# $[TMPA]_4[Si_8O_{20}] \cdot 34 H_2O$ and $[DDBO]_4[Si_8O_{20}] \cdot 32 H_2O$ are Heteronetwork Clathrates with 1,1,4,4-Tetramethylpiperazinium (TMPA) and 1,4-Dimethyl-1,4-Diazoniabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DDBO) Guest Cations

Michael Wiebcke<sup>\*\*</sup>, Jörg Emmer<sup>\*</sup>, Jürgen Felsche<sup>\*</sup>, Dagobert Hoebbel<sup>b</sup>, and Günter Engelhardt<sup>c</sup>

\* Konstanz, Fakultät für Chemie der Universität

<sup>b</sup> Saarbrücken, Institut für Neue Materalien

° Stuttgart, Institut für Technische Chemie I der Universität

Received October 7th, 1993.

Abstract. [TMPA]<sub>4</sub>[Si<sub>8</sub>O<sub>20</sub>] · 34 H<sub>2</sub>O (1) and [DDBO]<sub>4</sub>[Si<sub>8</sub>O<sub>20</sub>] · 32 H<sub>2</sub>O (2) have been prepared by crystallization from aqueous solutions of the respective quaternary alkylammonium hydroxide and SiO<sub>2</sub>. The crystal structures have been determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. 1: Monoclinic, a = 16.056(2), b = 22.086(6), c = 22.701(2) Å,  $\beta = 90.57(1)^{\circ}$  (T = 210 K), space group C2/c, Z = 4. 2: Monoclinic, a = 14.828(9), b = 20.201(7), c = 15.519(5) Å,  $\beta = 124.13(4)^{\circ}$  (T = 255 K), space group P2<sub>1</sub>/c, Z = 2. The polyhydrates are structurally related host-guest compounds with three-dimensional host frameworks composed of oligomeric [Si<sub>8</sub>O<sub>20</sub>]<sup>8</sup> anions and H<sub>2</sub>O molecules which are linked *via* hydrogen bonds. The silicate anions possess a cube-shaped double four-ring structure and a characteristic local environment formed by 24 H<sub>2</sub>O molecules and six cations (TMPA,  $[C_8H_{20}N_2]^2$ , or DDBO,  $[C_8H_{18}N_2]^{2+}$ ). The cations themselves reside as guest species in large, irregular, cage-like voids. Studies employing <sup>29</sup>Si NMR spectroscopy and the trimethylsilylation method have revealed that the saturated aqueous solutions of 1 and 2 contain high proportions of double four-ring silicate anions. Such anions are also abundant species in the saturated solution of the heteronetwork clathrate  $[DMPI]_6[Si_8O_{18}(OH)_2] \cdot 48.5 H_2O$  (3) with 1,1-dimethylpiperidinium (DMPI,  $[C_7H_{16}N]^+$ ) guest cations.

**Keywords:** Silicate hydrate; 1,1,4,4-tetramethylpiperazinium; 1,4-dimethyl-1,4-diazoniabicyclo[2.2.2]octane; 1,1-dimethylpiperidinium; clathrate; crystal structure; double four-ring silicate anion; hydrogen bonding

# $[TMPA]_{4}[Si_{8}O_{20}] \cdot 34H_{2}O \text{ und } [DDBO]_{4}[Si_{8}O_{20}] \cdot 32H_{2}O \text{ sind Heteronetzwerk-Clathrate mit } 1,1,4,4-Tetramethylpiperazinium (TMPA) und 1,4-Dimethyl-1,4-Diazoniabicyclo[2.2.2]octan (DDBO) Gastkationen$

Inhaltsübersicht.  $[TMPA]_4[Si_8O_{20}] \cdot 34 H_2O$  (1) und

[DDBO]<sub>4</sub>[Si<sub>8</sub>O<sub>20</sub>] · 32 H<sub>2</sub>O (2) wurden durch Kristallisation aus wäßrigen Lösungen der entsprechenden quartären Alkylammoniumhydroxide und SiO<sub>2</sub> erhalten. Die Kristallstrukturen wurden mittels Einkristallröntgenbeugung bestimmt. 1: Monoklin, a = 16,056(2), b = 22,086(6), c = 22,701(2) Å,  $\beta = 90,57(1)^{\circ}$  (T = 210 K), Raumgruppe C2/c, Z = 4. 2: Monoklin, a = 14,828(9), b = 20,201(7), c = 15,519(5) Å,  $\beta = 124,13(4)^{\circ}$  (T = 255 K), Raumgruppe P2<sub>1</sub>/c, Z = 2. Die Polyhydrate sind strukturverwandte Wirt – Gast-Verbindungen mit dreidimensionalen Wirtstrukturen aus über Wasserstoffbrücken verknüpften oligomeren Anionen [Si<sub>8</sub>O<sub>20</sub>]<sup>s-</sup> und H<sub>2</sub>O-Molekülen. Die Silicat-Anionen haben eine würfelförmige Doppelvierring-Struktur und eine lokale Umgebung, die von 24 H<sub>2</sub>O-Molekülen und sechs Kationen (TMPA,  $[C_8H_{20}N_2]^{2+}$ , oder DDBO,  $[C_8H_{18}N_2]^{2+}$ ) gebildet wird. Die Kationen befinden sich als Gastspezies in großen, unregelmäßigen, käfigartigen Hohlräumen. Untersuchungen mittels der <sup>29</sup>Si-NMR-Spektroskopie und der Trimethylsilylierungsmethode haben gezeigt, daß die gesättigten Lösungen von 1 und 2 hohe Anteile an Doppelvierring-Anionen enthalten. Derartige Anionen sind auch in der gesättigten Lösung des Heteronetz-werk-Clathrats [DMPI]<sub>6</sub>[Si<sub>8</sub>O<sub>18</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub>] · 48,5 H<sub>2</sub>O (3) mit 1,1-Dimethylpiperidinium-Kationen (DMP1,  $[C-H_{16}N]^+$ ) als Gastspezies enthalten.

# Introduction

We have recently shown [1, 2] that some crystalline tetramethylammonium silicate and aluminosilicate hydrates can be described as host-guest compounds with three-dimensional, mixed (alumino)silicate-water host frameworks. Such heteronetwork clathrates provide a link between zeolite-type and clathrate hydrate-type materials, two well known classes of crystalline host-guest compounds [3, 4], and may be of interest as crystalline model systems for studies on the mechanisms of zeolite formation [1, 2] which are still poorly understood [5].

