

Metalloenes

Diphosphanymetalloenes of Main-Group Elements

Carsten Müller, Joshua Warken, Volker Huch, Bernd Morgenstern, Inga-Alexandra Bischoff, Michael Zimmer, and André Schäfer^{*[a]}

Abstract: Several 1,1'-diphosphanyl-substituted metalloenes of magnesium (magnesocenes) were synthesized, structurally characterized, and their reactivity and coordination chemistry were investigated. Transmetalation of these magnesocenes gives access to group 14 metalloenes (tetrelloenes), as well as to group 15 stibocenes. These s- and

p-block metalloenes represent a novel class of bis(phosphanyl) ligands, exhibiting Lewis-amphiphilic character. Their coordination chemistry towards different transition-metal and main-group fragments was investigated and different complexes are presented.

Introduction

Phosphines are one of the most important classes of ligands in coordination chemistry throughout the periodic table, owing to their strong σ -donor abilities.^[1] Within this class, bidentate ligands in the form of bis(phosphanyl) compounds are widely recognized for their strong binding capabilities owing to the chelate effect.^[2a] Modification of the linker between the phosphanyl groups in these bis(phosphines) can have a strong effect on the bite angle, which is of great importance with regards to steric and electronic properties, and thus for applications in coordination chemistry and catalysis.^[2b,c] Within this area, 1,1'-diphosphanyl-substituted ferrocenes have developed into a special class of metal-containing redox active ligands, which have been applied for a variety of transition-metal fragments, since their introduction in the late 1960s.^[3] The most prominent examples, 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphanyl)ferrocene, **dppf**, and the 1,1'-bis(diisopropylphosphanyl)ferrocene, **dippf**, are widely recognized for their applications in homogeneous catalysis, for instance, in the form of palladium or platinum complexes, which, nowadays, are even commercially available.^[3d,e]

Furthermore, 1,1'-diphosphanyl-substituted metalloenes of other transition metals are also known, for instance, of lantha-

num, yttrium, titanium, zirconium, hafnium, niobium, ruthenium, osmium, cobalt,^[4] and in addition, of the lanthanoids europium and ytterbium,^[5] as well as of a handful of main-group metals^[6] (Figure 1). In some cases, weak interactions between the metallocene central atom and P-complexed metal fragments can be observed, which can be important to the reactivity of these complexes.^[3c,4i,7] In addition, structurally related heteroleptic complexes of other phosphanyl-functionalized π -ligands like cycloheptatrienyl or phenyl are also known and possess an intriguing coordination chemistry.^[8]

As there are several diphosphanyl-substituted metalloenes beyond ferrocenes, mostly exhibiting diphenylphosphanyl or diisopropylphosphanyl groups, a convenient nomenclature for these compounds would be valuable. We suggest to use the popular "dpp" and "dipp" abbreviations for metalloenes possessing a 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphanyl) or a 1,1'-bis(diisopropylphosphanyl) substitution pattern, derived from the corresponding ferrocene acronyms **dppf** and **dippf**, and combining it with the element symbol. Following this principle, the 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphanyl)ferrocene, **dppf**, could also be referred to as **dppFe**. This becomes more useful for metalloenes of other metals, for instance, the aforementioned titanium, zirconium, cobalt, and ytterbium species could be referred to as **dppTiCl₂**, **dppZrCl₂**, **dppCo**, and **dppYb**. In addition, the octamethyl derivatives exhibiting permethylated Cp rings can be denoted by a superscript hash, like in the case of tetramethylcyclopentadienide, Cp[#], and pentamethylcyclopentadienide, Cp*, thus 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphanyl)octamethyl ferrocene^[9] could be abbreviated **dpp[#]Fe**.

As mentioned above, the concept of 1,1'-diphosphanyl-functionalization is limited to a few main-group metalloenes and remains only sparsely explored, aside from dimethylplatinum complexes of thf-adducts of **dppCa**, **dppSr**, and **dppBa**,^[6b] and a gold(I) complex of **dppTI**.^[10] This is surprising, as s- and p-block based diphosphanyl-substituted metalloenes present particularly interesting ligands, as the central atom is Lewis-acidic.^[11,12] Therefore, these metalloenes can be regarded as

[a] C. Müller, J. Warken, Dr. V. Huch, Dr. B. Morgenstern, I.-A. Bischoff, Dr. M. Zimmer, Dr. A. Schäfer
Faculty of Natural Sciences and Technology, Department of Chemistry
Saarland University, Campus Saarbrücken, 66123 Saarbrücken (Germany)
E-mail: andre.schaefer@uni-saarland.de

Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under:
<https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202005198>.

© 2021 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.




Figure 1. Selected examples of 1,1'-diphosphanyl-substituted metallocenes.

Lewis-amphiphilic ligand systems, with a hard, electron-poor and a soft, electron-rich center.^[6b] In general, Lewis-amphiphilic ligands, often based on boron or aluminium, have attracted much attention for their coordination properties, but the use of alkaline earth metals or group 14 elements as Lewis-acidic centers in such ligands is almost unexplored.^[13] It should be noted that, so far, the group 2 metallocenes **dppCa**, **dppSr**, and **dppBa**, have only been isolated in the form of their thf adducts in which their Lewis-acidity is quenched by the coordination of the thf molecules.^[6b] As group 14 metallocenes (tetrylenes) are tetrylene-type compounds, and tetrylene complexes of main-group and transition metals have been studied extensively,^[14] and following our group's continuous interest in main-group metallocenes, we were intrigued to study diphosphanyl-substituted metallocenes of s- and p-block metals.


Herein, we report the synthesis and structure of different diphosphanylmagnesocenes **1 a–c**, tetrylenes, **2**, **3 a–c**, **4**, and stibonocenes **5 a,b** and present a study of their reactivity towards σ -donors, small molecules, and various metal fragments.

Results and Discussion

Magnesocenes

Diphosphanylmagnesocenes **1 a–c** (**dipp#Mg**, **dippMg**, **dpp#Mg**) were synthesized by the reaction of lithium cyclopentadienide or lithium tetramethylcyclopentadienide with the corresponding chlorophosphines and subsequent treatment with dibutylmagnesium (Scheme 1).

Magnesocenes **1 a–c** are obtained as highly air-sensitive, colorless solids in acceptable to good yields (**1 a**: 38%; **1 b**: 86%; **1 c**: 76%). Notably, the synthesis of a 1,1'-bis(diphenylphospha-



Scheme 1. Synthesis of diphosphanylmagnesocenes **1 a–c**. **1 a**: R = Me; R' = *i*Pr. **1 b**: R = H; R' = *i*Pr. **1 c**: R = Me; R' = Ph.

nyl)magnesocene, **1 d** (**dppMg**), failed, presumably owing to the instability of the protonated ligand Ph₂PCpH.^[15] Compounds **1 a–c** show a high degree of solubility in aliphatic and aromatic solvents such as hexane, benzene, and toluene, and were characterized by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy in solution and single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis in the solid state (Figure 1). In solution, the ³¹P NMR chemical shifts of **1 a–c**, which range from –2.8 to –25.5 ppm depending on the substitution pattern, are in line with known diphosphanylferrocenes ($\delta^{31}\text{P}(\text{dppFe}) = -16.6 \text{ ppm}$;^[16] $\delta^{31}\text{P}(\text{dippFe}) = 0.9 \text{ ppm}$ ^[16]). Notably, **1 b** (**dippMg**), exists as a dimer in the solid state (Figure 2a), owing to its Lewis-amphiphilic nature, although magnesocene phosphine complexes have previously been described as unisolable in pure form.^[17] The intermolecular Mg–P distances are 274.32(1) pm and 279.45(1) pm, which are longer than in known phosphorous magnesium complexes^[18–20] ((dppmfluMg(μ -*n*Bu))₂: 262.71(7) pm (dppmflu = (Ph₂PCH₂PPh₂)fluorene)). However, with just a few examples of phosphine-coordinated diorgano-substituted magnesium compounds known,^[18–20] and no examples of magnesocene phosphine complexes,^[17] this value must be assessed carefully. As only a single signal is observed in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum in solution at room temperature, we assume that it is a rather





