Congress further relaxed the requirements in 1893 by adding the follow- ing provision to the 1891 commutation amendment:

That if trees, seeds, or cuttings were in good faith planted as provided by law and the same and the land upon which so planted were thereafter in good faith cultivated as provided by law for at least eight years by a person qualified to make entry and who has a subsisting entry under the timber culture laws, final proof may be made without regard to the number of trees that may have been then growing on the land.

After 1893 tree claims could be patented with no payment and with no trees alive on the land.

These legislative adjustments made possible the patenting of many timber culture entries which might otherwise have been canceled, and patenting success was increased, but the relaxation of tree growth requirements permitted patenting without achieving the intended tree production, and a large number of treeless tree claims resulted. The repeal of the timber culture laws, a surprise only in that repeal had not come earlier, was an admission that the stated goals of the original Timber Culture Act had not been accomplished.

**SUMMARY**

Land entries and land patents were mapped to provide a geographic base for analyses and explanations of environmental relationships and processes associated with the use of the timber culture entry. Entries in selected townships in the grasslands area overlapping the 1872 settlement frontier zone strongly suggest that the Timber Culture Act must have been intended primarily for the grasslands west of the humid prairies. The major portion of the humid prairies had already been entered before 1872, when the timber culture bill was introduced in Congress.

The location and the failure to patent a vast majority of timber culture entries filed in an area used primarily for cattle ranching tends to verify early Land Office Reports that the tree claim location was selected by the rancher but filed under the name of his employees, drifters, or relatives to hold the land necessary for grazing his cattle. Entries mapped in successive years as settlers moved into a new area on the High Plains of Western Nebraska present a sequence in which timber culture entries dominated the initial wave of land filings followed by a wave of homestead filings. The low percentage of patents resulting from original timber culture entries, the high percentage of reoperations and re-entries, and the order of entry were all indicators of speculative activity in timber culture filings.

Total entries and patents both dropped sharply within the area of environmental change from the subhumid Great Plains to the arid Southwest. The configuration of timber culture entries, written into the repeal law of 1891, increased from the Great Plains westward and southwestward into the drier areas.

Despite the honorable intentions of the Timber Culture Act, it appears that a majority of the entryman's adaptations and adjustments to the law were primarily speculative land manipulations, excused locally by those participating in such activities as the only way to cope in a profitable manner with this inadequate legislation.

Preliminary studies indicate an important source of needed information in the examination of the history of land use and the influence of the Spanish and other cultures upon the present character of the land in New Mexico, southwestern Arizona, and the adjoining areas of the four states. The idea of a Spanish settlement forming the basis for a modern community is a welcome one.
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2. Acceptance of the community if the owner did not behave as expected, but no longer; the right of use has passed slowly over into a right of possession.

The village form and field pattern of today, and the economic and social organization, are the products of the dynamics of the pueblo society and the impact of the Spaniards and later
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of the Anglo-Americans. For eight centuries the pueblo culture had no significant contact with another culture. The arrival of the Spaniards in the sixteenth century introduced a new period. Churches and missions were built in many pueblos, and manufacturing of the villages was organized. Many new settlements arose. The Indians were forced to make contributions and services. Incorporation into the United States also had a major impact, because of technical and commercial development. New traffic arose, new urban and agricultural settlements were built and manufacturing developed. Numerous people from the East moved into the area and had considerable influence on the culture of the Pueblo Indians. This paper is concerned with the appearance of the villages and field patterns before the arrival of the Europeans, and the forces by which they were formed.

The Pueblos

Today there are twenty-five pueblos in New Mexico and Arizona. In the sixteenth century, when the Spaniards arrived, there were many more in the southwestern outskirts of the Jemez Mountains, in the Rio Grande valley between Taos and Socorro, and east of the Manzano and Sandia mountains, where today one finds only forests and grassland. Many of these villages were only partly occupied.

The villages differed in detail. Those of the Jemez people were built mainly of rocks smeared with clay. A square plaza of about 0.1 to 0.2 acres (400 to 800 m²) was surrounded by one to four storied houses which were many with each other. Sometimes one or more apartment wings surrounded other plazas. Each pueblo had several mostly circular kivas, the cult rooms of different religious societies. The pueblos of the Galisteo region, south of Santa Fe, consisted of a large number of buildings, some connected, some separate. The pueblos of the Bandelier region, in the eastern Jemez Mountains, differed from both. It should be possible to work out the different culture areas in the pueblo region by mapping the types of settlement which belong together by origin and development.