The present knowledge of silicate hydrates with cyclic quaternary alkylammonium cations is very scarce; only one paper is available in the literature reporting preparation and powder X-ray data of a DDBO silicate hydrate of composition [DDBO]( $H_3SiO_4$ )<sub>2</sub> · 2 $H_2O$  [6]. Cyclic alkylammonium cations are frequently used as structure-directing agents in the hydrothermal syntheses of high-silica zeolites [7] which are of particular interest as shape-selective heterogenous catalysts.

Therefore, we have expanded our studies into silicate hydrates with cyclic quaternary alkylammonium cations. Preliminary results of studies on a sodium containing DDBO silicate hydrate are reported in ref. [8], while preparation and crystal structure of **3** are dealt with in ref. [9]. This paper is concerned with the preparations and single-crystal X-ray structure analyses of the novel polyhydrates **1** and **2**. In addition, the saturated aqueous solutions (mother liquors) of **1** and **2** and **3** have been investigated by means of  ${}^{29}$ Si NMR spectroscopy and chemical methods (trimethylsilylation/gas chromatography). The results of these studies are also reported.

#### **Experimental Section**

**Preparations.** Commercial materials were used as purchased without further purification for the syntheses of 1 and 2.

First,  $[TMPA]I_2$  and  $[DDBO]Br_2$  were obtained by methylation of 1,4-dimethylpiperazine with iodomethane and 1,2-dibromoethane, respectively, using standard routes [10, 11]. Secondly, aqueous solutions of the halides were converted to aqueous solutions of the hydroxides by treatment with a strongly basic anion-exchange resin (Duolite A102 D col, Merck). The constitutions of the alkylammonium cations were checked by conventional <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy.

Finally, silica was dissolved in aqueous solutions of the hydroxides under heating at 333 K to yield clear solutions with molar ratios of  $[TMPA]O:SiO_2:H_2O = 1:1:47$  and

[DDBO]O:SiO<sub>2</sub>:  $H_2O = 1:1:232$ . From the former solution crystals of 1 deposited already at 333 K; the solution was cooled down to room temperature. 2 crystallized from the latter solution at 277 K, after the solution had been concentrated by vaporization of water in a desiccator. The crystals of 1 and 2 were stored under the mother liquors and dried between filter paper prior to use. Compositions were determined by standard volumetric titrations (H<sub>2</sub>O by weight difference).

1:  $4[TMPA]O \cdot 8SiO_2 \cdot 34H_2O$ ; colourless, transparent crystals with octahedron-like shape which melt congruently at 400 K; slow decomposition (dehydration) in air. 2:  $4[DDBO]O \cdot 8SiO_2 \cdot 43H_2O$ ; colourless, transparent crystals with cube-like shape which melt incongruently at 352 K; slow decomposition (dehydration) in air.

Characterization of solids. Phase purity of 1 and 2 was checked by powder X-ray diffraction. The thermal (melting) behaviour was studied at temperatures above 180 K by DSC measurements (Perkin Elmer DSC-7 apparatus, sealed Ag containers, heating rates  $20 \text{ K} \cdot \min^{-1}$ ). Solid-state <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>29</sup>Si MAS NMR spectra of 1 were recorded on a Bruker MSL-400

spectrometer at resonance frequencies of 100.63 (<sup>13</sup>C) and 79.49 (<sup>29</sup>Si) MHz, applying single pulse excitation, proton decoupling and spinning rates of 4 kHz; chemical shifts of both nuclei are referenced to tetramethylsilane (TMS).

Studies of aqueous silicate solutions. <sup>29</sup>Si NMR spectra of the mother liquors of 1, 2 and 3 were measured at room temperature on a Bruker AC-200 spectrometer operating at 39.76 MHz resonance frequency. Because of slow relaxation of the Si nucleus in alkylammonium silicate solutions, long pulse repitition rates (60 s) were applied to enable more quantitative results by spectral integration. Chemical shifts are given relative to TMS dissolved in acetone-d<sub>6</sub>, which was used as external standard.

Trimethylsilylation of silicate solutions was performed by the method of *Tamás*, *Sarkar* and *Roy* [12] as described elsewhere [13]. Tetradecane was used as internal standard in the gas chromatographic separation of the trimethylsilyl silicic acid esters for quantitative analysis.

Single-crystal X-ray structure analyses. Single crystals of 1 and 2 were sealed in thin-walled glass capillaries and mounted on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer (low-temperature device, graphite-crystal monochromatized MoK $\tilde{\alpha}$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å). Intensities were measured by variable  $\omega$ -scan (1) and  $\omega/2\theta$ -scan (2) techniques. Absorption effects were considered negligible.

The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by the full-matrix least-squares procedure including the observed reflections which were weighted according to  $w = 4F^2/[\sigma_1^2 +$  $(0.06 \cdot F^2)^2$ ]. The function minimized was  $\Sigma w(\Delta F)^2$ . Statistical occupancy factors of disordered atoms were derived from difference Fourier syntheses and in some cases from refinement. Non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters, with the exception of two disordered C atoms of 1 which were treated isotropically. In the case of 1, 33 out of the total of 34 water H atoms and all H atoms of the two ordered TMPA cations were determined by difference-Fourier methods. The coordinates of these H atoms were varied in the final cycles of refinement but their isotropic displacement parameters were kept fixed at arbitrary values. Finally, the coordinates obtained for the water H atoms were approximately corrected for the well-known systematic error of X-ray methods by shifting the atoms in the direction of the O-H vector to adjust the bond lenght to the "standard" value 0.96 Å [14]; additionally, coordinates of the H atoms bonded to C atoms of the ordered TMPA cations were calculated assuming d(C-H) = 1.08 Å[14] and tetrahedral bond angles (only small deviations from the experimentally determined coordinates). In the case of 2, localization of water and cation H atoms turned out to be more difficult (see Results and Discussion), and in the final cycles of refinement H atoms were left out. Computations were done on a VAX3200 workstation (Digital Equipment) using the SDP program system [15]. Complex scattering factors for neutral atoms were taken from ref. [16].

Further details of the structure analyses are listed in Table 1. Data not reported in the following (displacement parameters, coordinates of H atoms, remaining interatomic distances and angles, and structure factor amplitudes) are available from the Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe, Gesellschaft für wissenschaftlich-technische Information mbH, D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, by quoting the depository number CSD-57789, the names of the authors and the literature citation.