Figure 2. Molecular structures of (a) **1b**-dimer, (b) **1a**, and (c) **1c** in the crystal (displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level; H atoms omitted). Selected bond lengths [pm] and angles [°]: **1b**: Mg1–P2 274.32(1), Mg2–P3 279.45(1), Cp^{cent}–Mg1 211.62(1)/213.39(1)/220.60(1), Cp^{cent}–Mg2 218.36(1)/211.62(1); Cp^{cent}–Mg1–Cp^{cent} 136.627(3), Cp^{cent}–Mg2–Cp^{cent} 135.317(3); **1a**: Cp^{cent}–Mg1 201.36(2); Cp^{cent}–Mg1–Cp^{cent} 159.790(5); **1c**: Cp^{cent}–Mg1 198.66(3)/199.05(3); Cp^{cent}–Mg1–Cp^{cent} 166.539(11).

weak interaction and **1b** (**dippMg**) exists in rapid equilibrium with a monomer in solution. As mentioned above, this is in line with previous reports that the isolation of phosphine adducts of magnesocene failed owing to dissociation in solution.^[17] The Mg–Cp distances are shorter in case of the permethylated magnesocenes **1c** (**dpp[#]Mg**) (198.66(3) pm and 199.05(3) pm) and **1a** (**dipp[#]Mg**) (201.36(2) pm) and longer in the case of **1b** (**dippMg**) (211.62(1) pm and 220.60(1) pm). This can be attributed to steric and electronic factors, as permethylated Cp rings are more electron rich, resulting in stronger Mg–Cp bonds, and on the other hand, phosphine coordination to the magnesium atom in dimer **1b** increases the electron density and steric pressure on the magnesium atom, which weakens the Mg–Cp bonds. The Cp^{cent}–Mg–Cp^{cent} angles in magnesocenes **1a–c** also differ significantly (Table 1). Only a slight bending is observed for **1c** (**dpp[#]Mg**) (166.5°) and **1a** (**dipp[#]Mg**) (159.8°), whereas a strong bending is observed in dimeric **1b** (**dippMg**) (135.3° and 136.6°). This highlights the flexibility of the Mg–Cp bonds, owing to their high ionic character. The previously reported diphosphanyl-metalloenes of calcium, **dppCa**·(thf)₂, strontium, **dppSr**·(thf)₂, and barium, **dppBa**·(thf)₂, are monomeric in the solid state, which is a con-

Table 1. Selected bond lengths, angles, and ³¹ P NMR shifts of 1a–c , 1b ·(thf) ₂ , 1b ·(CS ₂) ₂ , 1b ·PhNCO, and 1b ·PtMe ₂ .			
Compound	Mg–Cp ^[a] [pm]	Cp–Mg–Cp ^[b] [°]	δ ³¹ P [ppm]
1a (dipp[#]Mg)	201.36(2)	159.790(5)	–3.8 ^[c]
1b (dippMg)	211.62(1) 213.39(1) 220.60(1)	136.627(3) 135.317(3)	–2.8 ^[c]
1c (dpp[#]Mg)	198.66(3) 199.05(3)	166.539(11)	–25.5 ^[c]
1b ·(thf) ₂	224.05(2) 234.57(2) 248.95(1)	–	–2.3 ^[c]
1b ·(CS ₂) ₂	215.39(8)	136.611(2)	36.2 ^[c]
1b ·PhNCO	218.16(8) 241.50(2) 282.70(2)	–	24.0 –12.6 ^[d]
1b ·PtMe ₂	197.34(6) 198.57(6)	164.409(32)	21.0 (¹ J _{Pt} = 1862 Hz) ^[c]

[a] Corresponding to the bonding mode of the Cp ligand. [b] Corresponding to Cp^{cent} and only given in the case of η⁵ bonding. [c] C₆D₆, 162 MHz, 298 K. [d] CP-MAS (13 kHz), 162 MHz, 296 K.

sequence of the coordination of thf molecules to the central atom.^[6b] This prompted us to investigate the reactivity of **1b** (**dippMg**) towards thf. As expected, when dissolved in thf, the corresponding bis(thf) adduct, **1b**·(thf)₂, is obtained in quantitative yields (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2. Reaction of **1b** with thf.

In the solid state, **1b**·(thf)₂ exhibits one η⁵- and one η²-bonded Cp ligand (Figure 3). Ring slippage of Cp ligands in the solid state is not uncommon for magnesium Cp compounds and is not reflected by NMR spectroscopy in solution. For example, **1b**·(thf)₂ gives only a single resonance in the ³¹P NMR spectrum (δ³¹P = –2.3 ppm) in solution, along with two resonances for Cp protons in the ¹H NMR spectrum. Similar structures have been reported before, for instance, in the case of a magnesocene bis(thf) adduct^[21] and also for [1]magnesocenophane bis(thf) adducts.^[22]

The Mg–O bond lengths in **1b**·(thf)₂ are 206.17(2) pm and 208.14(1) pm, comparable to what is found in Cp₂Mg·(thf)₂ (208.8 pm and 209.8(2) pm).^[21] The Mg–C bond lengths to the




Figure 3. Molecular structure of **1b·(thf)₂** in the crystal (displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level; H atoms omitted). Selected bond lengths [ppm]: Mg1–O1 208.14(1), Mg1–O2 206.17(2), Cp^{cent}–Mg1 224.05(2).

η^2 -coordinated Cp ligand are 234.57(2) pm and 248.95(1) pm, suggesting a tendency towards η^1 , and the Mg–Cp^{cent} distance to the η^5 -bonded Cp moiety is 224.05(2) pm. Inspection of the frontier orbitals of diphosphanylmagnesiumocene **1b** (**dippMg**) (Figure 4) highlights its Lewis-amphiphilic character.

HOMO and HOMO–1 exhibit large coefficients at the phosphorus atoms, corresponding to the lone pairs. In comparison, the LUMO is predominantly localized on the magnesium atom, highlighting its Lewis-acidic character. The Lewis-amphiphilicity of **1b** (**dippMg**) prompted us to study its reactivity not only towards metal fragments, but also towards small/organic molecules, as such molecules can often be activated and coordinated by Lewis-amphiphilic frustrated Lewis pair (FLP)-type systems.^[24] Indeed, treatment of solutions of **1b** (**dippMg**) with carbon disulfide and phenyl isocyanate, led to formation of the corresponding adducts (Scheme 3). In the case of the CS₂ complex, a downfield shifted doublet in the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum at 237.0 ppm (*J*_{CP} = 37 Hz) was detected, which is comparable to other CS₂ phosphorous adducts.^[24a]





Figure 4. Isosurface plots of (a) LUMO, (b) HOMO, and (c) HOMO–1 of magnesocene **1b** (B3LYP-D3/def2-TZVP^[23] isovalue = 0.05).



Scheme 3. Reaction of **1b** with CS₂ and PhNCO.

