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Abstract

Atherosclerosis represents a chronic cardiovascular disease, which is characterized by an inflammatory activation of the endothelium, with high prevalence and a major cause of morbidity and mortality. Low and disturbed vascular shear stress are risk factors for the development of atherosclerotic plaques, while laminar flow is important for physiological functions of the endothelium.

This work shows a downregulation of the glucocorticoid-induced leucine zipper (GILZ/TSC22D3) (I) in human inflamed vessels, (II) upon treatment of human endothelial cells (EC) with the inflammatory cytokine tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), and (III) in EC upon oscillatory flow. In contrast, anti-inflammatory laminar flow increased GILZ expression. Knockdown of GILZ in EC induced an inflammatory activation as indicated by enhanced nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) activation.

The TNF-induced downregulation of GILZ is facilitated by induction of the mRNA binding protein tristetraprolin (TTP/ZFP36), which is also elevated in human inflamed vessels. Laminar flow antagonized GILZ downregulation by elevated mitogen kinase phosphatase (MKP-1/DUSP1) expression and subsequent ZFP36 downregulation. In human inflamed vessels, GILZ downregulation was also paralleled by diminished DUSP1 levels.

Taken together, our data show that the downregulation of GILZ in human EC promotes vascular inflammation. Upregulation of GILZ might therefore represent a therapeutic target for the treatment of the inflamed endothelium.
Zusammenfassung

Arteriosklerose wird als chronische, kardiovaskuläre Erkrankung charakterisiert durch entzündliche Prozesse im Endothel und zeigt hohe Prävalenz, Morbidität und Mortalität. Geringer und verwirbelter Shear Stress sind große Risikofaktoren für die Entstehung arteriosklerotischer Plaques, während laminarer Shear Stress die physiologischen Funktionen des Endothels stärkt.

Diese Arbeit zeigt eine Verringerung von glucocorticoid-induced leucine zipper (GILZ) (I) in humanen entzündeten Gefäßen, (II) in humanen Endothelzellen (EC) durch Behandlung mit dem inflammatorischen Zytokin tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-\(\alpha\)) und (III) durch oszillatorischen Fluß. Im Gegensatz dazu war die GILZ Expression durch anti-inflammatorischen laminaren Fluß erhöht und nach GILZ Knockdown zeigte die Aktivierung von nuclear factor kappa B (NF-\(\kappa\)B) eine inflammatorische Aktivierung in EC an.

Die TNF-induzierte Downregulation von GILZ erfolgte durch die Induktion des mRNA bindenden Protein Tristetraprolin (TTP/ZFP36) und der laminare Fluß wirkte der Downregulation von GILZ durch eine erhöhte mitogen kinase phosphatase (MKP-1/DUSP1) mit folgender verringerter ZFP36 Expression entgegen. In entzündeten Gefäßen liefen verringernte GILZ- und DUSP1-Level ebenfalls parallel mit erhöhter ZFP36 Expression.

Zusammenfassend wurde gezeigt, dass die Downregulation von GILZ in humanen EC die Gefäßentzündung steigert, wodurch die Hochregulation von GILZ ein neues Target für die Behandlung entzündeter Gefäße darstellen sollte.
1 Introduction
1.1 Atherosclerosis

1.1.1 General

Atherosclerosis is a chronic inflammatory cardiovascular disease of the arterial system. Leading to the end points of heart attack or stroke, it is the leading cause of death worldwide (Go et al., 2013). There are behavioural risk factors like wrong nutrition, physical inactivity and smoking promoting the development of atherosclerosis. Also risk factors like chronic infections, autoimmune diseases, genetic predisposition and genetic materials damage are important aspects leading to obesity, high levels of cholesterol and other lipids, hypertension, diabetes mellitus and inflammatory activation (Frohlich & Al-Sarraf, 2013; Roy et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2013c; Patel & Blazing, 2013). Additionally, high risk factors for the development and progression of atherosclerotic plaques are low and disturbed shear stress (Cunningham & Gotlieb, 2005). For some years, atherosclerosis seemed to be a disease of the western lifestyle, but new results show that it already existed thousands of years ago (Thompson et al., 2013).

Atherosclerosis is a chronic inflammatory disease characterized by an inflamed endothelium and an enclosure of lipids in the vessel wall, leading to the formation of deposits in the vessel wall also known as atherosclerotic plaques (Roy et al., 2009). All variations of arteries show this pathology, whereas veins are not affected (Roy et al., 2009). An exception is vein graft remodelling, where pieces of veins are localized at atherosusceptible regions after bypass surgeries, which is also characterized by inflammatory events, (Karper et al., 2011; McPhee et al., 2013). There are three areas in the body, which are mostly affected resulting in three clinical pictures: coronary artery disease, carotid artery disease, or peripheral arterial disease.

1.1.2 Origin and progression

Atherosclerosis has a complex, not completely understood mechanism, which is characterized by two processes: the accumulation of lipids respectively a shift in lipid profile and inflammation as well as an immune response especially in endothelial cells (EC). Therefore, molecules of both areas are used as biomarkers for risk prediction (e.g. low density lipoprotein (LDL) or C reactive protein) (Frohlich & Al-Sarraf, 2013; van Diepen et al., 2013; Patel & Blazing, 2013).
After the “response to retention hypothesis”, the first step in the formation of atherosclerotic plaques (Figure 1), is the insudation of lipoproteins into the intima of the vessel wall (Williams & Tabas, 1998). LDL is modified to build an irreversible plaque because native LDL has no atherogenic properties and the insudation is reversible. The classical model is that reactive oxygen species are inducing the LDL modification (oxLDL), but there is a new theory, which postulates that enzymatic modifications of LDL by proteases and cholesterylester hydrolases are necessary for an activation of the immune system and atherosclerosis progression (Torzewski & Bhakdi, 2013). Modified LDL is accumulated in the intima in hypercholesterolemic or dyslipidemic stages. On the one hand, it activates the endothelium resulting in an enhanced expression of adhesion molecules (e.g. intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM), vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM), E-selectin), chemokines (e.g. monocyte chemoattractant protein1 (CCL2, MCP-1), interleukin-8 (IL-8)) and cytokines (e.g. TNF-α, IL-6), which attract inflammatory cells (e.g. monocytes, T cells) into the vessel wall and stimulate the differentiation of monocytes in macrophages (MΦ) (Figure 1 b). On the other hand, modified LDL is taken up by scavenger receptors into MΦ, which develop to foam cells, the major components of a plaque and promoters of inflammation by attraction of vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMC) and immune cells into the plaque. Each mentioned cell type stimulates the inflammatory response in the plaque via activation of transcription factors (e.g. nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB), c-Jun N-terminal kinases (JNKs)) and expression of further inflammatory mediators (van Diepen et al., 2013). Proinflammatory cytokines also lead to an enhanced expression of TLR2 and TLR4 in atherosclerotic plaques (Edfeldt et al., 2002), which promote the development of the disease (Schoneveld et al., 2008). VSMC secrete collagen forming a fibrous cap between the lipid core and the intima to stabilize the plaque (Figure 1 c). The adaptive immune response is activated when T cells recognize peptide fragments of oxLDL, which are presented by antigen presenting cells. Furthermore, T cells promote VSMC apoptosis and stimulate the secretion of metalloproteinases by macrophages to decrease the formation of the protective cap, which leads to a decrease of plaque stability. Inflammatory activation leads to plaque rupture (Figure 1 d) and a rapid formation of a thrombus, which promotes the closure of the artery (Patel & Blazing, 2013).
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1.1.3 Therapeutic options

Prevention is the major concern for a successful containment of atherosclerosis. First, it is required to change and control the behaviour, e.g. the type of ingested food, to do some exercise and to quit smoking. People with risk factors get some medication to ameliorate the prognosis, e.g. 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibitors, nicotinic acid, anti-hypertensive drugs, or anticoagulants (Frohlich & Al-Sarraf, 2013).

The last possibilities to prevent further cardiovascular damage through occlusion of an artery are stenting or coronary artery bypass grafting (Figure 2) (Wang et al., 2013a, Kutty & Nair, 2008). There are already many possibilities to control atherosclerosis, but nothing with lasting effect.

Figure 1: Development of an atherosclerotic plaque (Libby et al., 2011). See text for explanation.

Figure 2: Bypass graft after surgery of a blockage in a coronary artery (http://www.kalpkrizi.gen.tr/bypass-b.gif)
Therefore, this disease is still in the focus of current research. Cyclooxygenase-
(cox)-inhibitors, i.e. acetylsalicylic acid, are potent widely used drugs to protect
against heart failure. Beside from their anticoagulant effect, their anti-inflammatory
properties seem to be important for their beneficial effect. Furthermore, other selec-
tive anti-inflammatory drugs, like cytokines (IL-1β, C-C chemokine receptor 2
(CCR2)), leukotrienes or selective phospholipase A2 inhibitors as well as glucocorti-
coids and the anti-proliferative drug methotrexate are studied in clinical trials for their
potential antiatherosclerotic effects. Others, like TNF-α inhibitors, seem to be not ef-
dective (Patel & Blazing, 2013).