	1	2
Formula weight	1734.22	1 690.11
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	C2/c	$P2_1/c$
Z	4	2
Temperature (K)	210	255
Cell constants: a (Å)	16.056(2)	14.828(9)
b (Å)	22.086(6)	20.201(7)
c (Å)	22.701(2)	15.519(5)
β(°)	90.57(1)	124.13(4)
Cell volume (Å <sup>3</sup> )	8050	3848
Calc. density (Mg $\cdot$ m <sup>-3</sup> )	1.43	1.47
F(000)	4016	1 824
$\mu(MoK\overline{\alpha}) (mm^{-1})$	0.23	0.24
Range of $2\theta$ (°)	4 - 55	4 - 50
Range of indices h, k, l	0-20, 0-28, -29 to 29	0-12, 0-23, -15 to 15
No. of reflections measured	9 592	6065
No. of unique reflections; R <sub>int</sub>	9248; 0.028	5782; 0.046
No. of observed reflections m	6252 (1 > 1.5 $\sigma_{\rm T}$ )	$3479 (I > 1.0\sigma_1)$
No. of parameters n varied	675	460
$\mathbf{R} = \Sigma(\Delta \mathbf{F}) / \Sigma \mathbf{F}$	0.059	0.080
$\mathbf{R}_{w} = [\Sigma w (\Delta \mathbf{F})^{2} / \Sigma w \mathbf{F}^{2}]^{1/2}$	0.066	0.085
$S = [\Sigma w(\Delta F)^2/(m-n)]^{1/2}$	1.341	1.676
Minimum $\Delta \rho$ ; maximum $\Delta \rho$ [e · Å <sup>-3</sup> ]	-0.52; +0.55	-0.40; +0.55

Table 1 [TMPA]<sub>4</sub>[Si<sub>8</sub>O<sub>20</sub>] · 34 H<sub>2</sub>O (1) and [DDBO]<sub>4</sub>[Si<sub>8</sub>O<sub>20</sub>] · 32 H<sub>2</sub>O (2): Crystal data and details of X-ray structure analysis

#### **Results and Discussion**

1 and 2 crystallize from aqueous silicate solutions of cyclic TMPA<sup>2+</sup> and bicyclic DDBO<sup>2+</sup> cations, respectively, with a cation/SiO<sub>2</sub> molar ratio of 1:1 (see Experimental Section).

# Crystal structures

Crystal data are listed in Table 1, atomic coordinates in Tables 2 and 3, and selected interatomic distances and angles in Table 4.

Common features of the structures of 1 and 2. The host structures of the heteronetwork clathrates [1, 2] are three-dimensional assemblies of oligometric [Si<sub>8</sub>O<sub>20</sub>]<sup>8-</sup> anions and H<sub>2</sub>O molecules (OW) which are linked via hydrogen bonds O-H···O. As shown in Fig. 1, the silicate anions in 1 and 2 are built up of eight SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra sharing three corners each to form a cubeshaped double four-ring structure (vierer double ring according to the nomenclature proposed by Liebau [17]). Although each mixed silicate-water host framework is unique and rather irregular, there exist some similarities between the structures as is revealed by the projections displayed in Figs. 2 and 3. The TMPA cations (Fig. 4a-c) and DDBO cations (Fig. 4e-f) reside as guest species in large, irregular, cage-like voids formed by the host structures. In 1, not in 2, there occur small, distorted water cubes that are empty (Fig. 4d).

One crystallographically distinct silicate anion with usual bond lenghts and angles occurs in 1 and 2. Each anion possesses a small distortion (site symmetry  $\overline{1}$ ) with regard to the maximum symmetry m $\overline{3}$ m of a double four ring. A distortion of the double four ring in 1 is also revealed by the <sup>29</sup>Si MAS NMR spectrum (Fig. 5a) which exhibits three strongly overlapping signals with isotropic chemical shifts of -98.0, -98.6 and -98.9 ppm [18]. In either clathrate, the local environment of the silicate anion comprises 24 H<sub>2</sub>O molecules and six cations being organized in a similar manner as shown in Fig. 1. Within such a finite cluster of composition [[cation]<sub>6</sub>[Si<sub>8</sub>O<sub>20</sub>][H<sub>2</sub>O]<sub>24</sub>]<sup>4-</sup>, each terminal O atom (O<sub>term</sub>)

accepts three comparatively short, *i.e.* strong, hydrogen bonds from three  $H_2O$  molecules; opposite to each tetragonal face there is located a cation.

Details of the structure of 1. The crystal structure may be described being composed of layers extented parallel (010). The layer shown at the top of Fig. 2, which contains already all the host framework atoms, is constituted by double ring silicate anions, large cages as shown in Figs. 4b and 4c, and small water cubes (Fig. 4d). Such layers follow each other along [010], *i.e.* perpendicular to (010), in AB sequence; interleaved are layers (Fig. 2, bottom) that contain TMPA cations as shown in Fig. 4a.

The structure analysis indicates that O and H atoms of the  $H_2O$  molecules are in ordered positions. The hydrogen bonds of the silicate-water host framework may be considered as two-center  $O-H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$  bonds [19] with  $H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$  distances between 1.63 and 2.01 Å (other

Table 2 [TMPA]\_4[Si\_8O\_{20}] · 34 H\_2O(1): Fractional atomiccoordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacementparameters(Ų) of the non-hydrogen atoms with estimatedstandard deviations in parentheses