To the best of our knowledge, **1b·(CS₂)₂** is the first example of a magnesium CS₂ complex of this kind. In the solid state (Figure 5), **1b·(CS₂)₂** possesses two η^5 -coordinated Cp ligands. The P–C bonds in **1b·(CS₂)₂** are 183.71(124) pm, which is almost identical to Sn/P and B/P FLP CS₂ complexes^[24a,c] ((F₃Xyl)₂BCH₂PtBu₂·CS₂: 183.5(8) pm; (F₃C₂)₃SnCH₂PtBu₂·CS₂: 184.7(2) pm), whereas the Mg–S bonds are 249.48(39) pm, which is longer than in a related magnesium dithiocarbonate complex,^[24d] but shorter than in a dithiobenzoate complex.^[24e] Remarkably, **1b** (**dippMg**) does not undergo a Mg–C insertion reaction with CS₂, in contrast to what is common for Grignard reagents.^[24e] Similar to **1b** (**dippMg**), **1b·PhNCO** exhibits a dimeric structure in the solid state^[25] with Mg–P bonds of 256.23(1) pm and 273.70(1) pm (Figure S79 in the Supporting Information). The bonding situation of the Cp ligands in **1b·PhNCO** in the solid state is best regarded as η^5 and η^2 , like in the afore-discussed bis(thf) adduct **1b·(thf)₂**. The Mg–O bond length is 195.65(1) pm, which is slightly shorter than in other magnesium phenyl isocyanate complexes^[26] ((Me₄TACD)Mg·PhNCHO)⁺: 204.3(6) pm (TACD = tetraazacyclododecane)). On the other hand, the P–C bond is 184.80(18) pm, which is slightly shorter than in related FLP complexes of phenyl isocyanate^[24c] ((F₃C₂)₃SnCH₂PtBu₂·PhNCO: 185.0(2) pm). In solution at room temperature, several signals are observed in the ³¹P NMR spectrum upon dissolving the crystals of **1b·PhNCO** in benzene-D₆, presumably owing to decomposition of the complex. In the ³¹P{¹H} CP-MAS NMR spec-





Figure 5. Molecular structure of (a) **1b·(CS₂)₂** and (b) **1b·PhNCO** in the crystal (displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level; H atoms omitted). Selected bond lengths [ppm] and angles [°]: **1b·(CS₂)₂**: Mg1–S2/S3 249.48(39), Cp^{cent}–Mg1 215.39(8), P1–C1/P2–C2 183.71(124); Cp^{cent}–Mg1–Cp^{cent} 136.611(2); **1b·PhNCO**: Mg1–O1 195.65(1), P1–C1 184.80(18), Cp^{cent}–Mg1 218.16(8).

trum of **1b-PhNCO**, two signals are observed, as one would expect.^[25] To assess the bonding energy of phenyl isocyanate to the magnesocene moiety in complex **1b-PhNCO**, we performed DFT calculations at the B3LYP-D3/def2-TZVP level of theory,^[23] which suggest a complexation energy of 135.4 kJ mol⁻¹.

It has previously been shown that diphosphanil-substituted metallocenes of heavy group 2 metals can be used as ligands in platinum complexes. This is particularly interesting, as these ligand systems have highly variable bite angles, owing to the ionic character of the alkaline earth metal Cp bonds. Noteworthy, the reported complexes, **dppCa·(thf)₂·PtMe₂**, **dppSr·(thf)₂·PtMe₂**, **dppBa·(thf)₂·PtMe₂**, all exhibited two thf molecules bound to the group 2 metal. No solvent-free complexes of alkaline earth metals and no complexes of magnesium had previously been described. When (COD)PtMe₂ is added to a toluene solution of **1b** (**dippMg**), immediate precipitation of the product **1b·PtMe₂** as a colorless solid is observed (Scheme 4), which was obtained in 75% yield.



Scheme 4. Reaction of **1b** with (COD)Pt(Me)₂.

Compound **1b·PtMe₂** is an example of an early–late heterobimetallic complex (ELHB), which have been discussed intensively for their applications in catalysis.^[27] Platinum complex **1b·PtMe₂** shows a signal at 21.0 ppm in the ³¹P NMR spectrum, with a platinum coupling of ¹J_{Pt} = 1862 Hz (Table 1). This is similar to **dppCa·(thf)₂·PtMe₂** (¹J_{Pt} = 1878 Hz^[6b]) and **dppFe·PtMe₂** (¹J_{Pt} = 1903 Hz^[28]), and typical for *cis*-bis(phosphine) complexes of dimethylplatinum(II).^[29] Notably, in contrast to the previously reported platinum complexes of heavier group 2 diphosphanil metallocenes,^[6b] **1b·PtMe₂** can be isolated without solvent coordination to the central atom (Figure 6),





Figure 6. Molecular structure of **1b·PtMe₂** in the crystal (displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level; H atoms omitted). Selected bond lengths [ppm] and angles [°]: P1–Pt1 231.19(4), P2–Pt1 232.73(4), Cp^{cent}–Mg1 197.34(6)/198.57(6); Cp^{cent}–Mg1–Cp^{cent} 164.409(32), P1–Pt1–P2 105.129(13).

retaining the Lewis-acidity of the magnesium atom. The P–Pt bonds in **1b·PtMe₂** measure 231.19(4) pm and 232.73(4) pm in the solid state, comparable to those of **dppCa·(thf)₂·PtMe₂** (229.00(7) pm and 229.15(7) pm).


As magnesium Cp compounds are known to be excellent Cp-transfer reagents, we probed the possibility to synthesize other diphosphanilmetallocenes starting from magnesocenes **1a–c**. In the first instance, as proof of concept, we reacted **1b,c** with iron(II) chloride and were able to obtain the corresponding ferrocenes (Scheme 5).^[25] Although **dippFe** can more easily be obtained by dilithiation and functionalization of ferrocene, this route is a useful approach for the synthesis of **dpp[#]Fe**.



Scheme 5. Transmetalation of **1b,c** with iron(II) chloride (**dippFe**: R = H, R' = *i*Pr; **dpp[#]Fe**: R = Me, R' = Ph).

Tetrelocenes

Following the successful synthesis of ferrocenes **dippFe** and **dpp[#]Fe** starting from magnesocenes **1b,c**, we were able to prepare diphosphaniltetrelocenes **2** (**dpp[#]Ge**), **3a–c** (**dpp[#]Sn**, **dippSn**, **dpp[#]Pb**), and **4** (**dpp[#]Pb**) by transmetalation of **1a–c** with the corresponding group 14 dichlorides in acceptable to good yields (Scheme 6).



Scheme 6. Synthesis of **2**, **3a–c**, and **4** by transmetalation of **1a–c** with the corresponding group 14 element dichlorides. **2**: R = Me; R' = Ph. **3a**: R = Me; R' = *i*Pr. **3b**: R = H; R' = *i*Pr. **3c**: R = Me; R' = Ph. **3d**: R = H; R' = Ph. **4**: R = Me; R' = Ph.

Bis(diphenylphosphanyl)stannocene, **3d** (**dppSn**), of which the corresponding magnesocene (**dppMg**) could not be prepared, could be obtained in 1% yield by a reaction sequence starting from lithium cyclopentadienide.^[25] In contrast to magnesocene **1b** (**dippMg**), stannocene **3b** (**dippSn**) exhibits a monomeric structure in the crystal, just like all diphosphaniltetrelocenes, **2–4**, (Figures 7 and 8). This highlights the decreasing Lewis-acidity of the tetrels compared with magnesium.

The Cp^{cent}–E–Cp^{cent} angles decrease from germanocene **2** (**dpp[#]Ge**) to stannocene **3c** (**dpp[#]Sn**) to plumbocene **4** (**dpp[#]Pb**). This trend, going from lighter to heavier group 14 el-




Figure 7. Molecular structures of (a) **3a**, (b) **3b**, (c) **3c**, and (d) **3d** in the crystal (displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level, H atoms omitted). Selected bond lengths [ppm] and angles [°]: **3a**: Cp^{cent}–Sn1 237.67(1)/240.43(1); Cp^{cent}–Sn1–Cp^{cent} 156.147(3), **3b**: Cp^{cent}–Sn1 240.39(1)/242.21(1); Cp^{cent}–Sn1–Cp^{cent} 152.593(2); **3c**: Cp^{cent}–Sn1 240.93(1)/242.09(1); Cp^{cent}–Sn1–Cp^{cent} 152.753(3); **3d**: Cp^{cent}–Sn1 242.92(1)/242.93(1); Cp^{cent}–Sn1–Cp^{cent} 146.951(4).