The key role of the immune answer in this disease also implicates the possibility of a
vaccination against immune players. Although, there are different approaches like
passive immunization against such as oxidized phospholipids (Hansson & Nilsson,
2009), active immunization using apolipoprotein B (ApoB)-100 peptides as antigen
(Chyu & Shah, 2014), or anti-cytokine auto-vaccinations (Uyttenhove & Van, 2012).

A new approach is the treatment by gene targeting including small interfering RNA
(siRNA), microRNA (miRNA) or epigenetics, which are directed against hyperlipide-
mia or inflammation on the whole, in the liver, or in the plaque (Makinen & Yla-
Herttuala, 2013). There are different experimental substances, which inhibit several
stages of atherosclerosis, like benzylidenethiazole analogs against monocyte migra-
tion, incretins against foam cell formation, or specific antioxidants targeting LDL oxi-
dation. Triglycerides, adhesion molecules or the neovascularisation, which is corre-
lated with plaque progression, may act as new targets. Additionally, the application of
stem cells seems to be a possibility to antagonize this disease. Major technological
advances lead to a lot of computational and nanotechnological approaches for diag-
nosis and treatment of atherosclerosis (Wang et al., 2013c).
1.2 Shear stress

1.2.1 General
Shear stress (τ) is a general force produced by the flow of a fluid along a surface. Transmitted to the body, the biomechanical force is induced by the blood stream and acts on EC in the vessel wall. The unit of shear stress is dynes per square centimeter (dynes/cm²) (10 dynes/cm² = 1 pascal (Pa)) (Cunningham & Gotlieb, 2005). The formula describing shear stress results from a combination of Newton fluids and the law of Hagen Poisseuille, with some adaptations: Blood has no constant viscosity and therefore it is not a real Newton fluid. Furthermore, vessels are elastic, not a rigid pipe. Therefore, shear stress is calculated with the following formula in arteries:

\[
\tau = \frac{4\mu Q}{\pi r^3}
\]

(Cunningham & Gotlieb, 2005)

\( Q \) = blood flow rate
\( r \) = radius of the vessel wall
\( \mu \) = viscosity of blood

For experimental conditions the formula has to be adapted to the different geometry of the chamber (2.7.2) used as follows:

\[
\tau = \frac{6Q\mu}{bh^2}
\]

(Frangos et al., 1988)

\( \tau \) = shear stress [dynes/cm²]
\( Q \) = flow rate [cm³/s]
\( \mu \) = viscosity (0.01 dynes*s/cm²) (Frangos et al., 1988)
\( b \) = channel width (1.9 cm)
\( h \) = channel high
Shear stress in biological systems is classified into different types:

- laminar, pulsatile
- turbulent, disturbed, nonlaminar
- low
- oscillatory

Normally, laminar shear stress is located in straight vessels and typically varies in arteries from 5 to 20 dynes/cm². Still, pressure conditions alternate to a great extent depending on blood pressure and pulse, therefore, laminar shear stress alters also beyond the given values. *In vivo*, laminar flow has a pulsatile character resulting of pulsatile blood pressure. In contrast, in curvatures and bifurcations, turbulences are existent in fluid resulting in low (< 5 dynes/cm²) and disturbed shear stress (Cunningham & Gotlieb, 2005) (Figure 3).

Turbulences also originate, when the fluid velocity is enhanced. The beginning of turbulence is calculated by the Reynolds number, which characterizes the stability of flow. Oscillatory flow is no natural type of flow and does not exist in the body. In fact, it is an experimental cell culture model, which is employed to evaluate the disturbed type of flow. Oscillatory flow is generated by the change of flow direction, normally...
with a frequency of 1 Hz (± 5 dynes/cm²) (Ali et al., 2009). In every type of flow, a force imposes directly on the endothelium and has effects on the EC (Davies, 2009).

1.2.2 Mechanotransduction

The mechanism resulting of imposed forces on the endothelium is called mechanotransduction (Davies, 1995; Davies, 2009). Mechanotransduction is dividable into four steps, but the temporal relation between the different steps is poorly understood so far:

- Physical deformation
- Intracellular transmission of stress
- Conversion of mechanical force to chemical activity
- Downstream biochemical signaling with feedback

Figure 4: The decentralized model of endothelial mechanotransduction by shear stress (Davies, 2009). See text for explanation. PECAM-1 (platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1), NF-κB (nuclear factor-κB), MAP kinases (mitogen–activated protein kinases)

The flow effectuates a physical deformation of the luminal cell surface and activates local membrane structures, such as ion channels and G-proteins, changes in phospholipid mechanism and membrane fluidity. Highly charged glycocalix and primary
Cilia are located on the cell surface and are established as important factors of the mechanism (Hierck et al., 2008; Yao et al., 2007). The force is also transferred by transmembrane located integrins to the cytoskeleton, which plays a central role in mechanotransduction (Wang et al., 1993). The intracellular transmission is carried out by cytoskeletal deformation and leads to the conversion to chemical activity resulting in modification of gene expression (see 1.2.3). Figure 4 shows a possible activation pathway of the small GTPase Ras (rat sarcoma), which influences different kinases or transcription factors, such as NF-κB to modulate gene expression (Davies et al., 1997).

But not all of these steps are always required. An early ion response, such as a calcium influx resulting in enhanced calcium concentration and changed cell activation is also possible (Cunningham & Gotlieb, 2005). Further, nuclear deformation by the cytoskeleton may immediately lead to a modified cell signaling via lamins (Figure 4).

### 1.2.3 Options of gene expression modification

Shear stress is known to have many possibilities to modulate the gene expression and function of EC. In several studies, 10-20 signaling pathways were identified, which play a role, with MAPK-pathways, NF-κB and endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS)- nitric oxide (NO) pathways being most often described (Frueh et al., 2013):

- **Activation of signaling molecules**
  e.g. heterotrimeric G proteins, tyrosine phosphorylation of proteins such as Src homology 2 domain containing transforming protein (Shc), c (cellular) -src tyrosine kinase, and focal adhesion kinase (FAK), activation of MAPK, protein kinase C (PKC), and JNK, release of reactive oxygen species (ROS), production of NO (Tzima, 2006)

- **Activation of transcription factors**
  e.g. c-fos, c-jun, c-myc, NF-κB, Krüppel-like factor 2 (KLF2), nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) (Tzima, 2006; Boon & Horrevoets, 2009), 10 different transcription factors are acknowledged as yet (Frueh et al., 2013)
• Regulation via binding to shear stress responsive elements (SSREs) in promoter sequence

SSREs are regulatory elements or cis-elements in the promoter region of a gene to modulate its gene expression. There are sequences for positive regulation as well as negative regulation identified (Malek & Izumo, 1995; Malek & Izumo, 1995; Miyakawa et al., 2004). GAGACC was first identified in 1993 and since then, it has been identified in many different genes (Resnick et al., 1993; Silberman et al., 2009). In most SSRE-regulated genes, more than one SSRE was identified, which seems to be more powerful (Houston et al., 1999; Resnick et al., 2003).

Positively regulating SSREs are: GAGACC (Resnick et al., 1993), TGACTCC (12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate response element (TRE) (CCL2)) (Shyy et al., 1995), GAGACCCCC (platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF)-B), GGGCCGGGGCG-(PDGF-A, TF) (Resnick et al., 2003).

Negatively regulating SSREs are: CTTT (Barbie-Box), GAGAG / GGGAG (GAGA-Box) (Miyakawa et al., 2004), TGACTCAG, TGGGCGGGGC (Resnick et al., 2003).

There are also several transcription factors identified, which are involved in shear stress gene regulation, such as NF-κB, activator protein-1 (AP-1), early growth response-1 (Egr-1), sterol regulatory element-binding protein-1 (SREBP-1) or specificity protein 1 (SP1) (Malek & Izumo, 1995; Xing et al., 2006; Resnick et al., 2003; Nagel et al., 1999). Additionally, the nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 – Kelch-like erythroid cell-derived protein with CNC homology-associated protein 1 (Nrf2-Keap1) system regulates cytoprotective gene expression via antioxidant responsive elements (ARE), with several ARE-regulated genes induced by laminar shear stress (Dai et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2003).

• Epigenetic regulation (Zhou et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2014)

(General epigenetic informations see in chapter 1.5)

There are three different possibilities for flow-induced epigenetic regulation: DNA-methylation, histone modification and binding of miRNA.

Estrogen receptor-β is described to have a higher DNA methylation state in atherosclerotic lesions (Post et al., 1999). Recently, Homeobox protein A5
(HoxA5) and KLF3 were shown as novel mechanosensitive transcription factors regulated by DNA methylation in response to flow (Dunn et al., 2014). DNA methylation with DNA (cytosine-5-)-methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1) as key protein is postulated being a new important regulation mechanism for pathophysiological stimuli due to disturbed flow (Zhou et al., 2014).

Histone deacetylases 1-7 (HDAC1-7) are activated in EC by laminar or oscillatory flow (Chen et al., 2013).

There is a lot of data on miRNAs and flow-related gene expression. More than 50 miRNAs are already described to be flow-responsive (Weber et al., 2010a; Weber et al., 2010b; Wu et al., 2011; Ni et al., 2011; Qin et al., 2010; Fang et al., 2010; Marin et al., 2013; Wei et al., 2013; Son et al., 2013; Hergenreider et al., 2012; Holliday et al., 2011).

