Therefore, both strains were subjected to a more detailed characterization.

4.1.4 Fermentation of chickpea milk using *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156

The physiology of *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 during chickpea milk fermentation was monitored over a period of 48 h (**Fig. 13A-G**). The microbe went through several distinct phases of an obviously altered metabolism. The initial phase was the main phase of growth. The cells immediately started to proliferate, and the cfu number increased almost 1000-fold during the first 8 h (**Fig. 13A**).

Table 3. The number of locus in *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 and *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 encoding functional enzymes invoving in flavor formation,pyruvate, and butanoate metabolism.

Function	Enzyme name and orthology	Enzyme Commision number	<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> NCC 156	<i>L. paracasei</i> subsp. p <i>aracasei</i> NCC 2511
Flavor formation	Alcohol dehydrogenase (NAD)	EC 1.1.1.1	1	1
	Alcohol dehydrogenase (NADP [⁺])	EC 1.1.1.2	3	1
	Aldehyde dehydrogenase	EC 1.2.1.3	1	0
	Acetaldehyde dehydrogenase	EC 1.2.1.10	0	1
	Branched-chain aminotransferase	EC 2.6.1.42	0	1
	Leucine dehydrogenase	EC 1.4.1.9	1	0
	Valine dehydrogenase (NAD ⁺)	EC 1.4.1.23	1	0
	L-Amino-acid oxidase	EC 1.4.3.2	1	0
Pyruvate metabolism	Lactate dehydrogenase	EC 1.1.1.27	2	2
	Pyruvate-formate lyase	EC 2.3.1.54	0	1
Butanoate metabolism	Acetolactate- synthase	EC 2.2.1.6	3	1
	Acetolactate- decarboxylase	EC 4.1.1.5	1	1

Notably, the initial phase (0-16 h) was also the major phase of L-lysine production (42.8% increase), which appeared growth coupled (Fig. 13C). TCA cycle-related intermediates (citrate, α -ketoglutarate) were utilized as major substrates during this initial phase (Fig. 13F). Carbohydrates were also taken up but at only a rather low rate (Fig. 13DE). Dissolved oxygen was quickly consumed and became limiting after approximately 10 h, while the pH value significantly dropped (Fig. 13B). Acetate was the only by-product formed in significant amounts (Fig. 13G). Then, growth and L-lysine accumulation slowed (16-30 h) (Fig. 13AC). Likely linked to limited oxygen availability (Fig. 13B), fermentation products such as lactate and 2,3-butanediol emerged (Fig. 13G). With the depletion of citrate and α -ketoglutarate, NCC 156 switched to consuming mainly sucrose, while raffinose and stachyose degradation continued (Fig. 13DEF). After approximately 30 h, sucrose was used up, triggering an accelerated use of stachyose and raffinose (30-48 h). The level of maltose, on the other hand, increased (Fig. 13DE). Overall, B. amyloliquefaciens NCC 156 showed an outstanding capability to degrade indigestible carbohydrates. Stachyose (94.8%) and raffinose (88.6%) were almost completely consumed (Fig. 13E).

Remarkably, the formed L-lysine was largely present as a free amino acid. The extracellular L-lysine level increased almost 9-fold to a final value of 1.4 mM after 24 h of fermentation. The pH value increased towards the end and finally again reached the starting value of approximately 6 (**Fig. 13B**). At the end of the fermentation, acetate (40 mM), acetoin (30 mM), 2,3-butanediol (19 mM), and maltose (11 mM) were the main products (**Fig. 13DG**). Ethanol, isobutyrate, and isovalerate, often detected fermentation products for other strains of *Bacillus*, were not detected. Regarding essential amino acids, the microbe increased the total levels of L-phenylalanine (18.6%), L-valine (11.1%), and L-leucine (10.0%) in addition to L-lysine, while the nonessential amino acids L-glutamate/L-glutamine, L-alanine, L-



serine, and L-arginine – all fuelling the EMP pathway and the TCA cycle, respectively – were degraded (**Fig.14**).

Fig. 13. Time-resolved fermentation of chickpea milk using *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 (left, A-G) and *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 (right, H-N). The data comprise living cell number (cfu) (A, H), dissolved oxygen level and pH value (B, I), and the concentrations of total L-lysine (C, J), sucrose, maltose (D, K), raffinose, stachyose (E, L), citrate, α -ketoglutarate, succinate (F, M), acetate, acetoin, 2,3-butanediol, lactate, and pyruvate (G, N). n=3.

4.1.5 Fermentation of chickpea milk using *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511

L. paracasei subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 showed a completely different fermentation behavior than *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 (**Fig. 13H-N**). Its growth was much weaker, and changes in pH and dissolved oxygen were also less pronounced (**Fig. 13HI**). Citrate was the preferred carbon source. It was completely depleted during the first hours, together with some of the α -ketoglutarate (18.2%) (**Fig. 13KM**). Sucrose (38.3%) and stachyose (16.2%) were partially consumed,



Fig. 14. Relative changes in the amino acid profile during fermentation of chickpea milk using *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 (A) and *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 (B). n=3.

while the raffinose level did not change, indicating a weaker ability of *L. paracasei* subsp.*paracasei* NCC 2511 to ferment carbohydrates (**Fig. 13KL**). Acetate (10 mM) and lactate (2 mM) accumulated as by-products during the initial phase (**Fig. 13N**). Considering the generally lower metabolic activity, the high increase in total L-lysine (45.7%) during the first 24 h was remarkable for this strain. Interestingly, the formed L-lysine remained inside the cells and/or protein bound because the level of the free amino acid did not change significantly (data not shown). *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 slightly changed the amino acid profile (**Fig. 14**). The levels of L-phenylalanine (14.0%) and L-leucine (11.0%) increased, while L-glutamate/L-glutamine, and L-alanine were partially consumed.

4.1.6 Metabolic pathway analysis: L-Lysine biosynthesis

It appeared interesting to study the L-lysine pathway in more detail. As inferred from the genomic repertoire, it contained L-aspartate as a central intermediate (Fig. 15). Monitoring of the incorporation of ${}^{13}C$ from $[{}^{13}C_4]$ L-aspartate, added as a tracer substrate to the fermentation process, into L-lysine was used to elucidate biosynthesis in vivo. For this purpose, 11 mM [¹³C₄] L-aspartate was added to medium. After 20 h of fermentation, the ¹³C enrichment of total L-lysine was analyzed by GC-MS. In a control study, the medium was supplemented with the same amount of naturally labeled L-aspartate, and the ¹³C enrichment of total Llysine was analyzed. The 13 C enrichment of total L-lysine in the control was 1.1 ± 0.1%, reflecting the expected natural ¹³C abundance (Schwechheimer et al. 2018a). Notably, it was significantly increased to a value of $1.9 \pm 0.0\%$ in the tracer study (p < 0.01) which indicated that [¹³C₄] L-aspartate had been converted into L-lysine (Fig. **15**). The ¹³C enrichment of extracellular L-lysine was even more increased (5.6 \pm 0.1%), underlining that cells excreted large amounts of the free amino acid, formed from L-aspartate, into the media (Fig. 15). Parallel analysis of the ¹³C enrichment of L-aspartate revealed a substantial decrease from a high initial value of $38.5 \pm 1.2\%$


(0 h) to 2.7 \pm 0.1% (20 h) indicating that the tracer was largely used up during this time (**Fig. 15**). In a parallel study, *de novo* biosynthesis of L-lysine was also observed

Fig. 15. Metabolic flux analysis of L-lysine biosynthesis in *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 (yellow) and *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 (blue) during chickpea milk fermentation. The carbon flux through of L-lysine biosynthesis was investigated by monitoring the incorporation of ¹³C from [¹³C₄] L-aspartate, added as a tracer to the medium, into L-lysine. As a control, naturally labeled L-aspartate was supplemented to the same amount instead. The data show the ¹³C enrichment in total L-lysine, free extracellular L-lysine, and total L-aspartate after 20 h of fermentation, measured by GC-MS. The given summed fractional labeling (SFL) reflects the average enrichment of ¹³C in each of the analytes. The corresponding values from the control experiment for total L-aspartate (1.1 ± 0.1%), total L-lysine (1.1 ± 0.1%), and free extracellular L-lysine (1.1 ± 0.1%) matched the theoretical values expected from natural ¹³C abundance. They are shown as dashed lines. The significance of ¹³C enrichment in each analyte was determined by comparison of the measured value against the naturally labeled control, and is marked accordingly (*, *p* < 0.05, ** *p* < 0.01). n=3.

for *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511. Using [¹³C₄] L-aspartate as a tracer, the ¹³C enrichment of total L-lysine after 20 h was again significantly increased (1.4 \pm 0.0%, *p* < 0.01) (**Fig. 15**). At a first glance, it appeared less pronounced. The weaker increase in ¹³C enrichment in L-lysine than in NCC 156, however, partially resulted from the fact that the added [¹³C₄] L-aspartate was only slightly used, i. e. the ¹³C enrichment of total L-aspartate after 20 h (36.5 \pm 0.4%) was almost as high as the starting value (38.5 \pm 1.2%) indicating only little consumption (**Fig. 15**).

As shown above, citrate appeared to be the preferred carbon source of both strains, and, for *B. amyloliquefaciens*, its consumption happened simultaneously with L-lysine formation, suggesting a potential link (**Fig. 13**). In additional studies, citrate (10 mM) was spiked into the medium. For *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156, this resulted in 27% increased L-lysine production to 1.8 ± 0.2 mM after 24 h, while the growth of the microbe was stimulated to $10^{9.5}$ cfu mL⁻¹. For *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei*, no significant impact on growth or L-lysine formation was observed (data not shown).

The genome of *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* contained a potential citrate degradation route via citrate lyase, while that of *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 did not (**Table 4**). The latter observation suggested that, in this microbe, citrate was apparently converted via the TCA cycle towards oxaloacetate and the precursor of L-lysine instead (**Fig. 16A**).

Table 4. The number of locus in *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 and *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 encoding functional enzymes invoving in carbohydrate degradation and citrate metabolism.

Function	Enzyme name and orthology	Enzyme Commision number	B. amyloliquefaciens NCC 156	<i>L. paracasei</i> subsp. <i>paracasei</i> NCC 2511
Carbohydrate degradation	α -Galactosidase	EC 3.2.1.22	3	1
	β -Fructosidase	EC 3.2.1.23	3	1
	α -Amylase	EC 3.2.1.1	2	0
	Pullulanase	EC 3.2.1.41	1	0
	Neopullulanase	EC 3.2.1.135	1	1
Citrate metabolism	Citrate CoA- transferase (citrate lyase α- subunit)	EC 2.8.3.10	0	1
	Citrate CoA-lyase (citrate lyase β- subunit)	EC 4.1.3.34	0	1
	Citrate lyase synthetase	EC 6.2.1.22	0	1

4.1.7 Metabolic pathway analysis: Fermentation by-products and flavor molecules

The citrate supplemented cultures (see above) were now evaluated for citraterelated effects on the formation of flavor molecules and fermentative by-products. Both microbes differed in the genomic repertoire regarding these parts of metabolism (Table 4). Citrate contributed to the formation of different organic acids in L. paracasei subsp. paracasei, including acetate, lactate, pyruvate, and α ketoglutarate, when spiked into chickpea milk (Fig. 16AB). In addition, acetoin and 2,3-butanediol were obviously produced from citrate. B. amyloliguefaciens formed higher amounts of short-chain fatty acids (such as isovalerate and isobutyrate) from citrate. In a series of further experiments, different chickpea milk contained aldehydes (Fig. 17, Fig. 18) – flavor compounds themselves and precursors for other flavors as well - were individually spiked into the cultures. Both microbes efficiently reduced pentanal, hexanal, heptanal, octanal, nonanal, and benzaldehyde into the corresponding alcohols (Fig. 16C). L. paracasei subsp. paracasei NCC 2511 further revealed a significant capability to oxidize five-carbon to nine-carbon aldehydes into the corresponding acids. B. amyloliquefaciens NCC 156 also and nonanal. Moreover, we tested flavor-related effects by supplementing branched-chain amino acids (Fig. 16AB). B. amyloliquefaciens NCC 156 degraded leucine, isoleucine, and valine (spiked into the medium) into short-chain fatty acids, whereas *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* did not metabolize these amino acids.

4.1.8 A rich set of microbial aroma compound conversions contributes to an improved flavor profile during chickpea milk fermentation

Flavors are a major attribute that consumers consider when buying plant milkderived products (Lv et al. 2011). Unfortunately, plant-based milk alternatives are generally perceived as having a displeasing taste, probably because of previous



Fig. 16. Metabolic pathway analysis in *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 and *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511. Metabolism of citrate and branched-chain amino acids (A, B) and of short- to medium-chain flavour aldehydes (C). The data reflect changes in metabolite abundances during 24 h of fermentation in comparison to those in non-fermented chickpea milk incubated under the same conditions (control). In separate experiments, citrate (yellow, 10 mM), a mixture of the branched-chain amino acids valine, leucine, and isoleucine (green, 5 mM each), and flavour aldehydes (blue, 5 mM, each tested individually in separate experiments) were spiked into chickpea milk. The evaporation of aldehydes (assessed from non-inoculated controls) is indicated as relative loss by the grey colour. The formation of alcohols and acids from a particular aldehyde is visualized by the size of the corresponding circle associated with the products (p < 0.05). n=3.

experiences with less-appealing products in the market (Wansink et al. 2005). As shown here, unfermented chickpea milk contained various volatiles associated with an undesired taste (**Fig. 18**), a typical drawback for these types of plant-based raw materials (Bott and Chambers IV 2006). Prominent beany and earthy off-flavors are caused by medium-chain aldehydes such as pentanal, hexanal, and heptanal

(Blagden and Gilliland 2005), which originate from the oxidation of plant lipids and are also found in high amounts in other legume-based milks (Blagden and Gilliland 2005).

It can therefore be regarded as beneficial that L. paracasei subsp. paracasei NCC 2511 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 largely eliminated these aldehydes (Fig. **16C**). As shown by spiking experiments, the major routes of elimination seemed to be one-step reductive and oxidative biotransformations into the corresponding alcohols and acids, respectively, mostly yielding a strong upgrade in flavor properties into sweet and fruity notes (Chua et al. 2017), although multistep bioconversion and *de novo* formation found in other microbes appeared possible as well (Wittmann et al. 2002). Other flavor-related conversions involved entire pathways of carbon core metabolism, underlining the complexity involved. Citrate and branched-chain amino acids were identified as important precursors. Citrate metabolism in L. paracasei subsp. paracasei provided acetate, lactate, acetoin, and 2,3-butanediol, whereas valine, leucine, and isoleucine degradation delivered isobutyrate, isovalerate, and 2-methylbutanoate. Based on the discovered link between the chickpea ingredient and specific flavors, screening for plant-based materials rich in such precursors to stimulate the formation of desired flavor notes appears promising (Ayseli and Ayseli 2016). As demonstrated, elevated citrate levels would also provide more L-lysine. An interesting possibility also seems to be the direct addition of such compounds to fermentation to generate beneficial fermentation products. Maltol is also formed, and it contributes to a sweet caramel flavor and is released by the cleavage of antinutrient saponins during fermentation (Hubert et al. 2008). The metabolic origin of the removal of other compounds, such as 1-octen-3-ol and 2-pentyl-furan, remains to be elucidated, but their degradation surely reduces the undesired earthy and beany taste of chickpea flour (Xu et al. 2019). Clearly, the overall aroma of (fermented) legume-based milk is not due to



Fig. 17. GC-MS-based analysis of volatile compounds in unfermented chickpea milk (A), chickpea milk fermented for 24 h with *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 (B) and chickpea milk fermented for 24 h with *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 (C). The peak numbers refer to the identified analytes: 1, acetone; 2, pentanal; 3, 1-pentanol; 4, hexanal; 5, 1-hexanol; 6, 2-heptanone; 7, heptanal; 8, cyclooctane; 9, benzaldehyde; 10, 1-octen-3-ol; 11, 2-pentylfuran, 12, octanal; 13, phenyl-methanol; 14, *trans*-2-octenal; 15, 1-nonanal; 16, γ -heptyl-butyrolactone; 17, acetoin; 18, isobutyric acid; 19, 2-methyl-hexanoic acid; 20, 2-methyl-butanoic acid; 21, acetoin acetate; 22, 1-heptanol; 23, ethyl-1-hexanol;

24, 1-octanol; 25, 2-nonanone; 26, maltol; 27, 2,3-butanedione; 28, 2-phenylethanol; 29, 1nonanol; 30, nonanoic acid; M, peaks from sample matrix and instrumental background noise.

single chemicals but rather their exact mixture (Bott and Chambers IV 2006). As such, the overall decrease in off-odour aldehydes plus the formation of favored sweet and fruity alcohols, acids, ketones, and phenols is an important indication that sensory properties were significantly improved, but more work, e.g., using sophisticated electronic aroma sensing and personal aroma detection by skilled and trained sensory panelists, is needed to fully capture the underlying changes (Blagden and Gilliland 2005; Schindler et al. 2012; Vong and Liu 2017).

4.1.9 A multi-benefit chickpea milk derived by *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 and *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511

Plant-based milk alternatives preferably exhibit the technical, nutritional, and organoleptic properties of cow milk, so researchers and developers in academia and industry must overcome certain challenges (Tangyu et al. 2019). From a technical viewpoint, gelation of typically starchy plant materials during sterilization causes problems in downstream processing (Mäkinen et al. 2016). Conventional autoclaving and pasteurization failed as pre-treatment strategies due to the reasons mentioned above. The developed two-step heat treatment, however, provided a homogeneous and stable emulsion and was a valuable strategy for processing chickpea milk prior to fermentation at a small scale. On the fermentation side, several goals had to be addressed: (i) improvement of the naturally low nutritional value of plant milks limited in amino acids (Millward 1999), (ii) improvement of digestibility to avoid flatulence, diarrhea, and other discomforts (Onyesom et al. 2005), and (iii) improvement of the typically unpleasant earthy and beany taste (Wansink et al. 2005). It is therefore an important outcome of this study that

	RT (min)	Fla∨or and odor properties◎	Abundance			
Compound			Control 0 h	Control 24 h	Bacillus amyloliquefaciens NCC 156	Lacticaseibacillus paracasei subsp. paracasei NCC 2511
Favored volatiles						
2,3-Butanedione	2.52	Buttery ^{AB} , sweet ^B , creamy ^B				0
Acetoin	3.95	Pleasant ^B , buttery ^B , creamy ^{BC} , sweet ^D , toasted ^D				0
1-Pentanol	5.32	Fermented ^B , balsamic ^E , alcoholic ^F	0	0	0	0
Acetoin acetic acid	8.52	Fruity ^B , creamy ^B ,sweet ^B			0	
2-Heptanone	8.60	Fruity ^G , fora ^A , sweet ^G	0	•		•
1-Octanal	11.76	Fruity ^F ,citrus ^{FG} , sweet ^H	0	•		
Phenylmethanol	12.58	Fruity ^B , floral ^{BI} , sweet ^B , honey ^C	•	•	0	•
Maltol	14.61	Sweet ^e , caramellic ^e		•	•	•
1-Nonanol	16.14	Flora ^B , rose ^C				•
2-Phenylethanol	14.71	Flora ^B , rose ^B , sweet ^B				•
γ-Heptyl-butyrolactone	20.62	Fruity ^B , creamy ^B	•	•	•	•
Neutral volatiles						
Acetone	1.93	Fruity [₿] , solvent [₿] , ethereal [₿]	\bigcirc			
Isobutyric acid	5.18	Buttery ⁸ , cheesy ⁸ , sour ⁸ , rancid ⁸			\bigcirc	
2-Methyl-hexanoic acid	7.85	Cheesy ^B , fruity ^B , oily ^B , sour ^C			\bigcirc	
2-Methyl-butanoic acid	7.93	Sour ^B , cheesy ^B , dirty ^B , fermented ^B			\bigcirc	
1-Hexanol	8.03	Fruity ^{BE} , lemmon ^C , herbaceous ^H , nice green ^{EG}	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
1-Heptanal	8.90	Citrus ^D , fatty ^D , flora ^G , rancid ^{DF}	\bigcirc	0		
Cyclooctane	10.45	Camphoraceous	0 0			
Benzaldehyde	10.52	Nutty ^H , bitter ^H , almond ^{ACH} , sweet ^C	\bigcirc	\bigcirc		\circ
2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	12.48	Citrus ^B , fatty ^B , rose ^D , green ^D			\bigcirc	0
1-Octanol	13.59	Fruity ^H , flora ^H , waxy ^B , aldehyde ^{BC}	0		0	
2-Nonanone	14.15	Fruity ^G , flora ^H , green ^D , hot milk ^D , soap ^D	0			
1-Nonanal	14.46	Fruity ^H , citrus ^{CEFG} , flora ^{EFG} , fatty ^G , green ^E	\bigcirc	\bigcirc		
Nonanoic acid	18.46	Sweet ^F , terpenic ^F , cinnamon ^F , cheesy ^B , waxy ^B				0
Unfavored volatiles						
1-Pentanal	3.74	Green ^F , grassy ^F , nutty ^{AG} , woody ^H				
1-Hexanal	6.07	Green $^{\mbox{FGH}},$ grassy $^{\mbox{CDFH}},$ nutty $^{\mbox{A}},$ fat $^{\mbox{D}},$ oxidized oil $^{\mbox{J}}$				
1-Heptanol	10.86	Green ^c , mushroom ^F , rancid ^c	-		•	•
1-Octen-3-ol	11.11	Mushroom ^{ACFGH} , fungi ^D	• • • •		•	
2-Pentyl-furan	11.45	Beany ^{ACH} , green ^D , grassy ^J , nutty ^{AH}			•	•
trans-2-Octenal	13.24	Green ^D , ∨egetable ^F , nutty ^D , fatty ^D	•	•		

O < 2.5 x 10⁶ O 2.5 x 10⁶ - 5 x 10⁷ O 5 x 10⁶ - 2 x 10⁷ O 2 x 10⁷ − 1 x 10⁸ O 1 x 10⁸ - 2.5 x 10⁸

Fig. 18. Aroma development during fermentation of chickpea milk with *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156. The data reflect changes in the abundance of volatiles during 24 h of fermentation in comparison to their abundance in non-fermented chickpea milk, incubated under the same conditions (control). Volatile compound analysis was conducted using GC-MS, and compounds were identified based on their mass spectra using the NIST library. The given abundance reflects the mean peak areas from triplicate experiments. Compound classification into favoured volatiles with flora, fruity, sweet, and creamy aroma properties (yellow), neutral volatiles with concentration-dependent desired and undesired aroma properties (light blue), and unfavoured volatiles potentially contributing to the beany, green, and mushroom flavour (dark blue) relates to previous studies and databases on flavour (Kaczmarska et al. 2018;

Li et al. 2014; Lv et al. 2011; Vara-Ubol et al. 2004). RT = retention time. The assigned flavour properties are taken from previous studies and databases: A (Boatright and Lei 1999), B (The Good Scents Company Information System 2021), C (Xu et al. 2019), D (Verginer et al. 2010), E (Sabatini et al. 2008), F (Lv et al. 2011), G (Yang et al. 2008), H (Zeng et al. 2008), I (Sell 2006), J (CAMEO Chemicals 2015). n=3.

B. amyloliquefaciens NCC 156 and *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 addressed several of these major criteria well. As shown, they increased the L-lysine level by up to 43% (**Fig. 13CJ**), improved the amino acid profile (**Fig. 14**), largely removed indigestible sugars (**Fig. 13EL**), and improved the flavor (**Fig. 18**). Notably, the L-lysine content of chickpea milk fermented by *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 and *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 increased from 54 mg/g to up to 65 mg/g protein, surpassing the recommended L-lysine content for 6 months to 3-year child (57 mg/g), according to Food and Agriculture Organization (Consultation and FAO Expert 2011). It indicated that L-lysine is no longer the limiting amino acid of chickpea milk after fermentation.

Based on their performance, they are well-performing microbes for chickpea milk fermentation. The multiple benefits delivered, and the food-grade approval associated with both strains suggest their great potential for use in industry.

In this regard, *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 and *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 stand in a prominent line with related microbes. For example, *B. amyloliquefaciens* strains are found in fermented legume-based foods, including doenjang and meju (Dakwa et al. 2005; Lee et al. 2016a), and are often regarded as probiotics due to their beneficial effects on functional food products (Urdaci and Pinchuk 2004). Selected bacilli improved the L-lysine content in soy-based food (Ekwealor and Ebele 2003; Frias et al. 2008b; Teng et al. 2012). *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 belongs to the group of facultative heterofermentative LAB, which are also widely used in dairy and plant-based milk fermentation (Lee et al. 2013; Lin et al. 2004; Wei et al. 2007) and known to exhibit probiotic properties

(Chiang and Pan 2012; Chuang et al. 2011) and increase L-lysine levels, as shown for soy meal (Song et al. 2008), soybean flour (Frias et al. 2008b), and cowpea milk (Sanni et al. 1999).

The efficient consumption of (also indigestible) oligosaccharides and the accumulation of maltose by *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 (obviously resulting from degraded starch) revealed its high glycosidic activity involving α -galactosidase, β -fructosidase, and α -amylase (**Fig. 13DE, Table 4**). The enzymatic portfolio, also observed in other bacilli (Aderibigbe and Odunfa 1990; Ouoba et al. 2007), appeared valuable since it improved the digestibility and acceptability of the fermented product. *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 also exhibited β -fructosidase, α -galactosidase, and α -amylase activity but to a weaker extent (**Fig. 13KL, Table 4**). According to previous studies, the glycolytic capacity among strains of *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* and other lactobacilli is rather strain-specific (Chen et al. 2011; Giraud et al. 1991; Lee et al. 2013; Li et al. 2016; Morlon-Guyot et al. 1998; Sanni et al. 2002).

Interestingly, the two microbes utilized nutrients differently. As a striking example, citrate stimulated the growth and L-lysine production of *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156, which is an interesting finding given that sugars are mainly known to drive L-lysine overproduction (Becker et al. 2008). In contrast, citrate triggered aroma development in *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511. Hereby, the organic acid was obviously converted into four-carbon dicarboxylic acids such as succinate and oxaloacetate via the TCA cycle and potentially also via citrate lyase and then triggered the production of acetate, acetoin, acetate, and smaller amounts of lactate (Hugenholtz 1993; Zotta et al. 2017). *B. amyloliquefaciens*, lacking a citrate lyase pathway, instead used acid for growth.

4.2 Co-cultures of *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* cooperatively ferment sunflower seed milk to high levels of vitamin B₁₂ and multiple co-benefits

Sunflower seeds (*Helianthus annuus*) emerge as an attractive source for plantbased milk alternatives, given their high ecological sustainability, a natural richness in protein, magnesium, and potassium, and a relatively low abundance in antinutritional factors and toxins (Alagawany et al. 2015; Rauf et al. 2020; Škrbić and Filipčev 2008; Villamide and San Juan 1998). In addition, they are nut-free and suit consumers with celiac disease and food allergies. Of particular interest as raw material are the (defatted) press cakes of sunflower seeds, cheap residuals from sunflower oil manufacturing that offer attractive eco-friendliness and economic benefits (de Oliveira Filho and Egea 2021). It is therefore no surprise that sunflower seed suspensions (termed sunflower seed milk in this study due to their milk-like appearance) have found broad application in milk, yogurt, smoothies, and butter, among other products (de Oliveira Filho and Egea 2021; Fleming and Sosulski 1977; Taha et al. 1986). Admittedly, sunflower seed milk does not match animal milk in all desired characteristics, a drawback that is commonly reported for plant-based milk alternatives and requires upgrading (Sethi et al. 2016).

One of the most severe limitations of plant-based products in general, and sunflower seed milk specifically is the lack of vitamin B₁₂ (cobalamin). Chemically, vitamin B₁₂ is a cobalt-containing tetrapyrrole, one of the most complex small molecules made by nature (Warren et al. 2002). It is crucial for neurodevelopment, cell division, and cell differentiation, and displays the most important micronutrient for vegans to be aware of (Martin 2013; Stabler 2013). Notably, only a few bacteria can make vitamin B₁₂ (Survase et al. 2006). The vitamin contains cobalt which is therefore ultimately required for biosynthesis (Roth et al. 1996). Other undesired features of sunflower seed milk are low levels of essential amino acids, especially L-lysine (Flodin 1997),

the presence of indigestible sugars such as stachyose and raffinose (Onyesom et al. 2005), and a bitter, seedy taste (Bao et al. 2020; Heenan et al. 2008). To overcome B₁₂ limitation in plant-based materials, direct supplementation of limiting ingredients is a common way (Allen et al. 2010; Watanabe et al. 2014). However, this adds substantial extra costs, and does no longer meet the expectations of consumers, who more and more expect naturally derived, clean-label food and beverages without artificial blending (Tangyu et al. 2019). At this point, fermentation offers an appealing option to naturally increase the nutritional quality and taste of plant-based milk. In particular, mono-culture fermentation of plant-based materials is well established and has been used to increase e.g. vitamin B₁₂ levels (Assis et al. 2020; Chamlagain et al. 2016; Xie et al. 2019). Often, however, fermentation (Hedayati et al. 2020; Hugenschmidt et al. 2010; Hugenschmidt et al. 2011; Rauf et al. 2020; Xie et al. 2019).

In this chapter, following the great work from the first chapter, we developed a novel co-culture process for the natural fermentation of sunflower seed milk to increase the vitamin B₁₂ level. An initial screening round identified potential strains of *P. freudenreichii*, the only generally regarded as safe (GRAS) approved bacterium, known to synthesize active B₁₂ (Chamlagain et al. 2016), for fermentative production of the vitamin in sunflower seed milk. Subsequently, metabolic activities of the best performing strain *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177 were analyzed and provided a detailed signature profile. *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 and *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511, two microbes found well-performing in chickpea milk, revealed rather complementary features suggesting to co-culture them with the *Propionibacterium* to promote its growth and vitamin B₁₂ biosynthetic power. After iterative optimization rounds, co-culture fermentation of *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 was identified as the best setup. Co-cultivation of

sunflower seed milk by the two strains increased the level of vitamin B_{12} up to 17 µg (100 g)⁻¹, almost eight-fold more than initially observed for the *Propionibacterium* alone. Furthermore, the co-culture increased the levels of vitamins B_3 and B_6 , and the essential amino acid L-lysine, improved the protein digestibility corrected amino acid score (PDCAAS), decreased indigestible carbohydrate levels, and improved flavor. The developed co-culture approach seems valuable to upgrade sunflower seed milk and potentially also other plant milk materials.

4.2.1 Sunflower seed milk composition

Sunflower seed milk was prepared as an aqueous solution of defatted sunflower seed flour. For batches at a small scale, sterilization of the milk using low-pressurepasteurization (LPP) provided a sterile, homogenous suspension. Protein represented the largest fraction (57.6% w/w dry mass), followed by soluble carbohydrates (9.3%), and only a low share of fat (0.8%) (Fig. 19A). The remaining fraction (32.3%) was attributed to e.g. fibers, ash, and salt. The most abundant nonessential amino acids were L-glutamate (12.6%) and L-aspartate (5.4%). The major essential amino acids were L-leucine (3.8%) and L-valine (3.0%). Soluble carbohydrates comprised digestible (sucrose) and indigestible sugars (raffinose, stachyose). Hereby, sucrose (7.2%) and raffinose (2.9%) showed the highest level, while stachyose was present in a low amount (0.2%). L-Lysine was the most limiting essential amino acid. Related to the protein content, the level of L-lysine was 40 mg (g protein)⁻¹, which represented only 69% of the FAO recommended value of 58 mg (g protein)⁻¹ (Jeske et al. 2018). In addition, the milk was also ultra-pasteurized at ultrahigh temperature and pressure to represent industrially preferred treatments (Munekata et al. 2020). We therefore processed a 50 kg batch of UHT sunflower seed milk at pilot scale, including pre-warming to 75°C, heating to 143°C for 4 seconds, followed by efficient cooling. Overall, the chemical composition of UHT



Fig. 19. Composition of lab-scale pasteurized sunflower seed milk and ultra-high temperature processed sunflower seed milk. All values are related to the dry mass (w/w). n = 3

sunflower seed milk was comparable to that of LPP sunflower seed milk (**Fig. 19B**). Visual inspection revealed a less brownish color of the UHT plant milk, indicating less pronounced Maillard reactions by the shorter heating.

4.2.2 Evaluation of propionibacteria for their vitamin B₁₂ production capacity

In an initial round of experiments, food-grade strains of *P. freudenreichii* were screened in MRS medium for their suitability to synthesize and secrete vitamin B₁₂.

Because anaerobic conditions were crucial for the growth of the cells (Thierry et al. 2011), while aerobic conditions had proven beneficial to supporting vitamin B_{12} biosynthesis (Ye et al. 1999), dual-phase cultures were conducted. After two days



Fig. 20. Screening of food-grade strains of *P. freudenreichii* for vitamin B_{12} synthesis. The data comprise the final titer of vitamin B_{12} in basic MRS medium and in MRS medium, supplemented with 50 µM CoCl₂ and 100 µM dimethylbenzimidazole (DMBI) (A). In addition, pH, and growth of selected *P. freudenreichii* strains in MRS medium (B), and vitamin B_{12} production and growth of selected *P. freudenreichii* strains in pasteurized sunflower seed milk medium is shown (C). *P. freudenreichii* DSM 4902 was used as a positive control. The process was carried out as dual phase cultivation with an initial anaerobic phase (48 h), followed by an aerobic phase (24 h). n = 3. of anaerobic fermentation, the cells were shifted to aerobic conditions for another day. All strains formed the desired vitamin (**Fig. 20A**), whereby the B₁₂ level ranged between 6.4 and 21.8 μ g (100 g)⁻¹. Vitamin B₁₂ production in MRS medium was enhanced up to almost 150 μ g (100 g)⁻¹ by the addition of CoCl₂ (50 μ M) and DMBI (100 μ M) (**Fig. 20A**). Interestingly, the strains differed quite substantially in the extent, to which B₁₂ production was activated by the stimulant mixture. Based on the data, five strains appeared most interesting to be taken further: *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177 (the best producer in supplemented MRS medium), NCC 1138 (the best producer in basic MRS medium), NCC 1197, and NCC 1186 (two strains with pronounced activation potential), and *P. freudenreichii* DSM 4902 (previously reported to be a vitamin B₁₂ producer) (Chamlagain et al. 2016).

To better understand their needs and capabilities, we assessed the formed cell concentration, the residual sugar level, and the final pH value. It turned out that the four NCC strains did not completely use up glucose which was likely due to inhibiting acidification of the medium to a pH value around 5.0 - 5.5 (**Fig. 20B**). In contrast, strain NCC 1186 appeared more acid tolerant. The medium pH dropped to 3.9 while the sugar was fully depleted which corresponded to the fact that this strain reached the highest cfu number. Taken together, it seemed that the observed differences in vitamin B₁₂ production were not simply due to differences in growth. As an example, NCC 1186 yielded much less of the vitamin than NCC 1177, despite better pH tolerance, sugar uptake, and growth. Based on the data, the five strains were taken further to assess their capability to produce vitamin B₁₂ in sunflower seed milk.

4.2.3 *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177 performs best in sunflower seed milk

The five most promising strains from the screening (**Fig. 20AB**) were now evaluated for their fermentation performance in the sunflower seed milk. When fermented in sunflower seed milk for three days – two days under anaerobic conditions, followed by one day under aerobic conditions – strain NCC 1177 showed by far the best

performance (**Fig. 20C**). The microbe produced 2.4 μ g (100 g)⁻¹ vitamin B₁₂. In quantitative terms, NCC 1177 accumulated 160% more of the vitamin than NCC 1138, the second-best strain, and up to threefold more than the other three strains. NCC 1177 was also the best grower, as indicated by the high level of living cells. For NCC 1186, weak growth appeared somewhat linked to insignificant vitamin B₁₂ formation. The other three strains were medium growers and medium B₁₂ producers. The observed differences indicated that growth and B₁₂ production in the sunflower seed milk were linked. As an example, NCC 1177 produced 2.5-fold more vitamin B₁₂ than NCC 1197, while reaching a 2-fold higher cfu number. This observation suggested that good growth in the sunflower seed milk seemed, at least, one prerequisite for high vitamin B₁₂ levels. The best-performing strain, NCC 1177, was selected for further studies.

4.2.4 Limiting factor of Vitamin B₁₂ biosynthesis of *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177

Next, we elucidated the potential to stimulate vitamin B₁₂ production of strain NCC 1177 in sunflower seed milk. We tested supplementation with cobalt and DMBI, given their positive effects on vitamin B₁₂ synthesis in MRS medium. Furthermore, we tested a range of other supporting ingredients, namely the DMBI precursor riboflavin (vitamin B₂), nicotinamide (vitamin B₃), previously shown to catalytically enhance DMBI formation (Hörig and Renz 1980), different amino acids, incorporated during vitamin B₁₂ biosynthesis (L-threonine, L-glutamate, and glycine), and succinate as a donor for succinyl-CoA at the start of the B₁₂ pathway. In addition, glucose and lactate were tested as carbon sources to stimulate growth of the *Propionibacterium* and thereby enhance the growth-associated vitamin B₁₂ biosynthesis (Hedayati et al. 2020; Lee et al. 1974) (**Table 5**).

The addition of cobalt did not have any significant impact on vitamin B₁₂ synthesis (**Table 5**). In addition, growth remained unchanged as well. Likewise, the extra

Table 5. Growth and vitamin B_{12} production of *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177 during sunflower seed milk fermentation: Impact of different supplements added to the main fermentation process. The fermentation in the supplemented plant milk was carried out at 30°C for 72 hours, including an initial anaerobic phase (48 hours), followed by an aerobic phase (24 hours). In addition, a non-supplemented process was conducted as control. The plant milk was pasteurized prior to fermentation. The vitamin B_{12} level and the cfu number reflect the final values at the end of the fermentation. n=3.