Figure 8. Molecular structures of (a) **2** and (b) **4** in the crystal (displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level; H atoms omitted). Selected bond lengths [ppm] and angles [°]: **2**: Cp^{cent}–Ge1 220.20(3)/222.33(3); Cp^{cent}–Ge1–Cp^{cent} 159.932(15); **4**: Cp^{cent}–Pb1 247.82(2)/249.19(2); Cp^{cent}–Pb1–Cp^{cent} 150.575(11).

ements, is a result of decreasing hybridization and less lone-pair character on the central atom. On the other hand, the

Cp^{cent}–E bond lengths increase from **2** to **3c** to **4**, which is in line with the increasing size of the central atom. It is noteworthy that **2** (**dpp[#]Ge**) is the first example of a diphosphanylmetalloidocene, a diphosphanyl-substituted metallocene-type compound based on a metalloid element. Benzene-D₆ solutions of diphosphanyltetrelcocenes, **2–4**, show similar ³¹P resonance of –4.8 to –28.7 ppm as magnesocenes **1a–c** (Tables 1 and 2), and corresponding ferrocenes^[16,30] ($\delta^{31}\text{P}(\text{dpp}^{\#}\text{Fe}) = -16.6$ ppm; $\delta^{31}\text{P}(\text{dipp}^{\#}\text{Fe}) = 0.9$ ppm; $\delta^{31}\text{P}(\text{dpp}^{\#}\text{Fe}) = -20.1$ ppm). The ¹¹⁹Sn NMR chemical shifts of diphosphanylstannocenes **3a–d** range from –2134 ppm to –2199 ppm (Table 2), which is similar to stannocene^[31] ($\delta^{119}\text{Sn}(\text{Cp}_2\text{Sn}) = -2199$ ppm) and decamethyl-stannocene^[31] ($\delta^{119}\text{Sn}(\text{Cp}^*_2\text{Sn}) = -2129$ ppm). Diphosphanylplumbocene **4** (**dpp[#]Pb**) shows a single ²⁰⁷Pb resonance at –4668 ppm, which is in line with other plumbocenes^[31] ($\delta^{207}\text{Pb}(\text{Cp}_2\text{Pb}) = -5030$ ppm; $\delta^{207}\text{Pb}(\text{Cp}^*_2\text{Pb}) = -4390$ ppm).

Inspection of the frontier orbitals of **3b** (**dipp[#]Sn**) shows that the HOMO and HOMO–1 correspond predominantly to the lone pairs of the phosphorus atoms, whereas the LUMO is almost exclusively located on the tin atom in the shape of a p-orbital, suggesting that this compound has Lewis-amphiphilic character. The lone pair on the tin atom in the form of the HOMO–7 is comparably low in energy and of high s-character (Figure 9).^[12] Qualitatively identical frontier orbitals can be found in all diphosphanyltetrelcocenes, **2–4**.

To investigate whether **3b** (**dipp[#]Sn**) does indeed possess Lewis-amphiphilic reactivity as suggested by the frontier orbitals, we reacted it with a σ -donor in the form of an N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC), as well as with different Lewis-acidic metal fragments. We have previously shown that stannocenes can form isolable complexes with different NHCs.^[12d] In line with this, stannocene **3b** (**dipp[#]Sn**) forms a stable carbene complex,

Table 2. Selected bond lengths, angles, ³¹P, ¹¹⁹Sn, and ²⁰⁷Pb NMR shifts of **2**, **3a–d**, **4**.

Compound	E–Cp ^[a] [pm]	Cp–E–Cp ^[a] [°]	$\delta^{31}\text{P}^{[b]}$ [ppm]	$\delta^{119}\text{Sn}^{[c]}/$ $\delta^{207}\text{Pb}^{[d]}$ [ppm]
2 (dpp[#]Ge)	220.20(3) 222.33(3)	159.932(15)	–27.4	–
3a (dipp[#]Sn)	237.67(1) 240.43(1)	156.147(3)	–4.9	–2176
3b (dipp[#]Sn)	240.39(1) 242.21(1)	152.593(2)	–4.8	–2134
3c (dpp[#]Sn)	240.93(1) 242.09(1)	152.753(3)	–27.5	–2199
3d (dipp[#]Sn)	242.92(1) 242.93(1)	146.951(4)	–22.6	–2197
4 (dpp[#]Pb)	247.82(2) 249.19(2)	150.575(11)	–28.7	–4668

[a] Corresponding to Cp^{centroid}. [b] C₆D₆, 162 MHz, 298 K. [c] C₆D₆, 149 MHz, 298 K. [d] C₆D₆, 63 MHz, 298 K.





Figure 9. Isosurface plots of (a) LUMO, (b) HOMO, (c) HOMO–1, and (d) HOMO–7 of **3b** (B3LYP-D3/def2-TZVP;^[23] isovalue = 0.05).

3b-NHC, with 1,3-diisopropyl-4,5-dimethylimidazolin-2-ylidene (Scheme 7).

In **3b-NHC**, the NHC is side-on coordinated to the metallocene moiety (Figure 10), similar to previously reported stannocene complexes.^[12d] The Cp^{cent}–Sn bond lengths (259.31(1) pm and 264.86(1) pm) are significantly elongated compared with **3b** (240.39(1) pm and 242.21(1) pm) and the Cp^{cent}–Sn–Cp^{cent} angle of **3b-NHC** (134.8°) is smaller than in **3b** (**dippSn**) (152.6°), as a result of the NHC coordination. Compound **3b-NHC** clearly highlights the Lewis-acidic character of the tin atom in **3b** (**dippSn**).



Scheme 7. Reaction of **3b** with NHC (NHC = 1,3-diisopropyl-4,5-dimethylimidazolin-2-ylidene).





Figure 10. Molecular structure of **3b-NHC** in the crystal (displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level, H atoms omitted). Selected bond lengths [ppm] and angles [°]: Sn1–C1 241.63(0), Cp^{cent}–Sn1 259.31(1)/264.86(1); Cp^{cent}–Sn1–Cp^{cent} 134.767(1).

To probe the applicability of **3b** (**dippSn**), as a ligand, we reacted it with aluminium(III) chloride, and were able to obtain a corresponding adduct, **3b·AlCl₃**, in 52% yield (Scheme 8).

The solid-state structure reveals that aluminium complex **3b·AlCl₃** possesses a dimeric structure in the solid state (Figure 11 a), owing to the Lewis-acidity of the tin center, which is remarkable as **3b** (**dippSn**) itself is monomeric in the solid state and phosphine complexes of stannocenes are unknown. Accordingly, **3b·AlCl₃** is the first example of a structurally characterized phosphine adduct of a tetracene, and in general, phosphine complexes of stannylenes are extremely rare. The Sn–P distance of 298.78(2) pm is significantly longer than in other phosphine complexes of diaryl- or disilylstannylenes^[32,33] (TerSn–μ-aceNaph(PiPr₂): 263.62(6) pm (Ter = 2,6-bis(2,4,6-triisopropylbenzene)xylene, aceNaph = 1,2-dihydroacenaphthylene); (Me₂Si(SiMe₃)₂Si)₂Sn(PEt₃): 260.8(3) pm). The solid-state structure is, however, not persistent in solution at room temperature, as only one set of isopropyl groups is observed in the ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra, accompanied by broad signals in the ²⁷Al{¹H} (δ²⁷Al = 111.2 ppm; ν_{1/2} = 121 Hz) and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra (δ³¹P = –7.5 ppm; ν_{1/2} = 25 Hz), along with a single resonance in the ¹¹⁹Sn{¹H} NMR spectrum (δ¹¹⁹Sn = –2172 ppm), suggesting that the dimeric structure is not maintained in solution but that both phosphorus atoms are equivalent, possibly owing to a fast coordination isomerism. The reaction of stannocene **3b** (**dippSn**) with 2 equivalents of aluminium(III) chlo-



Scheme 8. Reaction of **3b** with 1 equivalent of AlCl₃.