Table 3  $[DDBO]_4[Si_8O_{20}] \cdot 32H_2O$  (2): Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$  with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						Atom	х	v	z	Uª)
	Atom	x	У	Z	$U_{iso}/U_{eq}{}^{a}$ )					- eų )
Sh1         0.2550(5)         0.33524(4)         -0.0072(4)         0.01089(2)         siz         0.3397(1)         0.0048(1)         0.02           Si3         0.11387(5)         0.3226(4)         0.00678(4)         0.01115(2)         Si3         0.3397(1)         0.0019(1)         0.0068(1)         0.02           Si4         0.2510(3)         0.13387(4)         -0.0159(4)         0.0164(1)         Si4         0.6319(4)         0.0016(4)         Si3         0.0219(2)         0.0064(3)         0.0319(2)           O1         0.3366(2)         0.266(4)         -0.0459(4)         0.0018(4)         0.0219(4)         0.0014(4)         0.013         0.0164(3)         0.0118(3)         0.0164(3)         0.0318(3)         0.0164(3)         0.0118(3)         0.0164(3)         0.0318(3)         0.0164(3)         0.0118(3)         0.0163(3)         0.0164(3)         0.0318(3)         0.0164(3)         0.0318(3)         0.0164(3)         0.03318(3)         0.0108(3)         0.0163(3)         0.0163(3)         0.0163(3)         0.0163(3)         0.0163(3)         0.0163(3)         0.0163(3)         0.0138(3)         0.0133(3)         0.0333(3)         0.0333(3)         0.0333(3)         0.0333(3)         0.0333(3)         0.0333(3)         0.0333(3)         0.0333(3)         0.0333(3)			····			Si1	0.5770(1)	0.1241(1)	0.0639(1)	0.0231(5)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Si1	0.25505(5)	0.33524(4)	-0.08726(4)	0.01089(2)	Si2	0.3307(1)	0.0845(1)	-0.0608(1)	0.0234(5)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Si2	0.38987(5)	0.31837(4)	0.01194(4)	0.01064(1)	Si3	0.3927(1)	-0.0378(1)	0.0868(1)	0.0237(5)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Si3	0.11387(5)	0.32366(4)	0.00678(4)	0.01115(2)	Si4	0.5727(1)	0.0078(1)	0.2114(1)	0.0249(5)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Si4	0.25130(5)	0.19353(4)	-0.10559(4)	0.01064(1)	01	0.0387(1)	0.0019(1)	0.2114(1) 0.0064(3)	0.0240(3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	01	0.3369(2)	0.3416(1)	-0.0450(1)	0.01976(6)	01	0.4401(3)	0,1238(3)	0.0064(3)	0.036(1)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	02	0.1734(2)	0.3462(1)	-0.0464(1)	0.01988(6)	02	0.6053(3)	0.0967(2)	-0.0164(3)	0.038(1)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	03	0.2519(2)	0.2664(1)	-0.1121(1)	0.02039(6)	03	0.6319(4)	0.0748(2)	0.1638(3)	0.036(1)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	04	0.4102(2)	0.2466(1)	0.0048(1)	0.02014(6)	O4	0.3335(3)	0.0258(3)	0.0118(3)	0.035(1)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	05	0.3314(1)	0.3264(1)	0.0696(1)	0.01874(6)	O5	0.3216(3)	0.0504(3)	-0.1600(3)	0.035(1)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	00	0.16/6(2)	0.3278(1)	0.06/9(1)	0.02052(6)	O6	0.5167(3)	-0.0180(3)	0.1760(3)	0.036(1)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0/	0.2565(2)	0.3824(1)	-0.1393(1)	0.01611(0)	07	0.6230(3)	0.1967(2)	0.1002(3)	0.033(1)
$ \begin{array}{c} 0.00 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.016 \\ 0.017 \\ 0.0181 \\ 0.0181 \\ 0.0181 \\ 0.0182 \\ 0$	00	0.4723(1) 0.0242(1)	0.3372(1)	0.0189(1)	0.01684(3)	O8	0.2321(3)	0.1335(2)	-0.0967(3)	0.035(1)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	010	0.0343(1) 0.2517(1)	0.3030(1)	0.0100(1)	0.01008(3)	09	0.3297(3)	-0.0611(3)	0.1358(3)	0.035(1)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$OW_1$	0.2517(1) 0.1031(2)	0.1009(1)	-0.1079(1)	0.01462(5)	O10	0.7194(3)	0.0021(3)	0.3337(3)	0.033(1)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	OW1 OW2	0.1051(2)	0.1110(1) 0.3216(1)	-0.1980(1)	0.02850(0)	OW1	0 7869(4)	0.1691(3)	0.9265(4)	0.048(1)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	01/3	-0.1172(2)	0.3210(1) 0.3032(4)	-0.0033(2)	0.03904(9)	0W2	0,7002(4)	0.1021(3)	0.9203(4)	0.040(1)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	OWJ	0.0100(2)	0.3032(1) 0.4318(1)	-0.1691(1)	0.03403(0)	0.112	0.7733(4)	0.2402(3)	0.0701(4)	0.052(3)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	OW5	-0.0041(2)	0.4310(1)	-0.0794(1)	0.03204(6)	OW 3	0.4381(4)	-0.0863(3)	0.3370(3)	0.044(1)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	OW6	-0.00+1(2)	0.9410(1)	0.6967(1)	0.02909(0)	OW4	0.3377(4)	0.0501(3)	0.3438(4)	0.048(1)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	OW7	0.1017(2) 0.0097(2)	0.0322(2) 0.4339(1)	0.0007(1)	0.07837(6)	OW5	0.5152(4)	-0.2064(3)	0.4378(4)	0.043(1)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	OW8	0.0077(2)	0.1029(1) 0.2048(2)	0.4007(1)	0.04205(9)	OW6	0.1434(4)	0.1355(3)	0.0116(4)	0.065(3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ow9	0.2461(2)	0.1062(2)	0.6674(2)	0.0532(1)	OW7	0.0718(5)	0.1378(4)	0.7050(5)	0.082(3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	OW10	0.2512(2)	0.1776(2)	0.5741(1)	0.04078(9)	OW8	0.0788(4)	0.0377(3)	0.5861(4)	0.062(3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	OW11	0.4870(2)	0.4354(1)	0.1037(1)	0.02888(6)	OW9	0.8580(5)	0.1392(3)	0.6528(5)	0.077(3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	OW12	0.3961(2)	0.0569(1)	0.6945(1)	0.04028(8)	OW10	0.7040(4)	0.0387(4)	0.6113(5)	0.084(3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	OW13	0.4000(2)	0.1170(1)	-0.2010(1)	0.03559(8)	OW11	0.7944(5)	0.1157(3)	0.4320(4)	0.076(3)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	OW14	0.2396(2)	0.2206(1)	-0.2675(1)	0.03078(8)	OW12	0.7198(5)	0.2223(3)	0.3022(4)	0.076(3)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	OW15	0.4040(2)	0.4276(2)	-0.1662(2)	0.05047(9)	OW13	0.2536(4)	0.2673(3)	0.9288(3)	0.045(1)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	OW16	0.2407(2)	0,3462(1)	-0.2560(1)	0.03204(8)	OW14	0.9239(5)	0.1380(6)	0.8635(6)	0.148(4)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	OW17	0.5121(2)	0.4401(1)	-0.0676(1)	0.03002(8)	OW/15	0.2110(4)	0.0305(4)	0.1575(4)	0.077(3)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N1	0.7325(2)	0.0276(1)	0.1374(1)	0.02039(8)	OW 15 OW 16 pb	0.2110(4)	0.0300(4)	0.1575(4)	0.077(5)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N2	0.7586(2)	0.0177(1)	0.0080(1)	0.02077(8)	OW IGa")	0.098(1)	0.2049(7)	0.0200(8)	0.110(3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N3	-0.0152(2)	0.2801(2)	-0.1858(1)	0.02166(8)	UW 160°)	0.031(2)	0.255(1)	0.100(2)	0.15(1)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N4	0.5077(2)	0.2748(2)	0.8143(2)	0.0523(1)	NI	0.0042(5)	0.1412(4)	0.3279(5)	0.052(3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C11	0.6684(3)	0.0296(2)	0.1854(2)	0.0347(1)	N2	-0.0293(5)	0.0586(4)	0,1912(5)	0.054(3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C12	0.8168(3)	0.0335(2)	0.1660(2)	0.0320(1)	N3	0.4022(5)	0.2420(3)	0,7491(4)	0.050(3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C13	0.7142(2)	0.0787(2)	0.0955(2)	0.02381(9)	N4	0.4593(5)	0.3573(3)	0.8208(4)	0.043(3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C14	0.7230(3)	-0.0311(2)	0.1041(2)	0.02546(9)	C11	0.0242(8)	0.1905(6)	0,4106(7)	0.096(4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C21	0.8231(3)	0.0155(2)	-0.0396(2)	0.0381(1)	C12	0.0151(6)	0.1746(4)	0.2481(6)	0.049(3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ç22	0.6744(3)	0.0119(2)	-0.0205(2)	0.03/1(1)	C13	-0.1071(6)	0.1119(5)	0.2780(6)	0.058(3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C23	0,7682(3)	0.0760(2)	0.0418(2)	0.0260(1)	C14	0.0884(7)	0.0865(6)	0.3779(7)	0.076(4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C24	0.7756(2)	-0.0336(2)	0.0497(2)	0.02330(9)	C21	0.0065(6)	0.1222(5)	0.1721(6)	0.059(3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C31	0.0698(3)	0.2800(2)	-0.1570(2)	0.0328(1)	C22	-0.1329(6)	0.0691(5)	0.1862(7)	0.073(4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C32	-0.0798(3)	0.2807(3)	-0.1383(2)	0.0461(1)	C23	0.0562(8)	0.0332(5)	0.2954(8)	0.087(4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C33	-0.0279(2)	0.2240(2)	-0.2230(2)	0.02419(9)	C24	0.0502(0)	0.0097(5)	0.1060(8)	0.102(4)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C34	-0.0274(2)	0.3333(2) 0.3456(2)	-0.2234(2)	0.02072(9)	C24	-0.0010(0)	0.0077(5)	0,7027(7)	0.006(4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C41°)	0.3333(3)	0.3430(3)	0.0125(4) 0.8711(4)	0.0317(3)	022	0.3047(9)	0.1720(3)	0.7037(7)	0.090(4)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$C42^{\circ}$	0.4659(5)	0.2042(4) 0.2379(4)	0.3711(4)	0.0215(1)	C32	0.3834(7)	0.2870(4)	0.0030(0)	0.000(3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C437	0.3300(3)	0.2377(4)	0.7754(3)	0.0219(1)	C33	0.5223(8)	0.2424(5)	0.8323(8)	0.083(5)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C450	0.4237(4)	0.2052(4)	0.8271(4)	0.02786(3)	C34	0.3403(7)	0.2636(5)	0.7942(7)	0.096(4)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C46 <sup>b,e</sup>	0.4856(5)	0.2885(4)	0.8789(3)	0.02406(1)	C41	0.4313(6)	0.3553(4)	0.7125(5)	0.045(3)
$C(48^{\circ})$ 0.5612(4) 0.3118(3) 0.7893(3) 0.02406(1) C43 0.3600(5) 0.3378(4) 0.8190(5) 0.049(5) 0.049(5) 0.8617(7) 0.08	$C47^{\circ}$	0.4266(4)	0.2555(3)	0.7865(3)	0.01646(1)	C42	0.5494(7)	0.3101(5)	0.8868(6)	0.059(4)
$C_{44} = 0.4930(8) = 0.4280(5) = 0.8617(7) = 0.08$	C48	0.5612(4)	0.3118(3)	0.7893(3)	0.02406(1)	C43	0.3600(5)	0.3378(4)	0.8190(5)	0.049(3)
						C44	0.4930(8)	0.4280(5)	0.8617(7)	0.083(4)