Supplementation	Vitamin B₁₂ (µg (100 g)⁻¹)	Cell count (log cfu mL ⁻¹)
No supplementation (control)	2.4 ± 0.3	8.7 ± 0.1
50 µM CoCl ₂	2.1 ± 0.1	8.6 ± 0.0
40 μ M Vitamin B ₂	2.9 ± 0.4	8.8 ± 0.1
40 μ M Vitamin B ₂ , 27 μ M vitamin B ₃	2.9 ± 0.1	8.7 ± 0.0
100 µM Dimethylbenzimidazole (DMBI)	2.3 ± 0.3	9.0 ± 0.1
600 μM L-Glutamate, 100 μM L-threonine, 300 μM glycine, 500 μM succinate	2.2 ± 0.1	8.7 ± 0.0
1% (w/w) Lactate	5.7 ± 0.2	9.4 ± 0.0
1% (w/w) Glucose	3.4 ± 0.2	9.3 ± 0.0
50 μM CoCl ₂ , 40 μM vitamin B ₂ , 27 μM vitamin B ₃ , 100 μM DMBI 600 μM L-glutamate, 100 μM L-threonine, 300 μM glycine, 500 μM succinate, 1% (w/w) lactate	12.3 ± 0.6	9.4 ± 0.0

addition of 50 μ m CoCl₂ to the MRS pre-culture medium to eventually pre-load the cells with cobalt for better fermentation performance did not reveal any stimulating effect (**Table 6**) neither did have the effect of higher concentrations of cobalt (**Table 6**). Obviously, the natural cobalt level of the plant milk (8 μ g/kg) was sufficient. Supplementation of the milk with riboflavin (vitamin B₂) increased vitamin B₁₂ production by more than 20%, whereas DMBI and nicotinamide addition had no effect. Notably, lactate and glucose were found highly beneficial as extra carbon sources. Their addition resulted in 2.4-fold and 1.4-fold more vitamin B₁₂, whereby the growth of NCC 1177 was markedly increased. In contrast, a mixture of L-threonine, L-glutamate, glycine, and succinate, added at a low level to check for a growth-decoupled contribution to the B₁₂ pathway itself, did not trigger vitamin B₁₂ production. A combination of all supplements worked best. The mixture resulted in a more than five-fold increased vitamin B₁₂ level (12.3 μ g (100 g)⁻¹, indicating

synergistic effects between a stimulation of growth and a supplementation of vitamin B₁₂ precursors (**Table 5**).

Table 6. Growth and vitamin B₁₂ production of *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177 during sunflower seed milk fermentation: Impact of cobalt supplementation during preculturing of the microbe. In short, the second pre-culture of strain NCC 1177, conducted under anaerobic conditions in MRS medium, was supplemented with different levels of CoCl₂. In addition, a non-supplemented pre-culture was grown as control. The subsequent main fermentation in the plant milk was carried out at 30°C for 72 hours, including an initial anaerobic phase (48 hours), followed by an aerobic phase (24 hours). The vitamin B₁₂ level and the cfu number reflect the final values at the end of the fermentation. n=3.

CoCı2 (μΜ)	Vitamin Β ₁₂ (μg (100 g) ⁻¹)	Cell count (log cfu mL ⁻¹)
0	2.4 ± 0.3	8.7 ± 0.1
5	2.5 ± 0.1	8.7 ± 0.0
50	2.1 ± 0.1	8.8 ± 0.1
250	2.0 ± 0.1	8.9 ± 0.1

4.2.5 Metabolic profiling unravels capabilities and inabilities of *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177

At this stage, it appeared important to study the lifestyle of strain NCC 1177 in more detail and identify the specific needs of the microbe. Cultures of the microbe in sunflower seed milk were conducted under (micro) aerobic (24 h) and under anaerobic (48 h) conditions and analyzed for cell growth and changes in various metabolites: sugars, organic acids, amino acids, and vitamins. Non-inoculated sunflower seed milk served as a control. Under anaerobic conditions, NCC 1177 grew well from 10^7 to almost 10^9 cfu 's (**Fig. 21, Appendix, Table S3**). The strain formed 0.9 µg (100 g)⁻¹ vitamin B₁₂.

Interestingly, the microbe had a strong demand for biotin (vitamin B7) but did not



Fig. 21. Metabolic signature profile of *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177, *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156, and *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 during aerobic and anaerobic cultivation of pasteurized sunflower seed milk. The data shown display the most relevant characteristics regarding growth, the content of vitamins, sugars, organic acids, amino acids, and flavor formation. The changes of metabolite levels are given as relative values. For each parameter, the maximum absolute concentration change (increase or decrease), observed among all conditions, was set to a value of 1. The changes of the other conditions were normalized to this maximum to allow a straightforward comparison. All corresponding absolute values are provided in Table 7, whereby the maximum change, used for the normalization is highlighted. In addition, the starting values

for non-fermented milk are shown. The fermentation was carried out at 30° C either anaerobically (48 h) or aerobically (24 h). n = 3

touch niacin (vitamin B₃), also present in plant-based milk. Furthermore, strain NCC 1177 did not efficiently consume the available sugars. It was not able to utilize sucrose, the most abundant sugar, and consumed only minor shares of raffinose and stachyose. Likewise, acetate remained largely constant. Lactate, an otherwise suitable carbon source was not available in the sunflower seed milk (**Appendix**, **Table S3**). Instead, the growth of NCC 1177 seemed to rely largely on selected amino acids: L-isoleucine, L-alanine, L-serine, glycine, L-proline, and most strongly L-aspartate were consumed, well matching the catabolic amino acid spectrum of the microbe (Brendehaug and Langsrud 1985). Propionic acid was observed as a prominent by-product, and its occurrence nicely reflected the fermentative routes present in propionibacteria (Brendehaug and Langsrud 1985; Gonzalez-Garcia et al. 2017). These can form propionic acid from amino acids such as L-aspartate via the Wood-Werkman cycle to generate ATP and regenerate oxidized co-enzymes (Falentin et al. 2010).

In comparison, growth under aerobic conditions was much weaker. Cells were sufficiently supplied with dissolved oxygen during the whole cultivation, and the pH value remained relatively stable at around 5.7 (**Fig. 22A**). The amino acids L-aspartate and L-proline were the main growth substrates. Raffinose and stachyose were partially consumed, while sucrose and acetate were not used. Regarding vitamin metabolism, vitamin B₇ was required and vitamin B₁₂ was formed. One could conclude at this point that the growth of the *Propionibacterium* suffered from its weak capability to use the organic compounds in the sunflower seed milk as growth substrates. It goes without saying that anaerobic conditions were found optimal. Propionic acid was observed as a prominent by-product.

4.2.6 *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 and *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 emerge as potential partners for co-cultures

We now wondered: could another microbe cover the specific needs of *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177? In previous work (see above), *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 and *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 were identified as well-performing strains for chickpea milk fermentation (Tangyu et al. 2021). Although this outcome was based on a different raw material than that used here, the multiple benefits discovered for the two strains (broad substrate range, production of essential amino acids, removal of indigestible sugars) appeared appealing to test them as potential partners for the *Propionibacterium*. To this end, they were both cultivated in sunflower seed milk, once under aerobic and once under anaerobic conditions. *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 performed best under aerated conditions (**Fig. 21**,

Appendix, Table S3). The strain exhibited strong growth (from 10⁷ to 10⁹ cfu's) and efficiently utilized all major sugars: sucrose, raffinose, and stachyose. Interestingly, it accumulated significant amounts of vitamins B₃ and B₇ (**Fig. 21**). Furthermore, the microbe formed substantial levels of free amino acids (**Table S3**). Due to the obviously high oxygen demand of *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156, the dissolved oxygen level sharply decreased to 0% within 5 hours and remained low until the end of the process (**Fig. 22B**). The pH value dropped from 5.8 to 4.9 after 10 h and increased back to the starting value afterward. Under anaerobic conditions, the growth of NCC 156 was diminished. Small amounts of sucrose (2.0 mM), stachyose (0.5 mM) and raffinose (0.1 mM), were mainly fermented into lactate (1.6 mM) and acetate (1.2 mM) (**Appendix, Table S3**). Vitamins were accumulated, although much less than in the aerated process.

In comparison *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 grew almost equally well under both conditions (**Fig. 21, Appendix, Table S3**). Under aerobic conditions, it degraded all three sugars and formed lactate as the main by-product plus acetate.



Fig. 22. The dissolved oxygen and pH during the aerobic fermentation for single strain of P. *freudenreichii* NCC 1177 (A), *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 (B), *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 (C), and co-fermentation of two strains (D). n=1.

The pH value slightly decreased from 5.8 to 5.5, whereas the dissolved oxygen level remained almost at full saturation (**Fig. 22C**). Moreover, NCC 2511 exhibited a need for vitamin B₇, and largely consumed free amino acids. The most pronounced differences, observed under anaerobic conditions, comprised a shift in substrate use from sugars to amino acids. Again, lactate and acetate were the major products formed.

Neither *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 nor *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511, of course, formed vitamin B₁₂ under any condition.

4.2.7 Co-cultures of *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 as perfect synergistic partners

As shown, the metabolic signatures of the different microbes appeared highly complementary. As an example, *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 formed lactate, free amino acids, and vitamin B₇, which were apparently all utilized by the *Propionibacterium* (**Fig. 21, Appendix, Table S3**). NCC 156 furthermore supplied vitamin B₃, potentially stimulating B₁₂ production (**Appendix, Table S3**). NCC 2511 formed lactate too. These patterns provoked an interesting question. Could co-culturing of *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177 with the *Bacillus* and/or the *Lactocaseibacillus* enhance its growth and eventually boost vitamin B₁₂ production? Therefore, *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177 was co-cultured in LPP sunflower seed milk with each strain individually (double co-cultures) and with both strains together (triple co-culture). Like the initial studies, the 72-hour cultures comprised two phases, an initial anaerobic phase (48 hours), followed by an aerobic phase (24 hours).

Grown together with *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177, *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 improved vitamin B₁₂ production by the *Propionibacterium* remarkably (**Fig. 23A**). In both co-cultures that contained the *Bacillus*, the final level of the vitamin was around 9 μ g (100 g)⁻¹, almost four-fold higher than in the fermentation with the *Propionibacterium* alone. The boost in vitamin B₁₂ observed in the co-culture was almost as high as observed for NCC 1177 upon full supplementation with cobalt, various precursors, and biosynthetic stimulants (**Table 5**).

How did *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 boost vitamin B_{12} production? Clearly, it stimulated the growth of the *Propionibacterium* during both phases of the fermentation. The latter achieved a tenfold higher cfu level by the presence of NCC 156 (**Fig. 23B**).

This effect was observable in the double and the triple culture in which both strains were combined. First, NCC 156 formed lactate readily available carbon source for





ratio, column 2), a dual co-culture of *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 (inoculated at 1000:1 ratio, column 3), and a triple co-culture of *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177, *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156, and *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 (inoculated at 500:500:1 ratio, column 4). Shown are vitamin B12 content (A), living cell number (B), sugar content (C), organic acid content (D), extracellular amino acid content (E), total amino acid content (F), and protein quality (G), expressed as in vitro protein digestibility corrected amino acid score (PDCAAS). The cultivation was carried out at 30°C for 72 h, including an initial 48 h anaerobic phase, followed by a 24 h aerobic phase. n = 3.

NCC 1177. Lactate itself was not detectable in the mixed cultures which let us conclude that the entire lactate, accumulating in cultures of NCC 156 under anaerobic conditions (**Fig. 21, Appendix, Table S3**), was completely re-consumed by the *Propionibacterium*. Second, NCC 156 provided a rich spectrum of free amino acids that displayed easily accessible carbon for the vitamin B₁₂ producer (**Fig. 23E**). NCC 156 furthermore supplied vitamin B₃, potentially stimulating B₁₂ production (**Fig. 21, Appendix, Table S3**).

In addition, sunflower seed milk, fermented by the two-strain combination, was largely depleted of the indigestible sugars raffinose and stachyose (**Fig. 23C**, and the overall content of amino acid was substantially enhanced (**Fig. 23F**). The PDCAAS was 0.63, approximately 7% higher than that of all other fermented milks and that of the native plant milk (**Fig. 23G**).

Subsequent experiments aimed at optimizing the performance of this promising twostrain combination. Hereby, the inoculum ratio was identified as a crucial parameter. A 1,000-fold excess of *Propionibacterium* over *Bacillus* cells resulted in a marked improvement of vitamin B₁₂ production, whereby growth itself was not affected (**Table 7**).

In contrast, *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 did not improve vitamin B₁₂ production when added. It neither stimulated the *Propionibacterium* directly nor provided an indirect benefit in the triple co-culture.

4.2.8 Scaling the collaboration of co-cultures to pilot scale processed sunflower seed milk

Among all tested combinations, the co-culture of *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 seemed to work the best. It achieved the highest level of vitamin B₁₂, the lowest level of indigestible sugars, and the highest protein quality score – and thereby required only three things: natural sunflower seed milk and the

two microbes. It was therefore selected for further optimization.

Table 7. Growth and vitamin B_{12} production during co-culture fermentation of *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 in sunflower seed milk: Impact of inoculum level and fermentation sequence. In different set-ups, strain NCC 1177 was inoculated at a 10-fold, 100-fold and 1,000-fold higher level than strain NCC 156. In all cases, the total inoculum of both strains was 2×10^7 cfu mL⁻¹. Regarding the fermentation sequence, one set-up comprised first a 24 h aerobic phase, followed by a 48-h anaerobic phase, whereas the two phases were reverted in a second set-up. All fermentations were carried out at 30°C. The plant milk was pasteurized prior to fermentation. Vitamin level and cell growth display the final values after 72 h. n=3.

Fermentation sequence	Inoculation ratio NCC 1177:NCC 156	Vitamin B ₁₂ (µg (100 g) ⁻¹)	<i>P. freudenreichii</i> NCC 1177 (log cfu mL ⁻¹)	<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> NCC 156 (log cfu mL ⁻¹)
24 h aerobic + 48 h anaerobic	10:1	2.5 ± 0.2	8.9 ± 0.0	7.6 ± 0.1
	100:1	3.5 ± 0.3	9.1 ± 0.2	7.0 ± 0.0
	1000:1	3.8 ± 0.2	9.2 ± 0.1	6.7 ± 0.4
48 h anaerobic + 24 h aerobic	10:1	6.3 ± 0.8	9.9 ± 0.1	9.3 ± 0.1
	100:1	7.6 ± 0.5	10.0 ± 0.1	9.8 ± 0.1
	1000:1	9.1 ± 0.4	9.7 ± 0.1	9.8 ± 0.1

The fermentation capacity of the co-culture of NCC 1177 and NCC 156 was now compared for the differently pre-treated milks, the LPP and the UHT processed one respectively, again using the workflow of three days with two days anaerobic and one day aerobic incubation. Notably, the UHT milk performed excellent and yielded almost 40% more vitamin B_{12} than the lab-scale LPP milk, i. e. a final level of 13 versus 9 µg (100 g)⁻¹ (**Fig. 24A**).

4.2.9 Fermentation optimization: tuning of aeration and fermentation time

Next, the influence of the dissolved oxygen level (during the second aerobic phase of the fermentation process) was evaluated. It turned out that a balanced oxygen supply was crucial to achieving a high vitamin B₁₂ content (Fig. 25A). Too little aeration resulted in up to 26% lower titers, but too strong oxygen supply also reduced production. Furthermore, the oxygen supply influenced growth of both microbes, B. amyloliquefaciens NCC 156 and P. freudenreichii NCC 1177 (Fig. 25B), and the production of the vitamins B₂, B₃, and B₆ (Fig. 25D), indicating a complex interplay. The specific vitamin B₁₂ production per single cell, estimated from the data, revealed that NCC 1177 was most productive without oxygen exposure (Fig. 25C). However, also high oxygen supply resulted in a good performance. Under these conditions, the growth of *B. amyloliguefaciens* NCC 156 was strongest. The microbe supplied elevated levels of vitamins B₂ and B₃, known to stimulate B₁₂ formation (Hörig and Renz 1980; Roth et al. 1996). Overall, the oxygen influence on vitamin B₁₂ production appeared complex. Its impact likely included stimulation of growth of NCC 1177 and NCC 156 but also activation of the B₁₂ biosynthetic pathway itself. One piece of the underlying complexity is the response of P. freudenreichii to oxygen. The microbe is known to be anaerobic to aerotolerant (Bücher et al. 2021), grows best anaerobically but is capable to generate energy also under microaerobic conditions using the TCA cycle and functional electron transport chains supplying the right amount of oxygen, it appears relevant to resolve this picture on the metabolic level in more detail in the future. Clearly, oxygen availability emerged as a crucial factor to boost performance.



Fig. 24. Impact of raw material pre-processing and inoculation ratio on the fermentation of sunflower seed milk by a co-culture of *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156. Production of vitamin B_{12} on low pressure pasteurized (LPP) and ultra-high temperature (UHT) treated milk (A). Impact of the fermentation set-up with varied aerobic and anaerobic phases on cell growth and vitamin B_{12} production (B). Impact of the ratio between the strains on vitamin B_{12} production (C). For the latter, the numbers reflect the cfu ratio between NCC 1177 and NCC 156 at the end of the process. n = 3

Optimum vitamin B₁₂ production was as high as 14.8 μ g (100 g)⁻¹ and occurred under three different aeration regimes, indicating a robust process window. Finally, we tested different set-ups, regarding the duration of the process (**Fig. 24B**). A slightly prolonged aerobic fermentation phase allowed to increase vitamin B₁₂ production even further. Notably, the vitamin B₁₂ level of the fermented sunflower seed milk appeared proportional to the final cfu ratio between *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 (**Fig. 24C**, r = 0.80). A dual-phase fermentation with two days anaerobic and then two days aerobic incubation resulted in almost 16 µg (100 g)⁻¹ vitamin B₁₂. Similarly performance was a three-plus-twoday setup.

4.2.10 Functional interactions between *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156

The optimized fermentation process was now studied over 96 hours, regarding the dynamics of strain growth, and the metabolism of sugars, amino acids, organic acids, vitamins, and flavor compounds (**Fig. 26**). Fermented sunflower seed milk appeared best in composition after 80 h (**Fig. 27**). The initial anaerobic phase was the major growth phase of NCC 1177. After inoculation, the *Propionibacterium* immediately started to proliferate, and its cfu number increased about 30-fold over the first 48 h (**Fig. 27A**). Cells of NCC 156 multiplied almost 100-fold during the first 24 h. Notably, the growth of NCC 156 resulted in an increased level of vitamin B₇ (29.4%), which was afterward consumed by NCC 1177 (**Fig. 27C**). In addition, the PDCAAS was slightly improved (14%) (**Fig. 27H**). Propionate (3.9 mM), acetoin (3.5 mM), and (Beck and Schink 1995; Dank et al. 2021; Emde and Schink 1990; Schwartz and Sporkenbach 1975). Due to the huge optimization potential of acetate (3.1 mM) were major fermentation by-products during the anaerobic phase (**Fig. 27G**). An interesting picture resulted for the substrates. Sucrose was consumed from early on (by NCC 156, because NCC 1177 could not use it). After 30 h, the sucrose level



Fig. 25. Impact of the dissolved oxygen level on the fermentation of sunflower seed milk by a co-culture of *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156. Impact of oxygen supply on vitamin B_{12} production (A), growth (B), vitamin B_{12} production per cell (C), and the production of vitamins B_1 , B_2 , B_3 , B_6 , and B_7 during fermentation (D). Prior to fermentation, the milk was processed by ultra-high temperature treatment. The different aeration regimes from low to high (shown from left to right) were created by incubation in non-baffled flasks at 80 rpm, baffled flasks at 80 rpm, non-baffled flasks at 130 rpm, baffled flasks at 130 rpm, non-baffled flasks at 180 rpm and baffled flasks at 180 rpm. The inoculum ratio between strains NCC 1177 and NCC 156 was 1000:1. Fermentation was carried out at 30°C for 72 h, including an initial 48 h anaerobic phase, followed by a 24 h aerobic phase. n = 3

remained constant, while raffinose started to be consumed. The metabolization of the trisaccharide formed sucrose (superimposing the probably on-going consumption by NCC 156) plus galactose (Hobbs et al. 2019). Galactose displayed well-accessible carbon (Chen et al. 2007) and seemed directly consumed, as it could not be detected. As shown for the monoculture, the fermentation of sugar by B. amyloliquefaciens yielded lactate (Fig. 21). However, different from the monoculture, the organic acid was not observed. We conclude that lactate was immediately taken up as the preferred carbon source by the Propionibacterium. In addition, the Propionibacterium used a range of amino acids during this stage (Appendix, Table S4). Moreover, the anaerobic fermentation beneficially changed the flavor profile (Fig. 27D). With the onset of aeration after 48 h, the metabolism of the co-culture immediately changed. Growth of NCC 156 was strongly stimulated. NCC 1177 continued to grow, and the number of living cells increased once more about 10-fold (Fig. 27A). Notably, the aerobic phase was the major phase of vitamin B_{12} production. After 80 h, the vitamin had reached a high level of 15.2 µg (100 g)⁻¹ (Fig. 27B). Notably, also other B vitamins were formed including vitamin B₃ (0.5 mg $(100 \text{ g})^{-1}$) and vitamin B₆ (70 µg (100 g)⁻¹) (**Fig. 27C**). NCC 156 guickly consumed sucrose. After 60 h, when sucrose was almost depleted, co-consumption of the disaccharide together raffinose and stachyose was observed (Fig. 27E). With the depletion of sucrose after 66 h, propionate, acetoin, and 2,3-butanediol started to get consumed (Fig. 27G), while raffinose and stachyose degradation continued (Fig. 27E). The transient increase of the raffinose level seemed due to the onset of stachyose metabolism, which yields raffinose plus galactose during initial cleavage (Hobbs et al. 2019), a similar picture as observed for sucrose and raffinose earlier during the process. Regarding propionate, certain *Bacillus* strains can use it as a carbon source but *B. amyloliquefaciens* cannot (Dworkin et al. 2006). In fact, the Propionibacterium re-used its own previously synthetized propionate, as also



Fig. 26: Time-resolved 96 h co-fermentation of sunflower seed milk by using *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156. The data comprise living cell number (cfu) (A), vitamin B₁₂ (B), vitamin B₃, B₆, and B₇ (C), the aera of favored and unfavored volatile (D), sucrose, raffinose, and stachyose (E), extracellular L-lysine, L-leucine, L-tryptophan, and L-methionine (F), acrtoin, 2,3-butanediol, propionate, and acetate (G), PDCAAS (H). The phases of anaerobic (I) and aerobic (II) incubation are indicated in the time profile. The groups of favored and non-favored volatiles were assigned from the flavor properties of the individual compounds (see legend of **Fig. 28**). n=3.

observed in the monoculture (**Fig. 23**). In the absence of other substrates, propionic acid is metabolized by *P. freudenreichii* using the reversed Wood–Werkman cycle (Turgay et al. 2022). This seemed the case here: NCC 1177 could not use the remaining sugars and could no more use lactate, because NCC 156 did not form it

anymore under the aerobic conditions (**Fig. 21**). Therefore, the *Propionibacterium* had to rely on organic acids or amino acids instead.

Overall, the co-fermentation showed an outstanding capacity to degrade indigestible sugars: raffinose (78.2%) and stachyose (78.8%) were largely depleted. Acetate



Fig. 27. Dynamics of co-culture fermentation of *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 on UHT pre-treated sunflower seed milk. The data comprise living cell number (cfu) (A), vitamin B_{12} (B), vitamin B_3 , B_6 , and B_7 (C), the area of favored and unfavored volatile (D), sucrose, raffinose, and stachyose (E), extracellular L-lysine, L-leucine, L-tryptophan, and, L-methionine (F), acetoin, 2,3 butanediol, propionate, and acetate (G), PDCAAS (H). The phases of anaerobic (I) and aerobic (II) incubation are indicated in the time profile. The groups of favored and non-favored volatiles were assigned from the flavor properties of the individual compounds (see legend of Fig. 28). n = 3.

(25.8 mM) was the major organic acid after 80 h. Remarkably, free extracellular amino acids, including L-lysine, L-methionine, and L-tryptophan, essential amino acids which are often limited in plant-based materials, increased during the final cultivation phase (**Fig. 27F**). This accumulation improved the PDCAAS to 0.66 (**Fig. 27H**). The amount of favored flavor compounds (inferred from the total peak area during GC-MS analysis) increased three-fold times during the aerobic phase, while unfavoured volatiles were almost depleted (90.6%) (**Fig. 27D**). A continuation of the fermentation process to finally 96 h, resulted in an even higher vitamin B₁₂ level (17.0 μ g (100 g)⁻¹), and increased levels of vitamin B₂, and extracellular amino acids, however, linked to a somewhat the decreased flavor value and a reduced PDCAAS (**Fig. 26**).

In the optimized co-culture fermentation process, several synergistic effects could be detected: (i) both strains showed better growth; (ii) vitamin B₁₂ production of *P. freudenreichii* increased more than 7-fold as compared to mono-fermentation (17.0 μ g (100 g)⁻¹); (iii) the PDCAAS was improved (29%), (iv) the level of L-lysine, the most limiting essential amino acid, was increased by 43%, (iv) the level of vitamins B₃ (0.5 mg (100 g)⁻¹) and B₆ (70 μ g (100 g)⁻¹) was increased, and (v) the overall flavor profile was improved. Without a doubt, the rich spectrum of benefits originated from microbial collaboration.

From a biochemical perspective, the successful synthesis of vitamin B₁₂ relies on several factors. First, and important to note here, vitamin B₁₂ production in *P. freudenreichii* (including strain NCC 1177) is growth-associated, making accessible and growth-promoting substrates one of the determinants (Hedayati et al. 2020). Furthermore, cobalt and DMBI drive B₁₂ production (Hugenschmidt et al. 2011), while L-glutamate, glycine, L-threonine, and succinyl-CoA display the building blocks of the vitamin (Fang et al. 2017). Earlier studies with *P. freudenreichii* cell homogenates showed that DMBI was derived from vitamin B₂ (riboflavin) (Lingens

et al. 1992) and that the biosynthesis process was stimulated by vitamin B₃ (nicotinamide) (Hörig and Renz 1980). Here, we obtained a complex picture when trying out the effect of supplements on vitamin B₁₂ production by NCC 1177 during sunflower seed fermentation. As shown, the addition of lactose, glucose, and riboflavin boosted vitamin B₁₂ formation, while cobalt, DMBI, nicotinamide, L-glutamate, glycine, L-threonine, and succinate did not. These findings implied that major limiting factors were weak growth of *Propionibacterium* and the low availability of vitamin B₁₂ level, indicating the benefits of a more fine-tuned synergy regarding growth and supplementation.

On basis of the elaborated microbe's physiology, growth and vitamin B₁₂ biosynthesis were limited by several factors: (i) P. freudenreichii could only use extracellular amino acids but none of the sugars present in sunflower seed milk (Fig. **21**); (ii) *P. freudenreichii* required micronutrients such as biotin (vitamin B₇) to grow (Fig. 21) (Lichstein 1955); (iii) the microbe formed propionic acid causing an inhibiting pH decrease (Furuichi et al. 2006); (iv) vitamin B₁₂ biosynthesis was limited by insufficient availability of vitamin B₂. Considering these requirements, B. amyloliquefaciens emerged as a perfect partner for P. freudenreichii, providing a natural dual culture consortium, co-working for improved growth, and finally yielding a multi-benefit fermentation. Proven interactions during the first phase included the donation of accessible carbon and essential micronutrients by NCC 156, stimulating the growth of NCC 1177. During the second (aerobic) phase of the fermentation, B. amyloliquefaciens guickly proliferated. It released free amino acids from the protein and eventually lowered the dissolved oxygen level in a beneficial way, further stimulating the growth of P. freudenreichii. In this regard, the ability of the Bacillus to form carbohydrates and proteinases and provide accessible carbon appeared crucial. At the same time, the *Bacillus* produced vitamin B₂, vitamin B₃, and vitamin
B₇, directly supporting vitamin B₁₂ synthesis. We conclude that microbial cooperation more than compensates for a lack in key nutrients and adverse physicochemical conditions in plant-based materials, given that well-collaborating microbes are put together. Their careful selection and combination, as shown here, appears crucial for the success.

As shown, co-fermentation of NCC 156 and NCC 1177 was strikingly superior to that of the single strains. It stands in line with a range of successful co-fermentations, reported previously for food manufacturing, for example, to produce yogurt, wine, and aroma-rich cocoa beans (Adler et al. 2014; Ciani and Comitini 2015; Sieuwerts et al. 2008). Co-fermentation of *P. freudenreichii* with the fungus *Rhizopus oryzae* on lupin tempeh yielded 20-fold more vitamin B₁₂ than *P. freudenreichii* alone. Interestingly, the major interaction between these two microbes was hydrolysis of the seed protein by *R. oryzae*, releasing free amino acids to support growth of *P. freudenreichii* (Signorini et al. 2018). *Propionibacterium* also showed increased capacity to produce vitamin B₁₂, when co-fermented with *Rhizopus oligosporus* on soybean (Krusong et al. 1991), with kefir grains (Van Wyk et al. 2011), and with different lactobacilli in wheat bran (Xie et al. 2019) and whey(Hugenschmidt et al. 2010; Hugenschmidt et al. 2011; Xie et al. 2021). However, no synergistic effects on vitamin B₁₂ production could be unraveled, when mixing *Propionibacterium* with lactobacilli (Xie et al. 2021).

4.2.11 Flavor development during co-culture fermentation

GC-MS-based analysis revealed a strong impact of co-fermentation on the spectrum of flavor-related volatiles (**Fig. 28**). In total, 34 volatiles were identified in unferment--ed and fermented sunflower seed milk, including various saturated and unsaturated organic alcohols, aldehydes, ketones, organic acids, terpenoid, lactones, and furans.

(min) ravor and out properties 0 h 24 h 48 h 72 h	6 h
Favored volatiles	
2,3-Butanedione 2.50 Buttery ^{AB} , sweet ^B , creamy ^B	•
Acetoin 3.95 Pleasant ^e , buttery ^e , creamy ^{ec} , sweet ^p , toasted ^p • •	•
1-Pentanol 5.31 Fermented ⁸ , fruity ^e , balsamic ^e , alcoholic ^e • • •	•
2-Heptanone 8.63 Fuity ^G , flora ^A , sweet ^G • •	
Acetoin acetate 8.73 Fruity [®] , creamy [®] , sweet [®]	
2,5-Dimethyl-pyrazine 9.17 Chocolate ^B , rosted ^H , nut ^H	•
β-Terpinen 11.01 Turpene ¹ , fatty ¹ • • •	
Octanal 11.76 Fruity ^F , citrus ^F , sweet ^G	
p-Cymene 12.36 Fruity ^J , fresh ^B , citrus ^B , terpene ^B , flora ^I , fragrant ^I • • • •	•
D-Limonene 12.47 Citrus ^{BK} , orange ^{BK} , fresh ^B , sweet ^B • •	
Allo-Ocimene 15.12 Flora ^B , sweet ^B , nut ^B	
Limonene oxide 15.18 Citrus ^B •	
Carvyl acetate 17.36 Minty ^B , green ^{BJ} , sweet ^B	•
2-Hydroxy-5-methylacetophenone 19.57 Citrus ^{BK} , orange ^{BK} , fresh ^B , sweet ^B	
Neutral volatiles	
Acetic acid 3.13 Acidic ^e , sour ^e , vinegar ^{eL} • •	•
Propionic acid 4.65 Acdic ^B , sour ^E , pungent ^E , aromatic ^E • •	
Isobutyric acid 5.57 Buttery ^B , cheesy ^{BL} , sour ^B , rancid ^B , fatty ^L	•
Isovaleric acid 7.70 Cheesy ^L	
2-Methyl-butanoic acid 7.78 Sour ^e , cheesy ^e , fermented ^e	•
1-Hexanol 8.03 Fruity ^{BE} , lemon ^c , herbaceous ^M , nice green ^{EG} O	•
1-Heptanal 8.92 Citrus ^D , fatry ^D , flora ^G , rancid ^{DF}	
α-Pinene 9.80 Herbal ⁸ , fresh ⁸ , fruity ^{JN} , woody ⁸ , pine ^{DH,JO} Ο Ο	
Camphene 10.21 Woody ⁸ , herbal ⁸ , camphoreous ^{8IN} • • •	•
1-Octanol 13.60 Fruity ^M , flora ^M , waxy ^B , aldehyde ^{BC} • • •	
2-Nonanone 14.17 Fruity ^G , flora ^G , green ^D , hot milk ^D , soap ^D • • •	•
1-Nonanal 14.56 Fruity ^M , citrus ^{CEFG} , flora ^{EFG} , fatty ^G , green ^E , smoky ^H •	
laevo-Pinocarveol 15.40 Woody ⁸ , balsamic ⁸	•
trans-2-Dodecenal 16.16 Green ^{el} , waxy ^l , fruity ^e • •	
(-)-Myrtenol 16.84 Camphoraceous ^o , minty ^o , woody ^B • • •	•
Isopinocarveol 17.28 Woody ^B , balsamic ^B •	
Unfavored volatiles	
Hexanal 6.09 Green ^{FGHM} , grassy ^{CDFM} , nutty ^A , fat ^D , oxidized oli ^K	
1-Heptanol 10.87 Green ^e , mushroom ^e , rancid ^c • • •	•
2-Octanone 11.46 Earthy ^B , musty ^{BP} , cheesy ^{BP} , soapy ^P • • • •	•
2-Pentyl-furan 11.46 Beany ^{CM} , green ^D , grassy ^K , nutty ^M	

 $\circ < 3 \times 10^5 \ \odot 3 \times 10^5 - 5 \times 10^5 \ \odot 5 \times 10^5 - 1 \times 10^6 \ \odot 1 \times 10^6 - 3 \times 10^6 \ \odot 3 \times 10^6 - 5 \times 10^6 \ \bigcirc > 5 \times 10^6$

Fig. 28. Flavor formation of food-grade microbes during sunflower seed milk fermentation. The data for flavor volatiles reflect the change in abundance in comparison to non-fermented sunflower seed milk (control). Classification into favored volatiles with flora, fruity, sweet, and creamy aroma properties (yellow), neutral volatiles with concentration dependent desired and non-desired aroma properties (light blue), and unfavored volatiles ,potentially contributing to the beany, green, and mushroom flavor (dark blue) relates to previous dedicated studies and databases on odor and taste (Aznar et al. 2001; Boatright and Lei 1999; Costa et al. 2008; Duar et al. 2017; Fu et al. 2020; Giri et al. 2010; Högnadóttir and Rouseff 2003; Lv et al. 2011; Ounamornas et al. 2017; Sabatini et al. 2008; The Good Scents Company Information System 2021; Van Opstaele et al. 2012; Verginer et al. 2010; Xu et al. 2019; Yang et al. 2008; Zeng et al. 2008). RT = retention time. The flavor properties are taken from previous studies and databases: A (Boatright and Lei 1999), B (The Good Scents Company Information System 2021), C (Xu et al. 2019), D (Verginer et al. 2010), E (Sabatini et al. 2008), F (Lv et al. 2011), G (Yang et al. 2008), H (Fu et al. 2020), I (Ounamornas et al. 2017), J (Högnadóttir and Rouseff 2003), K (Duar et al. 2017), L (Aznar et al. 2001), M (Zeng et al. 2008), N (Van Opstaele et al. 2012), O (Costa et al. 2008), P (Giri et al. 2010). n=3.

In unfermented sunflower seed milk, 1-hexanal and 2-pentyl-furan, which are volatiles with grassy and beany flavor, dominated, while sweet and fruity aroma compounds (e.g. 2-heptanone, β -terpinene, p-cymene, and D-lemonene) were present in a lower amount. Co-fermentation with *P. freudenreichii and B. amyloliquefaciens* changed the flavor profile significantly (**Fig. 28**). Several valuable volatiles were generated after 24 h, such as acetoin, 1-pentanol, allo-ocimene, limonene oxide, acetic acid, propionic acid, 1-octanol, 2-nonanone, trans-2-dodecanal, isopinocarveol, and 1-haptanol. After switching to aerobic conditions (48 h-72 h), acetoin and 2,3-butanediol, yielding buttery and fruity notes, were formed. Notably, the largest area of favored volatiles was detected after 72 h fermentation. Unfavoured compounds such as hexanal and 2-pentyl-furan were completely removed after 48 h and 72 h of fermentation, respectively.

Several fermented foods such as natto and dawadawa rely on the participation of various *Bacillus* species, which generated unique and characteristic aroma and sensory properties. Moreover, the hydrolytic capabilities of *Bacillus* result in a precursor-rich environment, which could be used for flavor production (Beaumont 2002). However, the aromas generated by *Bacillus* are often related to "meaty", "fishy", and "grilled", which may be recognized as "off-flavor" for plant milk products. A careful selection of the matrix and optimization of fermentation conditions helped to soften the flavor of *B. amyloliquefaciens* and increased consumer acceptance.

4.2.12 Impact of co-fermentation and fermented sunflower seed milk

Industrial impact of naturally fermented sunflower seed milk, rich in vitamin B₁₂

As shown, co-fermentation of two carefully selected food-grade microbes provided sunflower seed milk which contained up to $17 \mu g (100 g)^{-1}$ vitamin B₁₂, accompanied

by concurrently increased levels of vitamins B_3 and B_6 , improved protein quality and flavor profile, and strongly reduced amounts of indigestible sugars.

Vitamin B₁₂ is one of the most important micronutrients for the human body but is unfavorably absent from plant-derived food (Watanabe et al. 2014), including nonfermented sunflower seed milk (this work). Therefore, vegetarians can face vitamin B₁₂ deficiency regardless of their demographic characteristics, place of residency, age, and type of vegetarian diet (Watanabe et al. 2014). Vitamin B₁₂ deficiency can have severe consequences. It leads to increased homocysteine levels in the blood, a recognized risk factor for atherothrombotic and neuropsychiatric disorders (Stabler 2013; Watanabe et al. 2014). Of specific impact at this moment, vitamin B₁₂ emerges as an active ingredient against COVID-19 symptoms and SARS-CoV-2 infections (Shakoor et al. 2021). A recent study showed that methyl-cobalamin supplements help to reduce COVID-19-related organ damage and other symptoms (dos Santos 2020). A clinical study conducted in Singapore showed reduced COVID-19 symptoms in patients who received a daily supplementation with vitamin B₁₂, vitamin D, and magnesium, significantly reducing the need for oxygen and intensive care support (Tan et al. 2020). Thus, the demand for safe and costeffective dietary nutrition with elevated vitamin B₁₂ levels sharply increases. According to European Union food regulations, the recommended daily uptake (RDA) for vitamin B₁₂ is 2.5 µg (European Union 2008). Hence, a single daily serving of 100 mL of our fermented sunflower seed milk would deliver up to 6-fold of the RDA level for vitamin B₁₂, far more than the minimum value required to claim: "high in content" (> 30% RDA). The vitamin B₁₂ level (17 μ g (100 g)⁻¹) is among the highest values, achieved by supplement-free fermentation of plant-based materials. This achievement makes fermented sunflower seed milk a promising alternative to animal-derived foods such as milk (0.4 μ g (100 g)⁻¹), eggs (1.4 μ g (100 g)⁻¹), lean

red meat (3 μ g (100 g)⁻¹), and fish (2 μ g (100 g)⁻¹) (Watanabe et al. 2014) in providing vitamin B₁₂.