Figure 11. Molecular structures of (a) **3b·AlCl₃** dimer and (b) **3b·(AlCl₃)₂** in the crystal (displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level; H atoms omitted). Selected bond lengths [ppm] and angles [°]: **3b·AlCl₃**: Sn1–P2/Sn2–P3 298.78(2), P1–Al1/P4–Al2 240.41(1), Cp^{cent}–Sn1/Cp^{cent}–Sn2 254.00(1)/255.93(1); Cp^{cent}–Sn1–Cp^{cent}/Cp^{cent}–Sn2–Cp^{cent} 130.684(2); **3b·(AlCl₃)₂**: P1–Al1 242.47(1), P2–Al2 240.69(0), Cp^{cent}–Sn1 242.09(0)/242.45(0); Cp^{cent}–Sn1–Cp^{cent} 145.535(1).




Scheme 9. Reaction of **3b** with 2 equivalents of AlCl_3 .

ride yields **3b·(AlCl₃)₂**, where both phosphorus atoms are bound to an AlCl_3 moiety (Scheme 9, Figure 11 b).

A solution of **3b·(AlCl₃)₂** in C_6D_6 , exhibits one broad signal in $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR ($\delta^{31}\text{P} = -12.2$ ppm; $\nu_{1/2} = 283$ Hz) and $^{27}\text{Al}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum ($\delta^{27}\text{Al} = 110.9$ ppm; $\nu_{1/2} = 189$ Hz), along with only one signal in the $^{119}\text{Sn}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum ($\delta^{119}\text{Sn} = -2178$ ppm). The Al–P bonds in **3b·AlCl₃** and **3b·(AlCl₃)₂** are very similar (**3b·AlCl₃**: 240.41(1) pm; **3b·(AlCl₃)₂**: 240.69(0) pm and 242.47(1) pm) and are in line with $\text{AlCl}_3\cdot\text{PMe}_3$ ^[34] (239.2(2) pm). In **3b·NHC**, the Lewis-acidic character of the tin atom of **3b** (**dippSn**) is indicated, whereas in **3b·(AlCl₃)₂** the Lewis-basicity of the phosphorus atoms is indicated, and especially in **3b·AlCl₃** the Lewis-basic and acidic character are highlighted, displaying the Lewis-amphoterism of **3b** (**dippSn**).

Following these results, we investigated the reactivity of germanocene **2** (**dpp[#]Ge**) and stannocene **3b** (**dippSn**) towards different transition-metal fragments. As tetracenes in general usually exhibit flexible bent structures with free rotation around the E–Cp bonds owing to a certain degree of ionic character, diphosphanyl-tetracenes have highly variable bite angles, unlike many transition-metal analogs. Therefore, they should be adaptable to different metal fragments. In this regard, the 14-electron tetracarbonyltungsten(0) fragment proved to be a suitable candidate for a stable stannocene complex. When stannocene **3b** (**dippSn**) was reacted with $(\text{COD})\text{W}(\text{CO})_4$, the corresponding complex **3b·W(CO)₄** was obtained (Scheme 10).



Scheme 10. Reaction of **3b** with $(\text{COD})\text{W}(\text{CO})_4$.

The ^{31}P NMR chemical shift of **3b·W(CO)₄** is observed at 13.4 ppm with ^{183}W satellites with a coupling constant of $^1J_{\text{PW}} = 229$ Hz, similar to $1,4\text{-}(\text{Ph}_2\text{P})_2(\text{C}_4\text{H}_8)\cdot\text{W}(\text{CO})_4$ ^[35b] ($^1J_{\text{PW}} = 228.5$ Hz). Remarkably, as a solid, **3b·W(CO)₄**, proved to be air stable for at least 2 h, exemplified by its 18-electron configuration. In the solid state (Figure 12), the tungsten atom reveals a distorted octahedral coordination geometry, with the two phosphorus atoms in *cis*-positions in the equatorial plane, and consequently two CO ligands in *cis*-positions in the equatorial plane and two in the axial positions. The P–W bond lengths of 258.78(20) pm and 259.21(21) pm (Table 3) are similar to




Figure 12. Molecular structure of **3b·W(CO)₄** in the crystal (displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level; H atoms omitted). Selected bond lengths [pm] and angles [°]: P1–W1 259.21(21), P2–W1 258.78(20), Cp^{cent}–Sn1 238.89(6)/241.09(6); Cp^{cent}–Sn1–Cp^{cent} 139.308(26), P1–W1–P2 107.498(65).

Table 3. Selected bond lengths, angles, ^{31}P and ^{119}Sn NMR shifts of complexes **2·PtMe₂**, **3b·NHC**, **3b·AlCl₃**, **3b·(AlCl₃)₂**, **3b·PtMe₂**, and **3b·W(CO)₄**.


Compound	E–Cp ^[a] [pm]	Cp–E–Cp ^[a] [°]	P–M–P [°]	$\delta^{31}\text{P}$ ^[b] [ppm]	$\delta^{119}\text{Sn}$ ^[c] [ppm]
2·PtMe₂	219.77(1) 220.17(1)	162.993(2)	107.338(2)	19.6 ($^1J_{\text{PPT}} = 2011$ Hz)	–
3b·NHC	259.31(1) 264.86(1)	134.767(1)	–	–4.7	–
3b·AlCl₃	254.00(1) 255.93(1)	130.684(2)	–	–7.5	–2172
3b·(AlCl₃)₂	242.09(0) 242.45(0)	145.535(1)	–	–12.2	–2178
3b·PtMe₂	237.30(2) 243.11(2)	149.810(4)	105.935(4)	22.0 ($^1J_{\text{PPT}} = 1843$ Hz)	–2170
3b·W(CO)₄	238.89(6) 241.09(6)	139.308(26)	107.498(65)	13.4 ($^1J_{\text{PW}} = 229$ Hz)	–2176

[a] Corresponding to Cp^{centroid}. [b] C_6D_6 , 162 MHz, 298 K. [c] C_6D_6 , 149 MHz, 298 K.

dppFe·W(CO)₄^[36] (253.32(16) pm and 256.27(17) pm). Interestingly, the P–W–P bite angle in **3b·W(CO)₄** is 107.5°, which is significantly larger than what is found in comparable compounds^[35a,b,36] (**dppFe·W(CO)₄**: 95.2°; $[\sigma\text{-}(\text{iPr}_2\text{P})_2(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4)]\cdot\text{W}(\text{CO})_4$: 79.8° and 80.1°; $1,4\text{-}(\text{Ph}_2\text{P})_2(\text{C}_4\text{H}_8)\cdot\text{W}(\text{CO})_4$: 91.7°), thus a surprisingly large bite angle and strong deviation from an ideal 90° angle.

As shown before, magnesocene **1b** (**dippMg**) could be utilized as a ligand for dimethylplatinum(II). To investigate analogous complexes with group 14 metallocene ligands, germanocene **2** (**dpp[#]Ge**) and stannocene **3b** (**dippSn**) were reacted with $(\text{COD})\text{PtMe}_2$ to give the corresponding complexes **2·PtMe₂** and **3b·PtMe₂** (Scheme 11).

Chemical shifts in the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra of 19.6 ppm (**2·PtMe₂**) and 22.0 ppm (**3b·PtMe₂**) with coupling constants of $^1J_{\text{PPT}} = 2011$ Hz (**2·PtMe₂**) and $^1J_{\text{PPT}} = 1843$ Hz (**3b·PtMe₂**; Table 3)

Scheme 11. Reaction of **2** and **3b** with (COD)Pt(Me)₂.

are similar to magnesium complex **1c**-PtMe₂ and **dppFe**-PtMe₂ (see above). The ¹¹⁹Sn NMR chemical shift of **3b**-PtMe₂ ($\delta^{119}\text{Sn} = -2170$ ppm) is upfield shifted by $\Delta\delta^{119}\text{Sn} = 36$ ppm compared with free stannocene **3b** (**dipp**Sn).