<sup>a</sup>)  $U_{eq} = (1/3)\Sigma_i\Sigma_j U_{ij}a_i^*a_j^*(\mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j)$  for anisotropically refined atoms

<sup>b</sup>) Occupancy factor 0.5

°) Atom was refined isotropically

<sup>a</sup>)  $U_{eq} = (1/3)\Sigma_i\Sigma_jU_{ij}a_i^*a_j^*(\mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j)$ 

<sup>b</sup>) Occupancy factor 0.6

<sup>c</sup>) Occupancy factor 0.4

	1		2	
	Mean value	Range of values	Mean value	Range of values
Anion [Si <sub>8</sub> O <sub>20</sub> ] <sup>8-</sup>				
$\begin{array}{l} Si \longrightarrow O_{br} \\ Si \longrightarrow O_{term} \\ O_{br} \longrightarrow Si \longrightarrow O_{br} \\ O_{br} \longrightarrow Si \longrightarrow O_{term} \\ Si \longrightarrow O_{br} \longrightarrow Si \end{array}$	1.624 1.582 108.2 110.5 150.5	1.616(2) - 1.628(2) 1.579(2) - 1.588(2) 107.5(1) - 109.4(1) 109.1(1) - 112.2(1) 148.1(2) - 154.3(2)	1.621 1.578 108.2 110.6 150.5	1.612(4) - 1.626(4) 1.570(4) - 1.585(4) 107.3(2) - 109.1(2) 110.0(2) - 111.2(2) 149.1(3) - 152.1(3)
Hydrogen bonds, H <sub>2</sub> O n	nolecules			
$\begin{array}{l} OW \cdot \cdot \cdot O_{term} \\ OW \cdot \cdot \cdot OW \\ HW \cdot \cdot \cdot O_{term} \\ HW \cdot \cdot \cdot OW \\ OW \\ - HW^{a} \end{array}$	2.669 2.779 1.72 1.86 0.96	2.596(3) - 2.770(3) 2.643(4) - 2.928(4) 1.63(6) - 1.81(6) 1.72(6) - 2.01(6)	2.654 2.83	2.609(6) - 2.723(6) 2.56(4) - 3.367(9)
OW-HW···O <sub>term</sub> OW-HW···OW HW-OW-HW	170 161 105	157(7) - 176(4) 144(7) - 174(7) 95(5) - 118(6)		