1.2.4 Functions of Shear Stress

Shear stress has many different functions, and so far, there have been approximately 1000-2000 mechanosensitive genes identified (Frueh et al., 2013). Very much of them are involved in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis (Dolan et al., 2013; Gimbrone, Jr. & Garcia-Cardena, 2013). Generally, atherosclerotic plaques are localized in curvatures or bifurcations of vessels where static conditions as well as low and oscillatory shear stress occur. These inflammatory conditions promote the formation of atherosclerotic lesions by modification of gene and protein expression. In straight vessels the laminar blood flow is known as a main atheroprotective factor, which is important for the physiological function of the endothelium and acts anti-inflammatory (Cunningham & Gotlieb, 2005) (Figure 5). Whereas long time (> 24 h) laminar flow is atheroprotective, while short time laminar flow activates the endothelium in an inflammatory manner (Boon & Horrevoets, 2009; Chlupac et al., 2014). Besides laminar shear stress as a physical inhibitor of vascular inflammation, other regulators antagonizing vascular inflammation are as yet poorly investigated.
Atheroprotective shear stress promotes anti-inflammatory, antithrombotic, anticoagulative, and antioxidative properties of EC, and supports homeostasis, barrier function as well as wound healing of the endothelium (Cunningham & Gotlieb, 2005). On the other hand, atheroprone shear stress increases oxidation, leukocyte adhesion, permeability of the endothelium and inflammation in EC (Gimbrone, Jr. & Garcia-Cardena, 2013; LaMack et al., 2005; Himburg et al., 2004).

A central atheroprotective mediator is the vasodilator NO, which is induced by laminar shear stress, as well as activation of eNOS (Rubanyi et al., 1986; Davis et al., 2004; Dimmeler et al., 1999). Additionally, an important factor of atherosclerosis is the production of ROS performed in part by reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NAD(P)H), which is decreased by laminar and increased by oscillatory flow (De Keulenaer et al., 1998). Heme oxygenase 1 (HO1), another enzyme exhibiting antioxidative and antiatherosclerotic action (Stocker & Perrella, 2006) is strongly activated by laminar shear stress (Zakkar et al., 2008). Thrombomodulin is
increased in response to laminar flow of 15 dynes/cm\(^2\) modulating the coagulation state (Takada et al., 1994). Furthermore, laminar flow leads to the inhibition of signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) 3, followed by an inflammatory response as well as an activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPARγ) (Ni et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2004).

Inducing monocyte adherence and transmigration in vivo, low shear stress was considered to be inflammatory (Walpola et al., 1993). Additionally, IL-6, an activator of B- and T-lymphocytes (Jirik et al., 1989), is upregulated under low flow (Shaik et al., 2009). Leukocyte adhesion is determined by the expression of adhesion molecules and chemokines. The adhesion molecules ICAM, VCAM, and E-/P-selectin are induced by low and non-uniform shear stress in combination or absence of TNF-α treatment (Cicha et al., 2009; Chiu et al., 2004; Walpola et al., 1995; Chappell et al., 1998). CCL2 and IL-8 promote leucocyte adhesion under low flow (2 dynes/cm\(^2\)) (Gerszten et al., 1999). For CCL2, an upregulation was shown under oscillatory flow, which was dependent on increased transglutaminase activity (Matlung et al., 2012; Cheng et al., 2007). IL-8 is induced by low flow and decreased under laminar shear stress (Hastings et al., 2007; Shaik et al., 2009). A further player of inflammation is TLR2, which is enhanced by disturbed shear (Mullick et al., 2008). Additionally, its TNF-α-induced activation is diminished by laminar, but not by disturbed flow (Dunzendorfer et al., 2004).

Shear stress is not only a regulator of endothelial cell function, it also plays an important role in mechanical-transcriptional coupling and in regulation of the VSMC phenotype (Hastings et al., 2007).

### 1.3 Glucocorticoid-induced leucine zipper (GILZ)

#### 1.3.1 General

GILZ (TSC22D3) is an anti-inflammatory protein induced by glucocorticoids, which was identified in 1997 in murine T-lymphocytes (D’Adamio et al., 1997). Over the years, it was found out to be also expressed in various human cell types, e.g. ΜΦ, dendritic cells, B-lymphocytes, epithelial, and endothelial cells (Ayroldi & Riccardi, 2009; Hahn et al., 2014; Hoppstädter et al., 2012; Cheng et al., 2013).
Murine and human GILZ have a high similarity (97% in the coding region) (Cannarile et al., 2001), with murine GILZ containing 137 aa (17 kDa) (D’Adamio et al., 1997), and the human GILZ protein consisting of 135 aa (15 kDa). GILZ belongs to the leucine zipper (LZ) family because of its highly conserved LZ domain (abcdef) in the central position (76 - 97) of the molecule, which is characterized by a heptad repeat of leucine residues, which are found in position d (Figure 6). This domain, also known as transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β)-stimulated clone-22 (TSC-22), has a great homology compared to other members of this family. Furthermore, this region is responsible for homodimerization, while the other two domains of GILZ are responsible for protein-protein interactions between GILZ and transcriptional as well as signaling molecules (Fan & Morand, 2012). One of these domains, which is located in front of the LZ domain is the N-terminal domain (NTD), and the C-terminal domain behind it is also known as proline and glutamic acid rich region (PER) (Di Marco et al., 2007; Kester et al., 1999).

Figure 6: GILZ protein sequence, domains, and activation by dimerisation (Di Marco et al., 2007). NTD = N-terminal domain, LZ leucine zipper, PER = proline and glutamic acid region

1.3.2 Regulation of GILZ

Important for the regulation of GILZ are transcription factor binding sites in its promoter (Asselin-Labat et al., 2004), including six glucocorticoid responsive elements (GREs), putative binding sites for STAT6, for nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT), for Oct-1, for c-myc, for forkhead responsive elements (FHREs), for cyclic adenosine monophosphate response element-binding protein (CREB), and an estrogen-response sequence (Ayroldi & Riccardi, 2009).
Further inducers of GILZ are IL-10 in MΦ and mast cells, IL-4 and IL-13 in monocytes, IL-15 in natural killer cells, TGF-β in dendritic cells, erythropoietin and stem factor in primary erythroid progenitors, as well as vasopressin and aldosterone in mammalian kidney epithelial cells (Berrebi et al., 2003; Godot et al., 2006; Cohen et al., 2006; Perez et al., 2005; Soundararajan et al., 2005; Kolbus et al., 2003). Additionally, GILZ was induced in T-lymphocytes upon IL-2 withdrawal, leading to the dephosphorylation of transcription factor forkhead box O3 (Fox O3) binding to the FHREs identified in the GILZ promoter (Asselin-Labat et al., 2004). Moreover, laminar shear stress of 25 dynes/cm² for 6 h and 24 h was also shown to induce GILZ (McCormick et al., 2001).

A decreased GILZ expression was described for anti-CD3 activation in T-lymphocytes, for B-cell receptor activation in B-cells, by estrogens in MCF-7 human breast cancer cells and by IL-1, TNF-α, and interferon-γ (INF-γ) in epithelial cells (Ayroldi et al., 2001; Glynne et al., 2000; Tynan et al., 2004; Eddleston et al., 2007). For MΦ, different TLR ligands i.e. lipopolysaccharide (LPS) for TLR4 or PAM3CSK4 for TLR2, were identified as GILZ downregulators (Hoppstädter et al., 2012). TLRs diminished GILZ levels in a myeloid differentiation primary response 88 (MyD88) dependent fashion via a mRNA binding protein tristetraprolin (TTP / ZFP36) induced mRNA destabilization (Hoppstädter et al., 2012).