In the solid-state structures of **2**·PtMe₂ and **3b**-PtMe₂, the platinum atom shows a slightly distorted square-planar coordination geometry (Figure 13), with a P–Pt bond length similar to **dppFe**-PtMe₂:^[29b] (**2**·PtMe₂: 229.40(1) pm and 229.46(1) pm; **3b**-PtMe₂: 232.34(2) pm and 232.80(2) pm; **dppFe**-PtMe₂: 229.10(19) pm and 229.48(23) pm), and large P–Pt–P bite angles of 107.3° (**2**·PtMe₂) and 105.9° (**3b**-PtMe₂), which are much larger than in the iron analog **dppFe**-PtMe₂:^[29b] (100.8°) and similar to what was observed in tungsten complex **3b**-W(CO)₄. It is worth mentioning that large bite angles of this sort are often discussed with regards to high catalytic activity, for instance, in hydroformylation reactions involving rhodium complexes with bidentate bis(phosphanyl) ligands.^[2b,c]





Figure 13. Molecular structures of (a) **2**·PtMe₂ and (b) **3b**-PtMe₂ in the crystal (displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level; H atoms omitted). Selected bond lengths [pm] and angles [°]: **2**·PtMe₂: P1–Pt1 229.40(1), P2–Pt1 229.46(1), Cp^{cent}–Ge1 219.77(1)/220.17(1); Cp^{cent}–Ge1–Cp^{cent} 162.993(2), P1–Pt1–P2 107.338(2); **3b**-PtMe₂: P1–Pt1 232.80(2), P2–Pt1 232.34(2), Cp^{cent}–Sn1 237.30(2)/243.11(2); Cp^{cent}–Sn1–Cp^{cent} 149.810(4), P1–Pt1–P2 105.935(4).

A stibonocene and stibonocenium cation

Only a few examples of π -bonded cyclopentadienyl compounds of antimony are known, most of which possess bulky Cp ligands such as ((*t*Bu)₃C₅H₂)[−] and (Me₅C₅)[−].^[37,38] Furthermore, P-functionalized metallocenes of group 15 elements are completely unknown so far. As magnesocenes **1a–c** have proven to be powerful Cp-transfer reagents in the synthesis of ferrocenes and tetrelcenes, we attempted the synthesis of chlorostibonocene **5a** (**dipp**[#]SbCl) starting from magnesocene **1a** (**dipp**[#]Mg). Indeed, when magnesocene **1a** (**dipp**[#]Mg) is

Scheme 12. Reaction of **1a** with antimony(III) chloride.

treated with antimony(III) chloride in toluene at 198 K, the corresponding diphosphanylchlorostibonocene **5a** (**dipp**[#]SbCl) can be obtained (Scheme 12).

Chlorostibonocene **5a** (**dipp**[#]SbCl) represents the first example of a diphosphanylmetallocene-type compound based on a group 15 element. To probe the possibility of preparing a highly Lewis-amphiphilic stibonocenium cation, we reacted equimolar amounts of aluminium(III) chloride and chlorostibonocene **5a** (**dipp**[#]SbCl) in a toluene/*ortho*-difluorobenzene mixture, and obtained the stibonocenium aluminate salt, **5b**[AlCl₄] [(**dipp**[#]Sb)[AlCl₄]] (Scheme 13).

In the solid state (Figure 14), chlorostibonocene **5a** (**dipp**[#]SbCl) and stibonocenium cation **5b** (**dipp**[#]Sb⁺) both exhibit bent structures with two π -complexed Cp ligands in distorted η^5 -coordination mode, with a tendency towards η^3 . This is evident from the different Sb–C^{cp} bond lengths (**5a**: 259.11(22) pm to 277.68(21) pm; **5b**: 243.98(17) pm to 275.45(15) pm), although the C^{cp}–C^{cp} bond lengths are relatively uniform (**5a**: 140.08(30) pm to 143.67(29) pm; **5b**: 141.34(21) pm to 145.25(22) pm), indicating a high degree of π -conjugation. The Cp^{cent}–Sb–Cp^{cent} bending angle is 140.0° in



Scheme 13. Reaction of **5a** with aluminium(III) chloride.

Figure 14. Molecular structures of (a) **5a** and (b) **5b**[AlCl₄] in the crystal (displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level; H atoms omitted). Selected bond lengths [pm] and angles [°]: **5a**: Sb1–Cl1 257.71(9), Cp^{cent}–Sb1 238.34(3)/239.16(3); Cp^{cent}–Sb1–Cp^{cent} 139.946(11), **5b**[AlCl₄]: Sb1–Cl1 349.44(5), Cp^{cent}–Sb1 229.63(2)/230.03(3); Cp^{cent}–Sb1–Cp^{cent} 162.346(13).

5a ($\text{dipp}^{\#}\text{SbCl}$) and 162.4° in **5b** ($\text{dipp}^{\#}\text{Sb}^+$). Similar structural features are found in Cp^*_2SbCl and Cp^*_2Sb^+ ,^[37e] and in the tin analog **3a** ($\text{dipp}^{\#}\text{Sn}$). Interestingly, in contrast to $[\text{Cp}^*_2\text{Sb}][\text{AlCl}_4]^{[37e]}$ and $[\text{Me}_4\text{Si}_2[2](\text{C}_5\text{Me}_4)_2\text{Sb}][\text{AlCl}_4]^{[37f]}$ which both exhibit two cation–anion Sb–Cl contacts, **5b** (AlCl_4) ($\text{dipp}^{\#}\text{Sb}[\text{AlCl}_4]$) reveals only one cation–anion Sb–Cl contact, measuring $349.44(5)$ pm, which is shorter than in the aforementioned salts^[37e,f] ($374.40(23)$ pm to $375.99(21)$ pm), but significantly longer than the Sb–Cl bond in chlorostibonocene **5a** ($\text{dipp}^{\#}\text{SbCl}$) ($257.71(9)$ pm).

Overall, phosphanyl-functionalized metallocenium cations of group 15 elements represent a new and fascinating class of Lewis-amphiphilic compounds, which will be interesting for small molecule binding as well as ligands for transition-metal fragments in the future.

Conclusion

Herein, we describe a series of new phosphanyl-functionalized metallocenes based on main-group elements. Magnesocenes **1a–c** ($\text{dipp}^{\#}\text{Mg}$, $\text{dipp}^{\#}\text{Mg}$, $\text{dpp}^{\#}\text{Mg}$) are Lewis-amphiphilic compounds and can be used in small molecule activation, as ligands for transition-metal fragments and as Cp-transfer reagents in the preparation of ferrocenes and group 14 and 15 metallocenes. The first results of our reactivity studies include carbon disulfide complex **1b**·(CS_2)₂, isocyanate complex **1b**·PhNCO, and platinum complex **1b**·PtMe₂. By transmetalation with the corresponding group 14 dichlorides and antimony trichloride, we were able to synthesize a corresponding germanocene **2** ($\text{dpp}^{\#}\text{Ge}$), a series of stannocenes, **3a–d** ($\text{dipp}^{\#}\text{Sn}$, $\text{dipp}^{\#}\text{Sn}$, $\text{dpp}^{\#}\text{Sn}$, $\text{dpp}^{\#}\text{Sn}$), plumbocene **4** ($\text{dpp}^{\#}\text{Pb}$) and the first examples of phosphanyl-functionalized group 15 metallocenes, in the form of chlorostibonocene **5a** ($\text{dipp}^{\#}\text{SbCl}$) and stibonocenium cation **5b** ($\text{dipp}^{\#}\text{Sb}^+$). The coordination chemistry of germanocene **2** ($\text{dpp}^{\#}\text{Ge}$) and stannocene **3b** ($\text{dipp}^{\#}\text{Sn}$) was examined and a series of new heterobimetallic complexes with tungsten, platinum, and aluminium fragments could be obtained. In addition, the Lewis-acidity of the tin atom in stannocene **3b** ($\text{dipp}^{\#}\text{Sn}$) is highlighted by the isolation of carbene complex **3b**·NHC.