Fermentation provides sunflower seed milk with multiple benefits

Though numerous types of innovative food beverages from plant sources are being exploited for animal milk alternatives, many of these face some/any type of nutritional and organoleptic issues (Jeske et al. 2018; Tangyu et al. 2019). Above all, the major reasons impeding the consumer's interests and application of plantbased milks are: (i) inferior nutrient value limited by vitamin content and protein quality; (ii) poor digestibility due to the existence of indigestible compounds and antinutrients which may cause flatulence, diarrhea, and other symptoms; (iii) off-flavor and taste such as beany, bitter, and earthy flavor associated to the raw materials. In this regard, selection and testing of the most appropriate multi-purpose strains and strain combinations appear crucial. It is therefore an important outcome of this study that P. freudenreichii NCC 1177 and B. amyloliquefaciens NCC 156 addressed several of the key requirements, partly alone but optimally in combination. Both strains showed robust growth in sunflower seed milk. P. freudenreichii NCC 1177 anaerobically produced 0.9 μ g (100 g)⁻¹ vitamin B₁₂ (**Appendix, Table S3**), whereas B. amyloliquefaciens NCC 156 aerobically increased the L-lysine level and the overall protein quality, produced vitamins Bs, and decreased indigestible sugars (Fig. 21). Notably, the previous study on chickpea milk fermentation revealed that strain NCC 156 provided several benefits at the same time, including increased levels of L-lysine, increased protein quality, decreased levels of indigestible sugars, and improved flavor profile (Tangyu et al. 2021), indicating that this strain works in different plant milks. Process control avoiding nutrition limitation and downstream heat treatment might help to prevent overgrowth and spore formation of this fastgrowing strain (Grossman and Losick 1988; Silvetti et al. 2018). The two wellperforming isolates stand in a prominent line with related microbes, applied in plant-

based fermentation and recognized as probiotics (Assis et al. 2020; Ngalimat et al. 2021). Strains of *P. freudenreichii* were shown to synthesize vitamin B₁₂ on plantbased materials such as barley (0.9-3.7 μ g (100 g)⁻¹) (Chamlagain et al. 2018), wheat (around 2.6-4.5 μ g (100 g)⁻¹) (Xie et al. 2018; Xie et al. 2019), durum (1.3 μ g (100 g)⁻¹) (Xie et al. 2018), lupin (6.0 μ g (100 g)⁻¹) (Signorini et al. 2018), sauerkraut, and vegetable juice (7.2 μ g (100 g)⁻¹) (Babuchowski et al. 1999). In addition, strains of *B. amyloliquefaciens* proved value regarding flavor formation and the hydrolysis of plant protein, the release of peptides, and the generation of bioactive compounds and vitamins (WoldemariamYohannes et al. 2020).

It is worth noting that as a monoculture, *Propionibacterium* needs a quite long fermentation time (up to 7 days or even longer) for optimized vitamin B₁₂ production (Assis et al. 2020; Chamlagain et al. 2016; Hedayati et al. 2020; Hugenschmidt et al. 2011). In this study, we were able to shorten the fermentation time to 3 or 4 days, which is more efficient for industrial applications. Moreover, our development comes with even more commercial benefits: improved flavor, improved digestibility, improved protein quality, and elevated levels of vitamins B₃ and B₆. The two strains NCC 1177 and NCC 156 are natural isolates, generally recognized as safe to be used in food fermentation (EFSA Panel on Biological Hazards et al. 2017). Furthermore, no additives were used. The fermentation process contained only four ingredients: sunflower seed flour, the two food-grade microbes, and water, enabling "clean labeling" as expected by consumers. Taken together, the developed co-culture fermentation displays a valuable development for human nutrition.

4.3 Food-grade LAB upgrade the volatile aroma spectrum of plant milks towards improved flavor and sensory perception

Flavor and taste have a key impact on selecting plant-based non-dairy beverages (McCarthy et al. 2017). Unfortunately, natural plant milks have only limited acceptance. Their typically beany and grassy flavor, as well as the bitter and seedy taste are received as "off-flavor" by consumers, especially in countries without a tradition for this type of products (Diarra et al. 2005; Sethi et al. 2016; Tangyu et al. 2022).

Natural fermentation appears an appealing option to improve the aroma of plant milks and, moreover, deliver co-benefits such as increased nutritional value, stability, and microbial safety (Ayivi et al. 2020; Cichońska and Ziarno 2021b; Sethi et al. 2016; Tangyu et al. 2019). A rich microbial source to ferment plant milk are lactic acid bacteria (LAB). Many LAB species grow in plant-based materials and have been proven valuable to contribute to improved sensory profiles. As an example, species of *Streptococcus, Lactococcus, Lacticaseibacillus, Lactiplantibacillus, Lactobacillus, Limosilactobacillus,* and *Leuconostoc* affected the flavor of cereal-based milk (Kumar et al. 2020; Shin and Han 2015), soy milk (Beasley et al. 2003; Blagden and Gilliland 2005), mung bean milk (Liang et al. 2022), cowpea milk (Sanni et al. 1999), pea-based materials (Pei et al. 2022; Yousseef et al. 2016), as well as fruity and vegetable juice (Cui et al. 2019).

Following this promising potential, here we systematically evaluated LAB to ferment different types of plant milk. On the microbial side, we selected 15 LAB that represented a broad range of genera and species. On the plant milk side, we considered four emerging plant milks for the fermentation: oat milk (representing cereal-based milk), sunflower seed milk (representing seed-based milk), and pea and faba milk (representing legume-based milk). Using GC-MS analysis with solid phase micro extraction, flavor changes during the fermentation were studied in detail,

revealing species-related and plant milk-related differences and, notably, highlighting several well-performing strains that delivered a range of beneficial changes. For six of these well-performers, we predicted perceivable sensory impressions of the obtained fermentations on basis of odor activities of the contained volatiles which allowed to bridge compositional changes with consumer response. In this regard, our work provides a comprehensive understanding of LAB-related flavor alteration in various plant-based nutrient environments. Moreover, a few specific strain-milk combinations were identified that promise to deliver flavor by design, opening up further developments towards cheesy products, buttery products, as well as other innovative products in the future.

4.3.1 Screening of a collection of different LAB strains for their plant milk fermentation capacity

The main goals of this work were to (i) improve the naturally undesired flavor of plant milk using microbial fermentation and (ii) discover beneficial microbes that perform well as flavor-enhancer in plant milks of different origins. In a first step, a set of 15 food-grade LAB was selected, considering species that had previously proven value in affecting the flavor of plant-based food in general (Engels et al. 2022; Lee et al. 2016b; Lu et al. 2018; Ricci et al. 2018; Smid and Kleerebezem 2014). The selected strains belonged to two major LAB families, namely *Streptococcaceae* and *Lactobacillaceae*, and covered different 8 genera: *Streptococcus* (4), *Lactobacillus* (2), *Lacticaseibacillus* (3), *Lactiplantibacillus* (1), *Lactilactobacillus* (1), *Lactobacillus* (2), *Limosilactobacillus* (1), and *Leuconostoc* (1) (**Table. 2**). From a metabolic viewpoint, the selected strains comprised homolactic LAB, e. g. *Streptococcus* and *Lactooccus*, and also a heterofermentative LAB, *Leuconostoc*.

It could be expected that the flavor metabolism of the isolates would require their growth, linked to the fact that the degradation, formation, and interconversion of aroma molecules requires energy, redox power, and building blocks, which all are provided in actively growing cells (Bartowsky and Pretorius 2009). In this regard, the gross nutrient composition of the four selected milks differed substantially (**Table 8**). Protein represented the largest fraction (2.0-4.3%), followed by carbohydrates (0.3-3.5%), and fat (0.1-2.7%). Oat milk exhibited the highest content of the major nutrients, suggesting it is a suitable source for fermentation (Lee et al. 2016b; Mårtensson et al. 2000). Differently, pea milk and faba milk contained relatively low levels of protein (around 2.0%) and carbohydrates (0.3% and 0.4% respectively), potentially indicating a poorer growth environment. Sunflower seed milk exhibited a medium level of protein (3.6%) and carbohydrates (0.7%), while its fat level was negligible because defatted sunflower seed flour had been used for its preparation (Tangyu et al. 2022).

Table 8: Main	nutrient	composition	of oat	milk,	sunflower	seed	milk,	реа	milk,	and
faba milk.										

	Protein (%)	Carbohydrates (%)	Fat (%)
Oat milk	4.30	3.50	2.7
Sunflower seed milk	3.60	0.70	0.05
Pea milk	2.00	0.29	0.14
Faba milk	2.20	0.41	0.12

The strains were now evaluated for their capacity to anaerobically grow in the different plant milks. Towards clean label products, plain plant milks were used, i.e. aqueous suspensions of the plant material without additional supplements (Cichońska and Ziarno 2021a). The extent of growth strongly varied with strain and plant milk, whereby 14 strains grew in more than one plant milk. As an exception, *L. helveticus* NCC 1276 could not grow in any of the four milks within the test range of

24 hours. Among the growing strains, the increase in living cells ranged from 0.05 to 2.43 log cfu mL⁻¹ (**Table 9**), reflecting a 1.1-fold to 269.2-fold increase in living cells. Most *Lactobacillaceae* grew well, while *Streptococcaceae* showed weaker growth. *L. mesenteroides* NCC 2832 revealed strong growth in all plant milks, whereas the other strains showed preferences for specific types of milk. As an example, *L. fermentum* NCC 660, *S. thermophilus* NCC 2019, and *S. thermophilus* NCC 2059 grew well in oat and sunflower seed milk but did not, or only weakly, grow in legume-based milks. Differently, *L. rhamnosus* NCC 2891 and NCC 4007, *L. lactis* NCC 2180 and NCC 2242, and *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 grew well only in oat milk and pea milk. Four strains of *S. thermophilus*, *L. johnsonii* NCC 533, and *L. sakei* NCC 1692 preferred sunflower seed milk, while *L. plantarum* NCC 2988 was the second-best grower in sunflower seed, pea, and faba milk among all strains but grew poorly in oat milk.

Table 9: Growth of LAB strains in oat milk, sunflower seed milk, pea milk, and faba
milk, expressed as increase in log (cfu mL ⁻¹). Light green, slight growth (0 < log increase
< 0.5); green (0.5 < log increase < 1); dark green (log increase > 1); -, no growth. n=3.

Strains	Oat milk	Sunflower seed milk	Pea milk	Faba milk
Streptococcaceae				
S. thermophilus NCC 1326	0.47 ± 0.06	1.16 ± 0.05	0.69 ± 0.03	0.19 ± 0.03
S. thermophilus NCC 1988	0.43 ± 0.20	0.63 ± 0.08	0.34 ± 0.04	0.08 ± 0.05
S. thermophilus NCC 2019	0.23 ± 0.05	0.69 ± 0.04	-	0.13 ± 0.02
S. thermophilus NCC 2059	0.05 ± 0.05	0.39 ± 0.07	-	-
L. lactis NCC 2180	0.94 ± 0.03	0.73 ± 0.06	1.02 ± 0.03	0.59 ± 0.03
L. lactis NCC 2242	1.12 ± 0.05	0.49 ± 0.10	0.67 ± 0.09	0.42 ± 0.03
Lactobacillaceae				
L. rhamnosus NCC 2891	1.66 ± 0.09	0.88 ± 0.06	1.01 ± 0.06	0.71 ± 0.04
L. rhamnosus NCC 4007	0.89 ± 0.06	0.25 ± 0.09	0.62 ± 0.01	0.45 ± 0.05
L. paracasei NCC 2511	1.32 ± 0.10	0.88 ± 0.02	1.17 ± 0.10	0.86 ± 0.35
L. plantarum NCC 2988	0.30 ± 0.07	1.79 ± 0.07	1.52 ± 0.07	1.78 ± 0.44
L. sakei NCC 1692	0.48 ± 0.09	1.29 ± 0.05	0.82 ± 0.01	0.57 ± 0.02
L. johnsonii NCC 553	0.90 ± 0.06	1.19± 036	0.81 ± 0.40	0.66 ± 0.37
L. fermentum NCC 660	0.54 ± 0.07	0.48 ± 0.10	-	-
L. mesenteroides NCC 2832	1.77 ± 0.04	2.43 ± 0.01	2.07 ± 0.03	1.59 ± 0.02

Altogether, three conclusions could be drawn from the growth data. First, the nutrient composition of the milk had a strong impact on growth. Generally, oat milk enabled better growth than legume-based milks. Second, the *Lactobacillaceae* grew better than the *Streptococcaceae*, eventually because lactobacilli appear to have an evolutionary history on plant materials (Duar et al. 2017). Third, a few isolates stood out, spearheaded by *L. mesenteroides* NCC 2832, the only strain with a heterofermentative metabolism (Koduru et al. 2017), as the best grower. Overall, a range of promising combinations of strains and plant milks could be identified that were different from previous work and did not require fortification with other nutrients (such as sugars or animal milk) (Cichońska and Ziarno 2021b; Emkani et al. 2021; Mårtensson et al. 2000; Xu et al. 2017b). or use of mixed cultures to support growth (Cichońska and Ziarno 2021b; Herrera - Ponce et al. 2014; Mårtensson et al. 2000).

4.3.2 Spectrum of volatile aroma compounds in unfermented plant-based milks assessed by solid-phase microextraction and GS-MS analysis

Following several rounds of development and improvement, SPME using a DVB/CAR/PDMS fiber plus GC-MS allowed sensitive, reproducible, and robust volatile analysis of the different plant milks. (**Appendix, Table S5**).

Next, the volatile flavor profile of the four raw materials was evaluated using the established approach (**Fig. 29**). Generally, the measurement showed high reproducibility (standard deviation < 20%). Overall, 82 volatiles were detected and identified, most of them in sunflower seed milk, and least in the legume-based milks. Many of the volatiles matched previously described profiles (Akkad et al. 2021; Akkad et al. 2019; Fischer et al. 2021; Guo et al. 2019; Lee et al. 2016b; Wronkowska et al. 2022; Xu et al. 2019). From a chemical perspective, oat milk contained volatiles from all nine groups, whereby alcohols (16% of all detected volatiles, 53% of total peak area), aldehydes (27% of all volatiles, 11% of total peak area), and ketones (14% of all volatiles, 10% of total peak area) were dominant. On



Fig. 29: Volatile analysis of non-fermented oat milk (1), sunflower seed milk (2), pea milk (3), and faba milk (4) using GC-MS. The data comprise the number of known detected volatile compounds (A) and the relative peak area (B) associated to specific chemical groups. The relative diameter of each pie graph represents the corresponding number (A) and the total peak area of all volatiles detected (B), respectively. The values are additionally shown in the middle of each chart. n=3.

the level of single compounds, 1-hexanol, 2-pentylfuran, and dimethyl ether were most abundant. These three molecules accounted for 40%, 11%, and 5% of the total detected peak area, respectively. Sunflower seed milk was found rich in alkenes, especially terpinene-based volatiles such as α -pinene and β -terpinene which accounted for 23% of the total peak area. Besides, aldehydes and alcohols, represented by 1-hexanal (23% of total peak area) and 1-hexanol (10% of total peak area), were predominant. The two legume-based milks from pea and faba showed less volatiles. Aldehydes (43% of all volatiles) were detected in both plant milks, followed by alcohols (25-29%), whereas, different from other plant milks, no esters and alkanes were present.

For increased interpretability, the flavor data were now subjected to PCA. The difference in the abundance of volatiles led to a clear separation of the data in the obtained PCA plot (**Fig. 30**). The unfermented plant milks clustered into three



Fig. 30: Principal component analysis of the volatiles detected by GC-MS in unfermented oat milk, sunflower seed milk, pea milk, and faba milk. The data are shown as bi-plots, including a few signature loading points. ALC, alcohols; ALD, aldehydes; ALKA, alkanes; ALKE, alkenes; E, esters; F, furans; K, ketones; O, organic acids. The given number of each compound corresponds to Table S6. n=3.

groups: (i) cereal-based oat milk, (ii) seed-based sunflower seed milk, and (iii) the two legume-based milks (pea and faba). Alkenes such as α -pinene, β -terpinene, and camphene appeared as signature volatiles of sunflower seed milk, largely contributing to its unique profile. Oat milk and legume-based milks were well separated by differences in the level of alcohols, ketones, and esters (e. g. 1-heptanol, 1-hexanol, 1-(4-ethylphenyl)-ethanone, 2, 3-butanedione, ethyl-2-methyl-butanoic acid, and others), and aldehydes (such as benzene acetaldehyde, decanal, benzaldehyde, 2-decenal, and 1-hexanal) and terpinene-based alcohols (linalool and phytol). Oat milk was rich in alcohols, ketones, and esters, while pea milk and faba milk were characterized by a high aldehyde content.

4.3.3 Impact of LAB-based plant milk fermentation on the profile of flavorassociated volatiles

In the next step, the plant milk fermentations were analyzed for potential changes in the spectrum of aroma compounds volatiles. For this purpose, volatiles were extracted after 24 h of fermentation using SPME, analyzed by GC-MS, and processed. It turned out that all fermentations strongly affected the volatile spectrum (**Fig. 31, Appendix, Table S6**).

Most of the aldehydes and some ketones, originally contained in the non-fermented plant milks, decreased, including 1-heptanal, 1-hexanal, and 1-nonanal. In turn, the corresponding alcohols (1-heptanol, 1-hexanol, 1-nonanol) and carboxylic acids (heptanoic acid, hexanoic acid, nonanoic acid) increased pointing to a range of biocatalytic conversions. In addition, esters, specific ketones, and ethers increased notably, matching at least the trend observed in other LAB-based plant fermentations (Goswami et al. 2018; Lee et al. 2016b; Smid and Kleerebezem 2014; Tangyu et al. 2021). Among the fermented samples, the two legume-based milks were rich in aldehydes, acids, and alcohols but rather poor in other aroma compounds. In contrast, the other two plant milks were lower in aldehydes and richer in e. g. ketones, alcohols, acids, and alkenes. In addition, 43 different volatiles newly emerged during the fermentation: 15 novel compounds were detected in oat and faba milk, 17 in pea milk, and even 21 in sunflower seed milk (Fig. 31). The latter plant milk finally exhibited the most diverse aroma profile. Most of the newly formed molecules were small. As an example, newly arising alcohols and ketones comprised four to nine carbon atoms. As an exception, alcohols eugenol and 3ethyl-4-nonanol, esters bornyl acetate and linally propionate, and the alkenes α thujene and alloocimene, formed by selected microbes, exhibited 10-13 carbon atoms. As many aldehydes were presumed to contribute to undesired beany and grassy off-flavor (Fischer et al. 2022), while many alcohols were often related to





yellow, high abundance; blue, low abundance; and white, not detectable. The flavor compounds are grouped into aldehydes, alcohols, ketones, acids, esters, furans, alkanes, alkenes, and others with the separation of dotted lines. Within each chemical group, the compounds are sorted from small to large: light orange, $< C_5$; orange, C_6 - C_9 ; strong orange, $> C_{10}$. Newly formed flavor compounds, detected after fermentation, are labeled with green dots. For each milk, the columns are sorted based on hierarchical clustering analysis. Hereby for each plant milk, the 14 fermented samples and the non-fermented sample clustered in 6 groups with a distance of 9.2 (oat milk), 10.3 (sunflower seed milk), 9.0 (pea milk), and 8.6 (faba milk). SFS milk, sunflower seed milk. n=3.

favored sweet and fruity notes (Lu et al. 2018), the observed changes appeared as a first sign of a beneficially affected flavor profile by the fermentation process. Overall, many changes were strain-specific, leading to considerable differences in the volatile profiles after the fermentation. Hierarchical clustering of the samples revealed that unfermented plant milk was grouped out from all fermented plant milks, underlying the huge impact of the microbes. For most plant milks, taxonomically related strains changed the aroma similarly, as found, for example for different species of *S. thermophilus* and *L. lactis.* As an exception, faba milk resulted in larger differences even for closely related strains, which might be related to the different capabilities of the strains to grow in this raw material.

Overall, two major classes of conversions could be observed: (i) reducing and (ii) oxidizing ones. Notably, the different strains exhibited quite different activities across these two routes. Many strains showed a remarkable capacity for reduction. *S. thermophilus* isolates formed e. g. 1-heptanol, 1-hexanol, 1-octanol, 2-methyl-1-butanol, and 1-pentanol) and specific ketones, such as 2,3-butanedione, 3-hydroxy-2-butanone, 2-hexanone, 2,3-pentanedione, 2-heptanone, 5-methyl-3-hepten-2-one, 1-(4-ethylphenyl)-ethanone and 2-nonanone). Similarly, *L. fermentum* formed a high amount of several alcohols, including 2-methyl-1-butanol, 1-heptanol, 1-he

mesenteroides formed a greater level of oxidized products such as carboxylic acids (nonanoic acid, hexanoic acid, acetic acid). Some strains exhibited a more mixed behavior and catalyzed reductions as well as oxidations. As an example, oat milk, fermented by *L. rhamnosus*, contained specific alcohols (2-octen-1-ol, 1-octanol, 4methyl-2-propylphenol, and 2-methyl-1-butanol), ketones (3-hydroxy-2-butanone and 2-heptanone), but also organic acids (acetic acid, 3-methyl-butanoic acid, 2methyl-butanoic acid, hexanoic acid, and pentatonic acid). For some strains, the catalyzed chemistry depended on the plant material. *L. johnsonii* actively formed several alcohols in sunflower seed milk and faba milk, revealing a strong reduction capacity, while it produced more organic acids in pea milk, representing a stronger oxidizing capacity, showing that this strain may modify the volatile profiles differently in different plant milks.

4.3.4 Flavor metabolism during fermentation

On the level of single compounds, a few prominent types could be distinguished, pointing to the impact of specific nutrients in the raw materials on flavor formation: (i) alcohols and acids from the reduction and oxidation of aldehyde precursors, respectively, (ii) terpenoid-based compounds and catabolic intermediates from (iii) the degradation of carbohydrates via pyruvate, (iv) of proteins via amino acids, and (v) of lipids via unsaturated fatty acids, matching the nutrient and flavor composition of the raw materials (**Table 8**). The reduction/oxidation of aldehydes into the corresponding alcohols and organic acids was detected in all fermentations. The aldehyde 1-hexanal, most abundant in all four plant milks and linked to an undesired beany and grassy note already at a low threshold (Chemical Book Group 2016; Yang et al. 2008), decreased by 14% to even 100% due to the fermentation, while 1-hexanol and hexanoic acid were formed. Terpenoid-related flavor changes were specifically observed during sunflower seed milk and faba milk fermentation, linked to the presence of terpene alkenes, such as α -pinene, camphene, α -, β -terpinene,

3-carene, p-cymene, and D-limonene in these two plant milks, found here and before (Guo et al. 2019; Ivanova-Petropulos et al. 2015). These terpenes contribute to a fruity, woody, terpenic, and nutty and flavor. Their alcoholic derivatives have a lower odor threshold, resulting in an even stronger aroma (Savithiry et al. 1998). In this regard, it was interesting to see that pinene and limonene were reduced to myrtenol, pinocarveol, carveol, and further converted to other related ketones and esters (e. g. pinocarvone, carvone). As an example, S. thermophilus, L. lactis, L. plantarum, L. johnsonii, and L. sakei fermented sunflower seed milk to increased levels of pinocavenol, isopinocarvenol, mytenol, (-)-mytenol, pinocarvone, and myrtenol acetate. Notably, L. johnsonii and L. plantarum increased the relative amount of (-)mytenol, related to a nice minty and woody odor, about 50-fold. Considered as one of the most preferred alcoholic terpinenes with regard to its citrus and floral aroma (Cai et al. 2014; Chigo-Hernandez et al. 2022; Howe 2020; Lu et al. 2018), as well as its antioxidant and antimicrobial activity (Herman et al. 2016; Jabir et al. 2018; Sasaki et al. 2016), substantial linalool formation was observed in faba milk. It was present only in a relatively low amount in unfermented faba milk but increased 1.2to 13.5-fold by fermentation. Notably, *L. johnsonii* achieved the highest linalool level among all strains. The increase of linalool in plant materials has been previously reported for L. paracasei, L. plantarum, and L. rhamnosus (Cheng et al. 2021; Lu et al. 2018) and is regarded as beneficial with regard to the overall aroma.

Volatiles with strong buttery and creamy notes (3-hydroxy-2-butanone, 2,3butanedione, 2,3-butanediol, 2,3-pentanedione, and their ester derivatives) obviously originated from sugars, organic acids, or amino acids through the pyruvate pathway (Tangyu et al. 2021). Protein and amino acid-derived flavors resulted from transamination to α -keto acids and further to aldehydes, alcohols, and acids (Engels et al. 2022). Almost all samples contained 2-methylbutanal, and 3-methylbutanal (malty, cocoa-like flavor) (Engels et al. 2022), and/or 2-methyl butanol, 3-methyl

butanol, and 2-methyl butanoic acid and 3-methyl butanoic acid that exhibit a fruity, ethereal, nice alcoholic and or cheesy note (Osorio et al. 2006; Pogačić et al. 2016; Xu et al. 2019), and are known to be derived from the degradation of the branchedchain amino acids valine, leucine, and isoleucine (Engels et al. 2022). Finally, the formation of unsaturated aldehydes (e.g. 2,4-decadienal, 2-octenal), observed during fermentation of oat, pea, and faba milk, seemed related to lipid/fatty acid oxidation (Fischer et al. 2022). This was not the case for sunflower seed milk, related to its negligible fat level (**Table 8**).

4.3.5 PCA extracts strain-specific flavor phenotypes

Additional information on similarities and differences in the complex patterns was assessed by PCA using GC-MS and growth data as input (**Supplementary Material 2**). The latter allowed to consider growth effects on flavor changes, a trend that seemed important based on the hierarchical clustering results for faba milk (see above). Altogether, 60 unfermented and fermented plant milk samples were analyzed. It turned out that the first (PC1), the second (PC2), and the third principal component (PC3) together explained up to 65% of the total variance, so their inspection allowed to extract important features in the data set (**Fig. 32, Appendix**,

Table S7, Table S8, Table S9, Table S10).

In all cases, unfermented plant milk clustered separately from fermented plant milk, independent of the strain used, matching with the hierarchical clustering result. The highest distance was observed for sunflower seed, pea, and faba milk (**Fig. 32BCD**), e. g. on the levels of PC2 and PC3. From the flavor compound view, the negative PC2 correlation of unfermented plant milk co-clustered with aldehydes, followed by furans and certain organic acids, alcohols, and ketones, meaning that its unique position was due to a high abundance in these compounds. Opposite, fermented plant milks positively correlated with PC2, represented by esters, alkenes, and ethers, as well as other organic acids, alcohols, and ketones, which were all less



Fig. 32: Impact of plant milk fermentation on the volatile spectrum assessed by PCA. The data comprise the results for oat milk (A), sunflower seed milk (B), pea milk (C), and faba milk (D) detected by HS-SPME-GC-MS. (1), Score plot of principal components 1 and 2; (2), Score plot of principal components 1 and 3; (3), Score plot of principal components 2 and 3. The color was given to unfermented plant milk and different species: red, unfermented plant milk; light green, *S. thermophilus*; dark green, *L. rhamnosus*; yellow, *L. lactis*, purple, *L. mesenteroides*; blue, others. n=3.

present in the pure milk. In addition, sunflower seed milk clustered separately on the level of PC3 mainly characterized by differences in aldehydes, alcohols, and alkenes. Unfermented and fermented oat milk did not differ much for several strains. However, specific strains seemed to result in larger changes, as visualized on the level of PC3 (**Fig. 32B**).

On the strain level, different isolates from the same species revealed a similar activity to affect the spectrum of volatiles, at least in some of the principal components. Typically, they clustered together (**Fig. 32**). As an example, the four *S. thermophilus* isolates grouped together in all plant milks. Differently, the two strains of *L. rhamnosus* clustered together in all plant milks, except faba milk. Likewise, both *L. lactis* strains clustered together in oat, sunflower seed, and pea milk, but were separated in faba milk. Interestingly, the aroma effects caused by *L. lactis* highly were very similar to the ones of *S. thermophilus*. Overall, these strains responded similarly, when fermenting on different plant milks so creating a rather robust flavor profile. In contrast, rather pronounced plant milk-based differences were observed for strains *L. fermentum*, *L. johnsonii*, *L. paracasei*, and *L plantarum*.

These strains exhibited a specific response to each nutrient environment, indicating a more individual flavor metabolism and offering more flexibility with regard to the desired profile. *L. sakei*, although taxonomically more distant, seems did not provide a significanty different type of flavor. The strain always clustered with other strains so that it appeared replaceable to some extent. Notably, *L. mesenteroides* behaved quite differently. It was located far away from all other microbes, indicating that this microbe created a unique flavor profile among all isolates.

4.3.6 Odor implications of plant-based milk alternatives fermented by representative strains

As shown, fermentation strongly affected the flavor profile of the plant milks. A set of signature molecules could be identified, and strains could be classified in terms of similarity and uniqueness with regard to their effects. Hereby, the high reproducibility of the experimental workflow enabled clear conclusions.

Admittedly, the observed changes were complex, posing a challenge to the evaluation of the overall impact on the perceivable flavor. We tackled this question by weighing the measured compositional volatile data on basis of their impact on perceivable flavor, considering their relative abundance plus their odor threshold. This approach mimicked the concept of odor activity, which is based on ratios between concentration and odor threshold and is frequently used to infer the impact of compounds on overall flavor (Zhu et al. 2020). A test set of six representative strains that yielded quite different flavor profiles, based on PCA and HCA analysis, was used (**Fig. 33**).

Our approach allowed to evaluate the flavor impact of single compounds within a given mixture based on relative peak areas, which can be obtained far more easily than absolute concentrations. In short, the relative peak areas of the detected volatiles were normalized, given differences between them in odor threshold. Hereby, the least perceivable volatile within the sample was used as a reference and the other (more perceivable) volatiles were normalized to this reference, based on the threshold ratio. This yielded the relative odor activity for each compound within the mixture, i. e. its impact on the overall flavor. In total, 75 out 99 detected volatiles with known flavor attributes, for which a threshold value was available, could be included in the evaluation. Then, we went one step further towards predicting overall flavor notes for entire samples and not only for single compounds. For this purpose, we summed up all relative odor activities that belonged to a certain

flavor group which allowed to infer the relative impact of this flavor note (Fig. 33A). Doing so for various flavor groups finally aimed to provide a rough estimate on the resulting overall flavor that we designate "predicted flavor" here. Sunflower seed milk, pea milk, and faba milk are well known to have a strong grassy and beany flavor (Akkad et al. 2019; El Youssef et al. 2020; Tangyu et al. 2022), which is most pronounced in pea milk (Fischer et al. 2022). It was therefore nice to see that our approach exactly predicted this attribute. The three unfermented plant milks were dominated by a grassy and beany note: 51% for faba milk, 87% for sunflower seed milk, and 95% for pea milk. The predicted undesired flavor note, related to the presence of high-abundance-low-threshold aldehydes and furans, e.g. 1-hexanal, 1-heptanal, and 2-pentylfuran, was consistent with previous studies (Bott and Chambers IV 2006; Roland et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2021). As shown, fruity notes made only a minor contribution to the flavor of these plant milks. Differently, unfermented oat milk was classified to be more fruity-sweet and buttery-fatty (87% of total odor impact), and also this attribute well matched previous studies that many consumers highly value oat milk with good taste (Pham Thi Thu 2019).

Several interesting findings could be now extracted from the fermentation processes. For pea, faba, and sunflower seed milk, fermentation with most strains resulted in drastic alterations of the predicted flavor profile, whereas for oat milk only one strain (*L. mesenteroides*) caused an apparently strong change (**Fig. 33**). Within the flavor fingerprints, we recognized notable trends among (i) favored plant milk-flavors such as sweet, flora, and buttery (shown green), (ii) functional flavors that add complexity and uniqueness to the food (Liu 2012), such as minty, cheesy, and nutty (shown yellow), (iii) and generally unfavored flavors such as grassy and beany (shown in blue).

Most strains could substantially increase favored flavors (fruity, sweet, floral, buttery, and fatty) during fermentation. Strikingly, *S. thermophilus* NCC 1326 was able to



Fig. 33: Prediction of perceivable flavor from non-fermented and fermented plant milks based on GC-MS volatile analysis. Illustration of the concept to infer relative odor activity from relative abundance using unfermented faba milk as an example (A). Prediction of the flavor profile and the overall sensory profile for oat milk (B), sunflower seed milk (C),

pea milk (D), and faba milk (E). Prior to estimation, the detected volatiles were classified into eight odor groups: (i) Fruity, citrus, sweet, melty, ethereal (designated fruity, sweet), (ii) floral, nice green (floral, nice green), (iii) buttery, fatty, waxy, creamy (designated buttery, fatty), (iv) cheesy, sour (designated cheesy, sour), (v) nutty, woody, minty, toasted, turpentine, balsamic, camphoraceous (designated nutty, woody), (vi) green, grassy, beany, herbal (designated grassy, green), (vii) earthy, mushroom (designated earthy, mushroom), and (viii) pungent, spicy, sharp, phenolic earthy, mushroom pungent, phenolic). The colors highlight desired flavors (green), functional flavors (yellow), and off-flavors (blue). n=3.

increase the buttery note in all four plant milks. This is regarded as very attractive, given the fact that this note is generally preferred in fermented plant milk products. The highest increase of favored flavors was observed for fermented oat and sunflower seed milk but also fermented pea and faba milk contained elevated amounts of these flavors. Eventually, the weaker formation of favored flavors in the legume-based milks might relate to the weaker growth of the used microbes in these raw materials, because important flavor compounds of this group are formed from carbohydrates and/or protein through the pyruvate route (Tangyu et al. 2021), a growth-associated pathway (Cocaign-Bousquet et al. 1996), examples being 2,3-butanediol and 3-hydroxy butanone. Notably, pea and faba milk contained less carbohydrates and protein (**Table 8**).

Cheesy and sour odor was increased in fermented pea milk and faba milk. In particular, *L. mesenteroides*, *L. plantarum*, and *L. johnsonii* were most effective in increasing these notes (from 0% up to 85%). The formation of cheese-like flavors is challenging in plant-based materials since these materials lack the precursor casein (Engels et al. 2022). Therefore, a fermentation with the mentioned microbes might support the development of non-dairy cheese alternatives from legume-based plant materials. Such products currently gain huge attention based on increased consumer demand (Engels et al. 2022; Grossmann and McClements 2021).

Nutty, woody, and minty notes increased in fermented sunflower seed milk, driven by the availability of corresponding flavor precursors and the capacity of the used strains. These flavors offer promising applications by adding complexity and uniqueness to the food (Liu 2012), while nutty and minty flavor is supposed to upgrade the taste of plant milks, e.g. in the Asian market (Ju et al. 2021; Rozhkova and Olentsova 2020). Regarding these notes, the most obvious changes were observed using strains of *L. plantarum* and *L. johnsonii*, which increased this flavor type from 4% up to 63%. These fermented plant milks could open up the creation of new products and contribute to the innovation and diversity of plant milk products.

Most beneficially, all strains were able to remove unfavored beany and grassy volatiles, in some combinations even drastically. As an example, *L. johnsonii* reduced the faction of these notes from 95% to 7% in pea milk. Among all strains, *L. plantarum*, *L. mesenteroides*, and *L. johnsonii* were found most efficient in decreasing this off-flavor, providing a valuable trait (Fischer et al. 2022). In contrast, *L. lactis* was weaker in lowering the beany and grassy notes, likely linked to its rather poor capacity to metabolize aldehydes (Engels et al. 2022).

Given the complex nature of food, developing instrumental methods using GC-MS and analysis approaches to describe sensory profile could be very beneficial, since they are nonsubjective, highly repeatable and reproducible, and do not suffer from fatigue or adaptation (Smyth and Cozzolino 2013). However, predicting human perception by instrumental measurements is quite challenging as it is a multisensory experience evoking smell and taste (Smyth and Cozzolino 2013). In this scenario, new technologies such as reverse engineering combined with machine learning have been developed and applied in the flavor field (Bi et al. 2019; Queiroz et al. 2022). It takes experimental data and automates flavor development itself, thereby creating a more rapid, repeatable cyclical workflow for the discovery and prediction of flavor formation (Queiroz et al. 2022). In the future, it is promising to combine

different instrument approaches such as GC-MS, electronic noses, and electronic tongues with machine learning to build a comprehensive approach to developing and predicting flavors based on multi factors including quantitive volatile fingerprint, simulative sensory sensors, and generative neural network models.

5 Conclusions and Outlook

The market of plant-based milk alternatives is quickly increasing. However, unbalanced nutrition and unwanted organoleptic characteristics still limit consumption. In particular, the use of mono- and mixed-culture fermentation holds great potential in improving the nutritional quality and the sensory profile of plant materials.

In this work, a genome-based approach supported the selection of two wellperforming strains, *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 and *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511, for the fermentation of chickpea milk. As shown, each of the microbes exhibited multiple benefits: an improved L-lysine content due to *de novo* synthesis of the amino acid, which was proven in ¹³C experiments; an improved digestibility due to the removal of raffinose and stachyose; and an improved flavor profile due the elimination of off-flavor aldehydes and the generation of sweet and fruity aromas. Notably, each of the two microbes exhibited a unique signature. *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 showed a greater capacity to grow, likely enabled by its stronger portfolio of hydrolytic enzymes, whereas *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 exhibited pronounced synthesis of selected beneficial molecules. In this regard, each of the two strains has its own benefits for use in the fermentation of chickpea milk and appears promising for other plant-based milks.