### 1.3.3 Functions of GILZ

GILZ protein is known to act via binding to other proteins. The building of GILZ homodimer is described to inhibit NF-κB by binding to its p65 subunit leading to a diminished cytokine transcription (Ayroldi & Riccardi, 2009; Cheng et al., 2013; Hahn et al., 2014; Di Marco et al., 2007; Berrebi et al., 2003). Some other transcription factors were also identified as targets of the GILZ monomer, e.g. AP-1, Raf-1 and Ras (extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK)) and AKT pathways (Ayroldi & Riccardi, 2009). AP-1 is inhibited by interaction of the GILZ N-terminal 60-amino acid region with the AP-1 subunits c-Jun and c-Fos (Mittelstadt & Ashwell, 2001; Ayroldi et al., 2002). Additionally, GILZ functions as a transcriptional repressor and binds to binding sites in the promoter of PPAR-γ2 (Shi et al., 2003). Effects of GILZ are summarized in Figure 7.
Besides other important functions in cell proliferation and renal sodium transport, the anti-inflammatory effects of GILZ, mostly mediated via NF-κB inhibition, are of special interest (Ayroldi & Riccardi, 2009). GILZ induction is pivotal for the anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive actions of glucocorticoids (Ayroldi et al., 2014; Berrebi et al., 2003; Fan & Morand, 2012). As an example, GILZ modulates T-lymphocyte activation (Ayroldi & Riccardi, 2009; Cohen et al., 2006; Libert & Dejager, 2014). Reumatoid arthritis is a chronic inflammatory disease, which is treated with glucocorticoids suggesting GILZ as guarantor of efficacy (Beaulieu et al., 2010; Eades et al., 2014). Furthermore, GILZ downregulation is postulated in different inflammatory diseases, like chronic rhinosinusitis, tuberculosis or Crohn’s disease (Berrebi et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2009). In mice, dinitrobenzene sulfonic acid-induced colitis was significantly inhibited by over-expression of GILZ in T-cells (Cannarile et al., 2009).
Recently, upregulation of GILZ was described to remedy the immune tolerance to allergens in respiratory allergies (Karaki et al., 2014). In EC, induction of GILZ expression inhibits inflammatory leukocyte recruitment (Cheng et al., 2013). Additionally, two different approaches has been described using GILZ as an anti-inflammatory drug to mediate the anti-inflammatory effects of glucocorticoids without the detrimental effects (Ayroldi et al., 2014). The fusion protein transactivator of transcription–GILZ (TAT-GILZ) regulated apoptosis of thymocytes in mice (Delfino et al., 2004). Injection of TAT-GILZ inhibited Th1-induced colitis in mice (Cannarile et al., 2009) and protected against LPS-induced endotoxemia (Pinheiro et al., 2013). Immunomodulatory GIHZ peptide (GIHZ-P), a proline-rich segment in the carboxyl terminus of GIHZ, improved experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis in mice and activated p65 in THP-1 (Srinivasan & Janardhanam, 2011; Srinivasan et al., 2014).

1.4 Further regulators of inflammation

The inflammatory activation of the endothelium plays a central role for the progression of atherosclerosis (see 1.1.2) (van Diepen et al., 2013). In the following, some inflammation related players, which are important for this work, are described.

1.4.1 Heme oxygenase 1 (HO1)

HO1 was first described as enzyme of the heme catabolism, which catalizes the degradation of heme in biliverdin-IX, divalent iron, and carbon monoxide (Tenhunen et al., 1968). HO1 is induced by its substrate heme and by various stressors, such as UV light, heat shock, lipopolysaccharide, heavy metals, reactive oxygen species and hyperoxia, whereby it has cell protective properties (Immenschuh & Ramadori, 2000; Han et al., 2009). Furthermore, it is also activated by anti-inflammatory stimuli, such as atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP) in Kupffer- and endothelial cells (Kiemer et al., 2003b; Kiemer et al., 2003a) and laminar shear stress in the endothelium (Zakkar et al., 2008). Especially the generated carbon monoxide is an anti-inflammatory, antiapoptotic, and vasodilatory mediator with antiatherosclerotic potential (Siow et al., 1999; Stocker & Perrella, 2006).
1.4.2 Dual specificity protein phosphatase 1 (DUSP1, MKP-1)

DUSP1 is the first identified of at least 10 known MKPs of mammalian cells and also known as hVH1, CL100, 3CH134 or Erp (Keyse, 2000; Wancket et al., 2012). The 40 kDa enzyme was first reported in 1992 and is known to be widely expressed (Charles et al., 1992; Wancket et al., 2012). It is a negative regulator of mitogen activated protein kinases (MAPKs), and inhibits all of them, p38, JNK as well as ERK, by dephosphorylation of their phosphotyrosine and phosphothreonine residues (Alessi et al., 1993; Liu et al., 1995; Raingeaud et al., 1995) leading to an anti-inflammatory response (Figure 8) (Wancket et al., 2012). A suppression of ZFP36 by an DUSP1 inhibited p38 MAPK pathway was recently described for MΦ and epithelial cells (Huotari et al., 2012).

Activation of DUSP1 is normally mediated by anti-inflammatory stimuli, such as glucocorticoids (Fürst et al., 2007), ANP (Kiemer et al., 2002a) and laminar shear stress (Zakkar et al., 2008). Negative regulation is possible by cytokines like IFN-γ (Wancket et al., 2012; Lawan et al., 2013).

Several translational and posttranslational mechanisms are possible for the regulation of DUSP1 (Lawan et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2003; Wancket et al., 2012). MAPKs
themselves enhance DUSP1, resulting in a negative feedback loop (Lawan et al., 2013). Binding of transcription factor CCAAT/enhancer binding protein-b (C/EBPb) was shown for DUSP1 induction in Мφ (Cho et al., 2008). Expression of DUSP1 is regulated via mRNA stability by the mRNA binding proteins human antigen R (HuR) and ZFP36 (Emmons et al., 2008; Kuwano et al., 2008). Posttranslational modifications were identified such as proteasome dependent degradation (Lin et al., 2003) or DUSP1 acetylation (Cao et al., 2008). Also, epigenetic expression regulation is possible via miRNAs (e.g. miRNA-101) (Zhu et al., 2010) or DNA methylation (Chen et al., 2012a).

For DUSP1, many different functions are known. It plays a role in the immune system, central nervous system, musculoskeletal system, infections, and different types of cancer (Lawan et al., 2013; Wancket et al., 2012). The role of DUSP1 in the pathophysiology of atherosclerotic plaques is controversially discussed, as both, anti-inflammatory (Fürst et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2012; Zakkar et al., 2008e) as well as proatherosclerotic actions have been suggested (Imaizumi et al., 2010; Shen et al., 2010).

1.4.3 p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (p38 MAPK)

p38 MAPK belongs to the group of highly conserved serine/threonine protein kinases (Su & Karin, 1996). p38 is almost ubiquitarily found, whereby 4 different isoforms are known (α, β, γ, δ), which are variably expressed in different cells (Hale et al., 1999). Activation occurs in response to several stimuli, such as inflammatory cytokines (e.g. TNF-α), UV radiation, osmotic stress or LPS (Kiemer et al., 2002a; Raingeaud et al., 1995), leading to the activation of GTPases (e.g. Ras, Rac) and a phosphorylation cascade of MAPK kinase kinase and MAPK kinase. The cascade ends in the phosphorylation of threonine and tyrosine residues in the conserved Thr-Xaa-Tyr motif, which is located in a regulatory loop between the kinase subdomains VII and VIII (Whitmarsh & Davis, 1996). p38 itself regulates gene expression through different mechanisms, such as activation of transcription factors or other protein kinases, or modulating the stability and translation of mRNA by phosphorylation of mRNA binding proteins, e.g. ZFP36, by MAPK-activated protein kinase (MK)-2, a downstream target of p38 MAPK (Stoecklin et al., 2004; Clark et al., 2003; Herlaar & Brown, 1999; Chen et al., 2001). Furthermore, p38 has many important functions in tissue ho-
moeostasis as well as in multiple pathologies from inflammation and the immune response to heart, cancer, and neurodegenerative diseases (Cuadrado & Nebreda, 2010).

1.4.4 Tristetraprolin (ZFP36, TTP)

Tristetraprolin belongs to the Cys-Cys-Cys-His-tandem-zincfinger-protein family. Its function is the destabilisation of mRNA by binding to adenosine-uridine-rich-elements (AUUUA) in the 3’ untranslated region (3’UTR) leading to deadenylation and degradation of the bound mRNA (Blackshear, 2002; Carballo et al., 1998). Normally, adenosine-uridine-rich-elements are located in mRNAs of inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-8, and IL-6, resulting in an anti-inflammatory action of ZFP36 (Aslam & Zaheer, 2011; Lai et al., 1999; Lai et al., 2006; Balakathiresan et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2011). In the meantime, numerous targets are genome-wide identified (Mukherjee et al., 2014). Furthermore, cytokine production is inhibited by ZFP36 via binding of p65 (Schichl et al., 2009). An important regulator of ZFP36 is the p38 MAPK, which inhibits the activation of ZFP36 and degradation of cytokine mRNA by phosphorylation of MK2 (Aslam & Zaheer, 2011; Lai et al., 1999; Stoecklin et al., 2004).

Recently, inflammatory actions of ZFP36 were also detected. In stimulated MΦ, GILZ was actively downregulated via GILZ mRNA destabilization mediated by the mRNA binding protein ZFP36 (Hoppstädter et al., 2012). Additionally, ZFP36 is expressed in EC and foam cells of atherosclerotic lesions (Zhang et al., 2013). Moreover, ZFP36 expression is inhibited downstream of the anti-inflammatory DUSP1 (Huotari et al., 2012), a potent inhibitor of p38 MAPK (Kiemer et al., 2002a).
1.5 Epigenetics

Epigenetic modifications are covalent or noncovalent alterations of DNA resulting in a modified gene expression, which can be classified into the following three categories (Figure 9) (Chen et al., 2013).

Figure 9: Three fundamental mechanisms of epigenetic gene regulation (Yan et al., 2010). See text for details.

**DNA methylation**

DNA methylation results by addition of a methyl group from S-adenyl methionine to the fifth carbon of a cytosine to form 5-methylcytosine in the context of CpG dinucleotides (Moore et al., 2013). CpGs are normally unmethylated and thus hypermethylation of CpG islands leads to the stable silencing of gene expression. So-called CpG islands are clusters of more than 200 bp with high CG content in promoters of genes. Methylation and demethylation of DNA are both enzymatic processes. Demethylation of methylated DNA induces the gene expression as well as binding of proteins to the methylated CpG (Chen et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2014).