Excavations show that most of the pueblos consisted of a hundred or so rooms of 50 to 170 square feet (4 to 15 m²). Groups of rooms were connected by door openings, so an apartment-like structure can be supposed. It is difficult to estimate the number of inhabitants of a pueblo from the size of its ruins. For instance, it can be shown that all rooms of the pueblo were used at the same time. Parts of some pueblos fell into disrepair while new parts were being built. Not all rooms were used as living rooms; at Unshagi about half of the rooms were furnished only poorly and probably were used as storerooms. Only the third which had fireplaces and the twenty percent which were well furnished could have been used as living rooms or for religious purposes. The

9 The number of pre-Spanish pueblos was estimated at sixty to seventy by Alfred V. Kidder, *An Introduction to the Study of Southwestern Archaeology, With an Introduction on Southwestern Archaeology Today by Irving Krick* (2nd edition, New Haven: Yale University Press, 1962), p. 343, but in 1626 Spanish missionary Benavides claimed that he had baptized Indians in ninety pueblos; Frederick W. Hodge, G. P. Hammond, and Agapito Rey, eds., *Revised Memorial of Alonso de Benavides, 1634*, Coronado Historical Series, Vol. 4 (Albuquerque: New Mexico Historical Society, 1945). Recent archaeological evidence suggests an even larger number. For example, the recent pueblo Jemez had at least nineteen preceramic floors; Florence Hawley Ellis, *A Reconstruction of the Basic Jemez Pattern of Social Organization, With Comparisons to Other Tanoan Social Structures*, Publications in Anthropology, No. 11 (Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Department of Anthropology, 1964); and the Rio Grande area has at least nine ruinas; H. C. Deuel, *Archaeological Notes on the Rio Grande Glaciers: A Plains Indian Village in North Central New Mexico*, Monograph No. 19 (Santa Fe: School of American Research, 1934), parts IV.


The family and household structure must be considered. Kidder assumed that a family of five people lived in six coherent rooms, and concluded that Pecos (with 1,020 rooms) was inhabited by about 850 Indians. This estimate seems low, because even today two to three somewhat larger rooms are sufficient for a family of four or five persons.

The Study Area

A small area was investigated to obtain exact information about the economic area of a pre-Spanish pueblo. The choice of the area depended on two conditions:

1) the area should have been deserted immediately after the arrival of the Spaniards, so that no important European influence could have taken place; and

2) the traces of only one settlement period might be represented, because each period had its own specific economic patterns, and it would be difficult to date the reliefs of the area if it had been used over several periods.

Both conditions were realized in an almost ideal way in the northern Jemez valley, which was cleared by the Jemez Indians at the end of the P III period, reoccupied during the P IV period, and deserted a short time after the arrival of the Spaniards in the seventeenth century (Fig. 1).

This area on the southwestern slope of the Jemez Mountains, a Pleistocene volcano about 60 km in diameter. The center is a caldera, in which the Redondo Peak rises to an elevation of 11,254 feet (3,440 m). Pumice and rhyolitic lava build up the subsoil. The elevation of the study area drops from 8,600 to 7,300 feet (2,600 to 2,200 m). The headwaters of the Jemez River, San Antonio Creek, and East Fork have cut deep notches. San Diego Canyon is more than 1,750 feet (500 m) deep. Red Peruvian sandstones are exposed beneath the volcanic rocks. In the northern part of the canyon the San Antonio—Jemez River and the East Fork have carved the BattleShipsrock, a lava rock which is revered as a shrine by the Jemez Indians.

Kidder, op. cit., footnote 6, p. 122.

9 The P(uебло) III period lasted from about 1050 until about 1300, and the P(uебло) IV period from about 1300 until about 1700.

These rivers and some smaller creeks served the Indians with drinking water. The other valleys and plateaus are dry except during episodic rainfalls and a short time after the melting of the snow. Numerous little dry valleys with steep sides and dry streams run down the slopes of the canyons and the plateaus. The slopes of the valleys are stepped by numerous small terraces which were important for the agriculture of the former pueblos. The area has fourteen to eighteen inches (350 to 450 mm) of precipitation per year, mainly heavy showers: a good part runs off superficially. Pine, oak, and juniper bushes are the main plants.