Table 4  $[TMPA]_4[Si_8O_{20}] \cdot 34H_2O$  (1) and  $[DDBO]_4[Si_8O_{20}] \cdot 32H_2O$  (2): Selected interatomic distances (Å) and angles (°)

<sup>a</sup>) The distances have been normalized to a "standard" value (see Experimental Section)







Fig. 2 Layers in the crystal structure of 1 as viewed approximately against the b axis. Atoms of the host structure are drawn as balls with arbitrary radii; of the TMPA cations only the nitrogen atoms are shown (black dumbbells)



Fig. 3 Layers in the crystal structure of 2 as viewed against the a\* axis. The split-water positions OW16b are omitted for clarity. See also the legend of Fig. 2

 $d(H \cdot \cdot O) \ge 2.77$  Å; however, see refs. [19] for a discussion of the validity of cut-off criteria for assigning hydrogen bonds to (mainly electrostatic) long-range  $O-H \cdots O$  interactions). The H<sub>2</sub>O molecules donate two and accept two (seven OW's), one (eight OW's) and none (two OW's)  $O-H \cdots O$  bonds. Seemingly, the H<sub>2</sub>O molecules tend to participate in more and/or shorter  $C-H \cdots O$  contacts (see below), the lower their number of accepted  $O - H \cdots O$  bonds. This would be in agreement with the observations made very recently in a survey of  $C-H\cdots O$  interactions (hydrogen bonds) accepted by H<sub>2</sub>O molecules [20]. A straightforward analysis of the local surroundings of most  $H_2O$ molecules, however, is not possible because of the missing positions of the H atoms bonded to the disordered TMPA cation (see below). It is of interest, that the four repulsive restraints found recently to exist for non-bonding contacts between O and/or H atoms in water networks [21] are nicely fulfilled in the mixed silicate-water host framework of 1. In particular, the remote non-bonding  $H \cdots O$  distances between hydrogen-bonded  $H_2O$ molecules (RR3 in ref. [21]), which determine the configuration of water networks (*i.e.* the orientations of the H<sub>2</sub>O molecules), are  $\geq 3.00$  Å.

The three distinct TMPA cations have chair conformation. Two cations are ordered (Figs. 4a and 4b), while the third cation can be considered in a first approximation as being orientationally disordered about a crystallographic 2 axis (Fig. 4c). The geometrical and displacement parameters of the latter cation indicate, however, a more complex situation. The bond lenghts and angles of the ordered cations are usual. In the <sup>13</sup>C MAS NMR spectrum (Fig. 5b) two broad, overlapping signals centered at about 56.8 and 50.8 ppm are seen which originate from the CH<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub> groups, respectively [22]. The considerable linewidths are due to a dispersion of chemical shifts (three distinct cations). Note that the cages around the disordered cation in Fig. 4c and around the ordered cation in Fig. 4b deviate almost only in the location of a common H<sub>2</sub>O molecule (OW8) that protrudes into the former rather than into the latter void. Thus, the OW8 molecule forces these cations into different orientations. Interestingly, the location of water OW8 is a consequence of the distortion of the small water cube (Fig. 4d) and this, in turn, is a consequence of the ordering of the water H atoms in the host framework.

For the two ordered TMPA cations the following shortest guest-host distances are found:  $d(C \cdots O) =$ 3.101,  $d(HC \cdots O) = 2.20$ ,  $d(HC \cdots HW) = 2.23$  Å. A series of the guest-host contacts are indicative for weak attractive C-H···O interactions which may be considered hydrogen bonds [20, 23]. A consideration of all HC  $\cdots$  O distances up to 2.9 Å (a listing is included in the deposit material) reveals that most  $C-H \cdots O$ interactions are of the two-center type; multiple-center interactions are particularly observed with the silicate anions. Some details of the intermolecular cation-anion contacts including H-atom positions are shown in Fig. 6. However, it is obvious that not all the close  $C \cdots O$ approaches are hydrogen bonds (e.g. a contact with  $d(C \cdot \cdot \cdot O) = 3.176 \text{ Å}$  and the corresponding  $d(H \cdots O) \ge 2.92$  Å). The C  $\cdots$  O distances of the disordered cation are  $\geq 3.045$  Å.

Details of the structure of 2. The structure description of 2 may also be based on layer-like units. The layer shown at the top of Fig. 3 is made up of double ring silicate anions and large cages as shown in Fig. 4f. Such layers are extended parallel (100) and follow each other along [100], *i.e.* with an angle of  $55.87^{\circ}$  against (100), in AA sequence; interleaved are layers (Fig. 3, bottom) that contain cations as shown in Fig. 4c. Thus, some similarities exist between single layers of 1 and 2. The stacking of layers, however, is different.

In host framework of 2, one  $H_2O$  molecule (OW16) is split into two mutually exclusive positions (d(OW16a · · · OW16b) = 0.93(3) Å) with approximate statistical occupancy factors of 0.6 and 0.4. Most water H atoms are probably disordered, as was indicated by difference electron density calculations. A number of H atoms could not be determined and therefore a straightforward analysis of the hydrogen-bonding system



Fig. 4 Cage-like voids in 1 (a – d) and 2 (e – f) with the enclosed guest cations. The cavities have site symmetry 1 (a, e, f), 2 (b, c) and  $\overline{1}$  (d). Labelling is given for selected atoms only. Different orientations of the disordered TMPA cation (c) are distinguished by dots in the ellipsoids and balls. Non-bonding edges of the distorted water cube (d) are represented by dashed lines. See also the legend of Fig. 1



Fig. 5 <sup>29</sup>Si (a) and <sup>13</sup>C (b) MAS NMR spectra of 1

is not possible. The data given in Table 4 consider possible two-center  $O-H\cdots O$  bonds with  $d(O\cdots O) \leq 3.367$  Å. However, such a hydrogen-bonding system contains, what is rather unusual, H atoms that are not involved in any  $O-H\cdots O$  bond. Further, one H<sub>2</sub>O molecule (OW9) is surrounded in a distorted, trigonal-bipyramidal fashion by five other  $H_2O$  molecules and probably participates in five  $O-H \cdots O$  bonds (d( $O \cdots O$ ) = 2.692 - 3.037 Å).