Subsequently, a novel co-culture fermentation process was developed by a knowledge-based assembly of vitamin B₁₂ production strain, *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177 and above selected strain *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156 for upgrading of sunflower seed milk, derived from sunflower press cakes as a waste product during sunflower oil production. This plant-based material offers the concept of sustainability and circular economy, when used for human consumption, and is therefore considered particularly eco-friendly in the strongly developing market of plant-based food (Petraru et al. 2021). As shown, the interactions between *P.*

freudenreichii NCC 1177 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* enabled a cooperative process with remarkable benefits: (i) enriched content of vitamin B₁₂, the key micronutrient for all vegans to be aware of, (ii) improved digestibility due to the removal of raffinose and stachyose, (iii) increased protein quality with increased levels of the most limiting essential amino acid L-lysine, and (iv) an improved flavor profile due the elimination of bitter notes and the generation of sweet and fruity aromas. Notably, the key to the successful process was microbial collaboration. The excellent co-working of the strains even enabled a completely natural process without any supplementation. Inferred from the process data of the co-culture between 48 and 65 hours, a single cell of *Propionibacterium* synthesized up to 100 molecules of B₁₂ per second which displays a remarkable synthetic power, considering the complexity of the vitamin.

Additionally, we investigated a selection of 15 LAB strains from various genera and species regarding their capacity to affect the flavor profile of 4 types of plant milks of high commercial interest, a cereal-based (oat) (Fernandesa et al. 2021), a seed-based (sunflower seed) (Tangyu et al. 2022), and two legume-based ones (pea and faba) (Nawaz et al. 2020). Fermentation strongly improved the flavor of the fermented plant milks. The observed flavor changes were strongly associated with the nutrient composition of the used plant milk. Given this space, a careful selection of specific combinations of milk and strain allowed to design the flavor. Our tested flavor box of 60 possible combinations offered e. g. specific selections that yielded a strong buttery or cheesy or woody flavor, opening new options towards more diverse flavor-tailored plant milk-based products. Notably, a few strains revealed generally beneficial properties. As an example, *S. thermophilus* NCC 1326 appeared as "butter-maker" in strongly enhancing buttery notes in all plant milks, while *L. mesenteroides* emerged as a "cheese-maker" microbe that widely increased cheesy notes and *L. johnsonii* proved to be a good "minty-maker", given

its active terpenoid-based flavor metabolism. Their identification was much facilitated or even enabled by the newly introduced approach that used the GC-MSbased relative abundance of flavor volatiles, together with their odor thresholds, to predict flavor notes and overall flavor profiles. Because relative amounts of flavor volatiles, which are much easier to assess than absolute values, could be used to predict overall flavor notes, the new workflow appears interesting to be used further.

Strikingly, the fermentations did here contained only microbes and plant milk without any further additives, different from many previous studies (Cichońska and Ziarno 2021b; Emkani et al. 2021; Herrera - Ponce et al. 2014; Mårtensson et al. 2000; Xu et al. 2017b), matching the clean label expectation of consumers (Cichońska and Ziarno 2021a).

Nature demonstrates the power of successful collaboration for complex tasks. Notably, the degradation of plant-based matter is mediated by microbial consortia with complementary features which even apply "task division strategies" (Weiland et al. 2022). This global principle, together with the outcome of this work, suggest looking more into microbial consortia for superior microbial food processing. Hereby, the understanding of the needs and capabilities of the microbes involved appears crucial delivering food observed benefits as the results of natural processes catalyzed by safe microbes (Kohlstedt et al. 2014; Schwechheimer et al. 2018a; Schwechheimer et al. 2018b; Schwechheimer et al. 2018c).

Meanwhile, systems biology approaches have greatly advanced and open up novel possibilities to study even complex systems to a great level of detail. Due to the enormous progress in the field, quantitative systems biology studies of mixed culture fermentations of plant-based milk alternatives could become the next level of research, in order to better understand the underlying physiological, cellular, and molecular processes. The system to be studied is admittedly complex, but seminal studies on similarly complex fermentation processes involving cocoa fermentation

(Adler et al. 2013) oil-based riboflavin production (Schwechheimer et al. 2018b), and salt rich environments (Hou et al. 2000; Kohajdová et al. 2006; Kohlstedt et al. 2014) are encouraging success stories, which demonstrate the power of systems biology to shed more light into the dark and provide valuable guidance for improvement. It can be expected that similar systems level studies, which unravel genomic, transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, and fluxomics in multi-omics approaches, will significantly contribute to a better understanding of plant material fermentation and upgrade the field to a level of more rational design and improvement.

Fermentation provides multi-benefits such as enhancing the gustatory and nutritional value, imparting appetizing flavor and texture of foods, and prolonging their shelf life (Asgher et al. 2020). Nowadays, food-grade microbes are used as commercial starter cultures virtue of acidification, proteolytic, possess antagonistic, antioxidant, antimicrobial, and immunomodulatory properties (Meade et al. 2020). The wide spectrum of food-grade bacteria-based probiotics, bacteriocins, exopolysaccharides, bio preservative, and their relevant benefits towards human health has been involved in more studies (Raj et al. 2021). Fermentation is a promising solution not only for high-quality plant milk alternatives but also for other plant-based applications such as plant-based meat, fish, and cheese. As the market becomes increasingly diverse, the fermentation of novel types of plant materials will become another important trend in the future.

6 Appendix

6.1 Abbreviations

AAS	Amino acid score
Ala	Alanine
ALC	Alcohols
ALD	Aldehydes
ALKA	Alkanes
ALKE	Alkenes
AP	Acetylase pathway
Arg	Arginine
Asn	Asparagine
Asp	Aspartic acid
ATCC	American type culture collection
BHI	Brain heart infusion
CadA	Lysine decarboxylase
cfu	Colony forming unit
Cys	Cysteine
DapA	4-Hydroxy-tetrahydrodipicolinate synthase
DapB	4-Hydroxytetrahydrodipicolinate reductase
DapC	Succinyl-amino-ketopimelate transaminase
DapD	Tetrahydrodipicolinate succinylase
DapE	N-succinyl-diaminopimelate desuccinylase
dapF	Diaminopimelate epimerase
DapF	Diaminopimelate epimerase
DavB	Lysine 2-monooxygenase
ddh	Diaminopimelate dehydrogenase
Ddh	Diaminopimelate dehydrogenase
DMBI	Dimethylbenzimidazole
DO	Dissolved oxygen
DP	Dehydrogenase pathway
DSMZ	German collection of microorganisms and cell cultures
E	Esters
EC 1.4.3.14	L-Lysine oxidase
EC 5.1.1.5	Lysine racemase
F	Furans
FA	Fatty acid
Funct. Peptides	Functional peptides
GC-MS	Gas chromatography/mass spectrometry
Gln	Glutamine

Glu	Glutamic acid
Gly	Glycine
HCA	Hierarchical cluster analysis
His	Histidine
Hom	Homoserine dehydrogenase
HPLC	High performance liquid chromatography
HS-SPME	Headspace solid-phase micro extraction
lle	Isoleucine
IVPD	In vitro protein digestibility
К	Ketones
KamA	L-Lysine 2,3-aminomutase
LAB	Lactic acid bacteria
LBD	Lactic acid bacteria differential
Leu	Leucine
LPP	Low-pressure-pasteurized
LucD	Lysine N6-hydroxylase
Lys	Lysine
LysA	Diaminopimelate decarboxylase
MBDSTFA	N-methyl-t-butyldimethylsilyl-trifluoroacetamide
Met	Methionine
MRS	de Mann - Rogosa - Sharpe
MurF	UDP-N-acetylmuramoylalanyl-d-glutamyl-2,6-diamino- pimelate-d-alanyl-d-alanyl ligase
NAD-DAC	N-acetyl-diaminopimelate deacetylase
NCC	Nestlé culture collection
NDB	National nutrient database for standard reference release
0	Organic acids
OD	Optical density
PatA	N-acetyl-amino-ketopimelate aminotransferase
PCA	Principal component analysis
PDCAAS	In vitro protein digestibility corrected amino acid score
Phe	Phenylalanine
Pro	Proline
Ser	Serine
SFS	Sunflower seed
SP	Succinylase pathway
THDP-NAT	Tetrahydrodipicolinate acetylase
Thr	Threonine
Trp	Tryptophan
TSB	Tryptic soy broth
Tyr	Tyrosine

UHT	Ultra-high-temperature
UV	Ultraviolet
Val	Valine
Vitamin B ₁₂	Cobalamin
Vitamin B2	Riboflavin
Vitamin B ₃	Niacin
Vitamin B7	Biotin

6.2 Symbols

Ag	Peak area of an odor group	[/]
ag	Relative abundance of an odor group	[%]
Ai	Peak area	[/]
ai	Relative abundance of	[%]
Atotal	Total peak area	[/]
C^* 2-ethyl-furan, air	Odor threshold of 2-ethyl-furan in air	[µg L ⁻¹]
C*i, air	Odor threshold of analyte i in air	[µg L ⁻¹ / ppbv]
C [*] i, air	Relative odor threshold of analyte i	[/]
C*i, aqueous	Odor threshold of analyte i in water	[µg kg⁻¹]
Ki	The air/aqueous partition coefficient	[/]
Mi	The molecular weight of the compound i	[g mol ⁻¹]
Og	Relative odor activity of an odor group	[/]
Oi	Relative odor activity of analyte i	[/]
Vm	The ideal gas volume	[L mol ⁻¹]
ρ	The density of water	[kg L ⁻¹]

6.3 Supplementary data

6.3.1 Odor threshold

Table S1. The calculation of odor threthold (OT) in air (ppbv) of volatiles.

		į	į			į		į	į						
	011	012	013	014	015	016	017	018	019	0110	0T11	0T12	0T13	0T14	Used
2-Propenal	3.6	3.6	210.0	160.0											3.6
3-Methyl-butanal		0.1	11.0												11.0
2-Methyl-butanal			11.0												11.0
Hexanal		0.3				9.7							12.6	0.3	0.3
2,4-Heptadienal			8.0										8.5		8.0
2-Heptenal			19.0										19.4		19.4
Heptanal		0.2	0.2										10.0	0.8	0.2
Benzaldehyde		100.0											43.4	19.8	19.8
2-Octenal			1.0											0.5	0.5
Benzeneacetaldehyde		4.0													4.0
4-Ethyl-benzaldehyde		13.0													13.0
2-Nonenal		0.1	0.0											0.0	0.0
Nonanal		0.3	0.5										2.1	0.5	0.3
2,4-Decadienal		0.1	0.4											0.4	0.1
2-Decenal		1.0	0.4										0.5	0.4	0.4
Decanal		o.	0.4										0.7		0.1
[S,S]-2,3-Butanediol					48.9										48.9
3-Methyl-2-buten-1-ol								172.5							172.5
3-Methyl-1-butanol						67.8									67.8
2-Methyl-1-butanol						67.8									67.8
1-Pentanol		100.0			9673	604.6							1009	43.0	43.0
1-Hexanol	6.0	6.0			188.7	429.0							87.3		6.0
2-Heptanol		41.0													41.0
1-Heptanol		3.0													3.0
Benzyl Alcohol		10000			3.0E5	14903									10000
2-Methyl-3-hexanol															
Phenylethyl alcohol							173.5								173.0
3,5-Xylenol		1000													1000

1-Octen-3-ol		14.0								0.5	0.5
2-Octen-1-ol		40.0									40.0
3-Octanol	27.0										27.0
2-Ethyl-1-hexanol		130.0									130.0
1-Octanol	27.0	2.7						-	13.9	4.2	2.7
2-Nonen-1-ol		130.0									130.0
1-Nonanol	0.9	0.9								3.1	0.9
Eugenol		6.0									6.0
Cherry propanol											
Isopinocarveol											
leave-Pinocarveol											
cis-Verbenol											
(-)-Myrtenol								0.3			7.0
Myrtenol								0.3			7.0
Linalool		4.0		6.5							4.0
Terpinen-4-ol						150.3					150.3
3-Ethyl-4-nonanol											
Phytol											
Methyl vinyl ketone											
2,3-Butanedione		0.3			0.0						0.3
3-Hydroxy-2-butanone				17183 91.6			1.6				1.6
2,3-Pentanedione		20.0			11.6						11.6
2-Hexanone		24-80									24.0
2-Methyl-3-pentanone											
1-(2-Furanyl)-ethanone		10.0									10.0
2,3-Heptanedione											
3,6-Heptanedione											
2-Heptanone		1.0	1.0					0	0.8		0.8
1-(2-Furanyl)-1-propanone											
3,5-Octadien-2-one		5.1									5.1
----------------------------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	------	-------	-----	---------------	-------	---------------
6-Methyl-5-hepten-2-one		50.0	1.0								1.0
5-Methyl-3-hepten-2-one											
3-Octanone		21-50									21.0
Acetovanillone											
2-Nonanone4		5.0								5.4	5.0
1-(4-Ethylphenyl)-ethanone											
5,6-Dehydrocamphor											
Pinocarvone											
D-Verbenone											
Acetic acid	6.0	6.0	162.0	480.0						570.9	6.0
3-Methyl-butanoic acid	0.1	160.0		19.0	183.4						0.1
2-Methyl-butanoic acid	0.0	10.0									0.0
Pentanoic acid		0.0	33.0	9.0		8.9					0.0
Hexanoic acid	0.6	0.6			15.1					639.8	0.6
Octanoic Acid		910.0			26.6						26.6
Nonanoic acid		3.0								1.9	1.9
Ethyl Acetate	870.0	870.0	1000	3900		3844	14416				870.0
Ethyl lactate		50000									50000 .0
Ethyl 2-methylbutyrate		0.0					U).5			0.0
Hexyl acetate	1.8		307.0		8224	11.0					1.8
Myrtenyl acetate											
Verbenyl acetate											
Bornyl acetate		75.0									75.0
Epoxyalphaterpenyl acetate											
Linalyl propionate											
2-Ethyl-furan									12656 84.4		12656 84.4
2-Acetyl-5-methylfuran											
2-n-Butyl furan									1.0E5		1.0E5

2-(1-Pentenyl)-furan								
2-Pentyl-furan		6.0			178.0	32.4	3.4	3.4
2-Methyl-benzofuran								
2-n-Heptylfuran								
Undecane	870.0	620.0						620.0
Dodecane	110.0	110.0				770.7		110.0
Tridecane								
a-Pinene	18.0		130.0	4.8E4	4			18.0
Camphene		880.0	130.0					130.0
β-Terpinene			130.0					130.0
3-Carene			140.0					140.0
p-Cymene		57.0						57.0
D-Limonene	38.0	38.0	130.0			130.6		38.0
y-Terpinene					50185			50185
α-Thujene								
Alloocimene					17787			17787
2,4-Dimethyl-1-decene								
β-Gurjunene								
Methyl isocyanate								
Dimethyl ether		5.0E5						5.0E5
4-Ethenyl-1,2-dimethyl-benzene								
4-Methyl-2-propylphenol								
α-Limonene diepoxide								
5-Methoxy-1,3-dimethyl-1H- pyrazole								
Benzothiazole								
1,2-Benzisothiazole								
Benzene, 1,3-bis(1,1- dimethylethyl)-								
OT1-14 were collected or calculated fr 1983), OT5 (Cai et al. 2014), OT6 (van OT12 (Wu et al. 2016), OT13 (Xu et al.	om followi Gemert 2 . 2017a), -	ng literatu 2011), OT7 OT14 (Yar	T (Ouyan ng et al. 2	(Nagata and Takeuchi 2003), OT2 (Chemical Book Group 20 ang et al. 2017), OT8 (Liu et al. 2022), OT9 (Tamura et al. 200 . 2008).	116), OT3 (Yan et al. 2020), OT4 (1), OT10 (Maga and Katz 1979), ((Amoore ar OT11 (Yan	nd Hautala g et al. 20	19),

6.3.2 Microbial growth during chickpea milk fermentation

Table S2. Microbial growth during chickpea milk fermentation. The data are given as (colony forming units) mL⁻¹. n=3.

Strains	0 h	24 h	48 h
F. sanfranciscensis NCC 463	7.65 ± 0.03	7.08 ± 0.07	6.14 ± 0.14
F. sanfranciscensis NCC 2572	7.63 ± 0.01	6.80 ± 0.02	6.24 ± 0.20
F. sanfranciscensis NCC 2629	7.56 ± 0.10	6.29 ± 0.00	6.50 ± 0.12
L. pontis NCC 380	7.72 ± 0.03	7.08 ± 0.09	7.72 ± 0.03
B. infantis NCC 365	6.51 ± 0.02	7.07 ± 0.04	6.50 ± 0.11
B. longum subsp. infantis NCC 283	6.08 ± 0.01	6.61 ± 0.25	6.71 ± 0.28
L. helveticus NCC 1182	6.64 ± 0.03	7.02 ± 0.07	6.03 ± 0.02
L. helveticus NCC 1104	6.40 ± 0.22	6.28 ± 0.12	5.86 ± 0.06
L. helveticus NCC 158	6.64 ± 0.02	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00
L. hilgardii NCC 1497	7.50 ± 0.08	7.71 ± 0.08	7.72 ± 0.20
L. delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus NCC 621	7.03 ± 0.00	5.57 ± 0.24	0.00 ± 0.00
L. plantarum NCC 1385	7.66 ± 0.02	8.68 ± 0.12	8.67 ± 0.06
L. brevis NCC 372	7.30 ± 0.03	7.84 ± 0.12	7.82 ± 0.06
L. johnsonii NCC 2774	7.32 ± 0.06	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00
L. johnsonii NCC 2822	7.19 ± 0.01	6.01 ± 0.03	6.04 ± 0.13
L. johnsonii NCC 2767	8.47 ± 0.94	8.08 ± 0.41	6.18 ± 0.00
L. reuteri NCC 1945	7.19 ± 0.04	8.62 ± 0.12	8.77 ± 0.45
L. reuteri NCC 2823	5.16 ± 0.11	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00
L. reuteri NCC 2613	6.48 ± 0.06	7.91 ± 0.38	8.52 ± 0.44
L. paracasei subsp. paracasei NCC 2511	7.39 ± 0.03	8.44 ± 0.04	8.48 ± 0.01
L. paracasei NCC 2537	7.46 ± 0.04	8.51 ± 0.05	8.63 ± 0.14
L. acidophilus NCC 2766	5.43 ± 0.01	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00
L. jensenii NCC 2867	7.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00
B. amyloliquefaciens NCC 156	7.19 ± 0.12	8.93 ± 0.00	8.56 ± 0.14
B. amyloliquefaciens NCC 2770	5.57 ± 0.03	8.77 ± 0.07	7.40 ± 0.00
B. subtilis NCC 199	6.09 ± 0.09	7.89 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.00
B. licheniformis NCC 2940	6.62 ± 0.08	8.78 ± 0.04	0.10 ± 0.00
B. flexus NCC 2902	6.60 ± 0.11	9.04 ± 0.04	9.64 ± 0.12
B. flexus NCC 2903	7.01 ± 0.05	9.74 ± 0.04	8.51 ± 0.03
B. pumilus NCC 2962	7.01 ± 0.03	8.90 ± 0.13	9.02 ± 0.02
C. stationis NCC 3013	7.25 ± 0.07	9.25 ± 0.03	9.32 ± 0.03
C. stationis NCC 3016	6.85 ± 0.07	9.17 ± 0.00	9.33 ± 0.06

6.3.3 Metabolic signature profile of mono-strain during pasteurized

sunflower seed milk fermentation

Table S3. Metabolic signature profile of *P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177, *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156, and *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NCC 2511 during aerobic and anaerobic fermentation of pasteurized sunflower seed milk. The fermentation was carried out at 30°C either anaerobically (48 hours) or aerobically (24 hours) C. n=3.

	Sunflower seed milk	P. freudenre NCC 1177	eichii	<i>B. amyloliqu</i> NCC 156	efaciens	L. paracase paracasei N	<i>i</i> subsp. ICC 2511
	Non fermented	Aerobic	Anaerobic	Aerobic	Anaerobic	Aerobic	Anaerc
Growth							
Cell count (log cfu mL-1)	7.07 ± 0.30	8.18 ± 0.03	8.69 ± 0.04	9.04 ± 0.20	7.15 ± 0.15	7.82 ± 0.22	8.15 ±
Vitamin metabolism							
Vitamin B ₁₂ (µg 100 g ⁻¹)	n.d.	0.11 ± 0.01	0.90 ± 0.10	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Vitamin B ₃ (mg 100 g ⁻¹)	0.41 ± 0.01	0.42 ± 0.00	0.42 ± 0.01	0.64 ± 0.02	0.41 ± 0.01	0.41 ± 0.01	0.43 ±
Vitamin B ₇ (µg 100 g ⁻¹)	3.85 ± 0.25	3.50 ± 0.22	3.50 ± 0.00	4.23 ± 0.19	4.00 ± 0.00	3.80 ± 0.00	3.60 ±
Sugar metabolism							
Sucrose (mM)	13.51 ± 1.13	13.65 ± 0.55	13.33 ± 0.51	n.d.	11.55 ± 0.44	12.36 ± 0.93	12.25 ± 0.44
Raffinose (mM)	3.74 ± 0.32	3.54 ± 0.27	3.49 ± 0.11	1.38 ± 0.08	3.21±0.10	3.29± 0.21	3.42 ±
Stachyose (mM)	0.39 ± 0.03	0.29 ± 0.01	0.29 ± 0.02	0.07 ± 0.01	0.29 ± 0.01	0.29 ± 0.02	0.34 ±
Organic acid metabolis	m						
Lactate (mM)	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.64 ± 0.20	1.39 ± 0.11	1.26 ±
Propionate (mM)	n.d.	0.48 ± 0.05	1.34 ± 0.16	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Acetate (mM)	0.94 ± 0.00	1.45 ± 0.66	2.43 ± 0.28	19.83 ± 0.24	1.17 ± 0.03	2.38 ± 0.20	2.22 ±
Amino acid metabolism							
∟-Lysine (mM)	0.13 ± 0.00	0.15 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.00	0.39 ± 0.04	0.14 ± 0.01	0.12 ± 0.01	0.11 ±
∟-Valine (mM)	0.19 ± 0.00	0.17 ± 0.01	0.14 ± 0.01	0.74 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.02	0.17 ± 0.01	0.18 ±
∟-Leucine (mM)	0.07 ± 0.00	0.06 ± 0.00	0.04 ± 0.00	0.76 ± 0.04	0.09 ± 0.01	0.07 ± 0.00	0.06 ±

6.3.4 Amino acids during 96 h co-fermentation of sunflower seed milk

Table S4. Amino acids during 96 h co-fermentation of sunflower seed milk by using*P. freudenreichii* NCC 1177 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* NCC 156. n=3.

	0 h	6 h	12 h	18 h	24 h	30 h	36 h	42 h	48 h
Aspartate	2.03±0.05	2.06±0.05	2.06±0.07	1.88±0.04	1.58±0.07	1.29±0.02	0.83±0.09	0.64±0.09	0.16±0.18
Glutamate	0.46±0.01	0.49±0.02	0.51±0.02	0.47±0.01	0.46±0.01	0.46±0.01	0.47±0.04	0.45±0.02	0.43±0.01
Cysteine	0.40±0.01	0.41±0.00	0.41±0.01	0.38±0.03	0.37±0.01	0.37±0.00	0.36±0.00	0.36±0.01	0.37±0.01
Serine	0.18±0.01	0.17±0.00	0.18±0.01	0.17±0.01	0.15±0.02	0.14±0.01	0.14±0.01	0.12±0.01	0.10±0.00
Histidine	0.11±0.00	0.10±0.00	0.11±0.00	0.10±0.00	0.09±0.01	0.09±0.00	0.09±0.01	0.05±0.04	0.00±0.00
Glycine	0.34±0.00	0.34±0.01	0.33±0.01	0.29±0.01	0.23±0.02	0.19±0.02	0.12±0.01	0.13±0.01	0.11±0.00
Threonine	0.09±0.00	0.09±0.00	0.09±0.00	0.08±0.00	0.08±0.00	0.08±0.00	0.08±0.00	0.08±0.00	0.08±0.00
Arginine	0.42±0.01	0.42±0.02	0.44±0.02	0.40±0.01	0.39±0.04	0.38±0.01	0.38±0.03	0.36±0.01	0.33±0.01
Alanine	0.66±0.01	0.67±0.02	0.68±0.03	0.63±0.01	0.59±0.02	0.53±0.01	0.43±0.01	0.33±0.02	0.14±0.09
Tyrosine	0.06±0.00	0.06±0.00	0.07±0.00	0.06±0.00	0.06±0.00	0.06±0.00	0.05±0.00	0.05±0.00	0.04±0.00
Valine	0.27±0.01	0.28±0.01	0.28±0.01	0.26±0.00	0.25±0.02	0.24±0.00	0.22±0.02	0.19±0.00	0.15±0.01
Methionine	0.16±0.00	0.16±0.00	0.17±0.00	0.17±0.01	0.16±0.01	0.16±0.00	0.16±0.01	0.15±0.00	0.09±0.06
Tryptophan	0.45±0.02	0.45±0.03	0.49±0.03	0.41±0.02	0.42±0.01	0.40±0.02	0.42±0.05	0.39±0.02	0.36±0.01
Phenylalanine	0.15±0.00	0.15±0.00	0.16±0.01	0.14±0.01	0.13±0.01	0.12±0.00	0.12±0.01	0.11±0.00	0.10±0.00
Isoleucine	0.29±0.01	0.28±0.01	0.28±0.01	0.24±0.01	0.22±0.02	0.21±0.00	0.17±0.01	0.16±0.00	0.04±0.00
Leucine	0.12±0.00	0.13±0.00	0.13±0.00	0.11±0.01	0.09±0.01	0.09±0.00	0.08±0.00	0.08±0.00	0.07±0.00
Lysine	0.67±0.03	0.66±0.03	0.70±0.05	0.64±0.01	0.66±0.03	0.63±0.01	0.64±0.05	0.62±0.02	0.60±0.00
Proline	0.51±0.02	0.53±0.03	0.30±0.16	0.15±0.01	0.14±0.01	0.14±0.01	0.13±0.02	0.10±0.02	0.11±0.01
									_
	54 h	60 h	66 h	72 h	78 h	84 h	90 h	96 h	_
Aspartate	54 h 0.03±0.00	60 h 0.03±0.00	66 h 0.19±0.20	72 h 1.28±0.14	78 h 0.93±0.07	84 h 1.21±0.05	90 h 1.18±0.07	96 h 1.09±0.07	_ _
Aspartate Glutamate	54 h 0.03±0.00 0.04±0.00	60 h 0.03±0.00 0.10±0.03	66 h 0.19±0.20 0.52±0.09	72 h 1.28±0.14 2.11±0.23	78 h 0.93±0.07 3.54±0.30	84 h 1.21±0.05 4.46±0.30	90 h 1.18±0.07 4.60±0.19	96 h 1.09±0.07 4.49±0.29	
Aspartate Glutamate Cysteine	54 h 0.03±0.00 0.04±0.00 0.37±0.01	60 h 0.03±0.00 0.10±0.03 0.36±0.01	66 h 0.19±0.20 0.52±0.09 0.36±0.00	72 h 1.28±0.14 2.11±0.23 0.38±0.01	78 h 0.93±0.07 3.54±0.30 0.40±0.01	84 h 1.21±0.05 4.46±0.30 0.40±0.00	90 h 1.18±0.07 4.60±0.19 0.40±0.01	96 h 1.09±0.07 4.49±0.29 0.41±0.01	
Aspartate Glutamate Cysteine Serine	54 h 0.03±0.00 0.04±0.00 0.37±0.01 0.08±0.00	60 h 0.03±0.00 0.10±0.03 0.36±0.01 0.10±0.00	66 h 0.19±0.20 0.52±0.09 0.36±0.00 0.22±0.02	72 h 1.28±0.14 2.11±0.23 0.38±0.01 0.68±0.07	78 h 0.93±0.07 3.54±0.30 0.40±0.01 1.19±0.11	84 h 1.21±0.05 4.46±0.30 0.40±0.00 1.58±0.09	90 h 1.18±0.07 4.60±0.19 0.40±0.01 1.59±0.07	96 h 1.09±0.07 4.49±0.29 0.41±0.01 1.55±0.06	 -
Aspartate Glutamate Cysteine Serine Histidine	54 h 0.03±0.00 0.04±0.00 0.37±0.01 0.08±0.00 0.00±0.00	60 h 0.03±0.00 0.10±0.03 0.36±0.01 0.10±0.00 0.11±0.00	66 h 0.19±0.20 0.52±0.09 0.36±0.00 0.22±0.02 0.30±0.05	72 h 1.28±0.14 2.11±0.23 0.38±0.01 0.68±0.07 1.05±0.08	78 h 0.93±0.07 3.54±0.30 0.40±0.01 1.19±0.11 1.91±0.18	84 h 1.21±0.05 4.46±0.30 0.40±0.00 1.58±0.09 3.04±0.11	90 h 1.18±0.07 4.60±0.19 0.40±0.01 1.59±0.07 3.52±0.18	96 h 1.09±0.07 4.49±0.29 0.41±0.01 1.55±0.06 3.36±0.06	
Aspartate Glutamate Cysteine Serine Histidine Glycine	54 h 0.03±0.00 0.04±0.00 0.37±0.01 0.08±0.00 0.00±0.00 0.10±0.00	60 h 0.03±0.00 0.10±0.03 0.36±0.01 0.10±0.00 0.11±0.00 0.11±0.00	66 h 0.19±0.20 0.52±0.09 0.36±0.00 0.22±0.02 0.30±0.05 0.15±0.02	72 h 1.28±0.14 2.11±0.23 0.38±0.01 0.68±0.07 1.05±0.08 0.37±0.04	78 h 0.93±0.07 3.54±0.30 0.40±0.01 1.19±0.11 1.91±0.18 0.65±0.08	84 h 1.21±0.05 4.46±0.30 0.40±0.00 1.58±0.09 3.04±0.11 0.89±0.04	90 h 1.18±0.07 4.60±0.19 0.40±0.01 1.59±0.07 3.52±0.18 0.96±0.05	96 h 1.09±0.07 4.49±0.29 0.41±0.01 1.55±0.06 3.36±0.06 1.08±0.09	
Aspartate Glutamate Cysteine Serine Histidine Glycine Threonine	54 h 0.03±0.00 0.04±0.00 0.37±0.01 0.08±0.00 0.00±0.00 0.10±0.00 0.05±0.00	60 h 0.03±0.00 0.10±0.03 0.36±0.01 0.10±0.00 0.11±0.00 0.11±0.00 0.06±0.01	66 h 0.19±0.20 0.52±0.09 0.36±0.00 0.22±0.02 0.30±0.05 0.15±0.02 0.17±0.02	72 h 1.28±0.14 2.11±0.23 0.38±0.01 0.68±0.07 1.05±0.08 0.37±0.04 0.56±0.08	78 h 0.93±0.07 3.54±0.30 0.40±0.01 1.19±0.11 1.91±0.18 0.65±0.08 0.83±0.09	84 h 1.21±0.05 4.46±0.30 0.40±0.00 1.58±0.09 3.04±0.11 0.89±0.04 1.08±0.07	90 h 1.18±0.07 4.60±0.19 0.40±0.01 1.59±0.07 3.52±0.18 0.96±0.05 1.11±0.06	96 h 1.09±0.07 4.49±0.29 0.41±0.01 1.55±0.06 3.36±0.06 1.08±0.09 1.10±0.05	
Aspartate Glutamate Cysteine Serine Histidine Glycine Threonine Arginine	54 h 0.03±0.00 0.04±0.00 0.37±0.01 0.08±0.00 0.10±0.00 0.05±0.00 0.23±0.03	60 h 0.03±0.00 0.10±0.03 0.36±0.01 0.10±0.00 0.11±0.00 0.06±0.01 0.13±0.01	66 h 0.19±0.20 0.52±0.09 0.36±0.00 0.22±0.02 0.30±0.05 0.15±0.02 0.17±0.02 0.51±0.07	72 h 1.28±0.14 2.11±0.23 0.38±0.01 0.68±0.07 1.05±0.08 0.37±0.04 0.56±0.08 1.65±0.17	78 h 0.93±0.07 3.54±0.30 0.40±0.01 1.19±0.11 1.91±0.18 0.65±0.08 0.83±0.09 2.98±0.34	84 h 1.21±0.05 4.46±0.30 0.40±0.00 1.58±0.09 3.04±0.11 0.89±0.04 1.08±0.07 3.90±0.27	90 h 1.18±0.07 4.60±0.19 0.40±0.01 1.59±0.07 3.52±0.18 0.96±0.05 1.11±0.06 3.14±0.20	96 h 1.09±0.07 4.49±0.29 0.41±0.01 1.55±0.06 3.36±0.06 1.08±0.09 1.10±0.05 1.89±0.28	
Aspartate Glutamate Cysteine Serine Histidine Glycine Threonine Arginine Alanine	54 h 0.03±0.00 0.04±0.00 0.37±0.01 0.08±0.00 0.00±0.00 0.10±0.00 0.23±0.03 0.12±0.01	60 h 0.03±0.00 0.10±0.03 0.36±0.01 0.10±0.00 0.11±0.00 0.06±0.01 0.13±0.01 0.16±0.01	66 h 0.19±0.20 0.52±0.09 0.36±0.00 0.22±0.02 0.30±0.05 0.15±0.02 0.17±0.02 0.51±0.07 0.31±0.02	72 h 1.28±0.14 2.11±0.23 0.38±0.01 0.68±0.07 1.05±0.08 0.37±0.04 0.56±0.08 1.65±0.17 0.78±0.08	78 h 0.93±0.07 3.54±0.30 0.40±0.01 1.19±0.11 1.91±0.18 0.65±0.08 0.83±0.09 2.98±0.34 1.25±0.09	84 h 1.21±0.05 4.46±0.30 0.40±0.00 1.58±0.09 3.04±0.11 0.89±0.04 1.08±0.07 3.90±0.27 1.56±0.11	90 h 1.18±0.07 4.60±0.19 0.40±0.01 1.59±0.07 3.52±0.18 0.96±0.05 1.11±0.06 3.14±0.20 1.59±0.04	96 h 1.09±0.07 4.49±0.29 0.41±0.01 1.55±0.06 3.36±0.06 1.08±0.09 1.10±0.05 1.89±0.28 1.53±0.07	
Aspartate Glutamate Cysteine Serine Histidine Glycine Threonine Arginine Alanine Tyrosine	54 h 0.03±0.00 0.04±0.00 0.37±0.01 0.08±0.00 0.10±0.00 0.05±0.00 0.23±0.03 0.12±0.01 0.03±0.00	60 h 0.03±0.00 0.10±0.03 0.36±0.01 0.10±0.00 0.11±0.00 0.06±0.01 0.13±0.01 0.16±0.01 0.03±0.00	66 h 0.19±0.20 0.52±0.09 0.36±0.00 0.22±0.02 0.30±0.05 0.15±0.02 0.17±0.02 0.51±0.07 0.31±0.02 0.17±0.03	72 h 1.28±0.14 2.11±0.23 0.38±0.01 0.68±0.07 1.05±0.08 0.37±0.04 0.56±0.08 1.65±0.17 0.78±0.08 0.88±0.07	78 h 0.93±0.07 3.54±0.30 0.40±0.01 1.19±0.11 1.91±0.18 0.65±0.08 0.83±0.09 2.98±0.34 1.25±0.09 1.70±0.16	84 h 1.21±0.05 4.46±0.30 0.40±0.00 1.58±0.09 3.04±0.11 0.89±0.04 1.08±0.07 3.90±0.27 1.56±0.11 2.75±0.10	90 h 1.18±0.07 4.60±0.19 0.40±0.01 1.59±0.07 3.52±0.18 0.96±0.05 1.11±0.06 3.14±0.20 1.59±0.04 3.24±0.16	96 h 1.09±0.07 4.49±0.29 0.41±0.01 1.55±0.06 3.36±0.06 1.08±0.09 1.10±0.05 1.89±0.28 1.53±0.07 3.54±0.07	
Aspartate Glutamate Cysteine Serine Histidine Glycine Threonine Arginine Alanine Tyrosine Valine	54 h 0.03±0.00 0.04±0.00 0.37±0.01 0.08±0.00 0.10±0.00 0.23±0.03 0.12±0.01 0.03±0.00 0.08±0.01	60 h 0.03±0.00 0.10±0.03 0.36±0.01 0.10±0.00 0.11±0.00 0.06±0.01 0.13±0.01 0.16±0.01 0.03±0.00 0.12±0.01	66 h 0.19±0.20 0.52±0.09 0.36±0.00 0.22±0.02 0.30±0.05 0.15±0.02 0.17±0.02 0.31±0.07 0.31±0.02 0.17±0.03 0.44±0.05	72 h 1.28±0.14 2.11±0.23 0.38±0.01 0.68±0.07 1.05±0.08 0.37±0.04 0.56±0.08 1.65±0.17 0.78±0.08 0.88±0.07 1.54±0.10	78 h 0.93±0.07 3.54±0.30 0.40±0.01 1.19±0.11 1.91±0.18 0.65±0.08 0.83±0.09 2.98±0.34 1.25±0.09 1.70±0.16 3.09±0.24	84 h 1.21±0.05 4.46±0.30 0.40±0.00 1.58±0.09 3.04±0.11 0.89±0.04 1.08±0.07 3.90±0.27 1.56±0.11 2.75±0.10 4.83±0.18	90 h 1.18±0.07 4.60±0.19 0.40±0.01 1.59±0.07 3.52±0.18 0.96±0.05 1.11±0.06 3.14±0.20 1.59±0.04 3.24±0.16 5.80±0.46	96 h 1.09±0.07 4.49±0.29 0.41±0.01 1.55±0.06 3.36±0.09 1.08±0.09 1.10±0.05 1.89±0.28 1.53±0.07 3.54±0.07 6.72±0.16	
Aspartate Glutamate Cysteine Serine Histidine Glycine Threonine Arginine Alanine Tyrosine Valine Methionine	54 h 0.03±0.00 0.04±0.00 0.37±0.01 0.08±0.00 0.10±0.00 0.23±0.03 0.12±0.01 0.03±0.00 0.08±0.01 0.11±0.00	60 h 0.03±0.00 0.10±0.03 0.36±0.01 0.10±0.00 0.11±0.00 0.06±0.01 0.13±0.01 0.16±0.01 0.03±0.00 0.12±0.01 0.13±0.00	66 h 0.19±0.20 0.52±0.09 0.36±0.00 0.22±0.02 0.30±0.05 0.15±0.02 0.17±0.02 0.51±0.07 0.31±0.02 0.17±0.03 0.44±0.05 0.37±0.04	72 h 1.28±0.14 2.11±0.23 0.38±0.01 0.68±0.07 1.05±0.08 0.37±0.04 0.56±0.08 1.65±0.17 0.78±0.08 0.88±0.07 1.54±0.10 1.32±0.10	78 h 0.93±0.07 3.54±0.30 0.40±0.01 1.19±0.11 1.91±0.18 0.65±0.08 0.83±0.09 2.98±0.34 1.25±0.09 1.70±0.16 3.09±0.24 2.43±0.17	84 h 1.21±0.05 4.46±0.30 0.40±0.00 1.58±0.09 3.04±0.11 0.89±0.04 1.08±0.07 3.90±0.27 1.56±0.11 2.75±0.10 4.83±0.18 3.63±0.13	90 h 1.18±0.07 4.60±0.19 0.40±0.01 1.59±0.07 3.52±0.18 0.96±0.05 1.11±0.06 3.14±0.20 1.59±0.04 3.24±0.16 5.80±0.46 4.09±0.22	96 h 1.09±0.07 4.49±0.29 0.41±0.01 1.55±0.06 3.36±0.06 1.08±0.09 1.10±0.05 1.89±0.28 1.53±0.07 3.54±0.07 6.72±0.16 4.25±0.06	
Aspartate Glutamate Cysteine Serine Histidine Glycine Threonine Arginine Alanine Tyrosine Valine Methionine Tryptophan	54 h 0.03±0.00 0.04±0.00 0.37±0.01 0.08±0.00 0.10±0.00 0.23±0.03 0.12±0.01 0.03±0.00 0.08±0.01 0.11±0.00 0.36±0.02	60 h 0.03±0.00 0.10±0.03 0.36±0.01 0.10±0.00 0.11±0.00 0.06±0.01 0.13±0.01 0.13±0.01 0.03±0.00 0.12±0.01 0.13±0.00 0.33±0.03	66 h 0.19±0.20 0.52±0.09 0.36±0.00 0.22±0.02 0.30±0.05 0.15±0.02 0.17±0.02 0.31±0.07 0.31±0.03 0.44±0.05 0.37±0.04 0.33±0.03	72 h 1.28±0.14 2.11±0.23 0.38±0.01 0.68±0.07 1.05±0.08 0.37±0.04 0.56±0.08 1.65±0.17 0.78±0.08 0.88±0.07 1.54±0.10 1.32±0.10 0.65±0.05	78 h 0.93±0.07 3.54±0.30 0.40±0.01 1.19±0.11 1.91±0.18 0.65±0.08 0.83±0.09 2.98±0.34 1.25±0.09 1.70±0.16 3.09±0.24 2.43±0.17 1.00±0.06	84 h 1.21±0.05 4.46±0.30 0.40±0.00 1.58±0.09 3.04±0.11 0.89±0.04 1.08±0.07 3.90±0.27 1.56±0.11 2.75±0.10 4.83±0.13 3.63±0.13 1.50±0.06	90 h 1.18±0.07 4.60±0.19 0.40±0.01 1.59±0.07 3.52±0.18 0.96±0.05 1.11±0.06 3.14±0.20 1.59±0.04 3.24±0.16 5.80±0.46 4.09±0.22 1.73±0.09	96 h 1.09±0.07 4.49±0.29 0.41±0.01 1.55±0.06 3.36±0.09 1.08±0.09 1.10±0.05 1.89±0.28 1.53±0.07 3.54±0.07 6.72±0.16 4.25±0.06 1.85±0.05	
Aspartate Glutamate Cysteine Serine Histidine Glycine Threonine Arginine Alanine Tyrosine Valine Valine Methionine Tryptophan Phenylalanine	54 h 0.03±0.00 0.04±0.00 0.37±0.01 0.08±0.00 0.10±0.00 0.23±0.03 0.12±0.01 0.03±0.00 0.08±0.01 0.11±0.00 0.36±0.02 0.07±0.00	60 h 0.03±0.00 0.10±0.03 0.36±0.01 0.10±0.00 0.11±0.00 0.06±0.01 0.13±0.01 0.13±0.01 0.12±0.01 0.13±0.00 0.33±0.03 0.10±0.01	66 h 0.19±0.20 0.52±0.09 0.36±0.00 0.22±0.02 0.30±0.05 0.15±0.02 0.17±0.02 0.51±0.07 0.31±0.02 0.17±0.03 0.44±0.05 0.37±0.04 0.33±0.03 0.52±0.07	72 h 1.28±0.14 2.11±0.23 0.38±0.01 0.68±0.07 1.05±0.08 0.37±0.04 0.56±0.08 1.65±0.17 0.78±0.08 0.88±0.07 1.54±0.10 1.32±0.10 0.65±0.05 2.26±0.16	78 h 0.93±0.07 3.54±0.30 0.40±0.01 1.19±0.11 1.91±0.18 0.65±0.08 0.83±0.09 2.98±0.34 1.25±0.09 1.70±0.16 3.09±0.24 2.43±0.17 1.00±0.06 4.27±0.33	84 h 1.21±0.05 4.46±0.30 0.40±0.00 1.58±0.09 3.04±0.11 0.89±0.04 1.08±0.07 3.90±0.27 1.56±0.11 2.75±0.10 4.83±0.18 3.63±0.13 1.50±0.06 6.51±0.21	90 h 1.18±0.07 4.60±0.19 0.40±0.01 1.59±0.07 3.52±0.18 0.96±0.05 1.11±0.06 3.14±0.20 1.59±0.04 3.24±0.16 5.80±0.46 4.09±0.22 1.73±0.09 7.57±0.37	96 h 1.09±0.07 4.49±0.29 0.41±0.01 1.55±0.06 3.36±0.06 1.08±0.09 1.10±0.05 1.89±0.28 1.53±0.07 3.54±0.07 6.72±0.16 4.25±0.06 1.85±0.05 7.95±0.13	
Aspartate Glutamate Cysteine Serine Histidine Glycine Threonine Arginine Alanine Tyrosine Valine Valine Methionine Tryptophan Phenylalanine Isoleucine	54 h 0.03±0.00 0.04±0.00 0.37±0.01 0.08±0.00 0.10±0.00 0.23±0.03 0.12±0.01 0.03±0.00 0.08±0.01 0.11±0.00 0.36±0.02 0.07±0.00 0.10±0.00	60 h 0.03±0.00 0.10±0.03 0.36±0.01 0.10±0.00 0.11±0.00 0.06±0.01 0.13±0.01 0.03±0.00 0.12±0.01 0.13±0.00 0.33±0.03 0.10±0.01 0.11±0.01	66 h 0.19±0.20 0.52±0.09 0.36±0.00 0.22±0.02 0.30±0.05 0.15±0.02 0.17±0.02 0.51±0.07 0.31±0.02 0.17±0.03 0.44±0.05 0.37±0.04 0.33±0.03 0.52±0.07 0.29±0.03	72 h 1.28±0.14 2.11±0.23 0.38±0.01 0.68±0.07 1.05±0.08 0.37±0.04 0.56±0.08 1.65±0.17 0.78±0.08 0.88±0.07 1.54±0.10 1.32±0.10 0.65±0.05 2.26±0.16 1.01±0.07	78 h 0.93±0.07 3.54±0.30 0.40±0.01 1.19±0.11 1.91±0.18 0.65±0.08 0.83±0.09 2.98±0.34 1.25±0.09 1.70±0.16 3.09±0.24 2.43±0.17 1.00±0.06 4.27±0.33 1.96±0.17	84 h 1.21±0.05 4.46±0.30 0.40±0.00 1.58±0.09 3.04±0.11 0.89±0.04 1.08±0.07 3.90±0.27 1.56±0.11 2.75±0.10 4.83±0.13 1.50±0.06 6.51±0.21 2.91±0.09	90 h 1.18±0.07 4.60±0.19 0.40±0.01 1.59±0.07 3.52±0.18 0.96±0.05 1.11±0.06 3.14±0.20 1.59±0.04 3.24±0.16 5.80±0.46 4.09±0.22 1.73±0.09 7.57±0.37 3.32±0.22	96 h 1.09±0.07 4.49±0.29 0.41±0.01 1.55±0.06 3.36±0.06 1.08±0.09 1.10±0.05 1.89±0.28 1.53±0.07 6.72±0.16 4.25±0.06 1.85±0.05 7.95±0.13 3.59±0.09	
Aspartate Glutamate Cysteine Serine Histidine Glycine Threonine Arginine Alanine Tyrosine Valine Valine Methionine Tryptophan Phenylalanine Isoleucine	54 h 0.03±0.00 0.04±0.00 0.07±0.01 0.08±0.00 0.10±0.00 0.23±0.03 0.12±0.01 0.03±0.00 0.08±0.01 0.11±0.00 0.36±0.02 0.07±0.00 0.07±0.00	60 h 0.03±0.00 0.10±0.03 0.36±0.01 0.10±0.00 0.11±0.00 0.11±0.00 0.06±0.01 0.13±0.01 0.13±0.01 0.13±0.00 0.33±0.03 0.10±0.01 0.11±0.01 0.13±0.02	66 h 0.19±0.20 0.52±0.09 0.36±0.00 0.22±0.02 0.30±0.05 0.15±0.02 0.17±0.02 0.51±0.07 0.31±0.02 0.17±0.03 0.44±0.05 0.37±0.04 0.33±0.03 0.52±0.07 0.29±0.03 0.53±0.07	72 h 1.28±0.14 2.11±0.23 0.38±0.01 0.68±0.07 1.05±0.08 0.37±0.04 0.56±0.08 1.65±0.17 0.78±0.08 0.88±0.07 1.54±0.10 1.32±0.10 0.65±0.05 2.26±0.16 1.01±0.07 2.35±0.14	78 h 0.93 ± 0.07 3.54 ± 0.30 0.40 ± 0.01 1.19 ± 0.11 1.91 ± 0.18 0.65 ± 0.08 0.83 ± 0.09 2.98 ± 0.34 1.25 ± 0.09 1.70 ± 0.16 3.09 ± 0.24 2.43 ± 0.17 1.00 ± 0.06 4.27 ± 0.33 1.96 ± 0.17 4.66 ± 0.39	84 h 1.21±0.05 4.46±0.30 0.40±0.00 1.58±0.09 3.04±0.11 0.89±0.04 1.08±0.07 3.90±0.27 1.56±0.11 2.75±0.10 4.83±0.18 3.63±0.13 1.50±0.06 6.51±0.21 2.91±0.09 7.22±0.27	90 h 1.18±0.07 4.60±0.19 0.40±0.01 1.59±0.07 3.52±0.18 0.96±0.05 1.11±0.06 3.14±0.20 1.59±0.04 3.24±0.16 5.80±0.46 4.09±0.22 1.73±0.09 7.57±0.37 3.32±0.22 8.49±0.66	96 h 1.09±0.07 4.49±0.29 0.41±0.01 1.55±0.06 3.36±0.06 1.08±0.09 1.10±0.05 1.89±0.28 1.53±0.07 6.72±0.16 4.25±0.06 1.85±0.05 7.95±0.13 3.59±0.09 8.71±0.08	
Aspartate Glutamate Cysteine Serine Histidine Glycine Threonine Arginine Alanine Tyrosine Valine Methionine Tryptophan Phenylalanine Isoleucine Leucine	54 h 0.03±0.00 0.04±0.00 0.05±0.00 0.10±0.00 0.23±0.03 0.12±0.01 0.03±0.00 0.08±0.01 0.11±0.00 0.36±0.02 0.07±0.00 0.07±0.00 0.56±0.03	60 h 0.03±0.00 0.10±0.03 0.36±0.01 0.10±0.00 0.11±0.00 0.06±0.01 0.13±0.01 0.13±0.01 0.13±0.00 0.33±0.03 0.10±0.01 0.11±0.01 0.13±0.02 0.47±0.02	66 h 0.19±0.20 0.52±0.09 0.36±0.00 0.22±0.02 0.30±0.05 0.15±0.02 0.17±0.02 0.51±0.07 0.31±0.02 0.44±0.05 0.37±0.04 0.33±0.03 0.52±0.07 0.29±0.03 0.53±0.07 0.73±0.06	72 h 1.28±0.14 2.11±0.23 0.38±0.01 0.68±0.07 1.05±0.08 0.37±0.04 0.56±0.08 1.65±0.17 0.78±0.08 0.88±0.07 1.54±0.10 1.32±0.10 0.65±0.05 2.26±0.16 1.01±0.07 2.35±0.14 2.05±0.17	78 h 0.93 ± 0.07 3.54 ± 0.30 0.40 ± 0.01 1.19 ± 0.11 1.91 ± 0.18 0.65 ± 0.08 0.83 ± 0.09 2.98 ± 0.34 1.25 ± 0.09 1.70 ± 0.16 3.09 ± 0.24 2.43 ± 0.17 1.00 ± 0.06 4.27 ± 0.33 1.96 ± 0.17 4.66 ± 0.39 3.56 ± 0.20	84 h 1.21±0.05 4.46±0.30 0.40±0.00 1.58±0.09 3.04±0.11 0.89±0.04 1.08±0.07 3.90±0.27 1.56±0.11 2.75±0.10 4.83±0.13 1.50±0.06 6.51±0.21 2.91±0.09 7.22±0.27 5.30±0.23	90 h 1.18±0.07 4.60±0.19 0.40±0.01 1.59±0.07 3.52±0.18 0.96±0.05 1.11±0.06 3.14±0.20 1.59±0.04 3.24±0.16 5.80±0.46 4.09±0.22 1.73±0.09 7.57±0.37 3.32±0.22 8.49±0.66 6.09±0.34	96 h 1.09±0.07 4.49±0.29 0.41±0.01 1.55±0.06 3.36±0.06 1.08±0.09 1.10±0.05 1.89±0.28 1.53±0.07 6.72±0.16 4.25±0.06 1.85±0.05 7.95±0.13 3.59±0.09 8.71±0.08 5.95±0.16	