The importance of this modification in vascular functions has been reported for DNA methylation of eNOS and vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2).
promoters, which are bound and suppressed by methyl CpG binding domain protein2 (MBD2) (Rao et al., 2011).

Histone modification
Histones are enzymatically modified at their N-terminal regions. This process influences the accessibility of the DNA to the transcriptional machinery (Kouzarides, 2007). Generally, acetylation, methylation, phosphorylation, or ubiquitylation are used to activate the transcription and methylation, ubiquitination, sumoylation, deimination, and proline isomerisation is used to reduce the transcription (Zhou et al., 2014). The best studied actors are histone acetyltransferases (HAT) and HDAC, which both are known to be dysregulated in cardiovascular diseases (Wang et al., 2014).

RNA-based mechanisms
Noncoding RNAs (NcRNAs) are divided into five classes dependent on its structure and length: microRNAs (miRNAs), small interfering RNAs (siRNAs), piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs), small nucleolar RNAs and long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) (Mattick, 2009; Stefani & Slack, 2008). lncRNAs and miRNA are well-known, whereat lncRNA consist of about 200 contrarily to the miRNA, which are single-stranded RNAs of 18–22 nucleotides and represent a novel class of gene regulators. As endogenous mediators, miRNA are located in introns of genes and contemporaneously transcribed with the respective mRNA. Target RNAs are mostly bound within their 3’UTR by miRNAs, which results in the degradation of the mRNA or translational repression by a perfect or imperfect complement (Winter et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2014). As an example, miRNA-126 decreases VCAM expression, suggesting an importance in vascular inflammation (Harris et al., 2008).

1.5.1 Imprinted genes H19 and IGF2
Genomic imprinting is an effect widely based on DNA methylation (Feil & Khosla, 1999). The human genome contains a cluster of imprinted genes on chromosome 11 (Lin et al., 1999) including important examples of imprinted genes: the non translated H19 RNA and the insulin-like growth factor (IGF2), which are reciprocally expressed. H19 is only expressed by the maternal allele (Rachmilewitz et al., 1992) and IGF2 is paternally expressed (Giannoukakis et al., 1993). Both promoters are regulated by
the same enhancers, but on different parental chromosomes (Leighton et al., 1995). On the maternal allele, $H19$ is expressed and $IGF2$ is imprinted by blocking the enhancer activity to the $IGF2$ promoter by the binding of insulator factor CTCF (CCCTC-binding factor) to the unmethylated imprinting control region. On the paternal region, this region is methylated, whereby binding of CTCF is not possible, resulting in a silencing of $H19$ (Figure 10) (Gabory et al., 2006).

![Figure 10: Imprinting mechanisms of $H19$ and $IGF2$ (Gabory et al., 2006). See text for details. meso: mesodermal enhancers, endo: endodermal enhancers, Mat: maternal allele, Pat: paternal allele, CTCF: CCCTC-binding factor, DMR: differentially methylated region.](image)

Both genes are mainly prenataally expressed and strongly downregulated after birth in most tissues (Weber et al., 2001). Expression is also known for various tumors suggesting a role in tumorigenesis and a few other diseases (Kessler et al., 2013; Tanimochi et al., 1995; Matouk et al., 2013; Bergman et al., 2013; Engstrom et al., 1998). While the growth factor $IGF2$ is widely accepted as tumorigenic protein, the non-translated $H19$ is discussed to be either a tumor promoter or a tumor suppressor. Both genes are also connected to atherosclerosis by playing a role in proliferation of VSMCs (Han et al., 1996; Li et al., 2009; Zaina & Nilsson, 2003; Zaina et al., 2002). Additionally, $H19$ was reported to be upregulated in the inflammatory disease rheumatoid arthritis (Stuhlmuller et al., 2003), whereby in chondrocytes, TNF-α leads to a decrease of $H19$ (Steck et al., 2012).
1.6 **Aim of this work**

Atherosclerosis is a widely spread, cardiovascular disease with a major cause of morbidity and mortality. The molecular mechanisms of this disease, especially in the context of different kinds of shear stress, are as yet not completely understood. Inflammation is known to be one of the main factors in atherosclerosis development, which is especially characterized by the inflammatory activation of the endothelium. The anti-inflammatory protein GILZ, which mediate the anti-inflammatory actions of glucocorticoids, is known to be involved in different inflammatory processes.

The aim of this work was to elucidate the role of GILZ in endothelial inflammation.

Therefore, following aspects were clarified:

(I) The downregulation of the GILZ expression in human degenerated veins and atherosclerotic arteries compared to healthy vessels

(II) The differentially up- and downregulation of the GILZ expression at anti- and inflammatory conditions, especially at shear stress conditions, in endothelial cells

(III) The mechanism of GILZ regulation

(IV) Functional implications of GILZ downregulation
2 Materials and methods
2.1 Materials

Endothelial cell growth medium was purchased from Promocell (Heidelberg, Germany), RPMI-1640, Earle’s medium 199, fetal calf serum gold (FCS), trypsin and penicillin/streptomycin were obtained from PAA (Cölbe, Germany). Collagenase A from *Clostridium histolyticum* and collagen (sterile, lyophilizate, from rat tail tendon) were bought from Roche Deutschland Holding GmbH (Grenzach-Wyhlen, Germany), dissolved, and diluted after manufacturer’s instructions. *RNA later* and Qiazol were from Qiagen (Hilden, Germany). Kanamycin, tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), dexamethasone, and ampicillin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH (München, Germany), SB203580 from Jena Bioscience (Jena, Germany) and LPS as well as Pam3CSK4 from Invivogen (San Diego, CA, USA). siGILZ (siGENOME SMARTpool) and siControl (siGenome) were from Dharmaco (Nidderau, Germany). pGL4.32[Luc2P/NF-κB-RE/Hygro] containing 5 repetitive elements of the NF-κB consensus sequence GGGAATTTCC was obtained from Promega (Heidelberg, Germany). pcDNA3-p38α-dn was a gift from Prof. Dr. Jian-Dong Li, University of Rochester Medical Center, USA (Shuto et al., 2001).

The parallel flow chamber was modified after (Frangos et al., 1988) and manufactured by upag AG (Vollersode, Germany). The peristaltic pump (403U/VM4 purple/white, 040.3K1V.M4E, 0.85-17 ml/min) was obtained from Watson Marlow (Rommerskirchen, Germany). Silicon tubes were purchased from VWR (Darmstadt, Germany) and silicon mats for gasket construction were from rfQ Medizintechnik (Tuttlingen, Germany).

For Western blot analyses, anti-GILZ (sc-26518) and anti-MKP-1 (sc-1199) antibodies were purchased from SantaCruz (Heidelberg, Germany), anti-TTP (T5327) and anti-tubulin (T9026) were obtained from Sigma (Taufkirchen, Germany), and anti-TLR2 (Cat # 3268-1) from Epitomics (Burlingame, USA). The IRdye-labeled secondary antibodies goat anti-mouse, goat anti-rabbit, and donkey anti-goat were from LI-COR Biosciences (Bad Homburg, Germany), and anti-GILZ antibody for IHC (FL-134) was obtained from SantaCruz (Heidelberg, Germany).

All primers and probes were purchased from Eurofins MWG Operon (Ebersfeld, Germany). 5 x HOT FIREPol® EvaGreen® qPCR Mix Plus was from Solis BioDyne (Tartu, Estonia). Taq-Polymerase (5 U/µl), 10x Taq buffer and the dNTP mix (dATP,
dCTP, dGTP and dTTP, 10 mM each) were obtained from Genscript (Piscataway, NJ, USA).
Other materials were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany), Sigma (Taufkirchen, Germany), VWR (Darmstadt, Germany), or Roth (Karlsruhe, Germany) unless otherwise noted.

2.2 Human vessels

Human healthy saphenous veins and radial arteries as well as pieces of atherosclerotic aortas and degenerated aortocoronary saphenous vein bypass grafts were obtained from patients undergoing coronary bypass surgeries. During operation, vessels were immediately transferred into RNA stabilization solution (RNA later, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), stored at 4°C, and after some days transferred to -20°C. Samples were obtained from Prof. Dr. Hanno Huwer (SHG Klinik Völklingen, Germany) with the consent of patients and with permission of the local ethics committee (ref #102/09).

2.3 Bacterial culture

2.3.1 Bacterial strains and cultivation

The following Escherichia coli strains were used as host organism for plasmids:

*Escherichia coli* (E. coli) TOP10 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), genotype F- mcrA Δ(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) ϕ80lacZΔM15 ΔlacX74 nupG recA1 araD139 Δ(ara-leu)7697 galE15 galK16 rpsL(Str^R^) endA1 λ^-

*Escherichia coli* (E. coli) GT116 (Invivogen, San Diego, CA, USA), genotype F- mcrA Δ(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) ϕ80lacZΔM15 ΔlacX74 recA1 endA1 Δdcm ΔsbcC-sbcD

*E. coli* GT116 was used to produce the shTTP plasmid because of enhanced compatibility with hairpin structures and cultivated in low salt LB_{Zeo}-medium.
Materials and methods

Bacteria were grown in LB\textsuperscript{Amp}-medium (Luria Bertani, Version Miller with 10% [w/v] NaCl, pH 7.5) (bacto-tryptone 10% [w/v], yeast extract 5% [w/v], NaCl 10% [w/v], ampicillin 100 µg/ml) or low salt LB\textsuperscript{Zeo}-medium (Luria Bertani, pH 7.5) (bacto-tryptone 10% [w/v], yeast extract 5% [w/v], NaCl 2.5% [w/v], zeocin 25 µg/ml) at 37°C and 5% CO\textsubscript{2}. For selection of single clones, LB\textsuperscript{Amp}- and low salt LB\textsuperscript{Zeo}-agar (medium containing 30% [w/v] agar) were used.