The two distinct DDBO cations are ordered and have usual bond lenghts and angles. The guest-host  $C \cdot \cdot \cdot O$  distances with the disordered OW16 molecule are  $\geq 2.93$  Å, those  $C \cdot \cdot \cdot O$  distances with the remaining host O atoms are  $\geq 3.005$  Å.

Comments on the crystal structure of 3. The unique, three-dimensional host structure of the heteronetwork clathrate is described elsewhere [9]. Of particular interest, also with regard to the studies on the mother liquor reported below, is the occurence of diprotonated double four-ring [Si<sub>8</sub>O<sub>18</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>6-</sup> anions as cores of finite clusters  $[[DMPI]_6[Si_8O_{18}(OH)_2][H_2O]_{24}]$ . The species surrounding the silicate anion are organized in a similar manner as found in 1 and 2 (Fig. 1 in ref. [9]). In particular, although being orientationally disordered, the DMPI<sup>+</sup> cations are oriented such that their CH<sub>2</sub> groups point toward the anion, as expected if one assumes that the positive charge of the cations is distributed among the CH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub> groups bonded to the N atom (see recent ab initio quantum-chemical calculations of methylammonium cations [24]).



**Fig. 6** Guest-host interactions including hydrogen atoms between the ordered TMPA guest cations and the  $[Si_8O_{20}]^{8-}$  anion; cation from Fig. 4a (top) and cation from Fig. 4b (bottom). Besides the nitrogen atoms only those atoms are labelled that are involed in selected contacts with the following distances and angles:  $d(C14 \cdots O10) = 3.235$ ,  $d(H14a \cdots O10) = 2.20$  Å,  $\neq (C14 - H14a \cdots O10) = 158^{\circ}$ ;

 $\begin{aligned} d(C24 \cdots O5) &= 3.250, \ d(H24a \cdots O5) &= 2.60, \\ d(H24a \cdots O1) &= 2.73, \ d(H24a \cdots O6) &= 2.79, \\ d(H24a \cdots O2) &= 2.79 \ \text{\AA}, \ \text{\ref{C24}-H24a \cdots O5) &= 117, \\ \text{\ref{C24}-H24a \cdots O2) &= 141, \ \text{\ref{C24}-H24a \cdots O6) &= 127, \\ \text{\ref{C24}-H24a \cdots O2) &= 155^{\circ}; \ d(C31 \cdots O3) &= 3.101, \\ d(H31a \cdots O3) &= 2.81, \ d(H31b \cdots O5) &= 2.48, \\ d(H31c \cdots O2) &= 2.50 \ \text{\AA}, \ \text{\ref{C31}-H31a \cdots O3) &= 95, \\ \text{\ref{C31}-H31b \cdots O5) &= 148, \ \text{\ref{C31}-H31c \cdots O2) &= 133^{\circ}} \end{aligned}$ 

#### Saturated solutions

Double four ring anions, the single silicate entities in the crystalline heteronetwork clathrates, are also abundant species within the silicate equilibria of the mother liquors. This is revealed by the <sup>29</sup>Si NMR spectra displayed in Fig. 7 which are dominated by signals at -100.22 ppm (1), -100.28 ppm (2) and -100.43 ppm (3) [25, 26]. In the case of 3, that line shows a shoulder on the high-field side due to the presence of double fivering anions (see below) and a small broad peak on the low-field side originating from various oligomeric and possibly polymeric species containing SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra with three  $Si = O_{br}$  - Si bridges (Q<sup>3</sup> units according to the usual notation [25]). The occurrence of polymers in the mother liquor of 3 is also indicated by a small, broad peak centered at ca. -108 ppm, *i.e.* in the Q<sup>4</sup> region. The intensities of the  $Q^3$  peaks amount to 62% (1), 100% (2) and 66% (3) of the total, detectable silicon contents. The remaining peaks on the low-field side originate



Fig. 7  $^{29}$ Si NMR spectra of the saturated solutions of 1 (a), 2 (b) and 3 (c)

from  $Q_{cy-3}^3$ ,  $Q^2$  (region at  $\approx 90$  ppm),  $Q_{cy-3}^2$  and  $Q^1$  (region at  $\approx -80$  ppm) units and the  $Q^0$  monomer. The signal of the latter species (at -72.4 ppm) is remarkably broad in the case of 1. Proportions of monomers are 24% (1), 0% (2) and 1% (3).

Studies employing the trimethylsilylation method in general confirm the NMR results. In particular, it was found by this method, that of the total detectable silicon contents approximately 66% (1), 85% (2) and 60% (3) belong to double four-ring anions and approximately 20% (1), 5% (2) and 5% (3) to monomers. Further, the proportion of silicon in double five-ring species in the mother liquor of 3 amounts to *ca*. 10%. It should be noted that only volatile trimethylsilyl silicic acid esters with up to *ca*. 16 trimethylsilyl groups are detectable by gas chromatography.

### Comparison with other alkylammonium silicate hydrates

In aqueous silicate media, cyclic DMPI<sup>+</sup> and TMPA<sup>2+</sup> and bicyclic DDBO<sup>2+</sup> cations closely resemble in their behaviour  $NMe_4^+$  cations. This is true with respect to (i) the formation of crystalline heteronetwork clathrates [1, 2, 27] and (ii) the apparent stabilization of double fourring  $[Si_8O_{20-x}(OH)_x]^{(8-x)-}$  anions in the crystalline materials as well as the saturated solutions [28]. The similar behaviour of the cations is certainly due to a common feature in their molecular structures, namely the presence of large, polarizable, positively charged  $[N(CH_3)_{4-v}(CH_2)_v]$  groups. Most striking is the fact that in all known silicate hydrates of these cations there occur clusters [[cation]<sub>6</sub>[Si<sub>8</sub>O<sub>20-x</sub>(OH)<sub>x</sub>][H<sub>2</sub>O]<sub>24</sub>] with a very similar arrangement and bonding of the single constituents. This suggests a high stability of such local nanostructures, due to optimum electrostatic and van der Waals cation-anion interactions as well as O-H···O hydrogen bonding as discussed for NMe<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> cations elsewhere [27]. It is likely, that such clusters and mixed silicate-water heteronetworks around organic cations are also present (or preformed) in the saturated aqueous solutions [27]. Detailed investigations into the organization of silicate species, organic cations and H<sub>2</sub>O molecules are of particular interest with regard to questions concerning the mechanisms of zeolite formation, *e.g.* the "template effect" [1, 5]. In addition, those forces that stabilize the above-mentioned clusters are apparently of the same kind as the forces which are responsible for the strong binding of anionic silicate species to the NMe<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> head groups of cationic alkyltrimethylammonium surfactants (NRMe<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> with  $R \ge \approx n-C_8H_{17}$ ) during the formation processes of the very recently discovered mesoporous silicate molecular sieves [29].