6.3.5 Fiber Selection for flavor analysis

Solid-phase microextraction (SPME) has been widely used for analysis of plantbased materials due to its fast and simple operation and a high sensitivity, which enables qualitative and quantitative analysis of flavors even at low concentration (Jeleń et al. 2012; Merkle et al. 2015). Notably, the efficiency of SPME strongly depends on the geometry and the material of the fibre coating (Merkle et al. 2015). Fibers, coated with bi-polar materials such as DVB/PDMS and DVB/CAR/PDMS are types often used for the application of plant-based milks and other plant-based foods (Fischer et al. 2021; Lee et al. 2016b; Tangyu et al. 2022; Wronkowska et al. 2022; Xu et al. 2019). Therefore, to evaluate volatile compound extraction, those materials were tested for unfermented plant milks. The impact of the different fibers on the number and the extracted amount of volatile compounds is shown in **Table S5**.

In general, both fibers well extracted aldehydes, alcohols, ketones, furans, organic acids, esters, alkanes, and alkenes from the tested plant milks. However, the fibers behaved differently in their ability to adsorb key flavor compounds. The DVB/CAR/PDMS fiber absorbed between 20 and 50 volatiles from oat milk, sunflower seed milk, pea milk, and faba milk respectively, while the DVB/PDMS fibre trapped 25-34 volatiles, respectively. The triple DVB/CAR/PDMS fiber was more efficient in extracting key flavor compounds, especially aldehydes, alcohols, and ketones, accounting for the most important flavor groups of unfermented plant milks (Lee et al. 2016b; Schindler et al. 2012; Tangyu et al. 2022; Xu et al. 2019). As the main aim of this study was to qualitatively identify volatile compounds during the fermentation, the DVB/CAR/PDMS fiber was chosen for further studies.

137

	Oat milk		Sunflower	seed milk	Pea milk		Faba milk	
	PDMS/D VB	DVB/CAR /PDMS	PDMS/D VB	DVB/CAR /PDMS	PDMS/D VB	DVB/CAR /PDMS	PDMS/D VB	DVB/CAR /PDMS
Aldehydes	10	12	5	7	10	12	10	12
Alcohols	6	7	11	13	5	8	4	7
Ketones	4	6	3	5	3	3	2	2
Organic acids	2	3	1	1	1	1	1	2
Esters	1	3	1	3	0	0	0	0
Furans	2	3	1	2	1	1	1	1
Alkanes	2	2	5	3	3	0	3	0
Alkenes	3	4	7	9	1	0	0	2
Others	3	4	3	7	3	3	2	2
Total peak number	33	44	37	50	27	28	23	28
Total peas area (1 × 10 ⁷)	5.1 ± 0.8	5.3 ± 0.7	5.0 ± 0.4	5.6 ± 1.7	3.2 ± 0.4	3.4 ± 0.6	1.6 ± 0.2	1.6 ± 0.4

Table. S5: Effect of DVB/PDMS and DVB/CWR/PDMS fibers on volatile compoundsextraction of oat milk, sunflower seed milk, pea milk, and faba milk.

6.3.6 Volatile compounds identification

Table S6: Identified volatile compounds in unfermented and fermented plant-basedmilks, aroma attributes, odor groups, and the odor threshold in air.

Nr	Compound name*	RI cal (min)	Aroma description	Odor group **	Odor threshold (ppbv)	Identification
Alde	ehydes					
1	2-Propenal ³	4.62	Fruity [1]	1	3.6 [2]	MS
2	3-Methyl-butanal	3.15	Malty [3], fatty[4], Cocoa [1], fruity [1],	1	11 [5]	MS
3	2-Methyl-butanal	3.23	Malty [3], Cocoa [1]	1	11 [5]	MS
4	Hexanal	6.09	Green [3, 6-10], grassy [3, 7, 9-11], nutty [12], fat [11], oxidized oil [13]	6	0.28 [14]	MS, STD
5	2,4-Heptadienal ³	11.97	Fatty [1], creamy [1], green [1]	3	8 [5]	MS
6	2-Heptenal	10.48	Green [1, 15], fatty [15]	6	19 [5]	MS
7	Heptanal	8.99	Green [1]. citrus[11], fatty[11], flora[8], rancid[7, 11]	6	0.18 [14]	MS, STD
8	Benzaldehyde	10.55	Sweet [3, 10], fruity [1], almond [3, 10]	1	20 [8]	MS, STD
9	2-Octenal	13.26	Fatty [1]	3	0.53 [8]	MS
10	Benzeneacetaldehyde	12.88	Flora [3], sweet [3, 10], honey [3]	2	4 [14]	MS, STD
11	4-Ethyl-benzaldehyde	15.97	Fruity [1]	1	13 [14]	MS
12	2-Nonenal	15.88	Green [1, 16], musty[16], fatty [1],	6	0.02 [8]	MS
13	Nonanal	14.48	Citrus [7-10, 17], flora [4, 7, 8, 17], fatty [8], green [17], smoky [6]	1	0.34 [14]	MS, STD
14	2,4-Decadienal ⁴	19.60	Grass [10],fatty [1], melon [10], aldehyde [10]	6	0.07 [14]	MS
15	2-Decenal	13.83	Fatty [4], orange [4], earthy [4]	3	0.43 [5]	MS
16	Decanal	17.01	Fatty [3], floral [4]	3	0.10 [14]	MS
Alco	phols					
1	[S,S]-2,3-Butanediol ^{1,2}	5.65	Creamy [1, 18], buttery[1] [18]	3	49 [18]	MS
2	3-Methyl-2-buten-1-ol ²	5.48	Fruity [1]	1	173 [4]	MS
3	3-Methyl-1-butanol ^{2,4}	4.56	Fruity [10, 19], banana [10], whiskey [4, 10], floral [3, 19], Fermented [1]	1	68 [20]	MS
4	2-Methyl-1-butanol 1,4	4.60	Ethereal [1], floral [19]	1	68 [20]	MS
5	1-Pentanol	5.31	Fermented [1], sweet [4], fruity [17], balsamic [17], alcoholic [7]	1	43 [8]	MS, STD
6	1-Hexanol	8.03	Fruity [1, 17, 19] lemon [3, 10], nice green [8-10, 17]	1	6 [2]	MS, STD
7	2-Heptanol ^{1,3,4}	8.89	Citrus [1], fruity [18, 21], herbal [21]	1	41 [14]	MS
8	1-Heptanol	10.87	Light green [10], mushroom [7], rancid [10]	6	3 [14]	MS, STD
9	Benzyl Alcohol ^{2,3}	12.61	Floral [19]	2	1 × 10 ⁴ [14]	MS
10	2-Methyl-3-hexanol	7.74	Unknown	9		MS
11	Phenylethyl alcohol ^{2,4}	14.71	Flora [1, 10], rose [10], honey [10]	2	0.02 [14]	MS, STD
12	3,5-Xylenol ¹	19.57	Balsamic [1]	5	1 × 10 ³ [14]	MS
13	1-Octen-3-ol	11.12	Earthy [1], mushroom [3, 10]	7	0.52 [8]	MS
14	2-Octen-1-ol ^{1,2,3,4}	13.53	Cucumber [10], nice green [1, 10]	2	40 [14]	MS
15	3-Octanol ⁴	11.59	Earthy [1]	7	27 [2]	MS
16	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	12.47	Citrus [1], floral [21]	1	130	MS

17	1-Octanol	13.60	Waxy [1], aldehyde [1, 10], fruity [9], flora [9]	3	2.7 [14]	MS
18	2-Nonen-1-ol	12.49	Mint [10], melon [10] nice green [10], fatty [1]	2	130 [14]	MS
19	1-Nonanol ^{2,4}	16.21	Citrus, rose [10]	1	0.9 [2]	MS
20	Eugenol ⁴	20.83	Pleasant spicy [1, 10], clove-like [1, 10]	2	6 [14]	MS
21	Cherry propanol	16.53	Fruity [1]	1		MS
22	Isopinocarveol	12.75	Woody [1], balsamic [1]	5		MS
23	laevo-Pinocarveol	15.38	Woody [1], balsamic [1]	5		MS
24	<i>ci</i> s-Verbenol	16.08	Balsamic [1], pine [1]	5		MS
25	(-)-Myrtenol	16.83	Woody [1], minty [22], Camphoraceous [22],	5	0.32 [21]	MS
26	Myrtenol	17.02	Woody [1], herbal [1], floral [21]	5	0.32 [21]	MS
27	Linalool	14.42	Floral [1, 19, 21, 23], fruity [1, 19, 21]	2	4 [14]	MS
28	Terpinen-4-ol	16.35	green [19], fatty [19], fruity [23], floral [23], spicy [1]	5	150 [24]	MS
29	3-Ethyl-4-nonanol ^{2,3}	14.24	Unknown	9		MS
30	Phytol	20.24	Flora [1]	2		MS
Keto	nes					
1	Methyl vinyl ketone	4.59	Pungent [1], sweet [1]	8		MS
2	2,3-Butanedione ^{2,3,4}	2.43	Buttery [1, 12], sweet [1], creamy [1]	3	0.3 [14]	MS
3	3-Hydroxy-2-butanone ^{2,4}	3.96	Buttery [1, 10], creamy [1, 4, 10], sweet [11], toasted [11]	3	0.3 [14]	MS
4	2,3-Pentanedione ³	3.74	Buttery [1, 12], sweet [12]	3	12 [4]	MS
5	2-Hexanone ¹	6.10	Fruity [1]	1	24 [14]	MS
6	2-Methyl-3-pentanone ²	6.13	Unknown	9		MS
7	1-(2-Furanyl)-ethanone ²	5.49	Balsamic [1], almond [1], sweet [1]	5	10 [14]	MS
8	2,3-Heptanedione ¹	7.10	Buttery [1]	3		MS
9	3,6-Heptanedione ²	13.39	Buttery [1]	3		MS
10	2-Heptanone	8.63	Fruity [3, 8], flora [12], sweet [8], cheesy [1]	1	0.76 [25]	MS
11	1-(2-Furanyl)-1- propanone ²	12.54	Fruity [1]	1		MS
12	3,5-Octadien-2-one	14.18	Fruity [1], fatty [1], green [1, 10], earthy [10]	1	5 [14]	MS
13	6-Methyl-5-hepten-2-one ³	11.34	[15] Citrus [1, 15], musty [4, 15], green	1	1 [5]	MS
14	5-Methyl-3-hepten-2-one	12.75	Unknown	9		MS
15	3-Octanone	11.34	Earthy [3], mushroom [1], fresh [1], herbal [1]. ripe banana [1]	7	21 [14]	MS
16	Acetovanillone	15.88	Vanilla [1]	1		MS
17	2-Nonanone ⁴	14.17	[8], green [11, 15], hot milk [11], soap[11]	1	5 [14]	MS
18	1-(4-Ethylphenyl)- ethanone	20.05	Flora [1]	2		MS
19	5,6-Dehydrocamphor	14.27	Unknown	9		MS
20	Pinocarvone	16.00	Camphoraceous [26], fresh [26]	5		MS
21	D-Verbenone	17.15	Camphoraceous [1], minty [1, 26], spicy [1, 26]	5		MS
Orga	nic acids					
1	Acetic acid ^{1,2,3,4}	2.40	Acidic[1, 3], sour [1], vinegar [1, 4, 27]	4	6 [2]	MS
2	3-Methyl-butanoic acid ^{1,3}	7.30	Cheesy [3, 27]	4	0.08 [2]	MS