The Nanishagi and Unshagi pueblos are between arroyos on small terraces about 100 to 160 feet (30 to 50 m) above the Jemez River. The third—not definitely determinable—village ruin occupies a slope terrace about 600 feet (180 m) above the East Fork, near a hot spring (Fig. 2). In contrast to Unshagi, the ruins of Nanishagi and the Hot Spring Pueblo, as I have named it, have been examined only slightly by archaeologists.

The Economy

Fieldhouses and Small Caves

The pueblos are not the only buildings in this area. The ruins of 277 small buildings are scattered over the slopes, terraces, and valley bottoms. Usually a bit of the walls with one to three layers of stones is still visible. The walls consisted of rough natural rocks smeared over with clay. A door opening led outside.

10 Nanishagi is discussed in Paul Reiter, William T. Mulloy, and E. H. Blumencild, Preliminary Report of the Excavations of Nanishagi, University of New Mexico Bulletin. Anthropological Series 3, No. 3 (Albuquerque: University of New Mexico, 1940). Ceramic material indicates that the Hot Spring Pueblo was also occupied during the P IV period.

11 Hewett, op. cit., footnote 4, p. 51, mentioned such structures, and they have been reported from other parts of the Southwest. Those in southern Arizona and the lower Colorado drainage area are of the P III period; Richard B. Woodbury, Prehistoric Agriculture at Point of Pines, Arizona, Memoirs, No. 17 (Salt Lake City: Society for American Archaeology, 1928). The P(uебло) III period is much better known; For Nettie K. Adams, *An Inventory of Prehistoric Sites in the Lower San Juan River, Utah*, Bulletin No. 31 (Salt Lake City: University of Utah, 1942).
Some better conserved structures show that the roof was made of woodwork and probably completed by twigs and clay. Potsherds were found near most of the houses. Their typological classification (Jemez Black on White and Culinary, sometimes Glaze) attests that the buildings were occupied at the same time as the pueblos, in the P IV period. It is possible to identify four different types (Fig. 3):

1) houses detached or on boulders, or one room, with a base of five by seven to ten by fourteen square feet (1.5 × 2.0 to 3.0 × 4.0 m²) comprised eighty percent of the houses (Fig. 4);

2) houses of several (mostly two) rooms with a base of seven by fourteen to fourteen by sixteen square feet (2.0 × 4.0 to 4.0 × 5.0 m²), and small groups of one room houses (mostly pairs) comprised thirteen percent;

3) often overhanging rocks were substituted for a wall and a part of the roof. The concluding wall is most times constructed in a bow. Five percent of the houses were built in this manner (Fig. 5); and

4) sometimes natural cavities (small caves) could be used, probably artificially enlarged. They were two percent of the buildings; two had the size of the bigger houses of two or three rooms (Fig. 6).

All houses and small caves were at the edges of level areas (terraces, gentle slopes, and valley bottoms) which were formerly cultivated. Most were on elevated spots from which the fields could be watched. Hardly a field in the study area does not have such a house or small cave. I have described these structures as fieldhouses; they did not exist away from the fields. The distance between these houses was related to the openness of the area; it was smaller where the

---

12 Including nine percent uncertain cases with very low ruins or only concentrations of sherds.
13 Including ten percent uncertain cases with walls between rooms not clearly evident.
14 Including two percent uncertain cases where potsherds were missing.
15 Including one percent uncertain cases which have been partly destroyed.
Field houses and caves can be distinguished from smaller cabins with a base of three by three to five by five square feet (0.8 × 0.8 to 1.5 × 1.5 m²). These could hardly have been inhabited by single families of three to five persons.

The function of the fieldhouses might be explained in this way, but how might one explain multroom houses and pairs of one-room houses? All rooms of these houses probably were used as living rooms. Both rooms in a two-room house near Unshagi had the same furnishing: fireplace and small stonewalls, probably walls of a former store box. Each room had a separate door, and I suspect that each room housed a single family. One family had the task of watching the fields, and the other was engaged in other tasks. These structures were often close to observation cabins.