Finally, we note that discrete double four-ring species are very rare in crystalline metal silicates [30], but have now been found to occur in crystalline silicate hydrates of various quaternary alkylammonium cations [13, 31], also in combination with alkali cations [32], and of some metal complexes [33]. Some crystal structure analyses have been done [30, 33].

We thank *E. Krienitz* for measuring liquid-state <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra.

# References

- [1] M. Wiebcke, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 1507
- [2] M. Wiebcke, M. Grube, H. Koller, G. Engelhardt, J. Felsche, Microporous Mater. 2 (1993) 55
- [3] L. S. Suib, Chem. Rev. 93 (1993) 803
- [4] G. A. Jeffrey, in: Inclusion Compounds, Vol. 1, J. L. Alwood, J. E. D. Davies, D. D. MacNicol (Eds.), Academic Press, London 1984, p. 135
- [5] M. E. Davis, R. F. Lobo, Chem. Mater. 4 (1992) 756
- [6] G. T. Kerr, Inorg. Chem. 5 (1966) 1539
- [7] R. Szostak, Molecular Sieves, Principles of Synthesis and Identification, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York 1989, p. 83; Y. Nakagawa, S. I. Zones, in: Synthesis of Microporous Materials, Vol. 1, M. L. Occelli, H. E. Robson (eds.), Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York 1992, p. 222
- [8] J. Emmer, M. Wiebcke, J. Felsche, 31. Annual Meeting of the Deutsche Gesellschaft f
  ür Kristallographie, Bochum 1993, and Z. Kristallogr., in press
- [9] M. Wiebcke, J. Emmer, J. Felsche, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 1604
- [10] Organikum, Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin 1986, p. 203
- [11] O. Hromatka, C. Skopalik, Monatsh. 83 (1952) 38
- [12] F. D. Tamás, A. K. Sarkar, D. M. Roy, Hung. J. Ind. Chem. (Veszprem) 5 (1977) 115
- [13] D. Hoebbel, G. Garzó, G. Engelhardt, R. Ebert, E. Lippmaa, M. Alla, Z. anorg. allg. Chem. 465 (1980) 15
- [14] G. A. Jeffrey, S. Takagi, Acc. Chem. Res. 11 (1978) 264;
   F. H. Allen, Acta Crystallogr. B42 (1986) 515

- [15] SDP-Structure Determination Package, Enraf-Nonius, Delft 1989
- [16] International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. IV, Kynoch Press, Birmingham 1974, pp. 99 and 149
- [17] F. Liebau, Structural Chemistry of Silicates, Structure, Bonding and Structural Classification; Springer, Berlin 1985, p. 96
- [18] G. Engelhardt, D. Zeigan, D. Hoebbel, A. Samoson, E. Lippmaa, Z. Chem. 22 (1982) 314; M. Wiebcke, H. Koller, Acta Crystallogr. B48 (1992) 449
- [19] G. A. Jeffrey, W. Saenger, Hydrogen Bonding in Biological Structures, Springer, Berlin 1991; Th. Steiner, W. Saenger, Acta Crystallogr. B48 (1992) 819
- [20] Th. Steiner, W. Saenger, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115 (1993) 4540
- [21] H. F. J. Savage, J. L. Finney, Nature 322 (1986) 717
- [22] F. Breitmaier, W. Voelter, Carbon-13 NMR Spectroscopy, VCI, Weinheim 1987, p. 235
- [23] Th. Steiner, W. Saenger, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114 (1992) 10146
- [24] M. Meot-Ner (Mautner), C. A. Deakyne, J. Am. Chem.
   Soc. 107 (1985) 469; W. L. Jorgensen, J. Gao, J. Phys. Chem. 90 (1986) 2174
- [25] G. Engelhardt, D. Michel, High-Resolution Solid-State NMR of Silicates and Zeolites; John Wiley & Sons, Chichester 1987, p. 75
- [26] A. V. McCormick, A. T. Bell, Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng. 31 (1989) 97
- [27] M. Wiebcke, D. Hoebbel, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1992, 2451
- [28] D. Hoebbel, G. Garzó, G. Engelhardt, A. Vargha, Z. anorg. allg. Chem. 494 (1982) 31
- [29] A. Monnier, F. Schüth, Q. Huo, D. Kumar, D. Margolese, R. S. Maxwell, G. D. Stucky, M. Krishnamurthy, P. Petroff, A. Firouzi, M. Janicke, B. F. Chmelka, Science 261 (1993) 1299; C. T. Kresge, M. E. Leonowicz, W. J. Roth, J. C. Vartuli, J. S. Beck, Nature 359 (1992) 359
- [30] G. Bissert, F. Liebau, Z. Kristallogr. 179 (1987) 357
- [31] O. Rademacher, O. Ziemens, H. Scheler, Z. anorg. allg. Chem. 519 (1984) 165; F. Schlenkrich, O. Rademacher, H. Scheler, ibid. 565 (1988) 118; F. Schlenkrich, O. Rademacher, H. Scheler, ibid. 582 (1990) 169
- [32] O. Rademacher, H. Scheler, Z. anorg. allg. Chem. 450 (1979) 187; F. Schlenkrich, E. Beil, O. Rademacher, H. Scheler, ibid. 511 (1984) 41
- [33] Yu. I. Smolin, ACS Symp. Ser. 194 (1982) 329

Authors' Address:

Dr. M. Wiebcke Fakultät für Chemie der Universität Universitätsstr. 10 Postfach 5560 D-78434 Konstanz