3 2.Methyl-butanoic acid ²⁻³ 7.58 Sour [1], cheese [1], samial [1] 4 0.04 [2] MS 4 Pertanoic acid ²⁻³ 1.121 Sour [10, sharp [10], rancid [10, uppleasure [1], samial [1], samial [10, rancid [10, uppleasure [1], samial [1], sa							
4 Pentanoic acid ¹ 8.37 Cheesy [1], acidi [1], unpleasure [4] 4 8.90 [20] MS 5 Hexanoic acid ^{2,3} 11.21 Cheesy [1, 18, 21], faty [1, 18, 21], sourd [0, and [10, 20] 4 27 [18] MS 6 Octanoic acid ^{2,3} 16.11 Cheesy [1, 18, 21], faty [1, 18, 21], sourd [0, and [10, 20] 3 1.9 [25] MS 7 Nonanoic acid 18.01 Waxy [1, earthy [1] 3 1.9 [25] MS 2 Ethyl Acetate 9.33 Sweet [1, 15], fuity [1, 15], Mild [4] 1 0.01 [14] MS 3 Ethyl Acetate 7.50 Fruity [1, 5], sweet [15] 1 0.01 [14] MS 4 Haxyl acetate 10.39 Putoyl (1), herp [1] 1 0.01 [14] MS 5 Myrenyl pacetate 15.53 Unknown 9 MS 6 Putoy-acetate ² 16.47 Balsamic [1], sweet [28], coffeelike 6 1.3 x 10° MS 7 Pachyl Juran ¹ 5.42 Portyl Juran ¹ 1.44 Sourd [28], Sourd [1]<	3	2-Methyl-butanoic acid ^{2,3}	7.58	Sour [1], cheesy [1], fermented [1]	4	0.04 [2]	MS
5 Hexanoic acid ³ 11.21 Chees (1, 13, 21) (rand (10, 21)) 4 0.60 [2] MS 6 Octanoic acid ^{3,1} 16.11 Chees (4, 18), fatty [1, 18, 21), grand (10, 21) 4 27 [18] MS 7 Nonanoic acid 18.61 Waxy [1], earthy [4] 3 1.9 [25] MS Estructure S Sweet [1, 15], futty [1, 15], Mild [4] 1 870 [2] MS 2 Ethyl Acatate 5.30 Futly [1], buttay [1] 1 0.01 [14] MS 3 Ethyl Acatate 10.39 Woody [1], herbal [1] 5 X MS 4 Hexpl acetate 10.39 Woody [1], herbal [1] 1 1.8 [2] MS 7 Bornyl acetate 10.53 Unknown 9 MS MS 8 Epoyaipha.terpenyl 15.18 Unknown 9 1.3 x 10° MS 9 Lansyl propionate ¹ 17.3 Roasid [1], sweet [28], coffeeiike 1.3 x 10° MS 2 Pachelyl-turan ¹ 8.64<	4	Pentanoic acid ¹	8.37	Cheesy [1], acidic [1], unpleasure [4]	4	8.90 [20]	MS
6 Octanoic acid ^{1,3} 16.11 Cheesy [1, 18], fay [1, 19], swearty [4] 2 27 [18] MS Nonancic acid 18.61 Waxy [1, earthy [4] 3 1.9 [25] MS Estrex Estrex 5.90 Furity [1, buttery [1] 1 870 [2] MS 2 Edtry [actate ¹ 5.90 Furity [1, buttery [1] 1 5.x 10 ⁶ MS 3 Ethyl 2-methylbutyrate 7.50 Furity [1, bettary [1] 1 1.8 [2] MS 4 Haryl acetate 10.39 Woody [1], herbal [1] 5 7.5 [14] MS 5 Myrenyl acetate 16.53 Unknown 9	5	Hexanoic acid ^{2,3}	11.21	Cheesy [1, 18, 21], fatty [1, 18, 21], sour [10], sharp [10], rancid [10, 21]	4	0.60 [2]	MS
7 Nonanoic acid 18.61 Waxy [1], earthy [4] 3 1.9 [25] MS Esters 5 9.33 Sweet [1, 15], fuily [1, 15], Mild [4] 1 870 [2] MS 2 Ethyl Jactate ¹ 5.90 Fruily [1], buttery [1] 1 0.00 [14] MS 3 Ethyl Z-methylbutyrate 7.60 Fruily [1, 15], sweet [15] 1 1.8 [2] MS 4 Hexyl acetate 12.08 Fruily [1, 15], sweet [15] 1 1.8 [2] MS 5 Myrtenyl acetate 15.53 Unknown 9 MS MS 9 Linalyl propionate ⁴ 16.70 Blasmic [1], sweet [28], coffeelike 8 $\begin{bmatrix} 1.3 \times 10^6}{128] MS 1 2.48 ful-furan1 3.64 Spicy [1], fruit [1], wine [1] 8 \begin{bmatrix} 1.3 \times 10^6}{128] MS 2 2.4cetyl-5-methylfuran1 3.64 Spicy [1], fruit [1], wine [1] 8 \begin{bmatrix} 1.3 \times 10^6}{128] MS 2 2.4rebethyl-furan 7.64 Nutty [1] 5 MS [28] MS 2 2.4rebethyl-furan 1.64 Phenolic [1] $	6	Octanoic acid ^{2,3}	16.11	Cheesy [4, 18], fatty [1, 18], sweaty [10]	4	27 [18]	MS
Ester 1 Ethyl Acetate 9.33 Sweet [1, 15], fruity [1, 15], Miid [4] 1 870 [2] MS 2 Ethyl acetate 5.90 Fruity [1], buttery [1] 1 0.01 [14] MS 3 Ethyl acetate 12.08 Fruity [1, 15], sweet [15] 1 1.01 MS 5 Myrtenyl acetate 10.39 Woody [1], herbal [1] 5 MS 6 Verbenyl acetate 16.37 Unknown 9 MS 8 Expoxy-alpha-terpenyl 15.18 Unknown 9 MS 9 Linakly propionate ⁴ 12.47 Flora [1] 2 MS 1.3 x 10 ⁶ MS 1 2.42rbtyl-furan ¹ 3.81 Chemical [1], sweet [28], coffeeilke 8 1.3 x 10 ⁶ MS 2 2-Acetyl-5-methylfuran 7.64 Nutty [1], fuit [1], wine [1] 8 MS 2 2-nebhylfuran 1.64 Phenolic [1] 8 MS 1.28 2 2-nebhylfuran 1.64 Phen	7	Nonanoic acid	18.61	Waxy [1], earthy [4]	3	1.9 [25]	MS
1 Ethyl Acetate 9.33 Sweet [1, 15], ruluy [1, 15], Miid [4] 1 870 [2] M3 2 Ethyl Lactate ¹ 5.90 Fruly [1] 1 5 1 M5 3 Ethyl Z-methylburytae 7.50 Fruly [1], bethal [1] 1 0.01 [14] MS 4 Hexyl acetate 10.39 Woody [1], herbal [1] 5 M7 M5 5 Myrtenyl acetate 15.53 Unknown 9 M5 6 Verbenyl acetate 16.97 Balsamic [1], camphoraceous [1] 5 75 [14] MS 7 Bornyl acetate 12.47 Balsamic [1], sweet [28], corffeelike 8 $\frac{1.3 \times 10^6}{1.281}$ MS 9 Linalyl propionate* 3.81 Chernical [1], sweet [28], corffeelike 8 $\frac{1.3 \times 10^6}{1.281}$ MS 2 AcAcetyl-s-methylturan 8.64 Spicy [1], fruit [1], wine [1] 6 3.4 [8] MS 3 2-n-Butyl furan 1.46 Peanyl, nutyl [3 [naty [1] 6 3.4 [8] MS	Este	rs					
2 Ethyl actate' 5.90 Fruity [1], buttery [1] 1 5. X 10 ⁻ MS 3 Ethyl 2-methylburyate 7.50 Fruity [1, 15], sweet [15] 1 1.8 [2] MS 4 Hexyl acetate 12.08 Fruity [1, 15], sweet [15] 1 1.8 [2] MS 6 Verbenyl acetate 15.53 Unknown 9 MS 7 Bornyl acetate 15.81 Unknown 9 MS 9 Linaly projonate* 12.47 Flora [1] 5 75 [14] MS 7 Partonethyl furan* 3.81 Chemical [1], sweet [28], coffeeilke [28] 8 1.3 × 10 ⁶ [28] MS 2 2.Acetyl-5-methylfuran 6.64 Spicy [1], fruit [1], wine [1] 8 1 × 10 ⁵ MS 3 2.n-Flotyl furan* 16.64 Phenolic [1] 8 1 × 10 ⁵ MS 4 2.(1-Pentenyl)-furan* 16.71 Graen [1], fruity [1], fruit [1], fruit [1], fruit [1] 6 3.4 [8] MS 5 2.Nethyl-benzofuran 16.6	1	Ethyl Acetate	9.33	Sweet [1, 15], fruity [1, 15], Mild [4]	1	870 [2]	MS
3 Ethyl 2-methylbutyrate 7.50 Fruity [1] 1 0.01 [14] MS 4 Hexyl acetate 12.08 Fruity [1, 15], swet [15] 1 1.8 [2] MS 5 Myttenyl acetate 10.39 Woody [1], herbal [1] 5 MS 6 Verbenyl acetate 16.37 Balsamic [1], camphoraceous [1] 5 75 [14] MS 7 Bornyl acetate 16.37 Balsamic [1], camphoraceous [1] 5 75 [14] MS 9 Linalyl propionate* 12.47 Flora [1] 2 MS MS 2 2.4cetyl-Frenethylfuran 7.64 Nuttr [1] 5 MS [28] MS 3 2-neBuryl furan ¹ 8.64 Spicy [1], fruit [1], wine [1] 8 1 MS 4 2-(1-Pententyl-furan ³ 11.73 Roasted [1] 5 MS 3.4 [8] MS 5 2-Pentyl-furan 11.46 Baary[9, 10], green[11] [10], from [1] 6 MS MS 7 2-n-H	2	Ethyl lactate ¹	5.90	Fruity [1], buttery [1]	1	5 × 10⁴ [14]	MS
4 Hexyl acetate 12.08 Fruity (1, 15], sweet (15) 1 1.8 [2] MS 5 Myrtenyl acetate 10.39 Woody (1), herbal (1) 5 MS 6 Verbenyl acetate 15.39 Unknown 9 MS 7 Borgy acetate ² 16.97 Balsamic (1, camphoraceous (1) 5 75 [14] MS 8 acetate 12.47 Flora (1) 2 MS MS 7 2 2.4cetyl-furan ³ 3.81 Chemical (1), sweet [28], coffeelike [28] 8 1.3×10^{6} MS 2 2.4cetyl-furan ³ 3.81 Chemical (1), sweet [28], coffeelike [28] 8 1.3×10^{6} MS 3 2rebutyl furan ¹ 8.64 Spicy (1), fruit (1), wine [1) 8 $1.23^{10^{6}}$ MS 4 2.(1-Pentenyl)-furan ³ 11.73 Roasted [1] 6 3.4 [8] MS 5 2.Pentyl-furan 16.46 Prenolic [1] 8	3	Ethyl 2-methylbutyrate	7.50	Fruity [1]	1	0.01 [14]	MS
5 Myrtenyl acetate 10.39 Woody [1], herbal [1] 5 MS 6 Verbenyl acetate ¹ 15.53 Unknown 9 MS 7 Bornyl acetate ² 16.97 Balsamic [1], camphoraceous [1] 5 MS 8 Epoxy-alpha-terpenyl 15.18 Unknown 9 MS 7 Bornyl acetate ² 16.197 Balsamic [1], camphoraceous [1] 5 MS 9 Linalyl propionate ⁴ 12.47 Flore [1] 2 MS 7 Pertyl-furan ³ 3.81 Chemical [1], sweet [28], coffeelike [28] 8 $\frac{1.3 \times 10^6}{[28]}$ MS 2 2-Acetyl-5-methylfuran 7.64 Nutty [1] 8 $\frac{1.3 \times 10^6}{[28]}$ MS 3 2-n-Butyl furan 11.46 Balsanig, 10, green [1] [10], green [1] [10] 6 3.4 [8] MS 2 Dedecane 14.66 Unknown 9 620 [14] MS 2 Indecane </td <td>4</td> <td>Hexyl acetate</td> <td>12.08</td> <td>Fruity [1, 15], sweet [15]</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.8 [2]</td> <td>MS</td>	4	Hexyl acetate	12.08	Fruity [1, 15], sweet [15]	1	1.8 [2]	MS
6 Verbenyl acetate 15.33 Unknown 9 MS 7 Bornyl acetate ² 16.97 Balsamic [1], camphoraceous [1] 5 75 [14] MS 8 Eproxy-aphea-terpenyl acetate 15.18 Unknown 9 MS 9 Linalyl propionate ⁴ 12.47 Flora [1] 2 MS 7 Schwy-aphea-terpenyl acetate 3.81 Chemical [1], sweet [28], coffeelike [28] 8 1.3×10^6 MS 2 2-Acetyl-5-methylfuran 7.64 Nutly [1] 8 1.2×10^6 MS 3 2-n-Butyl furan ¹ 8.64 Spicy [1], fuit [1], wine [1] 8 1.2×10^6 MS 5 2-Pentyl-furan 11.46 Beany[9, 10], green[11] [10], graey[13], nutly[9] faity[3] 6 3.4 [8] MS 7 2-n-Heptylfuran 16.71 Green [1], fatty [1] 6 MS 8 7 ridecane 14.36 Unknown 9 110 [2] MS 1 Undecane 14.36 Unknown <td< td=""><td>5</td><td>Myrtenyl acetate</td><td>10.39</td><td>Woody [1], herbal [1]</td><td>5</td><td></td><td>MS</td></td<>	5	Myrtenyl acetate	10.39	Woody [1], herbal [1]	5		MS
7 Borryl acetate ² 16.97 Balsamic [1], camphoraceous [1] 5 75 [14] MS 8 Epxoxy-alpha-terpenyl acetate ² 15.18 Unknown 9 MS 9 Linalyl propionale ⁴ 12.47 Fiora [1] 2 MS 7 Acetyl-furan ³ 12.47 Fiora [1] 2 MS 1 2-Ethyl-furan ³ 3.81 Chemical [1], sweet [28], coffeelike [28] 8 $\frac{1.3 \times 10^6}{[23]}$ MS 2 2-Acetyl-5-methylluran 7.64 Nutty [1] 5 MS 3 2-n-Butyl turan ³ 8.64 Spicy [1], fuit [1], wine [1] 8 $\frac{1.3 \times 10^6}{[22]}$ MS 4 2-(1-Pentenyl)-furan ³ 11.46 Bearyl, 10, green [11] [10), green [11] [10), green [14] [10], green [14] [10], green [14] [14] MS MS 2 2-Nethyl-thran 16.46 Unknown 9 620 [14] MS 2 Dodecane 16.36 Unknown 9 110 [2] MS 3 Tridecane 19.01 Unknown 9 110 [2] MS 3 Dodecane <t< td=""><td>6</td><td>Verbenyl acetate</td><td>15.53</td><td>Unknown</td><td>9</td><td></td><td>MS</td></t<>	6	Verbenyl acetate	15.53	Unknown	9		MS
8 Eproxy-alpha_acter/energy acter/acter 15.18 Unknown 9 MS 9 Linalyl propionate ⁴ 12.47 Flora [1] 2 MS Furzurururururururururururururururururur	7	Bornyl acetate ²	16.97	Balsamic [1], camphoraceous [1]	5	75 [14]	MS
9 Linalyl propionate ⁴ 12.47 Flora [1] 2 MS Furzuru Furzuru Chemical [1], sweet [28], coffeeilke [28] 8 $1.3 \times 10^{\circ}$ [28] MS 2 2-Acetyl-5-methylfuran 7.64 Nutty [1] 5 MS 3 2-n-Butyl furan ¹ 8.64 Spicy [1], fruit [1], wine [1] 8 $\frac{1}{[28]}$ MS 4 2-(1-Pentenyl)-furan ³ 11.73 Roasted [1] 5 MS 5 2-Pentyl-furan 11.46 Beany[9, 10], green[11] [10] 6 3.4 [8] MS 6 2-Methyl-benzofuran 16.46 Phenolic [1] 8 MS MS 7 2-n-Heptylfuran 16.61 Unknown 9 1012] MS 1 Undecane 16.86 Unknown 9 102] MS 3 Tridecane 19.21 Unknown 9 102] MS 4 a-Pinene 9.80 Methyl [1], freih [1], fruih [12], 30, 31 130 [5] MS	8	Epoxyalphaterpenyl acetate	15.18	Unknown	9		MS
Fursure Second (28)	9	Linalyl propionate4	12.47	Flora [1]	2		MS
1 2-Ethyl-furan ³ 3.81 Chemical [1], sweet [28], coffeelike [28] 8 1.3 × 10 ⁶ [28] MS 2 2-Acetyl-5-methylfuran 7.64 Nutty [1] 5 MS 3 2-n-Butyl furan ¹ 8.64 Spicy [1], fruit [1], wine [1] 8 1 × 10 ⁵ [28] MS 4 2-(1-Pentenyl)-furan ³ 11.73 Roasted [1] 5 MS 5 2-Pentyl-furan 11.46 Beany[9, 10], green[11] [10], green[1] [10], green[11] [10], green[11] [10], green[11] [10], green[11] [10], green[11] [10], green[11] [10], green[1] [10], green[11] [10], green[1] [10], green[11] [10], green[1] [10], green[11] [10], green[1] [10], green[1], green[1], green[1], freen[1], freen[1], freen[1], freen[1], freen[1], freen[1], freen[1], green[1], g	Fura	ns					
2 2-Acetyl-5-methylfuran 7.64 Nuty [1] 5 MS 3 2-n-Butyl furan' 8.64 Spioy [1], fruit [1], wine [1] 8 $\frac{1}{[28]}$ MS 4 2-(1-Pentenyl)-Juran' 11.73 Roasted [1] 5 MS 5 2-Pentyl-furan 11.46 Beany[9, 10], green[11] [10], grassy[13], nuty[9] fatty [3] 6 3.4 [8] MS 6 2-Methyl-benzofuran 16.46 Phenolic [1] 6 3.4 [8] MS 6 2-Methyl-benzofuran 16.46 Phenolic [1] 6 3.4 [8] MS 7 2-n-Heptylfuran 16.46 Onknown 9 620 [14] MS 7 Dodecane 14.36 Unknown 9 620 [14] MS 1 Indecane 19.21 Unknown 9 10 [2] MS 2 Dodecane 19.21 Unknown 9 130 [5] MS 3 Friedcane 10.30 Foreinic [1], fresh [1], fruity [29, 30], woody [1], herbal [1], camphoreous [1, 26, 30, 31] 5 130 [5] MS 3 B-Terpinene	1	2-Ethyl-furan ³	3.81	Chemical [1], sweet [28], coffeelike [28]	8	1.3 × 10 ⁶ [28]	MS
3 2-n-Butyl furan' 8.64 Spicy [1], fruit [1], wine [1] 8 1×10^5 [28] MS 4 2-(1-Pentenyl)-furan' 11.73 Roasted [1] 5 MS 5 2-Pentyl-furan 11.46 Beany[9, 10], green[11] [10], grassy[13], nutty[9] fatty [3] 6 3.4 [8] MS 6 2-Methyl-benzofuran 16.46 Phenolic [1] 8 MS 7 2-n-Heptylfuran 16.71 Green [1], fatty [1] 6 MS 1 Undecane 14.36 Unknown 9 620 [14] MS 2 Dodecane 16.66 Unknown 9 110 [2] MS 3 Tridecane 1.4.36 Unknown 9 18 [2] MS 4 Jodecane 1.4.36 Unknown 9 18 [2] MS 2 Dodecane 1.4.36 Unknown 9 18 [2] MS 3 Friene 9.80 Herbal [1], fresh [1], fruity [29, 30], woody [1], herbal [1], fresh [1], fruity [29, 30], woody [1], herbal [1], fresh [1], fruity [29, 30], woody [1], herbal [1], fresh [1], fruity [2], 30], moody [1], herbal [1], fresh [1], fruity [2], 30], moody [1	2	2-Acetyl-5-methylfuran	7.64	Nutty [1]	5		MS
4 2-(1-Pentenyl)-furan ³ 11.73 Roasted [1] 5 MS 5 2-Pentyl-furan 11.46 Beany[9, 10], green[11] [10], grassy[13], nutyl9] fatty [3] 6 3.4 [8] MS 6 2-Methyl-benzofuran 16.46 Phenolic [1] 8 MS 7 2-n-Heptylfuran 16.71 Green [1], fatty [1] 6 MS Alkanes Undecane 14.36 Unknown 9 620 [14] MS 2 Dodecane 16.86 Unknown 9 110 [2] MS 3 Tridecane 19.21 Unknown 9 130 [5] MS 4 a-Pinene 9.80 Herbal [1], fresh [1], fruity [29, 30], woody [1], herbal [1], camphoreous [1, 26, 30, 31] 5 18 [2] MS 3 β -Terpinene 10.30 Citrus [1], swet [26] 1 140 [5] MS 4 3-Carene 11.01 Terpenic [31], fatty [31] 5 130 [5] MS 5 p-Cymene 12.35 Fruity [29], fresh [1], citrus[1, 23], fresh [1], fruity [23], fresh [1], fatty [31] 5 5 x 10 ⁴ MS	3	2-n-Butyl furan ¹	8.64	Spicy [1], fruit [1], wine [1]	8	1 × 10⁵ [28]	MS
5 2-Pentyl-furan 11.46 Beany[9, 10], green[11] [10], grass[13], nutty[9] fatty [3] 6 3.4 [8] MS 6 2-Methyl-benzofuran 16.46 Phenoin [1], nutty[9] fatty [3] 6 3.4 [8] MS 7 2-n-Heptyffuran 16.46 Phenoin [1], fatty [1] 6 MS Alkarrer 1 Undecane 14.36 Unknown 9 620 [14] MS 2 Dodecane 16.86 Unknown 9 1010 [2] MS 3 Tridecane 19.21 Unknown 9 102 [3] MS 2 Dodecane 19.30 Woody [1], herbal [1], fresh [1], fruity [29, 30], woody [1], herbal [1], camphoreous [1, 26, 30, 31] 5 18 [2] MS 3 β -Terpinene 10.30 Propenic [31], fatty [31] 5 130 [5] MS 4 3-Carene 11.01 Terpenic [31], fragman [31], fatty [31] 5 130 [5] MS 5 p-Cymene 12.35 Fruity [29], fresh [1], citrus [1, 32], fresh [1], fragman [31], fatty [31] 1 40 [5] MS 6 D-Limonene 13.28	4	2-(1-Pentenyl)-furan ³	11.73	Roasted [1]	5		MS
6 2-Methyl-benzofuran 16.46 Phenolic [1] 8 MS 7 2-n-Heptylfuran 16.71 Green [1], fatty [1] 6 MS Alkarrer Indecane 14.36 Unknown 9 620 [14] MS 2 Dodecane 16.86 Unknown 9 110 [2] MS 3 Tridecane 19.21 Unknown 9 18 [2] MS Alkerrer 1 a-Pinene 9.80 Herbal [1], fresh [1], fruity [29, 30], woody [1], herbal [1], frash [31] 5 18 [2] MS 2 Camphene 10.30 Propenic [1, 63, 31] 5 130 [5] MS 3 β-Terpinene 11.01 Terpenic [31, fatty [31] 5 130 [5] MS 4 3-Carene 11.96 Citrus [1, 39, etcl], fresh [1], citrus [1, 32], fresh [1], frash [1], fatty [31] 5 130 [5] MS 5 p-Cymene 12.35 Fruity [29], fresh [1], citrus [1, 32], fresh [1], itrus [1, 38 [2] MS 6 D-Limonene 13.28 <td>5</td> <td>2-Pentyl-furan</td> <td>11.46</td> <td>Beany[9, 10], green[11] [10], grassy[13], nutty[9] fatty [3]</td> <td>6</td> <td>3.4 [8]</td> <td>MS</td>	5	2-Pentyl-furan	11.46	Beany[9, 10], green[11] [10], grassy[13], nutty[9] fatty [3]	6	3.4 [8]	MS
72.n-Heptylfuran16.71Green [1], fatty [1]6MSAlkarrer1Undecane14.36Unknown9620 [14]MS2Dodecane16.86Unknown9110 [2]MS3Tridecane19.21Unknown9MSAlkarrer9.80Herbal [1], fresh [1], fruity [29, 30], woody [1], herbal [1], herbal [1], camphoreous [1, 26, 30, 31]518 [2]MS2Camphene9.80Herbal [1], inesh [1], inity [29, 30], woody [1], herbal [1], camphoreous [1, 26, 30, 31]5130 [5]MS3β-Terpinene10.30Citrus [1], herbal [1], inesh [1], inity [29, 30], woody [1], herbal [1], camphoreous [1, 26, 30, 31]5130 [5]MS3β-Terpinene10.30Citrus [1], herbal [1], camphoreous [1, 26, 30, 31]5130 [5]MS43-Carene11.01Terpenic [31], fatty [31]5130 [5]MS5p-Cymene12.35Fruity [29], fresh [1], citrus [1, 23], terpenic [1], flora [31], fragran [31]55 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	6	2-Methyl-benzofuran	16.46	Phenolic [1]	8		MS
Allacian 14.36 Unknown 9 620 [14] MS 2 Dodecane 16.86 Unknown 9 110 [2] MS 3 Tridecane 19.21 Unknown 9 110 [2] MS Aller Tridecane 19.21 Unknown 9 MS Aller Tridecane 19.21 Unknown 9 MS Aller Tridecane 19.21 Unknown 9 MS Aller Tridecane 19.20 Woody [1], fresh [1], fruity [29, 30, woody [1], herbal [1], frosh [1], fros	7	2-n-Heptylfuran	16.71	Green [1], fatty [1]	6		MS
1 Undecane 14.36 Unknown 9 620 [14] MS 2 Dodecane 16.86 Unknown 9 110 [2] MS 3 Tridecane 19.21 Unknown 9 MS Alker Image: Second Se	Alka	nes					
2 Dodecane 16.86 Unknown 9 110 [2] MS 3 Tridecane 19.21 Unknown 9 MS Alker Alker 10.21 Unknown 9 MS 1 a-Pinene 9.80 Herbal [1], fresh [1], fruity [29, 30], woody [1], herbal [1], fragger [1], herbal [1], fragger [1], herbal [1], fragger [1], herbal [1], fragger [1], fresh [1], fres	1	Undecane	14.36	Unknown	9	620 [14]	MS
3 Tridecane 19.21 Unknown 9 MS Alker Alker 1 a-Pinene 9.80 Herbal [1], freish [1], fruity [29, 30], woody [1], herbal [1], camphoreous [1, 26, 30, 31] 5 18 [2] MS 2 Camphene 10.30 Woody [1], herbal [1], camphoreous [1, 26, 30, 31] 5 130 [5] MS 3 β -Terpinene 11.01 Terpenic [31], fatty [31] 5 130 [5] MS 4 3-Carene 11.96 Citrus [1, sweet [26] 1 140 [5] MS 5 p-Cymene 12.35 Fruity [29], fresh [1], citrus[1, 23], tragrant [31], terpenic [1], fora [31], fragrant [31], terpenic [1], fora [31], fragrant [31], terpenic [1], fora [31], fragrant [31], terpenic [1], asweet [1] 38 [2] MS 6 D-Limonene 12.46 Citrus [1, 3, 4, 13, 23], fresh [1], asset [2,4] MS MS 7 γ -Terpinene 13.28 Terpenic [1], sweet [2], ask [1], nut [1] 5 5 \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$	2	Dodecane	16.86	Unknown	9	110 [2]	MS
Alkenes 1 a-Pinene 9.80 Herbal [1], fresh [1], fruity [29, 30], woody [1], herbal [1], camphoreous [1, 26, 30, 31] 5 18 [2] MS 2 Camphene 10.30 Woody [1], herbal [1], camphoreous [1, 26, 30, 31] 5 130 [5] MS 3 β -Terpinene 11.01 Terpenic [31], fatty [31] 5 130 [5] MS 4 3-Carene 11.96 Citrus [1, sweet [26] 1 140 [5] MS 5 p-Cymene 12.35 Fruity [29], fresh [1], citrus[1, 23], terpenic [1], flora [31], fragrant [31] 1 38 [2] MS 6 D-Limonene 12.46 Sweet [1] Sweet [1] 38 [2] MS 7 γ -Terpinene 13.28 Terpenic [1, 23], citrus [23], herbal [23, 26], green [23, 26] 5 5 \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$	3	Tridecane	19.21	Unknown	9		MS
1 a-Pinene 9.80 Herbal [1], fresh [1], fruity [29, 30], voody [1], pine [6, 11, 22, 29] 5 18 [2] MS 2 Camphene 10.30 Woody [1], herbal [1], camphoreous [1, 26, 30, 31] 5 130 [5] MS 3 β-Terpinene 11.01 Terpenic [31], fatty [31] 5 130 [5] MS 4 3-Carene 11.96 Citrus [1], sweet [26] 1 140 [5] MS 5 p-Cymene 12.35 Fruity [29], fresh [1], citrus[1, 23], terpenic [31], fatty [31] 5 57 [14] MS 6 D-Limonene 12.46 Citrus [1, 3, 4, 13, 23], fresh [1], sweet [1], nut [1] 38 [2] MS 7 γ-Terpinene 13.28 Terpenic [1, 23], citrus [23], herbal [23, 26], green [23, 26] 5 5 × 10 ⁴ MS 8 α-Thujene ² 14.94 Woody [1], herbal [23, 26], green [23, 26] 5 5 × 10 ⁴ MS 10 2,4-Dimethyl-1-decene 13.94 Unknown 9 MS MS 1 β-Gurjunene 22.25 Unknown 9 MS MS 1 β-Gurjunene 22.25	Alke	nes					
2Camphene10.30Woody [1], herbal [1], camphoreous [1, 26, 30, 31]5130 [5]MS3β-Terpinene11.01Terpenic [31], fatty [31]5130 [5]MS43-Carene11.96Citrus [1], sweet [26]1140 [5]MS5p-Cymene12.35Fruity [29], fresh [1], citrus[1, 23], terpenic [1], flora [31], fragrant [31]157 [14]MS6D-Limonene12.46Citrus [1, 3, 4, 13, 23], fresh [1], sweet [1]138 [2]MS7γ-Terpinene13.28Terpenic [1, 23], citrus [23], herbal [23]55 x 104MS8α-Thujene²14.94Woody [1], herbal [23, 26], green [23, 26], green [23, 26]5MS9Alloocimene¹15.12Flora [1], sweet [1], nut [1]21.8 x 104MS1β-Gurjunene13.94Unknown9MS1β-Gurjunene22.25Unknown9MS1Methyl isocyanate11.40Sharp, strong8MS	1	a-Pinene	9.80	Herbal [1], fresh [1], fruity [29, 30], woody [1], pine [6, 11, 22, 29]	5	18 [2]	MS
3 β -Terpinene 11.01 Terpenic [31], fatty [31] 5 130 [5] MS 4 3-Carene 11.96 Citrus [1], sweet [26] 1 140 [5] MS 5 p -Cymene 12.35 Fruity [29], fresh [1], citrus[1, 23], terpenic [31], fragrant [31] 1 57 [14] MS 6 D-Limonene 12.46 Citrus [1, 3, 4, 13, 23], fresh [1], sweet [1] 1 38 [2] MS 7 γ -Terpinene 13.28 Terpenic [1, 23], citrus [23], herbal [23, 26], green [23, 26] 5 5 × 10 ⁴ MS 8 α -Thujene ² 14.94 Woody [1], herbal [23, 26], green [23, 26] 5 1.8 × 10 ⁴ MS 9 Alloocimene ¹ 15.12 Flora [1], sweet [1], nut [1] 2 $\frac{1.8 \times 10^4}{[32]}$ MS 10 2,4-Dimethyl-1-decene 13.94 Unknown 9 MS 11 β -Gurjunene 22.25 Unknown 9 MS Others 11.40 Sharp, strong 8 MS	2	Camphene	10.30	Woody [1], herbal [1], camphoreous [1, 26, 30, 31]	5	130 [5]	MS
4 3-Carene 11.96 Citrus [1], sweet [26] 1 140 [5] MS 5 p-Cymene 12.35 Fruity [29], fresh [1], citrus [1, 23], terpenic [31], fragrant [31] 1 57 [14] MS 6 D-Limonene 12.46 Citrus [1, 3, 4, 13, 23], fresh [1], sweet [1] 1 38 [2] MS 7 γ-Terpinene 13.28 Terpenic [1, 23], citrus [23], herbal [23] 5 5 × 10 ⁴ [24] MS 8 α-Thujene ² 14.94 Woody [1], herbal [23, 26], green [23, 26], green [23, 26] 5 MS 9 Alloocimene ¹ 15.12 Flora [1], sweet [1], nut [1] 2 1.8 × 10 ⁴ [32] MS 10 2,4-Dimethyl-1-decene 13.94 Unknown 9 MS 11 β-Gurjunene 22.25 Unknown 9 MS 0thers V Sharp, strong 8 MS	3	β-Terpinene	11.01	Terpenic [31], fatty [31]	5	130 [5]	MS
5 p-Cymene 12.35 Fruity [29], fresh [1], citrus[1, 23], tergenat [31] 1 57 [14] MS 6 D-Limonene 12.46 Citrus [1, 3, 4, 13, 23], fresh [1], sweet [1], sweet [1], sweet [1], sweet [1], sweet [1], sweet [1], argenant [31] 1 38 [2] MS 7 γ-Terpinene 13.28 Terpenic [1, 23], citrus [23], herbal [23], herbal [23] 5 \$ x 10^4 [24] MS 8 α-Thujene ² 14.94 Woody [1], herbal [23, 26], green [23, 26] 5 MS 9 Alloocimene ¹ 15.12 Flora [1], sweet [1], nut [1] 2 1.8 × 10 ⁴ [32] MS 10 2,4-Dimethyl-1-decene 13.94 Unknown 9 MS 11 β-Gurjunene 22.25 Unknown 9 MS 11 β-Gurjunene 11.40 Sharp, strong 8 MS	4	3-Carene	11.96	Citrus [1], sweet [26]	1	140 [5]	MS
6 D-Limonene 12.46 Citrus [1, 3, 4, 13, 23], fresh [1], sweet [1], sweet [1], sweet [1], sweet [1], sweet [1], 23], citrus [23], herbal 1 38 [2] MS 7 γ -Terpinene 13.28 Terpenic [1, 23], citrus [23], herbal 5 5×10^4 MS 8 α -Thujene ² 14.94 Woody [1], herbal [23, 26], green 5 MS 9 Alloocimene ¹ 15.12 Flora [1], sweet [1], nut [1] 2 $\frac{1.8 \times 10^4}{[32]}$ MS 10 2,4-Dimethyl-1-decene 13.94 Unknown 9 MS 1 β -Gurjunene 22.25 Unknown 9 MS Others 11.40 Sharp, strong 8 MS	5	p-Cymene	12.35	Fruity [29], fresh [1], citrus[1, 23], terpenic [1], flora [31], fragrant [31]	1	57 [14]	MS
7 γ -Terpinene 13.28 Terpenic [1, 23], citrus [23], herbal [23], herbal [24] 5 5×10^4 [24] MS 8 α -Thujene ² 14.94 Woody [1], herbal [23, 26], green [23, 26], green [23, 26] 5 MS 9 Alloocimene ¹ 15.12 Flora [1], sweet [1], nut [1] 2 $\frac{1.8 \times 10^4}{[32]}$ MS 10 2,4-Dimethyl-1-decene 13.94 Unknown 9 MS 1 β -Gurjunene 22.25 Unknown 9 MS Others 11.40 Sharp, strong 8 MS	6	D-Limonene	12.46	Citrus [1, 3, 4, 13, 23], fresh [1], sweet [1]	1	38 [2]	MS
8 α-Thujene ² 14.94 Woody [1], herbal [23, 26], green [23, 26], green [23, 26], green [23, 26]] 5 MS 9 Alloocimene ¹ 15.12 Flora [1], sweet [1], nut [1] 2 $\frac{1.8 \times 10^4}{[32]}$ MS 10 2,4-Dimethyl-1-decene 13.94 Unknown 9 MS 1 β-Gurjunene 22.25 Unknown 9 MS Others 11.40 Sharp, strong 8 MS	7	γ-Terpinene	13.28	Terpenic [1, 23], citrus [23], herbal [23]	5	5 × 10 ⁴ [24]	MS
9 Alloocimene ¹ 15.12 Flora [1], sweet [1], nut [1] 2 1.8 × 10 ⁴ [32] MS 10 2,4-Dimethyl-1-decene 13.94 Unknown 9 MS 1 β-Gurjunene 22.25 Unknown 9 MS Others 11.40 Sharp, strong 8 MS	8	α-Thujene ²	14.94	Woody [1], herbal [23, 26], green [23, 26]	5		MS
102,4-Dimethyl-1-decene13.94Unknown9MS1β-Gurjunene22.25Unknown9MSOthers	9	Alloocimene ¹	15.12	Flora [1], sweet [1], nut [1]	2	1.8 × 10 ⁴ [32]	MS
1β-Gurjunene22.25Unknown9MSOthers1Methyl isocyanate11.40Sharp, strong8MS	10	2,4-Dimethyl-1-decene	13.94	Unknown	9		MS
Others 1 Methyl isocyanate 11.40 Sharp, strong 8 MS	1	β-Gurjunene	22.25	Unknown	9		MS
1 Methyl isocyanate 11.40 Sharp, strong 8 MS	Othe	ers					
	1	Methyl isocyanate	11.40	Sharp, strong	8		MS

2	Dimethyl ether ^{2,3,4}	1.87	Ethereal [1]	1	5 × 10 ⁵ [14]	MS
3	4-Ethenyl-1,2-dimethyl- benzene	14.10	Unknown	9		MS
4	4-Methyl-2-propylphenol1	19.66	Unknown	9		MS
5	α-Limonene diepoxide	12.54	Citrus [1]	1		MS
6	5-Methoxy-1,3-dimethyl- 1H-pyrazole	14.02	Unknown	9		MS

* The number represents new forming volatiles during fermentation of oat milk (1), sunflower seed milk (2), pea milk (3), and faba milk (4).

** Odor groups: 1) fruity, citrus, sweet, melty, ethereal; 2) floral, nice green; 3) buttery, fatty, waxy, creamy; 4) cheesy, sour; 5) nutty, woody, minty, toasted, turpentine, balsamic, camphoraceous; 6) green, grassy, beany, herbal; 7) earthy, mushroom; 8) pungent, spicy, sharp, phenolic, and 9) unknown odor.

Aroma descriptions and odor thresholds were collected from previous studies: [1] (The Good Scents Company Information System 2021), [2] (Nagata and Takeuchi 2003), [3] (Akkad et al. 2019), [4] (Liu et al. 2022), [5] (Yan et al. 2020), [6] (Fu et al. 2020), [7] (Lv et al. 2011), [8] (Yang et al. 2008), [9] (Zeng et al. 2008), [10] (Xu et al. 2019), [11] (Verginer et al. 2010), [12] (Boatright and Lei 1999), [13] (Xiao et al. 2017), [14] (Peyer et al. 2016), [15] (El Youssef et al. 2020), [16] (Bott and Chambers IV 2006), [17] (Sabatini et al. 2008), [18] (Cai et al. 2014), [19] (Osorio et al. 2006), [20] (van Gemert 2011), [21] (Diarra et al. 2005; Wu et al. 2016), [22] (Costa et al. 2008), [23] (Schmidt et al. 2008), [24] (Tamura et al. 2001), [25] (Xu et al. 2014), [26] (Jirovetz et al. 2005), [27] (Aznar et al. 2001), [28] (Maga and Katz 1979), [29] (Högnadóttir and Rouseff 2003), [30] (Van Opstaele et al. 2012), [31] (Ounamornas et al. 2017), [32] (Yang et al. 2019).

6.3.7 Flavor study: PCA loading plot

	PC1 (24.10%)	PC2 (17.51%)	PC3 (14.06%)
Acetic acid	-1.09	-0.11	0.46
Butanoic acid, 3-methyl-	-1.56	0.58	0.49
Pentanoic acid	-0.10	0.89	0.65
Hexanoic acid	-0.90	1.26	1.08
Octanoic Acid	1.01	-0.43	0.91
Nonanoic acid	1.39	-0.72	-0.52
[S,S]-2,3-butanediol	-0.94	0.96	-1.23
1-Butanol, 2-methyl-	-1.15	0.29	0.98
1-Pentanol	1.22	-0.74	0.34
1-Hexanol	1.71	0.69	0.18
2-Heptanol	-0.34	2.66	-0.74
1-Heptanol	0.69	2.71	-0.06
3-Hexanol, 2-methyl-	-1.15	-0.87	0.47
3,5-Xylenol	-0.67	-0.88	0.29
1-Octen-3-ol	1.12	0.36	0.64
2-Octen-1-ol, (Z)-	0.11	2.71	0.90
1-Octanol	0.85	2.43	0.99
1-Nonanol	0.87	1.45	0.29
3-Ethyl-4-nonanol	0.19	-1.34	0.55
Butanal, 3-methyl-	-0.42	-1.19	0.80
Butanal, 2-methyl-	-0.34	-1.21	0.88
Hexanal	-0.54	-1.38	1.99
2-Heptenal, (Z)-	0.34	-1.38	-0.04
Heptanal	0.31	-0.76	0.99
Benzaldehyde	-0.74	-0.16	2.01
2-Octenal, (E)-	1.01	-2.06	-0.13
Benzaldehyde, 4-ethyl-	0.47	-0.38	0.81
2-Nonenal, (E)-	0.47	-1.32	0.41
Nonanal	-0.90	-1.19	0.79
2,4-Decadienal	1.48	-1.58	-0.63
Dodecane	0.96	-0.49	1.02
Tridecane	1.48	1.09	0.12
α-Pinene	0.91	2.34	0.39
3-Carene	1.55	1.03	1.05
p-Cymenene	1.06	2.15	0.11
D-Limonene	1.33	0.25	0.71
Alloocimene	1.31	-0.34	-1.24
Ethyl Acetate	-0.18	-1.08	0.72
Ethyl lactate	0.20	2.44	-0.08
Butanoic acid, 2-methyl-, ethyl ester	1.13	-1.49	0.48
Acetic acid, hexyl ester	0.78	1.37	1.91
2-n-Butyl furan	-0.42	2.62	-0.83

Furan, 2-pentyl-	0.81	1.55	1.83
Benzofuran, 2-methyl-	1.54	-1.28	-0.36
2-n-Heptylfuran	-0.18	0.45	1.51
2,3-Butanedione	1.02	-1.04	-1.08
2-Butanone, 3-hydroxy-	0.46	-1.02	0.13
2-Hexanone	1.01	1.53	-0.96
2,3-Heptanedione	0.86	-0.33	-0.93
2-Heptanone	0.29	-2.03	0.50
1-Propanone, 1-(2-furanyl)-	-0.52	-0.53	1.80
3,5-Octadien-2-one, (E,E)-	1.20	0.19	1.35
5-Hepten-2-one, 6-methyl-	-0.09	-0.75	0.94
Ethanone, 1-(4-ethylphenyl)-	1.76	-0.79	-0.31
Dimethyl ether	-0.93	1.27	-1.14
4-Methyl-2-propylphenol	-0.05	1.16	1.69
Growth	-0.95	1.27	-0.13

	PC 1 (40.60%)	PC 2 (15.04%)	PC 3 (9.68%)
Acetic acid	-0.30	1.53	-0.63
Butanoic acid, 2-methyl-	2.04	0.04	-0.49
Hexanoic acid	1.62	1.09	-0.79
Octanoic Acid	-0.05	0.50	-2.03
Nonanoic acid	1.81	0.29	-1.34
[S,S]-2,3-butanediol	-0.03	-0.18	-1.72
2-Buten-1-ol, 3-methyl-	2.43	-0.20	0.55
1-Butanol, 3-methyl-	2.43	-0.20	0.54
1-Butanol, 2-methyl-	0.15	1.42	0.07
1-Pentanol	-0.04	1.74	0.72
1-Hexanol	0.75	1.41	-0.95
1-Heptanol	1.01	0.66	-1.56
Benzyl Alcohol	2.43	-0.22	0.56
Phenylethyl Alcohol	2.42	-0.24	0.57
1-Octen-3-ol	1.15	0.36	1.25
2-Octen-1-ol, (Z)-	1.69	-0.42	0.56
1-Octanol	1.01	0.88	-0.54
1-Nonanol	-0.80	-0.99	0.00
Cherry propanol	1.81	0.78	0.01
Isopinocarveol	2.41	0.16	0.66
L-Pinocarveol	2.42	-0.24	0.57
cis-Verbenol	2.32	0.00	0.62
(-)-Myrtenol	2.43	-0.21	0.56
Myrtenol	2.42	-0.07	0.62
Terpinen-4-ol	2.28	0.59	0.08
3-Ethyl-4-nonanol	0.96	-0.89	0.24
Butanal, 3-methyl-	-0.73	-0.26	1.76
Butanal, 2-methyl-	-0.82	-0.29	1.75
Hexanal	-0.55	-0.23	1.80
Heptanal	-0.52	-0.38	1.65
Benzaldehyde	0.37	-0.98	1.04
Benzeneacetaldehyde	-0.50	-0.54	1.17
Nonanal	-0.78	0.09	1.61
Undecane	1.27	-0.64	-0.30
Dodecane	-2.19	-0.17	-0.44
Tridecane	1.37	-0.43	0.01
α-Pinene	-1.64	0.95	1.04
Camphene	-1.47	1.04	1.14
β-Terpinene	-1.56	1.06	1.15
3-Carene	-1.62	0.68	0.82
p-Cymenene	2.42	0.31	0.34
D-Limonene	-1.36	-0.32	1.55
γ-Terpinene	2.24	0.02	0.68
α-Thujene	2.42	-0.17	0.52

Table S8. Loading plot of unfermented and fermented sunflower seed milk.

β-Gurjunene	0.42	-1.02	-1.00
Myrtenyl acetate	2.21	0.76	0.27
Verbenyl acetate	2.38	0.42	0.44
Bornyl acetate	2.43	-0.21	0.55
Epoxyalphaterpenyl acetate	2.45	-0.06	0.57
2-Acetyl-5-methylfuran	0.44	1.52	0.18
Furan, 2-pentyl-	-1.09	1.24	0.95
2,3-Butanedione	2.10	-0.27	0.35
2-Butanone, 3-hydroxy-	0.64	1.20	-1.39
3-Pentanone, 2-methyl-	0.00	0.41	-1.15
Ethanone, 1-(2-furanyl)-	-0.69	0.50	0.23
3,6-Heptanedione	1.69	-0.42	0.56
2-Heptanone	0.14	1.36	1.10
1-Propanone, 1-(2-furanyl)-	-0.48	0.23	1.16
2-Nonanone	0.21	1.63	-0.41
Ethanone, 1-(4-ethylphenyl)-	-0.66	1.43	1.41
5,6-Dehydrocamphor	1.86	0.21	-0.58
Pinocarvone	2.12	0.74	0.70
D-Verbenone	1.39	0.41	0.12
Methane, isocyanato-	-2.32	0.40	0.13
Dimethyl ether	-0.26	-0.37	-1.98
1H-Pyrazole, 5-methoxy-1,3-dimethyl-	0.74	0.35	-0.01
Benzene, 4-ethenyl-1,2-dimethyl-	1.57	-0.08	0.37
α-Limonene diepoxide	-2.01	-0.07	0.05
Growth	0.86	-0.11	-1.49

	PC 1 (29.80%)	PC 2 (2323)	PC 3 (10.12%)
Acetic acid	-1.95	0.61	0.10
Butanoic acid, 3-methyl-	0.06	1.52	1.09
Butanoic acid, 2-methyl-	0.28	1.13	1.65
Hexanoic acid	-0.21	1.34	1.57
Octanoic Acid	-0.28	1.83	-0.02
Nonanoic acid	-1.04	1.28	0.51
1-Pentanol	1.07	0.73	-0.13
1-Hexanol	0.97	1.61	0.23
2-Heptanol	-1.09	1.18	-1.33
1-Heptanol	0.61	1.79	-0.49
Benzyl Alcohol	0.35	1.55	0.19
1-Octen-3-ol	1.46	0.62	0.09
2-Octen-1-ol, (Z)-	0.18	1.87	-0.81
1-Hexanol, 2-ethyl-	1.05	0.84	-1.87
1-Octanol	1.17	1.32	0.63
1-Nonanol	-0.63	-0.57	2.12
3-Ethyl-4-nonanol	1.28	-0.12	1.77
Phytol	1.00	0.90	1.25
2-Propenal	-1.15	-0.75	1.51
Hexanal	1.03	-0.58	-1.78
2,4-Heptadienal, (E,E)-	1.76	0.27	1.14
2-Heptenal, (Z)-	1.42	-0.45	0.61
Heptanal	0.99	-0.15	-2.02
Benzaldehyde	0.48	-0.92	1.33
2-Octenal, (E)-	1.87	0.13	0.02
Benzeneacetaldehyde	1.22	-0.54	-1.74
Benzaldehyde, 4-ethyl-	1.48	-0.61	-0.93
Nonanal	1.82	0.11	-0.97
2,4-Decadienal	1.89	0.10	0.83
Decanal	1.47	-0.19	1.58
Furan, 2-ethyl-	-0.48	-0.66	0.18
Furan, 2-(1-pentenyl)-, (E)-	-1.18	1.03	-0.36
Furan, 2-pentyl-	1.25	-0.67	-0.49
2,3-Butanedione	1.39	0.71	-0.10
2,3-Pentanedione	1.40	0.73	-0.28
2-Heptanone	0.85	-0.71	1.68
1-Propanone, 1-(2-furanyl)-	0.07	-1.49	0.16
3,5-Octadien-2-one, (E,E)-	1.70	-0.40	0.08
5-Hepten-2-one, 6-methyl-	-0.61	0.12	2.04
3-Hepten-2-one, 5-methyl-	1.62	0.11	-0.72
Acetovanillone	1.77	0.49	1.23
Dimethyl ether	-1.31	1.16	-0.87
Growth	-1.19	1.00	0.01

Table S9. Loading plot of unfermented and fermented pea milk.