This work lays the foundation for a new class of main-group metallocene-based bis(phosphanyl) ligands, which possess great potential for future applications as ligands and in different bond-activation processes.

Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. Dr. Guido Kickelbick and Prof. Dr. David Scheschkewitz for their continuous support. Funding by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Emmy Noether Program, SCHA1915/3-1) and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged. Dr. Nils Steinbrück is thanked for his help with IR measurements. Susanne Harling is thanked for elemental analysis. Open access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: antimony · group 14 · heterobimetallic complexes · magnesium · metallocenes

- [1] "Phosphines and related P–C-bonded compounds": D. W. Allen in *Organophosphorus Chemistry*, Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, **2011**, pp. 1–51.
- [2] a) A. Pascariu, S. Iliescu, A. Popa, G. Ilia, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2009**, *694*, 3982–4000; b) P. C. J. Kamer, P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, J. N. H. Reek, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2001**, *34*, 895–904; c) Z. Freixa, P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, *Dalton Trans.* **2003**, 1890–1901.
- [3] a) J. H. L. Ong, C. Nataro, J. A. Golen, A. L. Rheingold, *Organometallics* **2003**, *22*, 5027–5032; b) S. L. Martinak, L. A. Sites, S. J. Kolb, K. M. Bocage, W. R. McNamara, A. L. Rheingold, J. A. Golen, C. Nataro, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2006**, *691*, 3627–3632; c) M. R. Ringenberg, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 2396–2406; d) S. W. Chien, T. S. A. Hor in *Ferrocenes*, Vol. 2 (Ed.: P. Stepnicka), Wiley, Chichester, **2008**, pp. 33–116; e) T. J. Colacot, S. Parisel in *Ferrocenes*, Vol. 3 (Ed.: P. Stepnicka), Wiley, Chichester, **2008**, pp. 117–140; f) G. Marr, T. Hunt, *J. Chem. Soc. C* **1969**, 1070–1072; g) J. J. Bishop, A. Davison, M. L. Katcher, D. W. Lichtenberg, R. E. Merrill, J. C. Smart, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1971**, *27*, 241–249.
- [4] a) R. M. Bellabarba, G. P. Clancy, P. T. Gomes, A. M. Martins, L. H. Rees, M. L. H. Green, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2001**, *640*, 93–112; b) M. Moriya, R. Fröhlich, G. Kehr, G. Erker, S. Grimme, *Chem. Asian J.* **2008**, *3*, 753–758; c) C. Leblanc, C. Moise, A. Maisonnat, R. Poilblanc, C. Charrier, F. Mathey, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1982**, *231*, C43–C48; d) B. L. Booth, K. G. Smith, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1981**, *220*, 229–237; e) W. Tikkanen, J. W. Ziller, *Organometallics* **1991**, *10*, 2266–2273; f) A. W. Rudie, D. W. Lichtenberg, M. L. Katcher, A. Davison, *Inorg. Chem.* **1978**, *17*, 2859–2863; g) D. L. DuBois, C. W. Eigenbrot, A. Miedaner, J. C. Smart, R. C. Haltiwanger, *Organometallics* **1986**, *5*, 1405–1411; h) W. Tikkanen, Y. Fujita, J. L. Petersen, *Organometallics* **1986**, *5*, 888–894; i) C. Cornelissen, G. Erker, G. Kehr, R. Fröhlich, *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 214–225; j) J. Szymoniak, M. M. Kubicki, J. Besançon, C. Moise, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1991**, *180*, 153–160; k) S. Li, B. Wei, P. M. N. Low, H. K. Lee, T. S. A. Hor, F. Xue, T. C. W. Mak, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* **1997**, 1289–1294; l) O. V. Gusev, A. M. Kalsin, P. V. Petrovskii, K. A. Lyssenko, Y. F. Oprunenko, C. Bianchini, A. Meli, W. Oberhauser, *Organometallics* **2003**, *22*, 913–915; m) T. Miyazaki, Y. Tanabe, M. Yuki, Y. Miyake, Y. Nishibayashi, *Organometallics* **2011**, *30*, 2394–2404; n) A. Antiñolo, T. Expósito, I. del Hierro, D. Lucas, Y. Mugnier, I. Orive, A. Otero, S. Prashar, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2002**, *655*, 63–69.
- [5] a) G. B. Deacon, A. Dietrich, C. M. Forsyth, H. Schumann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1989**, *28*, 1370–1371; *Angew. Chem.* **1989**, *101*, 1374–1375; b) H. Schumann, J. A. Meese-Marktscheffel, B. Gorella, F. H. Görlitz, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1992**, *428*, C27–C32; c) R. Broussier, G. Delmas, P. Perron, B. Gautheron, J. L. Petersen, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1996**, *511*, 185–192; d) G. Lin, W.-T. Wong, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1996**, *523*, 93–98.
- [6] a) A. H. Cowley, J. G. Lasch, N. C. Norman, C. A. Stewart, T. C. Wright, *Organometallics* **1983**, *2*, 1691–1692; b) D. P. Daniels, G. B. Deacon, D. Harakat, F. Jaroschik, P. C. Junk, *Dalton Trans.* **2012**, *41*, 267–277.
- [7] a) K. M. Gramigna, J. V. Oria, C. L. Mandell, M. A. Tiedemann, W. G. Dougherty, N. A. Piro, W. S. Kassel, B. C. Chan, P. L. Diaconescu, C. Nataro, *Organometallics* **2013**, *32*, 5966–5979; b) E. P. Warnick, R. J. Dupuis, N. A. Piro, W. Scott Kassel, C. Nataro, *Polyhedron* **2016**, *114*, 156–164.
- [8] a) L. B. Kool, M. Ogasa, M. D. Rausch, R. D. Rogers, *Organometallics* **1989**, *8*, 1785–1790; b) M. Ogasa, M. D. Rausch, R. D. Rogers, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1991**, *403*, 279–291; c) S. K. Mohapatra, S. Büschel, C. Daniiliuc, P. G. Jones, M. Tamm, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 17014–17023; d) H. Braunschweig, M. Drisch, M. Friedrich, T. Kupfer, K. Radacki, *Organometallics* **2011**, *30*, 5202–5207; e) T. R. Eger, I. Munstein, A. Steiner, Y. Sun, G. Niedner-Schatteburg, W. R. Thiel, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2016**, *810*, 51–56.
- [9] G. Trouve, R. Broussier, B. Gautheron, M. M. Kubicki, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C* **1991**, *47*, 1966–1967.