**Observation Cabins**

The fieldhouses and caves can be distinguished from smaller cabins with a base of three by three to five by five square feet (0.8 × 0.8 to 1.5 × 1.5 m²). These could hardly have

---

16 Indicated by some excavations, for instance, by Reiter, op. cit., footnote 6.

17 Proved in one case by Reiter, op. cit., footnote 6.
served as lodging places for a whole family (Fig. 7). I found almost no pottery which would indicate cooking and eating. Elevated spots with a command of the valley were the preferred location. The distances between these buildings averaged 1,400 feet (400 m), much larger than the 250 feet (84 m) between the fieldhouses and small caves. I believe that these structures were observation cabins built for a single person. His task would have been to watch a larger area of the valley. These watchers might have communicated with each other by light or smoke signs. Most of the observation cabins were less than 500 feet (150 m) from multiroom houses and pairs of one-room houses. Perhaps the inhabitants of one room of such houses also had to man the cabins.

The observation cabins, fieldhouses, and small caves indicate that the Indians feared hostilities as well as damage by animals. The whole agricultural area could be controlled and defended against little groups who might have intended field robbery and devastation. The Navajos and Apaches today living north and west of the Pueblo area were warlike hunters and gatherers who often devastated the Indian fields. They arrived in the Rio Grande drainage in the fifteenth century. The construction of the fieldhouses, and probably also the observation cabins, however, can be traced back by pottery to the first appearance of the pueblos in this area in the thirteenth or fourteenth century. Perhaps Navajos and Apaches had similar warlike precursors; it is very difficult to come to a conclusion because of rarity of datable traces. Certainly gatherers and hunters caused hostilities in the thirteenth century in northwest New Mexico.18

The system of fieldhouses, small caves, multiroom houses, and observation cabins assumes a tight organization of the pueblo society. The field pattern supports this conclusion. The flatter areas were used as fields. Former fields can be recognized by the relief, by the condition of the soil, and by the relics of agricultural use. The valley slopes are interrupted by several terraces. Slopes of 15° to 40°, mainly near the Hot Spring Pueblo, have been made arable by artificial terraces. The flatter areas have deeper soils and heavier vegetation. The traces of agricultural cultivation are the most important indicators of former fields (Fig. 3).

Small terraces are widespread; the riser was made of stones placed side by side parallel to the contour, and the flatter parts consist of soil (Fig. 8). The terraces are usually five to thirty-five feet (1.5 to 10.5 m), rarely seventy feet (21 m), long, and one to two feet (0.3 to 0.6 m) high. Usually the riser consists of a single layer of rocks, but some terraces have two to five layers. Single terraces are rare. Normally they are parts of staircase-like systems. The width of the steps varied with the slope, ranging from two to fifteen feet (0.6 to 4.5 m). The level parts of some terraces had small stone rows leading from the front of the terrace to the base of the next higher one. The smooth slopes, the ridge lines of the small watersheds between the arroyos, and also the deepest parts and the heads of the arroyos were stepped by such terraces.

Similar forms have been described in different areas of the Southwest.19 They were built during

---


the P III period before 1300. Larger relics of this type (called trincheras) were built in southern Arizona and northern Mexico, probably in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries. It is argued that the terraces improved physical conditions for cultivation by increasing soil moisture, by reducing erosion, and by equalizing drainage. These same arguments might also have been important in the Jemez area, but alone they could not have been decisive. If


21 Similar observations have been made in Arizona by Woodbury, op. cit., footnote 11, p. 14; and in southwestern Colorado by Rohn, op. cit., footnote 19, p. 443.


23 Possibly some of the relics in southern Arizona described in Woodbury, op. cit., footnote 11, p. 13, might be recognized as real border markers, but Woodbury did not address this question.

Reducing soil erosion and increasing moisture had been the primary reasons for building terraces, then one might have expected that the Indians would also have built earthen terraces, such as in medieval Europe or in parts of the United States, in areas where there were no rocks, but they did not. Perhaps the terraces merely resulted from a rearrangement of the rocks, which covered the surface, to facilitate cultivation. On steeper slopes and in arroyo beds the terraces made arable land which otherwise would have been useless. Other prints of cultivation, stone rows in flat areas where the rocks are arranged in a line (Fig. 9), or small dams and heaps of gathered stones, are much less important. Stone terraces, stone rows, and the other traces of agricultural use are often associated with fieldhouses. The flatter parts of my study area are underlain with pumice tephra. Stony hills of lava rise above these level areas. The tops of many of these small lava hills have fieldhouses, built of the stones which cover the hill, and the sides of the hill are stepped with terraces.