2.3.2 Generation of competent bacteria using CaCl\textsubscript{2} method

5 ml of an overnight culture was transferred into 100 ml LB-medium and rotated at 37°C until absorption of $A_{650 \text{nm}} = 0.4$ was achieved. After 30 min incubation on ice, bacteria were centrifuged (2,000 x g, 4°C 5 min) and resuspended in 2.5 ml cold CaCl\textsubscript{2} solution (75 mM CaCl\textsubscript{2}, 15% glycerol). 10 ml CaCl\textsubscript{2} solution were added, mixed and 30 min incubated on ice. Bacteria were centrifuged again, resuspended in 2.5 ml cold CaCl\textsubscript{2} solution, and frozen in 100 µl aliquots.

2.3.3 Transformation

10-150 ng of a plasmid were added to 100 µl competent bacteria, mixed, and incubated on ice for 20 min. After heat shock for 80 s at 42°C, bacteria were incubated again on ice for 2 min. Afterwards, 900 µl prewarmed SOC medium (trypton 20 g/L, yeast extract 5 g/L, NaCl 0.5 g/L, KCl 0.2 g/L, MgCl\textsubscript{2} 10 mM, glucose 20 mM) was added and shaken 1.5 h at 37°C. After plating, bacteria were incubated overnight.

2.3.4 Isolation of plasmids

Isolation of plasmids from overnight culture was prepared using QIAprep Spin Miniprep or Midiprep kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.3.5 Determination of DNA concentration

Concentration of plasmids was measured by determining the extinction at 260 nm. The purity was checked by an additional measurement at 280 nm, which is an indicator for protein contaminations. The ratio 260/280 should be 1.8 for DNA. Measure-
ments were performed with a BioMate UV-Vis spectrophotometer (ThermoElectron, Oberhausen, Germany). 50 µg/ml DNA equates an extinction of 1 at 260 nm.

**2.4 Cell culture**

**2.4.1 THP-1**
This human leukemic monocytic cell line was cultivated in RPMI-1640 with 10% [v/v].

**2.4.2 Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC)**
HUVEC are primary cells, which were obtained by isolation of human umbilical veins. The umbilical cords were transferred into PBS+ immediately after birth (phosphate buffered saline) (NaCl 8.0 g/L, KCl 0.20 g/L, Na₂HPO₄ 1.15 g/L, KH₂PO₄ 0.20 g/L, MgCl₂·6H₂O 0.10 g/L, CaCl₂·2H₂O 0.10 g/L) containing 1% [v/v] penicilline (10,000 U/ml)/streptomycine (10 mg/ml) and stored at 4°C (Klinikum Saarbrücken, Germany). The isolation of HUVEC was carried out up to 10 days after childbirth.

**2.4.3 Isolation of HUVEC**
Earle’s medium 199 and endothelial cell growth-medium were always used containing 10% [v/v] FCS Gold, 1% [v/v] penicilline (10,000 U/ml)/streptomycine (10 mg/ml), 0.1% [v/v] kanamycin (50 mg/ml) unless otherwise noted.

The isolation of HUVEC was performed under sterile conditions by digestion of umbilical veins with 0.1 g/L collagenase A at 37°C after Jaffe *et al.* (1973). To stop the digestion, veins were rinsed with Earle’s medium 199. After centrifugation (10 min, 200 x g) cells were resuspended in 5 ml endothelial cell growth-medium, and cultivated at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in a 25 cm² cell culture flask. After one day cells were washed three times with PBS (phosphate buffered saline) (NaCl 7.20 g/L, KH₂PO₄ 0.43 g/L, Na₂HPO₄ 1.48 g/L) and cultivated until confluence.
2.4.4 Cultivation of HUVEC
After reaching confluence, cells were passaged to a new cell culture flask or plate: cells were washed three times with PBS and 1 ml or 2 ml trypsine-EDTA-solution was added (in a 25 cm² or 75 cm² flask). After 2 min incubation at 37°C, the trypsine was inactivated with 25 ml Earle’s medium 199. The suspension was centrifuged (10 min, 175 x g) and the pellet completely resuspended in endothelial cell growth-medium. Subsequently, cells were splitted 1:3 or 1:4, and 1 part seeded into new 75 cm² cell culture flasks, in 6-well plates, or 1.5 parts on glass slides in 4-well plates. Experiments were performed in passage three. If necessary, determination of cell count was performed via a Neubauer improved hemocytometer. Cells were harvested after the experiments in 1 ml Qiazol to isolate RNA, DNA, and proteins simultaneously.

2.4.5 Freezing and thawing of HUVEC
freezing:
Confluent cells in passage one were used for freezing. HUVEC were washed and trypsinized as outlined above. After centrifugation, cells of a 75 cm² flask were resuspended in 3 ml ice cold freezing medium (50% [v/v] Earle’s medium 199, 20% [v/v] endothelial cell growth-medium, 20% [v/v] FCS Gold, 10% [v/v] DMSO). After filling into cryovials, cells were frozen at -20°C for one day. Afterwards, they were transferred into -80°C for one week and then into liquid nitrogen at -196°C.

thawing:
To reduce cytotoxic effects of DMSO, cryovials were thawed 2-3 min at 37°C and the suspension was rapidly transferred into 20 ml prewarmed Earle’s medium 199. After centrifugation (10 min, 200 x g), cells were resuspended in endothelial cell growth-medium and converted into a new 75 cm² cell culture flask.

2.4.6 Detection of mycoplasma
To exclude contaminations with *mycoplasma*, HUVEC were once tested with the Venor®GeM mycoplasma detection kit (Minerva Biolabs, Berlin) according to the manu-
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facturer`s instructions. It is based on the amplification of mycoplasma DNA with a detection limit of 1-5 fg.

2.5 Transfection

Before transfection with either siRNA or plasmids, HUVEC were grown until 80% confluence. Electroporation was performed using the Amaxa® Nucleofector™ Kit (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) according to the manufacturer`s instructions. For GILZ knockdown, 100 pmol/L siGILZ or siControl were transfected for 20 h. The luciferase assay was carried out by transfection of 100 pmol/L siRNA and 1.5 µg pGL4.32[luc2P/NF-κB-RE/Hygro] for 20 h. Plasmid was obtained from Indou Awissi Kpebane (Pharmaceutical Biology, Saarland University).

Overexpression of dominant negative (dn) p38α MAPK was performed by nucleofection of 2 µg of pcDNA3-p38α-dn or pcDNA3-empty for 24 h by Dr. Kerstin Hirschfelder (Pharmaceutical Biology Saarland University).

For ZFP36 (TTP) knockdown, 1 µg shTTP plasmid (Fechir et al., 2005) or 1 µg psiRNA-LucGL3 plasmid (Invivogen) as control were transfected for 24 h. The shTTP plasmid was created by cloning of siRNA against ZFP36 5`-ACCTCACAAGACTGAGCTATGTCGGATCAAGAGTCCGACATAGCTCAGTCTTGTTT-3′ into the BbsI sites of psiRNA-h7SKGFPzeo by Dr. Jessica Hoppstädter (Pharmaceutical Biology, Saarland University) and produced with E. coli GT116.

As transfection control, the pmaxGFP™ plasmid (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) was used. The green color of cells based on the green fluorescent protein (GFP) was detected via fluorescence microscopy. Except for the Luciferase assay (see below), cells were seeded into 6 well plates after transfection.

2.6 Luciferase assay

HUVEC were transfected as outlined above and seeded into white 96 well plates with white bottom (PerkinElmer, Rodgau-Juedesheim, Germany). Cells were harvested with 1x passive lysis buffer (Promega, Heidelberg, Germany) and stored at -80°C at least for 1 h. A Wallac Victor2 multilabel counter with the software Wallac 1420 (Wal-
lac/PerkinElmer, Rodgau-Juedesheim, Germany) was used for the measurement of the luminescence after adding of 50 µl luciferase substrate buffer (tricine 20 mM, MgCO₃ Mg(OH)₂ x 5 H₂O 2.67 mM, MgSO₄ x 7 H₂O 1.07 mM, EDTA 100 µM, DTT 33.3 mM, ATP 530 µM, coenzym A 0.213 mg/ml, D-luciferin 470 mM) to 25 µl of cell lysate.

2.7 Shear Stress

2.7.1 Coating of glass slides
Sterilized glass slides (76 x 26 x 1 mm, Roth) with exact identical thickness were incubated for 40 min in 1 ml collagen solution (30 µg/ml in 0.2% acetic acid) in 4-well plates, whereas the reverse surface was coated. While washing twice with PBS, glass slides were turned in the wells. After drying for 30 min, cells were seeded onto the glass slides.