	PC 1 (20.94%)	PC 2 (19.91%)	PC 3 (15.05%)
Acetic acid	0.99	1.24	-0.81
Butanoic acid, 3-methyl-	-0.59	1.92	0.33
Nonanoic acid	0.21	1.11	-1.22
1-Butanol, 3-methyl-	1.18	1.55	-1.29
1-Butanol, 2-methyl-	-1.69	-0.31	0.60
1-Pentanol	0.21	2.23	1.06
1-Hexanol	-1.37	1.92	1.02
2-Heptanol	-0.43	1.58	0.15
1-Heptanol	-0.17	2.26	0.81
Benzyl Alcohol	0.95	1.37	-1.79
Phenylethyl Alcohol	0.04	-0.01	-1.94
1-Octen-3-ol	0.23	2.28	0.05
2-Octen-1-ol, (Z)-	-0.79	1.81	0.61
3-Octanol	0.03	-0.51	-1.02
1-Octanol	0.75	1.32	0.55
2-Nonen-1-ol, (E)-	2.04	0.83	-0.32
1-Nonanol	-2.00	0.77	0.73
Eugenol	1.16	1.01	-1.66
Linalool	0.14	-0.21	-1.40
Butanal, 3-methyl-	1.47	-1.18	1.46
Butanal, 2-methyl-	2.21	-0.04	0.87
Hexanal	1.27	-1.24	1.72
2-Heptenal, (Z)-	-1.57	0.14	1.32
Heptanal	1.47	-1.18	1.46
Benzaldehyde	1.65	-0.42	-1.04
2-Octenal, (E)-	0.40	-0.97	-0.03
Benzeneacetaldehyde	2.07	0.35	1.24
Nonanal	1.60	-1.33	1.44
2,4-Decadienal	-1.39	-0.19	0.80
2-Decenal, (E)-	-0.43	-2.01	-1.03
Decanal	1.89	0.45	0.92
p-Cymenene	1.74	1.36	0.68
1-Decene, 2,4-dimethyl-	0.13	2.14	0.86
Linalyl propionate	-1.02	0.62	1.11
Furan, 2-pentyl-	1.88	0.98	-0.62
Methyl vinyl ketone	1.47	-1.18	1.46
2,3-Butanedione	-0.95	-0.69	-0.31
2-Butanone, 3-hydroxy-	-1.47	-0.50	0.46
2-Heptanone	0.80	0.00	0.57
3,5-Octadien-2-one, (E,E)-	0.95	0.59	-0.11
3-Hepten-2-one, 5-methyl-	-0.08	1.02	1.88
3-Octanone	0.05	-0.50	-1.59
2-Nonanone	-0.82	-0.47	0.28
Dimethyl ether	-0.26	1.83	-0.04

Table S10. Loading plot of unfermented and fermented faba milk.

Growth	-0.10	1.75	-0.49

7 References

- Adachi M, Kanamori J, Masuda T, Yagasaki K, Kitamura K, Mikami B, Utsumi S. (2003). Crystal structure of soybean 11*S* globulin: glycinin A3B4 homohexamer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100(12):7395-7400
- Aderibigbe EY, Odunfa S. (1990). Growth and extracellular enzyme production by strains of *Bacillus* species isolated from fermenting African locust bean, iru. J Appl Bacteriol 69(5):662-671
- Adler P, Bolten CJ, Dohnt K, Hansen CE, Wittmann C. (2013). Core fluxome and meta fluxome of lactic acid bacteria under simulated cocoa pulp fermentation conditions. Appl Environ Microbiol 79(18):5670-5681
- Adler P, Frey LJ, Berger A, Bolten CJ, Hansen CE, Wittmann C. (2014). The key to acetate: metabolic fluxes of acetic acid bacteria under cocoa pulp fermentation-simulating conditions. Appl Environ Microbiol 80(15):4702-4716
- Afaneh I, Abu-Alruz K, Quasem JM, Sundookah A, Abbadi J, Alloussi S, Ayyad Z. (2011). Fundamental elements to produce sesame yoghurt from sesame milk. Am J Appl Sci 8(11):1086-1092
- Aggarwal R, Bains K, Nutrition. (2022). Protein, lysine and vitamin D: critical role in muscle and bone health. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 62(9):2548-2559
- Aguirre L, Garro MS, de Giori GS. (2008). Enzymatic hydrolysis of soybean protein using lactic acid bacteria. Food Chem 111(4):976-982
- Akkad R, Buchko A, Johnston SP, Han J, House JD, Curtis JM. (2021). Sprouting improves the flavour quality of faba bean flours. Food Chem 364:130355
- Akkad R, Kharraz E, Han J, House JD, Curtis JM. (2019). Characterisation of the volatile flavour compounds in low and high tannin faba beans (*Vicia faba* var. minor) grown in Alberta, Canada. Food Res Int 120:285-294
- Alagawany M, Farag MR, Abd El-Hack ME, Dhama K. (2015). The practical application of sunflower meal in poultry nutrition. Adv Anim Vet Sci 3(12):634-648
- Allen LH, Rosenberg IH, Oakley GP, Omenn GS. (2010). Considering the case for vitamin B₁₂ fortification of flour. Food Nutr Bull 31(1_suppl1):S36-S46
- Amoore JE, Hautala E. (1983). Odor as an ald to chemical safety: odor thresholds compared with threshold limit values and volatilities for 214 industrial chemicals in air and water dilution. J Appl Toxicol 3(6):272-290
- Anderson RL, Wolf WJ. (1995). Compositional changes in trypsin inhibitors, phytic acid, saponins and isoflavones related to soybean processing. J Nutr 125(3):581S-588S
- Angelov A, Gotcheva V, Hristozova T, Gargova S. (2005). Application of pure and mixed probiotic lactic acid bacteria and yeast cultures for oat fermentation. J Sci Food Agric 85(12):2134-2141
- Antony U, Chandra TS. (1998). Antinutrient reduction and enhancement in protein, starch, and mineral availability in fermented flour of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*). J Agr Food Chem 46(7):2578-2582

- AOAC. (2019). Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC INTERNATIONAL (2019), 21st edn. AOAC International, Rockville, MD, USA
- Aschemann-Witzel J, Gantriis RF, Fraga P, Perez-Cueto FJ. (2021). Plant-based food and protein trend from a business perspective: markets, consumers, and the challenges and opportunities in the future. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 61(18):3119-3128
- Asgher M, Qamar SA, Bilal M, Iqbal HM. (2020). Bio-based active food packaging materials: Sustainable alternative to conventional petrochemical-based packaging materials. 137:109625
- Asioli D, Aschemann-Witzel J, Caputo V, Vecchio R, Annunziata A, Næs T, Varela P. (2017). Making sense of the "clean label" trends: a review of consumer food choice behavior and discussion of industry implications. Food Res Int 99:58-71
- Assis DAd, Matte C, Aschidamini B, Rodrigues E, Zachia Ayub MA. (2020). Biosynthesis of vitamin B₁₂ by *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* subsp. *shermanii* ATCC 13673 using liquid acid protein residue of soybean as culture medium. Biotechnol Prog 36(5):e3011
- Ayivi RD, Gyawali R, Krastanov A, Aljaloud SO, Worku M, Tahergorabi R, Silva RCd, Ibrahim SA. (2020). Lactic acid bacteria: food safety and human health applications. Dairy 1(3):202-232
- Ayseli MT, Ayseli Yİ. (2016). Flavors of the future: Health benefits of flavor precursors and volatile compounds in plant foods. Trends Food Sci Technol 48:69-77
- Aznar M, López R, Cacho JF, Ferreira V. (2001). Identification and quantification of impact odorants of aged red wines from Rioja. GC–Olfactometry, quantitative GC-MS, and odor evaluation of HPLC fractions. J Agric Food Chem 49(6):2924-2929
- Babuchowski A, Laniewska-Moroz L, Warminska-Radyko I. (1999). Propionibacteria in fermented vegetables. Le Lait 79(1):113-124
- Bao X, Ma S, Fu Y, Wu J, Zhang M. (2020). Sensory and structural characterization of umami peptides derived from sunflower seed. CYTA J Food 18(1):485-492
- Bartowsky EJ, Pretorius IS. (2009). Microbial formation and modification of flavor and off-flavor compounds in wine Biology of Microorganisms on Grapes, in Must and in Wine. Springer, New York, USA, pp 209-231
- Beasley S, Tuorila H, Saris P. (2003). Fermented soymilk with a monoculture of *Lactococcus lactis*. Int J Food Microbiol 81(2):159-162
- Beaumont M. (2002). Flavouring composition prepared by fermentation with *Bacillus spp.* Int J Food Microbiol 75(3):189-196
- Beck S, Schink B. (1995). Acetate oxidation through a modified citric acid cycle in *Propionibacterium freudenreichii*. Arch Microbiol 163(3):182-187
- Becker J, Klopprogge C, Wittmann C. (2008). Metabolic responses to pyruvate kinase deletion in lysine producing *Corynebacterium glutamicum*. Microb Cell Fact 7(1):8

- Becker J, Reinefeld J, Stellmacher R, Schäfer R, Lange A, Meyer H, Lalk M, Zelder O, von Abendroth G, Schröder H. (2013). Systems - wide analysis and engineering of metabolic pathway fluxes in bio-succinate producing *Basfia succiniciproducens*. Biotechnol Bioeng 110(11):3013-3023
- Bentley R, Meganathan R. (1982). Biosynthesis of vitamin K (menaquinone) in bacteria. Microbiol Rev 46(3):241
- Bernat N, Cháfer M, Chiralt A, González-Martínez C. (2015). Development of a nondairy probiotic fermented product based on almond milk and inulin. Food Sci Technol Int 21(6):440-453
- Bi K, Zhang D, Qiu T, Huang Y. (2019). GC-MS fingerprints profiling using machine learning models for food flavor prediction. 8(1):23
- Blagden TD, Gilliland SE. (2005). Reduction of levels of volatile components associated with the "beany" flavor in soymilk by lactobacilli and streptococci. J Food Eng 70(3):M186-M189
- Bloomberg Surveillance (2015) Got coke?: coca-cola's big bet on premium milk. PUblisher. <u>https://www.bloomberg.com/news/videos/2015-02-10/got-coke-coca-cola-s-big-bet-on-premium-milk</u> Accessed 10 Feb 2015
- Boatright W, Lei Q. (1999). Compounds contributing to the "beany" odor of aqueous solutions of soy protein isolates. J Food Sci 64(4):667-670
- Bott L, Chambers IV E. (2006). Sensory characteristics of combinations of chemicals potentially associated with beany aroma in foods. J Sens Stud 21(3):308-321
- Brendehaug J, Langsrud T. (1985). Amino acid metabolism in propionibacteria: resting cells experiments with four strains. J Dairy Sci 68(2):281-289
- Brillet A, Pilet M-F, Prevost H, Bouttefroy A, Leroi F. (2004). Biodiversity of Listeria monocytogenes sensitivity to bacteriocin-producing Carnobacterium strains and application in sterile cold-smoked salmon. J Appl Microbiol 97(5):1029-1037
- Briviba K, Gräf V, Walz E, Guamis B, Butz P. (2016). Ultra high pressure homogenization of almond milk: physico-chemical and physiological effects. Food Chem 192:82-89
- Bücher C, Burtscher J, Domig KJ. (2021). Propionic acid bacteria in the food industry: An update on essential traits and detection methods. Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf 20(5):4299-4323
- Cahyanto MN, Kawasaki H, Nagashio M, Fujiyama K, Seki T. (2006). Regulation of aspartokinase, aspartate semialdehyde dehydrogenase, dihydrodipicolinate synthase and dihydrodipicolinate reductase in *Lactobacillus plantarum*. Microbiology 152(1):105-112
- Cai J, Zhu B-Q, Wang Y-H, Lu L, Lan Y-B, Reeves MJ, Duan C-Q. (2014). Influence of pre-fermentation cold maceration treatment on aroma compounds of Cabernet Sauvignon wines fermented in different industrial scale fermenters. Food Chem 154:217-229

- Callaway JC. (2004). Hempseed as a nutritional resource: an overview. Euphytica 140(1-2):65-72
- CAMEO Chemicals (2015) National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's Office of Response and Restoration in Partnership with the Environmental Protection Agency's Office of Emergency Management and the U.S. Coast Guard's Research and Development. <u>https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/</u>. Accessed 10 Mar 2015
- Caprioli G, Giusti F, Ballini R, Sagratini G, Vila-Donat P, Vittori S, Fiorini D. (2016). Lipid nutritional value of legumes: Evaluation of different extraction methods and determination of fatty acid composition. Food Chem 192:965-971
- Chamlagain B, Deptula P, Edelmann M, Kariluoto S, Grattepanche F, Lacroix C, Varmanen P, Piironen V. (2016). Effect of the lower ligand precursors on vitamin B₁₂ production by food-grade Propionibacteria. LWT 72:117-124
- Chamlagain B, Sugito TA, Deptula P, Edelmann M, Kariluoto S, Varmanen P, Piironen V. (2018). In situ production of active vitamin B₁₂ in cereal matrices using *Propionibacterium freudenreichii*. Food Sci Nutr 6(1):67-76
- Champagne CP, Green-Johnson J, Raymond Y, Barrette J, Buckley N. (2009). Selection of probiotic bacteria for the fermentation of a soy beverage in combination with *Streptococcus thermophilus*. Food Res Int 42(5-6):612-621
- Champagne CP, Tompkins TA, Buckley ND, Green-Johnson JM. (2010). Effect of fermentation by pure and mixed cultures of *Streptococcus thermophilus* and *Lactobacillus helveticus* on isoflavone and B-vitamin content of a fermented soy beverage. Food Microbiol 27(7):968-972
- Chang C, Stone A, Green R, Nickerson M. (2019). Reduction of off-flavours and the impact on the functionalities of lentil protein isolate by acetone, ethanol, and isopropanol treatments. Food Chem 277:84-95
- Chavan J, Kadam S, Salunkhe D, Beuchat LR. (1987). Biochemistry and technology of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) seeds. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 25(2):107-158
- Chemical Book Group (2016) Chemical Book. PUblisher. https://www.chemicalbook.com/
- Chen T, Wei Q, Chi Z. (2011). Effect of oligosaccharides and isoflavones aglycones in defatted soy meal fermented by *Lactobacillus paracasei* and *Bifidobacterium longum*. Afr J Microbiol Res 5(15):2011-2018
- Chen XH, Koumoutsi A, Scholz R, Eisenreich A, Schneider K, Heinemeyer I, Morgenstern B, Voss B, Hess WR, Reva O. (2007). Comparative analysis of the complete genome sequence of the plant growth–promoting bacterium *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42. Nat Biotechnol 25(9):1007-1014
- Cheng Y, Li P, Hu B, Xu L, Liu S, Yu H, Guo Y, Xie Y, Yao W, Qian H. (2021). Correlation analysis reveals the intensified fermentation via *Lactobacillus plantarum* improved the flavor of fermented noni juice. Food Biosci 43:101234

- Chiang S-S, Pan T-M. (2012). Beneficial effects of *Lactobacillus paracasei subsp. paracasei* NTU 101 and its fermented products. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 93(3):903-916
- Chien HL, Huang HY, Chou CC. (2006). Transformation of isoflavone phytoestrogens during the fermentation of soymilk with lactic acid bacteria and bifidobacteria. Food Microbiol 23(8):772-778
- Chigo-Hernandez MM, DuBois A, Tomasino E. (2022). Aroma perception of rose oxide, linalool and α-terpineol combinations in Gewürztraminer wine. Fermentation 8(1):30
- Chou HT, Hegazy M, Lu C-D. (2010). L-Lysine catabolism is controlled by L-arginine and ArgR in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1. J Bacteriol 192(22):5874-5880
- Chua J-Y, Lu Y, Liu S-Q. (2017). Biotransformation of soy whey into soy alcoholic beverage by four commercial strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Int J Food Microbiol 262:14-22
- Chuang L-C, Huang C-S, Ou-Yang L-W, Lin S-Y. (2011). Probiotic *Lactobacillus paracasei* effect on cariogenic bacterial flora. Clin Oral Investig 15(4):471-476
- Chumchuere S, Robinson RK. (1999). Selection of starter cultures for the fermentation of soya milk. Food Microbiol 16(2):129-137
- Ciani M, Comitini F. (2015). Yeast interactions in multi-starter wine fermentation. Curr Opin Food Sci 1:1-6
- Cichońska P, Ziarno M. (2021a). Choices and Preferences of Young Women on Plant-Based Beverages®. Postępy Tech Przetw Spoż:22-28
- Cichońska P, Ziarno M. (2021b). Legumes and legume-based beverages fermented with lactic acid bacteria as a potential carrier of probiotics and prebiotics. Microorganisms 10(1):91
- Cocaign-Bousquet M, Garrigues C, Loubiere P, Lindley ND. (1996). Physiology of pyruvate metabolism in *Lactococcus lactis*. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 70(2):253-267
- Consultation, FAO Expert. (2011). Dietary protein quality evaluation in human nutrition. FAO Food Nutr Pap 92:1-66
- Costa R, Zellner BdA, Crupi ML, Fina MRD, Valentino MR, Dugo P, Dugo G, Mondello L. (2008). GC–MS, GC–O and enantio–GC investigation of the essential oil of *Tarchonanthus camphoratus* L. Flavour Fragr J 23(1):40-48
- Craig WJ. (2010). Nutrition concerns and health effects of vegetarian diets. Nutr Clin Pract 25(6):613-620
- Crittenden RG, Bennett LE. (2005). Cow's milk allergy: a complex disorder. J Am Coll Nutr 24:582S-591S
- Csapó J, Salamon S, Lóki K, Csapó-Kiss Z. (2009a). Composition of mare's colostrum and milk II. Protein content, amino acid composition and contents of macro-and micro-elements. Acta Univ Sapient Ser Aliment 2(1):133-148

- Csapó J, Salamon S, Lóki K, Csapó-Kiss Z. (2009b). Composition of mare's colostrum and milk II. Protein content, amino acid composition and contents of macro-and micro-elements. Acta Univ Sapient Ser Aliment 2(1):133-148
- Cui S, Zhao N, Lu W, Zhao F, Zheng S, Wang W, Chen W. (2019). Effect of different *Lactobacillus* species on volatile and nonvolatile flavor compounds in juices fermentation. Food Sci Nutr 7(7):2214-2223
- Dakwa S, Sakyi-Dawson E, Diako C, Annan NT, Amoa-Awua WK. (2005). Effect of boiling and roasting on the fermentation of soybeans into dawadawa (soy-dawadawa). Int J Food Microbiol 104(1):69-82
- Dank A, van Mastrigt O, Boeren S, Lillevang SK, Abee T, Smid EJ. (2021). *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* thrives in microaerobic conditions by complete oxidation of lactate to CO₂. Environ Microbiol 23(6):3116-3129
- De Man J, Rogosa d, Sharpe ME. (1960). A medium for the cultivation of lactobacilli. J Appl Bacteriol 23(1):130-135
- de Oliveira Filho JG, Egea MB. (2021). Sunflower seed byproduct and its fractions for food application: An attempt to improve the sustainability of the oil process. J Food Sci 86(5):1497-1510
- DebMandal M, Mandal S. (2011). Coconut (*Cocos nucifera* L.: Arecaceae): in health promotion and disease prevention. Asian Pac J Trop Med 4(3):241-247
- Desai A, Small D, McGill AEJ, Shah NP. (2002). Metabolism of raffinose and stachyose in reconstituted skim milk and of *n*-hexanal and pentanal in soymilk by bifidobacteria. Biosci Microflora 21(4):245-250
- Diarra K, Nong ZG, Jie C. (2005). Peanut milk and peanut milk based products production: a review. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 45(5):405-423
- Dogovski C, Atkinson SC, Dommaraju SR, Downton M, Hor L, Moore S, Paxman JJ, Peverelli MG, Qiu TW, Reumann M. (2012). Enzymology of bacterial lysine biosynthesis. Biochemistry 1:225-262
- dos Santos LMJ. (2020). Can vitamin B₁₂ be an adjuvant to COVID-19 treatment? GSC Biol Pharm Sci 11(3):001-005
- Drewnowski A, Gomez-Carneros C. (2000). Bitter taste, phytonutrients, and the consumer: a review. Am J Clin Nutr 72(6):1424-1435
- Duar RM, Lin XB, Zheng J, Martino ME, Grenier T, Pérez-Muñoz ME, Leulier F, Gänzle M, Walter J. (2017). Lifestyles in transition: evolution and natural history of the genus *Lactobacillus*. FEMS Microbiol Rev 41(Supp_1):S27-S48
- Dubascoux S, Payot JR, Sylvain P, Nicolas M, Gimenez EC. (2021). Vitamin B₁₂ quantification in human milk–Beyond current limitations using liquid chromatography and inductively coupled plasma–Mass spectrometry. Food Chem 362:130197
- Duranti M, Consonni A, Magni C, Sessa F, Scarafoni A. (2008). The major proteins of lupin seed: characterisation and molecular properties for use as functional and nutraceutical ingredients. Trends Food Sci Technol 19(12):624-633

- Dworkin M, Falkow S, Rosenberg E, Schleifer K-H, Stackebrandt E. (2006). The Prokaryotes: Volume 3: Archaea. Bacteria: Firmicutes, Actinomycetes. Springer
- EFSA Panel on Biological Hazards, Ricci A, Allende A, Bolton D, Chemaly M, Davies R, Girone R, Herman L, Koutsoumanis K, Lindqvist R, Nørrung B. (2017). Scientific Opinion on the update of the list of QPS-recommended biological agents intentionally added to food or feed as notified to EFSA. EFSA J 15(3):e04664
- Ekwealor IA, Ebele OA. (2003). Preliminary study of L-lysine production by *Bacillus* species using various agricultural by-products. Food/Nahrung 47(4):226-227
- El Youssef C, Bonnarme P, Fraud S, Péron A-C, Helinck S, Landaud S. (2020). Sensory improvement of a pea protein-based product using microbial cocultures of lactic acid bacteria and yeasts. Foods 9(3):349
- Emde R, Schink B. (1990). Oxidation of glycerol, lactate, and propionate by *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* in a poised-potential amperometric culture system. Arch Microbiol 153(5):506-512
- Emkani M, Oliete B, Saurel R. (2021). Pea protein extraction assisted by lactic fermentation: impact on protein profile and thermal properties. Foods 10(3):549
- Engels W, Siu J, van Schalkwijk S, Wesselink W, Jacobs S, Bachmann H. (2022). Metabolic conversions by lactic acid bacteria during plant protein fermentations. Foods 11(7):1005
- Epstein SS. (1990). Potential public health hazards of biosynthetic milk hormones. Int J Health Serv 20(1):73-84
- Erbaş M, Certel M, Uslu MK. (2005). Some chemical properties of white lupin seeds (*Lupinus albus* L.). Food Chem 89(3):341-345
- Espirito-Santo AP, Mouquet-Rivier C, Humblot C, Cazevieille C, Icard-Vernière C, Soccol CR, Guyot JP. (2014). Influence of cofermentation by amylolytic *Lactobacillus* strains and probiotic bacteria on the fermentation process, viscosity and microstructure of gruels made of rice, soy milk and passion fruit fiber. Food Res Int 57:104-113
- European Union. (2008). European Commission. Commission Directive 2008/100/EC of 28 October 2008 amending Council Directive 90/496/EEC on nutrition labelling for foodstuffs as regards recommended daily allowances, energy conversion factors and definitions. OJEU 51:9-13
- Falentin H, Deutsch S-M, Jan G, Loux V, Thierry A, Parayre S, Maillard M-B, Dherbecourt J, Cousin FJ, Jardin J. (2010). The complete genome of *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* CIRM-BIA1^T, a hardy Actinobacterium with food and probiotic applications. PloS one 5(7):e11748
- Fang H, Kang J, Zhang D. (2017). Microbial production of vitamin B₁₂: a review and future perspectives. Microb Cell Fact 16(1):1-14
- Fernandesa CG, Sonawaneb SK, SS A. (2021). Cereal based functional beverages: A review. J Microbiol Biotechnol Food Sci 2021:914-919

- Fernandez ML, Berry JW. (1988). Nutritional evaluation of chickpea and germinated chickpea flours. Plant Foof Hum Nutr 38(2):127-134
- Fischer E, Cachon R, Cayot N. (2021). Effects of extraction pH on the volatile compounds from pea protein isolate: Semi-Quantification method using HS-SPME-GC-MS. Food Res Int 150:110760
- Fischer E, Cayot N, Cachon R. (2022). Potential of microorganisms to decrease the "beany" off-alavor: a review. J Agric Food Chem
- Fleming S, Sosulski F. (1977). The utilization of sunflower protein in milk-like beverages. Can Inst Food Technol J 10(4):229-232
- Flodin NW. (1997). The metabolic roles, pharmacology, and toxicology of lysine. J Am Coll Nutr 16(1):7-21
- Frias J, Song Y, Martínez-Villaluenga C, De Mejia EG, Vidal-Valverde C. (2008a). Fermented soyabean products as hypoallergenic food. Proc Nutr Soc 67(OCE1)
- Frias J, Song YS, Martínez-Villaluenga C, De Mejia EG, Vidal-Valverde C. (2008b). Immunoreactivity and amino acid content of fermented soybean products. J Agric Food Chem 56(1):99-105
- Fu M, Shen X, Peng H, Zhou Q, Yun J, Sun Y, Ho C-T, Cai H, Hou R. (2020). Identification of rancidity markers in roasted sunflower seeds produced from raw materials stored for different periods of time. LWT 118:108721
- Fu Y-H, Zhang F-C. (2013). Changes in isoflavone glucoside and aglycone contents of chickpea yoghurt during fermentation by *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* and *Streptococcus thermophilus*. J Food Process Preserv 37(5):744-750
- Fujisawa K, Yokoyama A, Suzukamo G (1986) Lactic acid fermentation products of sunflower seed milk. US Patent 4563356, 01 Jul 1986

Furuichi K, Hojo K-i, Katakura Y, Ninomiya K, Shioya S. (2006). Aerobic culture of *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* ET-3 can increase production ratio of 1, 4dihydroxy-2-naphthoic acid to menaquinone. J Biosci Bioeng 101(6):464-470

- Gangoiti J, van Leeuwen SS, Meng X, Duboux S, Vafiadi C, Pijning T, Dijkhuizen L. (2017). Mining novel starch-converting Glycoside Hydrolase 70 enzymes from the Nestlé Culture Collection genome database: The Lactobacillus reuteri NCC 2613 GtfB. Sci Rep 7(1):1-16
- Gernand AD, Schulze KJ, Stewart CP, West Jr KP, Christian P. (2016). Micronutrient deficiencies in pregnancy worldwide: health effects and prevention. Nat Rev Endocrinol 12(5):274
- Ghosh D, Chattoraj DK, Chattopadhyay P. (2013). Studies on changes in microstructure and proteolysis in cow and soy milk curd during fermentation using lactic cultures for improving protein bioavailability. J Food Sci Technol 50(5):979-985
- Giménez EC, Martin F. (2018). Vitamin B₁₂ (cyanocobalamin) in infant formula adult/pediatric nutritional formula by liquid chromatography with ultraviolet detection: collaborative study, final action 2014.02. J AOAC Int 101(4):1112-1118

- Ginsberg C, Ostrowski A. (2007). The market for vegetarian foods. The Vegetarian Resource Group
- Giraud E, Brauman A, Keleke S, Lelong B, Raimbault M. (1991). Isolation and physiological study of an amylolytic strain of *Lactobacillus plantarum*. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 36(3):379-383
- Giri A, Osako K, Okamoto A, Ohshima T. (2010). Olfactometric characterization of aroma active compounds in fermented fish paste in comparison with fish sauce, fermented soy paste and sauce products. Food Res Int 43(4):1027-1040
- Glanz K, Basil M, Maibach E, Goldberg J, Snyder D. (1998). Why Americans eat what they do: taste, nutrition, cost, convenience, and weight control concerns as influences on food consumption. J Am Diet Assoc 98(10):1118-1126
- Gonzalez-Garcia RA, Mccubbin T, Navone L, Stowers C, Nielsen LK, Marcellin E. (2017). Microbial propionic acid production. Fermentation 3(2):21
- Goswami RP, Jayaprakasha G, Shetty K, Patil BS. (2018). *Lactobacillus plantarum* and natural fermentation-mediated biotransformation of flavor and aromatic compounds in horse gram sprouts. Process Biochem 66:7-18
- Grossman AD, Losick R. (1988). Extracellular control of spore formation in *Bacillus subtilis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci 85(12):4369-4373
- Grossmann L, McClements DJ. (2021). The science of plant-based foods: Approaches to create nutritious and sustainable plant-based cheese analogs. Trends Food Sci Technol 118:207-229
- Guetterman HM, Huey SL, Knight R, Fox AM, Mehta S, Finkelstein JL. (2022). Vitamin B-12 and the gastrointestinal microbiome: a systematic review. Adv Nutr 13(2):530-558
- Guo H, Pang K, Zhang X, Zhao L, Chen S, Dong M, Ren F. (2007). Composition, physiochemical properties, nitrogen fraction distribution, and amino acid profile of donkey milk. Int J Dairy Sci 90(4):1635-1643
- Guo S, Na Jom K, Ge Y. (2019). Influence of roasting condition on flavor profile of sunflower seeds: A flavoromics approach. Sci Rep 9(1):1-10
- Hammer D, Nicolas M, Andrey D. (2005). Improved chromium determination in various food matrices using dynamic reaction cell ICP-MS. At Spectrosc 26(6):203-208
- Han Y, Cheng J-H, Sun D-W. (2019). Activities and conformation changes of food enzymes induced by cold plasma: A review. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 59(5):794-811
- Hao P, Zheng H, Yu Y, Ding G, Gu W, Chen S, Yu Z, Ren S, Oda M, Konno T. (2011). Complete sequencing and pan-genomic analysis of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* reveal its genetic basis for industrial yogurt production. PloS one 6(1)
- Haug A, Høstmark AT, Harstad OM. (2007). Bovine milk in human nutrition–a review. Lipids Health Dis 6(1):25

- Hedayati R, Hosseini M, Najafpour GD. (2020). Optimization of semi-anaerobic vitamin B₁₂ (cyanocobalamin) production from rice bran oil using *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* PTCC1674. Biocatal Agric Biotechnol 23:101444
- Heenan SP, Dufour J-P, Hamid N, Harvey W, Delahunty CM. (2008). The sensory quality of fresh bread: Descriptive attributes and consumer perceptions. Food Res Int 41(10):989-997
- Herman A, Tambor K, Herman A. (2016). Linalool affects the antimicrobial efficacy of essential oils. Curr Microbiol 72(2):165-172
- Herrera-Ponce A, Nevárez-Morillón G, Ortega-Rívas E, Pérez-Vega S, Salmerón I. (2014). Fermentation adaptability of three probiotic *Lactobacillus* strains to oat, germinated oat and malted oat substrates. Lett Appl Microbiol 59(4):449-456
- Hobbs JK, Meier EP, Pluvinage B, Mey MA, Boraston AB. (2019). Molecular analysis of an enigmatic *Streptococcus pneumoniae* virulence factor: The raffinose-family oligosaccharide utilization system. J Biol Chem 294(46):17197-17208
- Högnadóttir Á, Rouseff RL. (2003). Identification of aroma active compounds in orange essence oil using gas chromatography–olfactometry and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr A 998(1-2):201-211
- Horáčková Š, Mühlhansová A, Sluková M, Schulzová V, Plocková M. (2015). Fermentation of soymilk by yoghurt and bifidobacteria strains. Czech J Food Sci 33(4)
- Hörig JA, Renz P. (1980). Biosynthesis of Vitamin B₁₂: Some Properties of the 5, 6-Dimethylbenzimidazole-Forming System of *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* and *Propionibacterium shermanii*. Eur J Biochem 105(3):587-592
- Hou JW, Yu RC, Chou CC. (2000). Changes in some components of soymilk during fermentation with bifidobacteria. Food Res Int 33(5):393-397
- Hove EL. (1974). Composition and protein quality of sweet lupin seed. J Sci Food Agr 25(7):851-859
- Howe S. (2020). Raw materials The Craft Brewing Handbook. Woodhead Publishing, Cambridge, United Kingdom, pp 1-46
- Hubert J, Berger M, Nepveu F, Paul F, Daydé J. (2008). Effects of fermentation on the phytochemical composition and antioxidant properties of soy germ. Food Chem 109(4):709-721
- Hugenholtz J. (1993). Citrate metabolism in lactic acid bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev 12(1-3):165-178
- Hugenschmidt S, Schwenninger SM, Gnehm N, Lacroix C. (2010). Screening of a natural biodiversity of lactic and propionic acid bacteria for folate and vitamin B₁₂ production in supplemented whey permeate. Int Dairy 20(12):852-857
- Hugenschmidt S, Schwenninger SM, Lacroix C. (2011). Concurrent high production of natural folate and vitamin B12 using a co-culture process with

Lactobacillus plantarum SM39 and *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* DF13. Process Biochem 46(5):1063-1070

- Hughes D. (1995). Animal welfare: the consumer and the food industry. Br Food J 97(10):3-7
- Ivanova-Petropulos V, Mitrev S, Stafilov T, Markova N, Leitner E, Lankmayr E, Siegmund B. (2015). Characterisation of traditional Macedonian edible oils by their fatty acid composition and their volatile compounds. Food Res Int 77:506-514
- Ivanović D, Ballester D, Yanez E. (1983). Formulation and nutritive value of 2 milk substitutes based on sweet lupine (*Lupinus albus,* var. *multolupa*). Arch Latinoam Nutr 33(3):620-632
- Jabir MS, Taha AA, Sahib UI. (2018). Antioxidant activity of linalool. Eng Technol J 36(1 Part B)
- Jain P, Yadav DN, Rajput H, Bhatt DK. (2013). Effect of pressure blanching on sensory and proximate composition of peanut milk. J Food Sci Technol 50(3):605-608
- Jeleń HH, Majcher M, Dziadas M. (2012). Microextraction techniques in the analysis of food flavor compounds: A review. Anal Chim Acta 738:13-26
- Jeske S, Zannini E, Arendt EK. (2017). Evaluation of physicochemical and glycaemic properties of commercial plant-based milk substitutes. Plant Foods Hum Nutr 72(1):26-33
- Jeske S, Zannini E, Arendt EK. (2018). Past, present and future: The strength of plant-based dairy substitutes based on gluten-free raw materials. Food Res Int 110:42-51
- Jiang S, Cai W, Xu B. (2013). Food quality improvement of soy milk made from short-time germinated soybeans. Foods 2(2):198-212
- Jirovetz L, Buchbauer G, Ngassoum MB, Parmentier M. (2005). Chemical composition and olfactory characterization of essential oils of fruits and seeds of African pear (*Dacryodes edulis* (G. Don) HJ Lam) from Cameroon. Flavour Fragr J 20(2):215-218
- Ju S, Song S, Lee J, Hwang S, Lee Y, Kwon Y, Lee Y. (2021). Development of nano soy milk through sensory attributes and consumer acceptability. Foods 10(12):3014
- Jukanti AK, Gaur PM, Gowda C, Chibbar RN. (2012). Nutritional quality and health benefits of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.): a review. Br J Nutr 108(S1):S11-S26
- Juliano BO, Hicks PA. (1996). Rice functional properties and rice food products. Food Rev Int 12(1):71-103
- Kaczmarska KT, Chandra-Hioe MV, Frank D, Arcot J. (2018). Aroma characteristics of lupin and soybean after germination and effect of fermentation on lupin aroma. LWT 87:225-233
- Khalil AA. (2006). Nutritional improvement of an Egyptian breed of mung bean by probiotic lactobacilli. Afr J Biotechnol 5(2):206-212

- Kim WJ, Yoon SK, Lee CY. (1986). Changes in oligosaccharides and sensory quality of soymilk during germination. Korean J Food Sci Technol 18(5):382-387
- Kishor K, David J, Tiwari S, Singh A, Rai BS. (2017). Nutritional Composition of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) Milk. Int J Chem Stud 5(4):1941-1944
- Koduru L, Kim Y, Bang J, Lakshmanan M, Han NS, Lee D-Y. (2017). Genome-scale modeling and transcriptome analysis of *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* unravel the redox governed metabolic states in obligate heterofermentative lactic acid bacteria. Sci Rep 7(1):1-15
- Kohajdová Z, Karovičová J, Greifová M. (2006). Lactic acid fermentation of some vegetable juices. J Food Nutr Res 45(3):115-119
- Kohlstedt M, Sappa PK, Meyer H, Maaß S, Zaprasis A, Hoffmann T, Becker J, Steil L, Hecker M, van Dijl JM. (2014). Adaptation of *Bacillus subtilis* carbon core metabolism to simultaneous nutrient limitation and osmotic challenge: a multi-omics perspective. Environ Microbiol 16(6):1898-1917
- Krömer JO, Fritz M, Heinzle E, Wittmann C. (2005). In vivo quantification of intracellular amino acids and intermediates of the methionine pathway in *Corynebacterium glutamicum*. Anal Biochem 340(1):171-173
- Krusong W, Yongsmith B, Sanchez PC. (1991). Influence of *Lactobacillus casei* in production of high vitamin B₁₂-tempeh. Agric Nat Resour 25(4):458-462
- Kumar A, Kaur A, Tomer V. (2020). Process optimization for the development of a synbiotic beverage based on lactic acid fermentation of nutricereals and milkbased beverage. LWT 131:109774
- Lai LR, Hsieh SC, Huang HY, Chou CC. (2013). Effect of lactic fermentation on the total phenolic, saponin and phytic acid contents as well as anti-colon cancer cell proliferation activity of soymilk. J Biosci Bioeng 115(5):552-556
- Lambo AM, Öste R, Nyman MEGL. (2005). Dietary fibre in fermented oat and barley β-glucan rich concentrates. Food Chem 89(2):283-293
- Lampart-Szczapa E, Korczak J, Nogala-Kalucka M, Zawirska-Wojtasiak R. (2003). Antioxidant properties of lupin seed products. Food Chem 83(2):279-285
- Lange A, Becker J, Schulze D, Cahoreau E, Portais J-C, Haefner S, Schröder H, Krawczyk J, Zelder O, Wittmann C. (2017). Bio-based succinate from sucrose: high-resolution 13C metabolic flux analysis and metabolic engineering of the rumen bacterium *Basfia succiniciproducens*. Metab Eng 44:198-212
- Lebiedzińska A, Szefer P. (2006). Vitamins B in grain and cereal-grain food, soyproducts and seeds. Food Chem 95(1):116-122
- LeBlanc JG, Laiño JE, Juarez del Valle M, Vannini V, van Sinderen D, Taranto MP, Font de Valdez G, Savoy de Giori G, Sesma F. (2011). B-Group vitamin production by lactic acid bacteria–current knowledge and potential applications. J Appl Microbiol 111(6):1297-1309