The size of the agricultural area of the Pueblo Indians can be outlined with an accuracy of eighty to ninety percent, but it is not easy to define the field patterns from these relics. Field studies in Europe have shown that the relics of agricultural use can be interpreted not only with regard to the natural environment, but also to the economy and tenure. In Europe several relics, such as terraces and ditches, can be regarded as limits of fields and beds, the smallest units in agricultural economy, but Europe is an old ploughing area. The field pattern in the old Indian area must be seen in another way. The stone terraces and rows have a narrowness which is possible only in areas where the digging stock and perhaps the hoe were the main tools. Most of the terrace edges and stone rows are not connected, so they did not enclose real fields. Moreover these relics can be found only in an area where boulders had to be arranged. The much larger stoneless regions, and mesas and valley bottoms in other parts of New Mexico, are almost free from relics of this kind. No indications of real field borders, caused by possession or economy in the former Pueblo area, are recognizable. A comparison of these old fields with the fields of modern Pueblo Indians shows the difference very clearly. The borders between the modern fields are often marked by stone rows, if the soil contains any rocks. These fields are cultivated by their individual "possessors." Cultivation of the land in the same way by separate families in the P IV period should have left some traces.
If it is not possible to recognize limits of possession in the fields, there arises the question, who possessed the fieldhouses and small caves? Were they possessed by families, who lived in them in the summer? A negative answer is suggested by the fact that the density of the houses conforms to the topography. The fields which would belong to such a house in a hilly area were small, a quarter acre (0.1 ha) or even less, but other houses had areas of ten acres (4 ha) or more. Houses on plains in other parts of northern New Mexico had field areas of up to 250 acres (100 ha). These great differences do not support the supposition that the houses were on private land; the "farms" would have had to have had extraordinarily different sizes. The population in the attached pueblos would also have had to have varied considerably, which is not probable, because the village ruins are nearly equal in size. I conclude that the fieldhouses and the surrounding fields belonged to the village community and were cultivated in common. The farm land of modern pueblos still is owned by the whole tribe, probably a consequence of pre-Spanish ownership conditions.

System of Trails

Any discussion of the size of the agricultural area belonging to the different pueblos is hindered by a lack of traces of real field borders and of any relics which seem to have marked the limits between the areas of the different villages, but several relics of former foottrails have to be seen, like trafficways generally, as visible manifestations of economic coherence.

The relics of trails are about twenty inches (50 cm) wide and eight inches (20 cm) deep in smooth terrain (Fig. 10). Trails running parallel or oblique to the contours are dug into the slope; the builders moved material from the hillside to the valleyside to enlarge the path (Fig. 11). Sometimes stones have been placed at the valleyside for a better foundation. In rocky slopes the horizontal or nearly horizontal trails were engraved. In some rocky ravines (for instance, north of the Battleshiprock) small half moon shaped deepenings for hands and feet were cut into the walls.

These traces of former trails are parts of systems which have not previously been studied. They cannot be confused with the trails of game if they have artificial foundations or similar artifacts. Where such artifacts are missing the arrangement of the economic area is the most important indication, because the trails connected the different objects in the cultivated area. Four route types are characteristic (Figs. 1 and 3):

1) between the fieldhouses, small caves, and observation cabins, especially when they

are at nearly the same altitude, as for example in the area south of the Jemez River and the East Fork;

2) between the fieldhouses (or small caves) and streams; especially typical is the trail sloping down to a stream against the current, as for example to the small creek north of the Battleshiprock;

3) between the pueblo and the fieldhouses (or small caves) in a radial pattern; and

4) bypassing the agricultural area to connect with distant points.

One has the impression that the trail relics are parts of systems which centered in the former pueblos. The system west of the Jemez River was connected with Unshagi, and the eastern system north of the East Fork with the Hot Spring pueblo. The southern system was also oriented towards Unshagi; some fords connected it with this village. The limit between the systems of Nanishagi and Unshagi is especially clear; the trail east of the arroyo between the two pueblos bent eastwards to Unshagi. This arroyo was the boundary between the trail systems, and probably also between the economic areas, of the two pueblos. I believe that the economic area of the Unshagi pueblo was almost totally covered by my investigation, because the valley is limited by steep rocks in the northwest and south.

ECONOMY AND POPULATION

The separate interpretation of the different relics may leave some doubts, but they reinforce each other, and each detail gets its position in the total plan of the former Indian settlements when they are all taken together. The maps may be considered a synoptic manifestation of the pre-Spanish society and economy, and they generally confirm our knowledge of it, but different details suggest hints for possible completion and correction.