2.7.2 Flow experiments
The level of laminar shear stress is determined by the flow rate. Calculation of the flow rate was performed with the following formula:

$$\tau = \frac{6Q\mu}{bh^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (Frangos et al., 1988)

$\tau$ = shear stress [dynes/cm²]
$Q$ = flow rate [cm³/s]
$\mu$ = viscosity (0.01 dynes*s/cm²) (Frangos et al., 1988)
$b$ = channel width (1.9 cm)
$h$ = channel height (= thickness of the middle part of the chamber (1.15 mm) – thickness of the glass slide)

The calculated flow rate was adjusted by controlling the pump drive and the tube diameter in the pump before each experiment. Afterwards, glass slides with confluent HUVEC were integrated in the parallel plate flow chambers (Figure 11), which were
then connected to the peristaltic pump and were filled with endothelial cell growth-medium (Figure 12).

**Figure 11:** Setup of one parallel plate flow chamber with glass slide. Chamber was modified after (Frangos et al., 1988) and manufactured by Upag AG after plans shown in the supplement (Vollersode, Germany). Technical drawing and assistance were kindly performed by Christian and Alexander Hahn.

**Figure 12:** Filling of the parallel plate flow chamber

HUVEC cultivated on collagen coated glass slides were exposed either to laminar shear stress of 20 dynes/cm² (laminar), to low laminar shear stress of 2 dynes/cm²
(low), or to oscillatory shear stress (oscillatory) for 24 h in this parallel plate flow chamber. All types of flow were generated by a peristaltic pump (403U/VM purple/white, Watson Marlow). Laminar flow rates were regulated to fit a shear stress of 20 or 2 dynes/cm$^2$ as outlined above and the flow was unidirectionally. For oscillatory shear stress the direction of flow was changed with a frequency of 1/s using an electronic control unit (timer-module Ne555 (obtained from Mathias Sander, Experimental Physics, Prof. Dr. Ott, Saarland University)).

TNF-$\alpha$ (10 ng/ml) was added to the flow medium during a short stop in the flow. After 5 min of laminar flow to distribute the TNF-$\alpha$ in the medium, laminar or oscillatory flow was continued for another 2 h or 3.5 h. Untreated cells were similarly flowed. Cells were harvested in 1 ml Qiazol.

### 2.8 Immunohistochemistry

Sections of murine femoral arteries, healthy radial arteries and healthy saphenous veins were fixed in 4% formalin. Paraffin-embedded slides with cut samples were stained for GILZ with the CSA II Kit (Dako, Hamburg, Germany) according to (Tybl et al., 2011). The GILZ antibody was used in a concentration of 1:10,000 overnight at 4°C.

### 2.9 RNA analysis

To protect the RNA from degradation by RNAses, chloroform treated reaction tubes were used. Tips, H$_2$O, and buffer were decontaminated with UV light.

#### 2.9.1 RNA isolation by phenol chloroform extraction

To isolate RNA, a single step method after (Chomczynski & Sacchi, 1987) was used.

**HUVEC**

After washing with PBS, cells were harvested in 1 ml Qiazol and frozen at -80°C at least for 1 h. Lysates were defrosted at room temperature, 250 µl chloroform was added and vortexed for 15 s until turbidity. The mixture was incubated for 3 min at...
room temperature, centrifuged (15 min, 4°C, 17,000 x g) and 400 µl supernant was transferred into a new reaction tube. RNA was precipitated by adding 100% isopropanol at -20°C over night. The lower- and the interphase were used for DNA and protein isolation (2.10 and 2.11).

On the following day, the suspension was centrifuged (10 min, 4°C, 17,000 x g), washed with ice-cold 75% ethanol ([v/v] in 0.1% DEPC-H₂O), dried at 55°C, and dissolved in 20 µl 0.1% DEPC-H₂O.

**Human vessels**

Samples, stored in RNA later, provided at -20°C were defrosted and ends of pieces (max. 0.3 mm length) were cut off. After transferring into Qiazol, samples were disintegrated for 2 min at 18,000 rpm using an Ultra-Turrax® (IKA, Staufen, Germany) and stored at -80°C. Isolation of RNA was performed as described above.

### 2.9.2 DNase digestion

To exclude any contamination with genomic DNA, RNA was digested with the Ambion DNA free kit (Ambion # 1906, Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s guidelines.

### 2.9.3 Determination of RNA concentration

The measurement was performed with a BioMate UV-Vis spectrophotometer (ThermoElectron, Ulm, Germany) at the absorption maximum of 260 nm. 40 µg/ml RNA equates an extinction of 1.

### 2.9.4 Alu polymerase chain reaction (Alu PCR)

To check the DNA digestion and detect any contaminations of DNA in the RNA, an Alu PCR was carried out, which amplifies repetitive Alu sequences in a PCR reaction (Mullis & Faloona, 1987). These sequences are found in a large number in the human genome (5% of DNA) and contain about 300 bp. The primer A1S 5’-TCA TGT CGA CGC GAG ACT CCA TCT CAA A-3’ was used. The reaction mixture was prepared on ice, added to 100 ng of RNA, and the reaction was performed in a Thermo-cycler PX2 (ThermoElectron, Ulm, Germany). As a positive control, 500 ng of ge-
nomic DNA of THP-1 cells (obtained by Dr. Kerstin Hirschfelder, Pharmaceutical Biology, Saarland University) were used.

reaction mixture (one sample):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primer A1S (50 µM)</td>
<td>0.5 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x Taq buffer</td>
<td>2.5 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTPs (10 mM each)</td>
<td>0.5 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taq polymerase (5 U/µl)</td>
<td>0.5 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>100 ng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O</td>
<td>ad 25 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

reaction conditions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>denaturation</td>
<td>94°C</td>
<td>5 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>denaturation</td>
<td>94°C</td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>annealing</td>
<td>56°C</td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elongation</td>
<td>72°C</td>
<td>2 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>final elongation</td>
<td>72°C</td>
<td>10 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The detection of amplified product was done using agarose electrophoresis on a 1.5% agarose gel. If no product was detected, reverse transcription was carried out. If a product was detected, DNase digestion and Alu PCR were repeated.

2.9.5 Agarose gel electrophoresis

The basic principle of agarose gel electrophoresis is the migration of charged particles in an electric field. The gel contains 0.5 – 2% [w/v] agarose depending on the size of detectable DNA fragments supplemented with 0.04% [v/v] ethidium bromide. After mixing with 6x loading dye (18% [w/v] ficoll type 400, 0.5 M EDTA, 60 ml 10x TBE (tris-borat-EDTA buffer: tris base 10.8 g/L, boric acid 3.5 g/L, Na2EDTA 0.74 g/L), 0.25 % [w/v] bromophenol blue, 0.25% [w/v] xylencyanol, H2O2 ad 100 ml) samples were loaded onto a gel in TBE buffer and separated at 100 V. For the determination of DNA sizes, a 50 bp DNA ladder (Fermentas, St. Leon-Rot, Germany) or a 1 kb DNA ladder (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) was additionally loaded onto
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the gel. Fluorescence detection of intercalated ethidium bromide at 312 nm was performed with an UV transilluminator (White Top Light Transilluminator) with the software ArgusX1 (Biostep, Jahnsdorf, Germany).

2.9.6 Reverse transcription (RT)

0.25 - 1 µg of RNA in 10 µl H₂O were denatured at 65°C for 5 min, put on ice, and transcribed into complementary DNA (cDNA) using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany) with the oligo dT Primer 5’-TTT TTT TTT TTT-3’ and RNAseOUT™ ribonuclease inhibitor (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany). RNA was incubated with the mixture for 10 min at 25°C and then 2 h at 37°C. After inactivation of the reverse transcriptase for 5 s at 85°C, samples were diluted with 80 µl H₂O on ice. A reaction without enzyme served as negative control for real-time RT-PCR.

reaction mixture (one sample):
10x RT buffer 2 µl
25x dNTP-mix (100 mM, 25 mM each) 0.8 µl
Oligo dT(10 µM) 2 µl
RNAseOUT™ Ribonuclease Inhibitor (40 U/µl) 0.25 µl
MultiScribe reverse transcriptase (4 U/µl) 1 µl
H₂O 3.95 µl

2.9.7 Real-time RT-PCR

Real-time RT-PCR is a special form of the PCR reaction, whereas DNA quantification is performed during the amplification (Kubista et al., 2006; Mullis et al., 1986). Quantification is carried out by two different principles, both of them measuring the emerging fluorescence. The first method is the determination by fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET), where the target specific probe is dually labelled (5’-end: 6-carboxy-fluorescein (6-FAM), 3’-end: black hole quencher 1 (BHQ1)). The second method is the fluorescent dye EvaGreen® (SolisBioDyne, Tartu, Estonia), which intercalates into the emerging DNA amplificates.
2.9.7.1 Real-time RT-PCR with dual labelled probe