- LeBlanc JG, Milani C, de Giori GS, Sesma F, van Sinderen D, Ventura M. (2013). Bacteria as vitamin suppliers to their host: a gut microbiota perspective. Curr Opin Biotechnol 24(2):160-168
- Lee C. (2001). Changes in *n*-hexanal content of peanut milk fermented with lactic acid bacteria. Food Sci Biotechnol 10(4):387-390
- Lee C, Beuchat LR. (1991). Changes in chemical composition and sensory qualities of peanut milk fermented with lactic acid bacteria. Int J Food Microbiol 13(4):273-283
- Lee IH, Fredrickson A, Tsuchiya H. (1974). Diauxic growth of *Propionibacterium shermanii*. Appl Microbiol 28(5):831-835
- Lee JY, Shim JM, Yao Z, Liu X, Lee KW, Kim H-J, Ham K-S, Kim JH. (2016a). Antimicrobial activity of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* EMD17 isolated from Cheonggukjang and potential use as a starter for fermented soy foods. Food Sci Biotechnol 25(2):525-532
- Lee S, Jang D-H, Choi HJ, Park Y-S. (2013). Optimization of soymilk fermentation by the protease-producing *Lactobacillus paracasei*. Korean J Food Sci Technol 45(5):571-577
- Lee SM, Oh J, Hurh BS, Jeong GH, Shin YK, Kim YS. (2016b). Volatile compounds produced by *Lactobacillus paracasei* during oat fermentation. J Food Sci 81(12):C2915-C2922
- Leroy F, De Vuyst L. (2004). Lactic acid bacteria as functional starter cultures for the food fermentation industry. Trends Food Sci Technol 15(2):67-78
- Li C, Li W, Chen X, Feng M, Rui X, Jiang M, Dong M. (2014). Microbiological, physicochemical and rheological properties of fermented soymilk produced with exopolysaccharide (EPS) producing lactic acid bacteria strains. LWT 57(2):477-485
- Li F, Cui R, Zheng L, Li C. (2004). Study on the processing technology of pea milk with soya. Food Sci 8:211-214
- Li W, Wei M, Wu J, Rui X, Dong M. (2016). Novel fermented chickpea milk with enhanced level of γ-aminobutyric acid and neuroprotective effect on PC12 cells. PeerJ 4:e2292
- Liang Z, Sun J, Yang S, Wen R, Liu L, Du P, Li C, Zhang G. (2022). Fermentation of mung bean milk by *Lactococcus lactis*: Focus on the physicochemical properties, antioxidant capacities and sensory evaluation. Food Biosci:101798
- Lichstein HC. (1955). The biotin requirements of the genus *Propionibacterium*. Arch Biochem Biophys 58(2):423-430
- Lin F-M, Chiu C-H, Pan T-M. (2004). Fermentation of a milk–soymilk and *Lycium chinense* Miller mixture using a new isolate of *Lactobacillus paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* NTU101 and *Bifidobacterium longum*. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 31(12):559-564
- Lindegren CC. (1945). Yeast genetics: life cycles, cytology, hybridization, vitamin synthesis, and adaptive enzymes. Bacteriol Rev 9(3-4):111

- Lingens B, Schild TA, Vogler B, Renz P. (1992). Biosynthesis of vitamin B₁₂: Transformation of riboflavin ²H-labeled in the 1'*R* position or 1'*S* position into 5, 6-dimethylbenzimidazole. Eur J Biochem 207(3):981-985
- Liu C, Yang P, Wang H, Song H. (2022). Identification of odor compounds and odoractive compounds of yogurt using DHS, SPME, SAFE, and SBSE/GC-O-MS. LWT 154:112689
- Liu JR, Chen MJ, Lin CW. (2002). Characterization of polysaccharide and volatile compounds produced by kefir grains grown in soymilk. J Food Sci 67(1):104-108
- Liu M, Nauta A, Francke C, Siezen RJ. (2008). Comparative genomics of enzymes in flavor-forming pathways from amino acids in lactic acid bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 74(15):4590-4600
- Liu SQ. (2012). Flavors and food fermentation. In: Hui Y, Özgül Evranuz E (eds) Handbook of plant-based fermented food and beverage technology. CRC Press, Florida, USA, pp 23-34
- Liu SQ, Tsao M. (2009). Enhancement of survival of probiotic and non-probiotic lactic acid bacteria by yeasts in fermented milk under non-refrigerated conditions. Int J Food Microbiol 135(1):34-38
- Lu Y, Tan CW, Chen D, Liu SQ. (2018). Potential of three probiotic lactobacilli in transforming star fruit juice into functional beverages. Food Sci Nutr 6(8):2141-2150
- Lv Y-C, Song H-L, Li X, Wu L, Guo S-T. (2011). Influence of blanching and grinding process with hot water on beany and non-beany flavor in soymilk. J Food Sci 76(1):S20-S25
- Maga JA, Katz I. (1979). Furans in foods. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 11(4):355-400
- Makinde FM, Akinoso R. (2013). Nutrient composition and effect of processing treatments on anti nutritional factors of Nigerian sesame (*Sesamum indicum* Linn) cultivars. Int Food Res J 20(5):2293
- Mäkinen OE, Wanhalinna V, Zannini E, Arendt EK. (2016). Foods for special dietary needs: non-dairy plant-based milk substitutes and fermented dairy-type products. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 56(3):339-349
- Marchler-Bauer A, Anderson JB, DeWeese-Scott C, Fedorova ND, Geer LY, He S, Hurwitz DI, Jackson JD, Jacobs AR, Lanczycki CJ. (2003). CDD: a curated Entrez database of conserved domain alignments. Nucleic Acids Res 31(1):383-387
- Mårtensson O, Öste R, Holst O. (2000). Lactic acid bacteria in an oat-based nondairy milk substitute: fermentation characteristics and exopolysaccharide formation. LWT 33(8):525-530
- Martin K. (2013). Interrelations between essential metal ions and human diseases. Springer, New York City, USA
- Matsuura M, Obata A, Fukushima D. (1989). Objectionable flavor of soy milk developed during the soaking of soybeans and its control. J Food Sci 54(3):602-605

- McCarthy K, Parker M, Ameerally A, Drake S, Drake M. (2017). Drivers of choice for fluid milk versus plant-based alternatives: What are consumer perceptions of fluid milk? J Dairy Sci 100(8):6125-6138
- Meade E, Slattery MA, Garvey M. (2020). Bacteriocins, potent antimicrobial peptides and the fight against multi drug resistant species: resistance is futile? 9(1):32
- Merkle S, Kleeberg KK, Fritsche J. (2015). Recent developments and applications of solid phase microextraction (SPME) in food and environmental analysis a review. Chromatography 2(3):293-381
- Mikkelsen K, Apostolopoulos V. (2019). Vitamin B₁₂, folic acid, and the immune system. In: Maryam M, Nima R (eds) Nutrition and immunity. Springer Nature, Cham, Switzerland, pp 103-114
- Millward DJ. (1999). The nutritional value of plant-based diets in relation to human amino acid and protein requirements. Proc Nutr Soc 58(2):249-260
- Mital BK, Steinkraus KH. (1979). Fermentation of soy milk by lactic acid bacteria. A review. J Food Prot 42(11):895-899
- Mital BK, Steinkraus KH, Naylor HB. (1974). Growth of lactic acid bacteria in soy milks. J Food Sci 39(5):1018-1022
- Mitchell CR, Mitchell PR, Nissenbaum R (1990) Nutritional rice milk product. US Patent 4894242, 16 Jan 1990
- Moneret-Vautrin DA, Guérin L, Kanny G, Flabbee J, Frémont S, Morisset M. (1999). Cross-allergenicity of peanut and lupine: the risk of lupine allergy in patients allergic to peanuts. J Allergy Clin Immunol 104(4):883-888
- Morlon-Guyot J, Guyot J, Pot B, De Haut IJ, Raimbault M. (1998). *Lactobacillus manihotivorans* sp. nov., a new starch-hydrolysing lactic acid bacterium isolated during cassava sour starch fermentation. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 48(4):1101-1109
- Munekata PE, Domínguez R, Budaraju S, Roselló-Soto E, Barba FJ, Mallikarjunan K, Roohinejad S, Lorenzo JM. (2020). Effect of innovative food processing technologies on the physicochemical and nutritional properties and quality of non-dairy plant-based beverages. Foods 9(3):288
- Nagata Y, Takeuchi N. (2003). Measurement of odor threshold by triangle odor bag method. Odor Meas Rev 118:118-127
- National Research Council. (1992). Applications of biotechnology in traditional fermented foods. National Academies Press, Washington, D.C
- Nawaz MA, Tan M, Øiseth S, Buckow R. (2020). An emerging segment of functional legume-based beverages: A review. Food Rev Int:1-39
- Nelofer R, Syed Q, Baig S, Nadeem M. (2007). L-Lysine production by the homoserine auxotrophic mutant of *Corynebacterium glutamicum* in stirrer fermenter. Pak J Zool 39(3):159
- Ngalimat MS, Yahaya RSR, Baharudin MMA-a, Yaminudin SM, Karim M, Ahmad SA, Sabri S. (2021). A review on the biotechnological applications of the operational group *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*. Microorganisms 9(3):614

- Noimark L, Cox HE. (2008). Nutritional problems related to food allergy in childhood. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 19(2):188-195
- Önning G, Åkesson B, Öste R, Lundquist I. (1998). Effects of consumption of oat milk, soya milk, or cow's milk on plasma lipids and antioxidative capacity in healthy subjects. Ann Nutr Metab 42(4):211-220
- Onyesom I, Enaholo A, Mordi J. (2005). Effect of processing techniques on the contents of flatulence factors and emulsion properties of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*). J Appl Sci Environ Manage 9(2):65-72
- Osorio C, Alarcon M, Moreno C, Bonilla A, Barrios J, Garzon C, Duque C. (2006). Characterization of odor-active volatiles in Champa (*Campomanesia lineatifolia* R. & P.). J Agric Food Chem 54(2):509-516
- Ounamornas P, Page P, Sommano S. Aromatic profile changes of Thai mangoes during heat processing. In: XII International Mango Symposium 1244, 2017. p 181-186
- Ouoba LII, Diawara B, Christensen T, Mikkelsen JD, Jakobsen M. (2007). Degradation of polysaccharides and non-digestible oligosaccharides by *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus pumilus* isolated from Soumbala, a fermented African locust bean (*Parkia biglobosa*) food Condiment. Eur Food Res Technol 224(6):689-694
- Ouyang X, Yuan G, Ren J, Wang L, Wang M, Li Y, Zhang B, Zhu B. (2017). Aromatic compounds and organoleptic features of fermented wolfberry wine: Effects of maceration time. Int J Food Prop 20(10):2234-2248
- Paucar-Menacho LM, Berhow MA, Mandarino JMG, Chang YK, Mejia EG. (2010). Effect of time and temperature on bioactive compounds in germinated Brazilian soybean cultivar BRS 258. Food Res Int 43(7):1856-1865
- Pawlak R, Lester SE, Babatunde T. (2014). The prevalence of cobalamin deficiency among vegetarians assessed by serum vitamin B₁₂: a review of literature. Eur J Clin Nutr 68(5):541
- Pei M, Zhao Z, Chen S, Reshetnik E, Gribanova S, Li C, Zhang G, Liu L, Zhao L. (2022). Physicochemical properties and volatile components of pea flour fermented by *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* L08. Food Biosci 46:101590
- Petraru A, Ursachi F, Amariei S. (2021). Nutritional Characteristics Assessment of Sunflower Seeds, Oil and Cake. Perspective of Using Sunflower Oilcakes as a Functional Ingredient. Plants 10(11):2487
- Peyer LC, Zannini E, Arendt EK. (2016). Lactic acid bacteria as sensory biomodulators for fermented cereal-based beverages. Trends Food Sci Technol 54:17-25
- Pham Thi Thu T (2019) Preferences for oat milk and ethical food choice motives of young educated people in Finland. Aalto University
- Pineli LDLDO, Botelho RBA, Zandonadi RP, Solorzano JL, de Oliveira GT, Reis CEG, Teixeira DDS. (2015). Low glycemic index and increased protein content in a novel quinoa milk. LWT 63(2):1261-1267

- Pogačić T, Maillard M-B, Leclerc A, Hervé C, Chuat V, Valence F, Thierry A. (2016). *Lactobacillus* and *Leuconostoc* volatilomes in cheese conditions. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 100(5):2335-2346
- Porto MCW, Kuniyoshi TM, Azevedo P, Vitolo M, Oliveira RS. (2017). *Pediococcus* spp.: an important genus of lactic acid bacteria and pediocin producers. Biotechnol Adv 35(3):361-374
- Pyo YH, Lee TC, Lee YC. (2005). Enrichment of bioactive isoflavones in soymilk fermented with β-glucosidase-producing lactic acid bacteria. Food Res Int 38(5):551-559
- Queiroz LP, Rebello CM, Costa EA, Santana VV, Rodrigues BC, Rodrigues AE, Ribeiro AM, Nogueira IB. (2022). Generating flavors using Scientific Machine Learning. Research Square Preprints
- Rachwa-Rosiak D, Nebesny E, Budryn G. (2015). Chickpeas-composition, nutritional value, health benefits, application to bread and snacks: a review. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 55(8):1137-1145
- Raj T, Chandrasekhar K, Kumar AN, Kim S-H. (2021). Recent biotechnological trends in lactic acid bacterial fermentation for food processing industries. Syst Appl Microbiol:1-27
- Ranhotra GS, Gelroth JA, Glaser BK, Lorenz KJ, Johnson DL. (1993). Composition and protein nutritional quality of quinoa. Cereal Chem 70:303-303
- Rao DR, Pulusani SR, Chawan CB. (1988). Preparation of a yogurt-like product from cowpeas and mung beans. Int J Food Sci Technol 23(2):195-198
- Rasika DM, Vidanarachchi JK, Rocha RS, Balthazar CF, Cruz AG, Sant'Ana AS, Ranadheera CS. (2021). Plant-based milk substitutes as emerging probiotic carriers. Curr Opin Food Sci 38:8-20
- Rauf S, Ortiz R, Shehzad M, Haider W, Ahmed I. (2020). The exploitation of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) seed and other parts for human nutrition, medicine and the industry. Helia 43(73):167-184
- Rekha CR, Vijayalakshmi G. (2010). Bioconversion of isoflavone glycosides to aglycones, mineral bioavailability and vitamin B complex in fermented soymilk by probiotic bacteria and yeast. J Appl Microbiol 109(4):1198-1208
- Revelles O, Espinosa-Urgel M, Fuhrer T, Sauer U, Ramos JL. (2005). Multiple and interconnected pathways for L-lysine catabolism in *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440. J Bacteriol 187(21):7500-7510
- Reyes-Moreno C, Cuevas-Rodríguez E, Milán-Carrillo J, Cárdenas-Valenzuela O, Barrón-Hoyos J. (2004). Solid state fermentation process for producing chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L) tempeh flour. Physicochemical and nutritional characteristics of the product. J Sci Food Agric 84(3):271-278
- Rezola A, de Figueiredo LF, Brock M, Pey J, Podhorski A, Wittmann C, Schuster S, Bockmayr A, Planes FJ. (2011). Exploring metabolic pathways in genomescale networks via generating flux modes. Bioinformatics 27(4):534-540
- Ribeiro R, Melo IP. (1990). Composition and nutritive value of chickpea. Options Mediterranean's - Serie Seminaires 9:107-111

- Ricci A, Cirlini M, Levante A, Dall'Asta C, Galaverna G, Lazzi C. (2018). Volatile profile of elderberry juice: Effect of lactic acid fermentation using *L. plantarum, L. rhamnosus* and *L. casei* strains. Food Res Int 105:412-422
- Roland WS, Pouvreau L, Curran J, van de Velde F, de Kok PM. (2017). Flavor aspects of pulse ingredients. Cereal Chem 94(1):58-65
- Roth JR, Lawrence J, Bobik T. (1996). Cobalamin (coenzyme B₁₂): synthesis and biological significance. Annu Rev Microbiol 50(1):137-181
- Rotz CA, Montes F, Chianese DS. (2010). The carbon footprint of dairy production systems through partial life cycle assessment. J Dairy Sci 93(3):1266-1282
- Roy F, Boye JI, Simpson BK. (2010). Bioactive proteins and peptides in pulse crops: pea, chickpea and lentil. Food Res Int 43(2):432-442
- Rozhkova A, Olentsova J. Development of new technological solutions for the dairy industry. In: E3S Web of Conferences, 2020. vol 161. EDP Sciences, p 01086
- Rustom IYS, López-Leiva MH, Nair BM. (1993). Extraction of peanut solids with water-effect of the process and enzymatic hydrolysis. LWT 26(1):72-75
- Sabatini N, Mucciarella MR, Marsilio V. (2008). Volatile compounds in uninoculated and inoculated table olives with *Lactobacillus plantarum* (*Olea europaea* L., cv. Moresca and Kalamata). LWT 41(10):2017-2022
- Sander R. (2015). Compilation of Henry's law constants (version 4.0) for water as solvent. Atmos Chem Phys 15(8):4399-4981
- Sanni A, Morlon-Guyot J, Guyot J. (2002). New efficient amylase-producing strains of *Lactobacillus plantarum* and *L. fermentum* isolated from different Nigerian traditional fermented foods. Int J Food Microbiol 72(1-2):53-62
- Sanni A, Onilude A, Adeleke E. (1999). Preparation and characteristics of lactic acid fermented cowpea milk. Z Lebensm Unters Forsch 208(3):225-229
- Santos CC, Libeck BS, Schwan RF. (2014). Co-culture fermentation of peanut-soy milk for the development of a novel functional beverage. Int J Food Microbiol 186:32-41
- Sasaki K, Takase H, Matsuyama S, Kobayashi H, Matsuo H, Ikoma G, Takata R. (2016). Effect of light exposure on linalool biosynthesis and accumulation in grape berries. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 80(12):2376-2382
- Savithiry N, Gage D, Fu W, Oriel P. (1998). Degradation of pinene by *Bacillus pallidus* BR425. Biodegradation 9(5):337-341
- Savoy de Giori G, Agiorre L, Marazza J, Garro MS. (2010). An overview of lactic acid bacteria applications for healthful soy foods development. In: Mozzi. F RP, Vignolo. GM (ed) Biotechnology of Lactic Acid Bacteria: Novel Applications. Blackwell, Ames, Iowa, pp 289-300
- Schaafsma G. (2000). The protein digestibility–corrected amino acid score. J Nutr 130(7):1865S-1867S
- Schindler S, Zelena K, Krings U, Bez J, Eisner P, Berger RG. (2012). Improvement of the aroma of pea (*Pisum sativum*) protein extracts by lactic acid fermentation. Food Biotechnol 26(1):58-74
- Schmidt E, Bail S, Buchbauer G, Stoilova I, Krastanov A, Stoyanova A, Jirovetz L. (2008). Chemical composition, olfactory evaluation and antioxidant effects of the essential oil of *Origanum majorana* L. from Albania. Nat Prod Commun 3(7):1051-1056
- Schrumpf B, Schwarzer A, Kalinowski J, Pühler A, Eggeling L, Sahm H. (1991). A functionally split pathway for lysine synthesis in *Corynebacterium glutamicium*. J Bacteriol 173(14):4510-4516
- Schwartz AC, Sporkenbach J. (1975). The electron transport system of the anaerobic *Propionibacterium shermanii*. Arch Microbiol 102(1):261-273
- Schwechheimer SK, Becker J, Peyriga L, Portais J-C, Sauer D, Müller R, Hoff B, Haefner S, Schröder H, Zelder O. (2018a). Improved riboflavin production with Ashbya gossypii from vegetable oil based on ¹³C metabolic network analysis with combined labeling analysis by GC/MS, LC/MS, 1D, and 2D NMR. Metab Eng 47:357-373
- Schwechheimer SK, Becker J, Peyriga L, Portais JC, Wittmann C. (2018b). Metabolic flux analysis in Ashbya gossypii using ¹³C-labeled yeast extract: industrial riboflavin production under complex nutrient conditions. Microb Cell Fact 17(1):162
- Schwechheimer SK, Becker J, Wittmann C. (2018c). Towards better understanding of industrial cell factories: novel approaches for ¹³C metabolic flux analysis in complex nutrient environments. Curr Opin Biotechnol 54:128-137
- Sell C. (2006). On the unpredictability of odor. Angew Chem Int Ed 45(38):6254-6261
- Seow CC, Gwee CN. (1997). Coconut milk: chemistry and technology. Int J Food Sci Technol 32(3):189-201
- Sethi S, Tyagi SK, Anurag RK. (2016). Plant-based milk alternatives an emerging segment of functional beverages: a review. J Food Sci Technol 53(9):3408-3423
- Shakoor H, Feehan J, Mikkelsen K, Al Dhaheri AS, Ali HI, Platat C, Ismail LC, Stojanovska L, Apostolopoulos V. (2021). Be well: A potential role for vitamin B in COVID-19. Maturitas 144:108-111
- Shin S-Y, Han NS. (2015). *Leuconostoc* spp. as starters and their beneficial roles in fermented foods Beneficial microorganisms in food and nutraceuticals. Springer, New York, USA, pp 111-132
- Sieuwerts S, de Bok FAM, Hugenholtz J, van Hylckama Vlieg JET. (2008). Unraveling microbial interactions in food fermentations: from classical to genomics approaches. Appl Environ Microbiol 74(16):4997-5007
- Signorini C, Carpen A, Coletto L, Borgonovo G, Galanti E, Capraro J, Magni C, Abate A, Johnson SK, Duranti M. (2018). Enhanced vitamin B₁₂ production in an innovative lupin tempeh is due to synergic effects of *Rhizopus* and *Propionibacterium* in cofermentation. Int J Food Sci Nutr 69(4):451-457

- Silvetti T, Morandi S, Brasca M. (2018). Growth factors affecting gas production and reduction potential of vegetative cell and spore inocula of dairy-related *Clostridium* species. LWT 92:32-39
- Singh U, Kumar J, Gowda C. (1983). The protein content of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) grown at different locations. Plant Foods Hum Nutr 32(2):179-184
- Škrbić B, Filipčev B. (2008). Nutritional and sensory evaluation of wheat breads supplemented with oleic-rich sunflower seed. Food Chem 108(1):119-129
- Smid E, Kleerebezem M. (2014). Production of aroma compounds in lactic fermentations. Annu Rev Food Sci Technol 5:313-326
- Smid EJ, Lacroix C. (2013). Microbe–microbe interactions in mixed culture food fermentations. Curr Opin Biotechnol 24(2):148-154
- Smit BA, Engels WJ, Smit G. (2009). Branched chain aldehydes: production and breakdown pathways and relevance for flavour in foods. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 81(6):987-999
- Smith CA. (2006). Structure, function and dynamics in the mur family of bacterial cell wall ligases. J Mol Biol 362(4):640-655
- Smyth H, Cozzolino D. (2013). Instrumental methods (spectroscopy, electronic nose, and tongue) as tools to predict taste and aroma in beverages: advantages and limitations. Chem Rev 113(3):1429-1440
- Soetan KO, Oyewole OE. (2009). The need for adequate processing to reduce the anti-nutritional factors in plants used as human foods and animal feeds: A review. Afr J Food Sci 3(9):223-232
- Song YS, Frias J, Martinez-Villaluenga C, Vidal-Valdeverde C, Gonzalez de Mejia E. (2008). Immunoreactivity reduction of soybean meal by fermentation, effect on amino acid composition and antigenicity of commercial soy products. Food Chem 108(2):571-581
- Stabler SP. (2013). Vitamin B₁₂ deficiency. N Engl J Med 368(2):149-160
- Steinkraus KH. (1997). Classification of fermented foods: worldwide review of household fermentation techniques. Food Control 8(5-6):311-317
- Stingele F, Neeser J-R, Mollet B. (1996). Identification and characterization of the eps (exopolysaccharide) gene cluster from *Streptococcus thermophilus* Sfi6. J Bacteriol 178(6):1680-1690
- Survase SA, Bajaj IB, Singhal RS. (2006). Biotechnological production of vitamins. Food Technol Biotechnol 44(3):381-396
- Taha FS, Mohamed SS, El-Nockrashy AS. (1986). The use of soya bean, sunflower and lupin seeds in the preparation of protein bases for nutritious beverages. J Sci Food Agric 37(12):1209-1216
- Tamura H, Boonbumrung S, Yoshizawa T, Varanyanond W. (2001). The volatile constituents in the peel and pulp of a green Thai mango, Khieo Sawoei cultivar (*Mangifera indica* L.). Food Sci Technol Res 7(1):72-77
- Tan CW, Ho LP, Kalimuddin S, Cherng BPZ, Teh YE, Thien SY, Wong HM, Tern PJW, Chandran M. (2020). A cohort study to evaluate the effect of

combination Vitamin D, Magnesium and Vitamin B₁₂ (DMB) on progression to severe outcome in older COVID-19 patients. MedRxiv

- Tangyu M, Fritz M, Aragao-Börner R, Ye L, Bogicevic B, Bolten CJ, Wittmann C. (2021). Genome-based selection and application of food-grade microbes for chickpea milk fermentation towards increased L-lysine content, elimination of indigestible sugars, and improved flavour. Microb Cell Factories 20(1):1-21
- Tangyu M, Fritz M, Ye L, Aragão Börner R, Morin-Rivron D, Campos-Giménez E, Bolten CJ, Bogicevic Β, Wittmann C. (2022). Co-cultures of Propionibacterium freudenreichii and Bacillus amyloliquefaciens cooperatively upgrade sunflower seed milk to high levels of vitamin B₁₂ and multiple co-benefits. Microb Cell Factories 21(1):1-23
- Tangyu M, Muller J, Bolten CJ, Wittmann C. (2019). Fermentation of plant-based milk alternatives for improved flavour and nutritional value. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 103(23-24):9263-9275
- Teng D, Gao M, Yang Y, Liu B, Tian Z, Wang J. (2012). Bio-modification of soybean meal with *Bacillus subtilis* or *Aspergillus oryzae*. Biocatal Agric Biotechnol 1(1):32-38
- The Good Scents Company Information System (2021) The Good Scents Company. http://www.thegoodscentscompany.com/.
- Thierry A, Deutsch S-M, Falentin H, Dalmasso M, Cousin FJ, Jan G. (2011). New insights into physiology and metabolism of *Propionibacterium freudenreichii*. Int J Food Microbiol 149(1):19-27
- Titiek FD, Umar S, Cahyanto MN, Takuya S, Endang SR, Kosuke N. (2013). Effect of indigenous lactic acid bacteria fermentation on enrichment of isoflavone and antioxidant properties of kerandang (*Canavalia virosa*) extract. Int Food Res J 20(5):2945
- Turgay M, Bachmann H-P, Irmler S, von Ah U, Fröhlich-Wyder M-T, Falentin H, Deutsch S-M, Jan G, Thierry A. (2022). Bacteria, Beneficial: *Propionibacterium* spp. and *Acidipropionibacterium* spp. In: McSweeney PLH, McNamara JP (eds) Encyclopedia of Dairy Sciences (Third Edition), Academic Press. pp 34-45
- Ulyatu F, Pudji H, Tyas U, Umar S. (2015). The changes of sesaminol triglucoside and antioxidant properties during fermentation of sesame milk by *Lactobacillus plantarum* Dad 13. Int Food Res J 22(5):1945
- Urdaci M, Pinchuk I. (2004). Antimicrobial activity of *Bacillus* probiotics Bacterial spore formers–Probiotics and emerging applications. Horizon Bioscience, Norfolk, UK, pp 171-82
- Vacek J, Klejdus B, Lojková L, Kubán V. (2008). Current trends in isolation, separation, determination and identification of isoflavones: a review. J Sep Sci 31(11):2054-2067
- Vahanvaty US. (2009). Hemp seed and hemp milk: the new super foods. Infant Child Adolesc Nutr 1(4):232-234

- van Gemert LJ. (2011). Odour thresholds: compilations of odour threshold values in air, water and other media. Oliemans Punter & Partners, Zeist, Netherlands
- Van Opstaele F, Causmaecker BD, Aerts G, Cooman LD. (2012). Characterization of novel varietal floral hop aromas by headspace solid phase microextraction and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry/olfactometry. J Agric Food Chem 60(50):12270-12281
- van Winden WA, Wittmann C, Heinzle E, Heijnen JJ. (2002). Correcting mass isotopomer distributions for naturally occurring isotopes. Biotechnol Bioeng 80(4):477-479
- Van Wyk J, Witthuhn RC, Britz TJ. (2011). Optimisation of vitamin B₁₂ and folate production by *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* strains in kefir. Int Dairy J 21(2):69-74
- Vanga SK, Raghavan V. (2018). How well do plant based alternatives fare nutritionally compared to cow's milk? J Food Sci Technol 55(1):10-20
- Vara-Ubol S, Chambers E, Chambers DH. (2004). Sensory characteristics of chemical compounds potentially associated with beany aroma in foods. J Sens Stud 19(1):15-26
- Varghese T, Pare A. (2019). Effect of microwave assisted extraction on yield and protein characteristics of soymilk. J Food Eng 262:92-99
- Vasquez-Orejarena E, Simons CT, Litchfield JH, Alvarez VB. (2018). Functional properties of a high protein beverage stabilized with oat-β-glucan. J Food Sci 83(5):1360-1365
- Verginer M, Leitner E, Berg G. (2010). Production of volatile metabolites by grapeassociated microorganisms. J Agric Food Chem 58(14):8344-8350
- Vidal-Valverde C, Frias J, Hernandez A, Martín-Alvarez PJ, Sierra I, Rodríguez C, Blazquez I, Vicente G. (2003). Assessment of nutritional compounds and antinutritional factors in pea (*Pisum sativum*) seeds. J Sci Food Agric 83(4):298-306
- Vilche C, Gely M, Santalla E. (2003). Physical properties of quinoa seeds. Biosyst Eng 86(1):59-65
- Villamide MJ, San Juan LD. (1998). Effect of chemical composition of sunflower seed meal on its true metabolizable energy and amino acid digestibility. Poult Sci 77(12):1884-1892
- Vogel RF, Böcker G, Stolz P, Ehrmann M, Fanta D, Ludwig W, Pot B, Kersters K, Schleifer KH, Hammes WP. (1994). Identification of lactobacilli from sourdough and description of *Lactobacillus pontis* sp. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 44(2):223-229
- Vong WC, Liu SQ. (2017). Changes in volatile profile of soybean residue (okara) upon solid-state fermentation by yeasts. J Sci Food Agric 97(1):135-143
- Wade M, Strickland MT, Osborne JP, Edwards CG. (2019). Role of *Pediococcus* in winemaking. Aust J Grape Wine Res 25(1):7-24
- Wallace TC, Murray R, Zelman KM. (2016). The nutritional value and health benefits of chickpeas and hummus. Nutrients 8(12):766

- Wang B, Zhang Q, Zhang N, Bak KH, Soladoye OP, Aluko RE, Fu Y, Zhang Y. (2021). Insights into formation, detection and removal of the beany flavor in soybean protein. Trends Food Sci Technol 112:336-347
- Wang YC, Yu RC, Yang HY, Chou CC. (2003). Sugar and acid contents in soymilk fermented with lactic acid bacteria alone or simultaneously with bifidobacteria. Food Microbiol 20(3):333-338
- Wansink B, Sonka S, Goldsmith P, Chiriboga J, Eren N. (2005). Increasing the acceptance of soy-based foods. J Int Food Agribus Mark 17(1):35-55
- Warren MJ, Raux E, Schubert HL, Escalante-Semerena JC. (2002). The biosynthesis of adenosylcobalamin (vitamin B₁₂). Nat Prod Rep 19(4):390-412
- Watanabe F, Yabuta Y, Bito T, Teng F. (2014). Vitamin B₁₂-containing plant food sources for vegetarians. Nutrients 6(5):1861-1873
- Wei Q-K, Chen T-R, Chen J-T. (2007). Using of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* to product the isoflavone aglycones in fermented soymilk. Int J Food Microbiol 117(1):120-124
- Weiland F, Kohlstedt M, Wittmann C. (2022). Guiding stars to the field of dreams: Metabolically engineered pathways and microbial platforms for a sustainable lignin-based industry. Metab Eng 71:13-41
- West LG, Greger JL, White A, Nonnamaker BJ. (1978). In vitro studies on saponinmineral complexation. J Food Sci 43(4):1342-1343
- Wittmann C. (2007). Fluxome analysis using GC-MS. Microb Cell Fact 6(1):6
- Wittmann C, Hans M, Bluemke W. (2002). Metabolic physiology of aroma-producing *Kluyveromyces marxianus*. Yeast 19(15):1351-1363
- Wittmann C, Kim HM, John G, Heinzle E. (2003). Characterization and application of an optical sensor for quantification of dissolved O₂ in shake-flasks. Biotechnol Lett 25(5):377-380
- WoldemariamYohannes K, Wan Z, Yu Q, Li H, Wei X, Liu Y, Wang J, Sun B. (2020). Prebiotic, probiotic, antimicrobial, and functional food applications of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*. J Agric Food Chem 68(50):14709-14727
- Wood JA, Grusak MA. (2007). Nutritional value of chickpea. In: Yadav S, Redden R, Chen W, Sharma B (eds) Chickpea breeding and management. CAB International, Wallingford, UK, pp 101-142
- Wronkowska M, Rostek D, Lenkiewicz M, Kurantowicz E, Yaneva TG, Starowicz M. (2022). Oat flour fermented by *Lactobacillus* strains–Kinetics of volatile compound formation and antioxidant capacity. J Cereal Sci 103:103392
- Wu Y, Duan S, Zhao L, Gao Z, Luo M, Song S, Xu W, Zhang C, Ma C, Wang S. (2016). Aroma characterization based on aromatic series analysis in table grapes. Sci Rep 6(1):1-16
- Xiao Z, Li Q, Niu Y, Zhou X, Liu J, Xu Y, Xu Z. (2017). Odor-active compounds of different lavender essential oils and their correlation with sensory attributes. Ind Crops Prod 108:748-755

- Xie C, Coda R, Chamlagain B, Edelmann M, Deptula P, Varmanen P, Piironen V, Katina K. (2018). In situ fortification of vitamin B₁₂ in wheat flour and wheat bran by fermentation with *Propionibacterium freudenreichii*. J Cereal Sci 81:133-139
- Xie C, Coda R, Chamlagain B, Edelmann M, Varmanen P, Piironen V, Katina K. (2021). Fermentation of cereal, pseudo-cereal and legume materials with *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* and *Levilactobacillus brevis* for vitamin B₁₂ fortification. LWT 137:110431
- Xie C, Coda R, Chamlagain B, Varmanen P, Piironen V, Katina K. (2019). Cofermentation of *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* and *Lactobacillus brevis* in Wheat Bran for in situ Production of Vitamin B₁₂. Front Microbiol 10:1541
- Xu J, Han M, Zhang J, Guo Y, Zhang W. (2014). Metabolic engineering *Corynebacterium glutamicum* for the L-lysine production by increasing the flux into L-lysine biosynthetic pathway. Amino Acids 46(9):2165-2175
- Xu L, Yu X, Li M, Chen J, Wang X. (2017a). Monitoring oxidative stability and changes in key volatile compounds in edible oils during ambient storage through HS-SPME/GC–MS. Int J Food Prop 20(sup3):S2926-S2938
- Xu M, Jin Z, Lan Y, Rao J, Chen B. (2019). HS-SPME-GC-MS/olfactometry combined with chemometrics to assess the impact of germination on flavor attributes of chickpea, lentil, and yellow pea flours. Food Chem 280:83-95
- Xu X, Wang HJ, Murphy PA, Cook L, Hendrich S. (1994). Daidzein is a more bioavailable soymilk isoflavone than is genistein in adult women. J Nutr 124(6):825-832
- Xu Y, Coda R, Shi Q, Tuomainen Pi, Katina K, Tenkanen M. (2017b). Exopolysaccharides production during the fermentation of soybean and fava bean flours by *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* DSM 20343. J Agric Food Chem 65(13):2805-2815
- Yamada K. (2013). Cobalt: its role in health and disease. Interrelations between Essential Metal Ions:295-320
- Yamanaka Y, Okumura S, Mitsugi K, Hasegawa Y (1970) Method of preparing a sour milk beverage. US Patent 3535117, 20 Oct 1970
- Yan J, Alewijn M, van Ruth SM. (2020). From extra virgin olive oil to refined products: Intensity and balance shifts of the volatile compounds versus odor. Molecules 25(11):2469
- Yang DS, Shewfelt RL, Lee K-S, Kays SJ. (2008). Comparison of odor-active compounds from six distinctly different rice flavor types. J Agric Food Chem 56(8):2780-2787
- Yang Y-N, Zheng F-P, Yu A-N, Sun B-G. (2019). Changes of the free and bound volatile compounds in *Rubus corchorifolius* L. f. fruit during ripening. Food Chem 287:232-240
- Ye K, Shijo M, Miyano K, Shimizu K. (1999). Metabolic pathway of *Propionibacterium* growing with oxygen: enzymes, ¹³C NMR analysis, and its

application for vitamin B₁₂ production with periodic fermentation. Biotechnol Prog 15(2):201-207

- Yousseef M, Lafarge C, Valentin D, Lubbers S, Husson F. (2016). Fermentation of cow milk and/or pea milk mixtures by different starter cultures: Physicochemical and sensorial properties. LWT 69:430-437
- Yuan S, Chang SKC, Liu Z, Xu B. (2008). Elimination of trypsin inhibitor activity and beany flavor in soy milk by consecutive blanching and ultrahigh-temperature (UHT) processing. J Agr Food Chem 56(17):7957-7963
- Zamora AF, Fields ML. (1979). Nutritive quality of fermented cowpeas (*Vigna sinensis*) and chickpeas (*Cicer arietinum*). J Food Sci 44(1):234-236
- Zeng Z, Zhang H, Chen JY, Zhang T, Matsunaga R. (2008). Flavor volatiles of rice during cooking analyzed by modified headspace SPME/GC-MS. Cereal Chem 85(2):140-145
- Zhang H, Önning G, Triantafyllou AÖ, Öste R. (2007). Nutritional properties of oatbased beverages as affected by processing and storage. J Sci Food Agric 87(12):2294-2301
- Zhang Z, Yang M, Peng Q, Wang G, Zheng Q, Zhang J, Song F. (2014). Transcription of the lysine-2, 3-aminomutase gene in the *kam* locus of *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *Kurstaki* HD73 is controlled by both σ⁵⁴ and σ^K factors. J Bacteriol 196(16):2934-2943
- Zhu Y, Chen J, Chen X, Chen D, Deng S. (2020). Use of relative odor activity value (ROAV) to link aroma profiles to volatile compounds: application to fresh and dried eel (*Muraenesox cinereus*). Int J Food Prop 23(1):2257-2270
- Zotta T, Parente E, Ricciardi A. (2017). Aerobic metabolism in the genus *Lactobacillus*: impact on stress response and potential applications in the food industry. J Appl Microbiol 122(4):857-869