- [10] G. K. Anderson, N. P. Rath, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1991**, *414*, 129–135.
- [11] a) D. Stalke, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1994**, *33*, 2168–2171; *Angew. Chem.* **1994**, *106*, 2256–2259; b) S. Harder, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1998**, *176*, 17–66; c) A. Xia, J. E. Knox, M. J. Heeg, H. B. Schlegel, C. H. Winter, *Organometallics* **2003**, *22*, 4060–4069.
- [12] a) M. A. Beswick, N. L. Cromhout, C. N. Harmer, P. R. Raithby, C. A. Russell, J. S. B. Smith, A. Steiner, D. S. Wright, *Chem. Commun.* **1996**, 1977–1978; b) D. R. Armstrong, M. A. Beswick, N. L. Cromhout, C. N. Harmer, D. Moncrieff, C. A. Russell, P. R. Raithby, A. Steiner, A. E. H. Wheatley, D. S. Wright, *Organometallics* **1998**, *17*, 3176–3181; c) M. A. Beswick, J. S. Palmer, D. S. Wright, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **1998**, *27*, 225–232; d) C. Müller, A. Stahlich, L. Wirtz, C. Gretsche, V. Huch, A. Schäfer, *Inorg. Chem.* **2018**, *57*, 8050–8053; e) L. Wirtz, M. Jourdain, V. Huch, M. Zimmer, A. Schäfer, *ACS Omega* **2019**, *4*, 18355–18360; f) S. Danés, C. Müller, L. Wirtz, V. Huch, T. Block, R. Pöttgen, A. Schäfer, D. M. Andrada, *Organometallics* **2020**, *39*, 516–527.
- [13] G. Bouhadir, D. Bourissou, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2016**, *45*, 1065–1079.
- [14] a) M. Asay, C. Jones, M. Driess, *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 354–396; b) J. Baumgartner, C. Marschner, *Rev. Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *34*, 119–152; c) L. Álvarez-Rodríguez, J. A. Cabeza, P. García-Álvarez, D. Polo, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *300*, 1–28; d) V. Nesterov, D. Reiter, P. Bag, P. Frisch, R. Holzner, A. Porzelt, S. Inoue, *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118*, 9678–9842; e) A. Doddi, M. Peters, M. Tamm, *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119*, 6994–7112; f) Y. Mizuhata, T. Sasamori, N. Tokitoh, *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *109*, 3479–3511 [Corrigendum: Y. Mizuhata, T. Sasamori, N. Tokitoh, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 3850]; g) E. Rivard, *Dalton Trans.* **2014**, *43*, 8577–8586.
- [15] F. Mathey, J.-P. Lampin, *Tetrahedron* **1975**, *31*, 2685–2690.
- [16] T. Sixt, M. Sieger, M. J. Krafft, D. Bubrin, J. Fiedler, W. Kaim, *Organometallics* **2010**, *29*, 5511–5516.
- [17] a) R. Benn, H. Lehmkuhl, K. Mehler, A. Ruffiniska, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1984**, *23*, 534–535; *Angew. Chem.* **1984**, *96*, 521–523; b) R. Benn, A. Ruffiniska, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1986**, *25*, 861–881; *Angew. Chem.* **1986**, *98*, 851–871; c) H. Lehmkuhl, K. Mehler, R. Benn, A. Ruffiniska, C. Krüger, *Chem. Ber.* **1986**, *119*, 1054–1069.
- [18] A. Pape, M. Lutz, G. Müller, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1994**, *33*, 2281–2284; *Angew. Chem.* **1994**, *106*, 2375–2377.
- [19] A. Koch, S. Kriek, H. Görls, M. Westerhausen, *Inorganics* **2016**, *4*, 39.
- [20] J. Langer, I. Kosygin, R. Puchta, J. Pahl, S. Harder, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 17425–17435.
- [21] A. Jaenschke, J. Paap, U. Behrens, *Organometallics* **2003**, *22*, 1167–1169.
- [22] a) P. J. Shapiro, S.-J. Lee, P. Perrotin, T. Cantrell, A. Blumenfeld, B. Twamley, *Polyhedron* **2005**, *24*, 1366–1381; b) P. Perrotin, P. J. Shapiro, M. Williams, B. Twamley, *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 1823–1826; c) P. Perrotin, B. Twamley, P. J. Shapiro, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. E* **2007**, *63*, m1277–m1278.
- [23] All DFT calculations were performed by using the Gaussian 09 Revision D.01 software suite. See the Supporting Information for further details and references.
- [24] a) K. Samigullin, I. Georg, M. Bolte, H.-W. Lerner, M. Wagner, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 3478–3484; b) I. G. Albuerna, M. A. Alvarez, M. E. García, D. García-Vivó, M. A. Ruiz, *Dalton Trans.* **2017**, *46*, 3510–3525; c) P. Holtkamp, F. Friedrich, E. Stratmann, A. Mix, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stämmler, N. W. Mitzel, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 5114–5118; *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 5168–5172; d) R. Lalrempuia, A. Stasch, C. Jones, *Chem. Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 4383–4388; e) R. Grubba, W. Wojnowski, K. Baranowska, E. Baum, J. Pikies, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. E* **2006**, *62*, m2080–m2081.
- [25] See the Supporting Information for further details.
- [26] L. E. Lemmerz, A. Wong, G. Ménard, T. P. Spaniol, J. Okuda, *Polyhedron* **2020**, *178*, 114331.
- [27] a) V. Ritleng, M. J. Chetcuti, *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 797–858; b) N. Wheatley, P. Kalck, *Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *99*, 3379–3420.
- [28] H. R. Shahsavari, M. Rashidi, S. M. Nabavizadeh, S. Habibzadeh, F. W. Heinemann, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, 3814–3820.
- [29] a) C. M. Haar, S. P. Nolan, W. J. Marshall, K. G. Moloy, A. Prock, W. P. Giering, *Organometallics* **1999**, *18*, 474–479; b) D. C. Smith, C. M. Haar, E. D. Stevens, S. P. Nolan, W. J. Marshall, K. G. Moloy, *Organometallics* **2000**, *19*, 1427–1433.
- [30] A. P. Shaw, J. R. Norton, D. Buccella, L. A. Sites, S. S. Kleinbach, D. A. Jarem, K. M. Bocage, C. Nataro, *Organometallics* **2009**, *28*, 3804–3814.
- [31] C. Janiak, R. Schumann, C. Stader, B. Wrackmeyer, J. J. Zuckerman, *Chem. Ber.* **1988**, *121*, 1745–1751.
- [32] S. Freitag, K. M. Krebs, J. Henning, J. Hirdler, H. Schubert, L. Wesemann, *Organometallics* **2013**, *32*, 6785–6791.
- [33] H. Arp, J. Baumgartner, C. Marschner, T. Müller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 5632–5635.
- [34] J. Burt, W. Levason, M. E. Light, G. Reid, *Dalton Trans.* **2014**, *43*, 14600–14611.
- [35] a) S.-T. Liu, C.-L. Tsao, M.-C. Cheng, S.-M. Peng, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C* **1989**, *45*, 1879–1881; b) E. Lindner, R. Fawzi, H. A. Mayer, K. Eichele, W. Hiller, *Organometallics* **1992**, *11*, 1033–1043.
- [36] L.-C. Song, J.-T. Liu, Q.-M. Hu, G.-F. Wang, P. Zanella, M. Fontani, *Organometallics* **2000**, *19*, 5342–5351.
- [37] a) W. Frank, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1991**, *406*, 331–341; b) Y. Ehleiter, G. Wolmershäuser, H. Sitzmann, R. Boese, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1996**, *622*, 923–930; c) H. Sitzmann, Y. Ehleiter, G. Wolmershäuser, A. Ecker, C. Üffing, H. Schnöckel, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1997**, *527*, 209–213; d) M. Schiffer, B. P. Johnson, M. Scheer, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2000**, *626*, 2498–2504; e) R. J. Wiacek, J. N. Jones, C. L. Macdonald, A. H. Cowley, *Can. J. Chem.* **2002**, *80*, 1518–1523; f) A. S. D. Stahlich, V. Huch, A. Grandjean, K. Rohe, K. I. Leszczyńska, D. Scheschkewitz, A. Schäfer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 173–176; g) O. Coughlin, T. Krämer, S. L. Benjamin, *Dalton Trans.* **2020**, *49*, 1726–1730.
- [38] a) P. Jutzi, N. Burford, *Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *99*, 969–990; b) P. H. M. Budzelaar, J. J. Engelberts, J. H. van Lenthe, *Organometallics* **2003**, *22*, 1562–1576.

Manuscript received: December 4, 2020

Accepted manuscript online: January 7, 2021

Version of record online: March 8, 2021