Probably at least 500 to 625 acres (200 to 250 ha) of cultivated land belonged to the Unshagi pueblo. In this area I counted about 200 fieldhouses and small caves with a total of 240 rooms. During the growing season a single family probably lived in one of the houses or caves, to watch the crops and to do other jobs.
I do not know whether the fields were used continuously; possibly some parts always lay fallow. Perhaps a fallow was not necessary if there was a real rotation, not only corn, but also beans and squash; these three plants might have been grown at the same time in the same field, as in other parts of pre-European North America.

**Population Density**

The pueblo Unshagi contained 250 to 300 rooms. About 50 were furnished well, about 100 fairly, and 125 poorly. The first two groups contained fireplaces or other furniture indicating a living function; the other rooms were probably storerooms. If we suppose that a single family lived in most of the event smaller one room houses and caves in the cultivated areas, we may also assume that a family occupied each of the 150 better furnished rooms in the pueblo. An average family in the Jemez pueblo in the eighteenth century was 3.5 persons. A similar family size in the sixteenth century would give a population of 500 to 550 persons, but a modern family size of four to five persons would give 650 to 700. These figures exceed the customary estimates. At its time of greatest use the Unshagi pueblo (forty percent of the land was cultivated) had a population density of about 200 persons per square mile (77 per km²) if the pueblo had 500 to 550 inhabitants, or almost 250 (96 per km²) if it had 650 to 700.

**The Social Structure**

These population density estimates may be supported by an examination of the social structure. Dozier explained the connections of some rooms by door openings by arguing that several single families, one to a room, were connected to form an extended family. The modern Hopi villages of Arizona, which have been touched by European influence much less than the pueblos of New Mexico, support this idea. The households of these villages consist of extended families who all live in rooms connected with each other.

The pueblos are particularly stamped by their secular and religious organization. The governor, who serves for one year, has to administer the pueblo. His charge was originated by the Spaniards. The priests, whose charge goes back to pre-Spanish times, have to be considered the religious chiefs of the pueblo society. Like the rest of the religious leaders, they are not hereditary, but are elected. A nobility could not arise, as in Mexico. No pueblo ruin had rooms good enough for chiefs, and excavations have found no graves fitted out especially richly. No piece of land belonged to a private person; the vertical organization of society and property in the economic realm were closely combined. Horizontal organization in cult groups is an essential element of recent pueblo society. The kivas are meeting rooms for the peculiar religious customs. These groups originated in concern for the most important necessities in life (curing, rain and fertility, protection and hunting). This religious organization and activity supposes a close unity of the village society which could be the main reason for the compact form of the pueblos. The equal status of all inhabitants and cult coherence are connected in an ideal way.

**Organization of Defense**

Gladwin regarded the pueblo as a structure for defense. His idea was based on the good defense position of some villages upon high mesas, but almost as many pueblos were unprotected. The buildings offered protection only against small groups of aggressors. The fieldhouses and observation cabins show that the Indians reckoned on the necessity of defense if these structures were links of a warning system. Perhaps the form of the village and its area must be explained by the necessity of defense as well as by cult and economic peculiarities.

**Regional Organization**

Social and economic ties and the organization of defense were very close within the village communities, but the connections between villages were loose. Probably only one pueblo led from one to another (Fig. 1). The village peoples were economically self-sufficient, and did not depend on a ruler. In spite of their well developed architecture and careful economic arrangement, the pueblo societies could not organize themselves into larger regional units with central places and borders.

---

27 Reiter, op. cit., footnote 6.
28 There were 991 families or 373 persons in the Jemez pueblo in 1759, and 132 families in 1779; Blanche M. Harper, "Notes on the Documentary History, the Language, and the Rituals of the Jemez Pueblo," unpublished master's thesis, University of New Mexico, 1929, p. 10. In 1793 the pueblo had 489 persons; Edward Ayer, The Memorial of Fray Alonzo de Benavides, 1630, with comments by Frederick Hodge (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1916), p. 24. The definition of the term "family" at this time is not clear.
29 In 1942 the average family size was 4.6 in the Jemez pueblo and 4.4 in all New Mexico pueblos; S. D. Aberle, The Pueblo Indians of New Mexico: Their Land, Economy, and Civil Organization, Memoirs, No. 70 (Washington: American Anthropological Association, 1948), p. 90.
32 Ellis, op. cit., footnote 3, p. 16.
33 Ellis, op. cit., footnote 3.