The conditions for PCR reactions and mixtures are listed in Table 3. The reaction mixture was assembled on ice and filled in 96 well plates. 5 µl sample, standard, negative control from reverse transcription or H₂O was added, each in triplicate. Used primers and probes are given in Table 1 and Table 2. Reaction and quantification were performed with an iCycler iQ5 and the iQ5 package software (Biorad, München, Germany). Quantification occurred by analysis of the Cₜ (threshold cycle) value. The concentration of probes was then calculated by the software related to the standard curve. Values were normalized to the housekeeping gene ACTB (β-actin). The standard deviation of triplicates was less than 0.5 and the efficiency of the reactions was between 95% and 105%.

standard dilution series

For quantification of cDNA and determination of the PCR efficiency, a dilution series with 7 dilutions (starting point: 20 attomol/µl, in TE buffer) of the plasmid pGEM®-T Easy (Promega, Heidelberg, Germany) with the appropriate insert. Glycerol stocks of bacteria with the appropriate plasmids were provided by Prof. Dr. Alexandra K. Kieme (Pharmaceutical Biology, Saarland University) or cloned during my diploma thesis. Calculation of required amount of plasmid:

\[
c \ (\text{target DNA})[\text{attomol/µl}] = c(\text{plasmid})[\mu\text{g/ml}] \times 1.515[\text{pmol/µl}] / N[\text{bp}]
\]

\[
N = \text{number of base pairs of vector and insert}
\]

primers and probes

Sequences for primers and probes (Table 1 and Table 2) were obtained from Prof. Dr. Alexandra K. Kieme (Pharmaceutical Biology, Saarland University) or designed with the program Primer3 (http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/prime3/).
Table 1: primer sequences for real-time RT-PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mRNA</th>
<th>primer sense (5’→3’)</th>
<th>primer antisense (5’→3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTB</td>
<td>TGC GTG ACA TTA AGG AGA AG</td>
<td>GTC AGG CAG CTC GTA GCT CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-8</td>
<td>TGC CAG TGA AAC TTC AAG CA</td>
<td>ATT GCA TCT GGC AAG CTT AC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>AAT AAT AAT GGA AAG TGG CTA TGC</td>
<td>AAT GGC ATT TAT TGG TAT AAA AAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR2</td>
<td>GCA AGC TGC GGA AGA TAA TG</td>
<td>CGC AGC TCT CAG ATT TAC CC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCL2</td>
<td>TTG ATG TTT TAA TAT TGG TGG</td>
<td>CAG GGG TAG AAC TGT GGT TCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GILZ</td>
<td>TCT GCT TGG AGG GGA TGT GG</td>
<td>ACT TGT GGG GAT TCG GGA GC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICAM</td>
<td>GAA GTG GCC CTC CAT AGA CA</td>
<td>TCA AGG GTT GGG GTC AGT AG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCAM</td>
<td>CGA GAC CAC CCC AGA ATC TA</td>
<td>CTG TGG TGC TGC AAG TCA AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-selectin</td>
<td>AGC CCA GAG CCT TCA GTG TA</td>
<td>CCC TGC ATG TCA CAG CTT TA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: probe sequences for real-time RT-PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mRNA</th>
<th>probe (5’→3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTB</td>
<td>6-FAM-(CAC GGC TGC TTC CAG CTC CTC)BHQ-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-8</td>
<td>6-FAM-(CAGACCCACACAATACATGAAGTGTG)BHQ-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>6-FAM-(TCC TTT GTT TCA GAG CCA GAT CAT TTC T)BHQ-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR2</td>
<td>6-FAM-(ATG GAC GAG GCT CAG CGG GAA G)BHQ-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCL2</td>
<td>6-FAM-(AGA TAC AGA GAC TTG GGG AAA TTG TTT TTC)BHQ-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GILZ</td>
<td>6-FAM-(CAG GAT GCT CAC ATT TAA TTA CAT GCC C)BHQ-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICAM</td>
<td>6-FAM-(AAC ACA AAG GCC CAC ACT TC)BHQ-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCAM</td>
<td>6-FAM-(GCT CAG ATT GGT GAC TCC GT)BHQ-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-selectin</td>
<td>6-FAM-(CAT CTG GGA ATT GGG ACA AC)BHQ-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: PCR conditions for real-time RT-PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mRNA</th>
<th>probe</th>
<th>dNTPs</th>
<th>MgCl₂</th>
<th>annealing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTB</td>
<td>1.5 pmol</td>
<td>200 µM</td>
<td>5 mM</td>
<td>60°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-8</td>
<td>2.5 pmol</td>
<td>200 µM</td>
<td>4 mM</td>
<td>60°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>2.5 pmol</td>
<td>200 µM</td>
<td>3 mM</td>
<td>57°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GILZ</td>
<td>1.5 pmol</td>
<td>200 µM</td>
<td>4 mM</td>
<td>60°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCL2</td>
<td>1.5 pmol</td>
<td>200 µM</td>
<td>4 mM</td>
<td>59°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR2</td>
<td>2.5 pmol</td>
<td>800 µM</td>
<td>6 mM</td>
<td>60°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICAM</td>
<td>2.5 pmol</td>
<td>200 µM</td>
<td>3 mM</td>
<td>58°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCAM</td>
<td>2.5 pmol</td>
<td>200 µM</td>
<td>4 mM</td>
<td>58°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-selectin</td>
<td>1.5 pmol</td>
<td>200 µM</td>
<td>4 mM</td>
<td>58°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reaction mixture (one sample):
primer sense (50 µM) 0.25 µl
primer anti-sense (50 µM) 0.25 µl
10x Taq buffer 2.5 µl
MgCl₂
x
dNTPs(10 mM, each)
x
probe (1 pmol/µl)
x
Taq polymerase (5 U/µl) 0.5 µl
H₂O ad 25 µl

reaction conditions:
denaturation 95°C 08:00 min
denaturation 95°C 00:15 min
annealing x 00:15 min
elongation 72°C 00:15 min
final elongation 25°C 00:30 min

2.9.7.2 Real-time RT-PCR with EvaGreen®
cDNA was diluted 1:5 and used as standard dilution series. EvaGreen® Mix (Solis-BioDyne, Tartu, Estonia) was used according to the manufacturer’s guidelines with a mixture as given in Table 4. Also primer sequences are given in Table 4. The reaction mixture was assembled on ice and filled in 96 well plates. 5 µl sample, standard, negative control from reverse transcription or H₂O was added, each in triplicate. Reaction and quantification was performed with an iCycler iQ5 and the iQ5 package software (Biorad, München, Germany). Quantification was done by analysis of the Cₜ values after the Livak method (ΔCₜ method) (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001) (Applications Guide of iCycler iQ5):

\[
\frac{2^{C_{t}(actin, treated)} - C_{t}(gene, treated)}{2^{C_{t}(actin, co)} - C_{t}(gene, co)}
\]

Values were normalized to the house keeping gene ACTB (β-actin). The standard deviation of triplicates was less than 0.5 and the efficiency of the reactions was between 95% and 105%.
Table 4: primer sequences for real-time RT-PCR with EvaGreen®

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mRNA</th>
<th>primer sense (5’→3’)</th>
<th>primer antisense (5’→3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DUSP1</td>
<td>CAG CTG CTG CAG TTT GAG TC</td>
<td>AGG TAG CTC AGG GCA CTG TT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO1</td>
<td>CGA GAC GGC TTC AAG CTG GT</td>
<td>AAG ACT GGG CTC TCC TTG TT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H19</td>
<td>TTC AAA GCC TCC ACG ACT CT</td>
<td>CTG AGA CTC AAG GCC GTC TC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF2</td>
<td>GGA CTT GAG TCC CTG AAC CA</td>
<td>TGA AAA TTC CCG TGA GAA GG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTCF</td>
<td>GAA CCC ATT CAG GGG AAA AGC</td>
<td>TCG CAA GTG GAC ACC CAA ATC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR2</td>
<td>GGG GTC CTG TGC CAC CGT TTC</td>
<td>CCC AGT AGG CAT CCC GCT CAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZFP36</td>
<td>TCG CCA CCC CAA ATA CAA G</td>
<td>TCG GCT AGG GTT GTG GAT G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTB</td>
<td>TGC GTG ACA TTA AGG AGA AG</td>
<td>GTC AGG CAG CTC GTA GCT CT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DUSP1 and HO1 primer sequences are published in (Zakkar et al., 2008).

Table 5: PCR conditions for real-time RT-PCR with EvaGreen®

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mRNA</th>
<th>primers</th>
<th>annealing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DUSP1</td>
<td>0.6 µl</td>
<td>56°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO1</td>
<td>0.3 µl</td>
<td>56°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H19</td>
<td>0.4 µl</td>
<td>60°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF2</td>
<td>0.5 µl</td>
<td>56°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTCF</td>
<td>0.4 µl</td>
<td>58°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR2</td>
<td>0.3 µl</td>
<td>65°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZFP36</td>
<td>0.5 µl</td>
<td>60°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTB</td>
<td>0.4 µl</td>
<td>60°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

reaction mixture (one sample):
- primer sense (10 µM) x
- primer antisense (10 µM) x
- EvaGreen® mix 4 µl
- H₂O ad 20 µl

reaction conditions:
- denaturation 95°C 30:00 min
- denaturation 94°C 00:30 min
- annealing x 00:30 min 40 cycles
- elongation 72°C 00:30 min
- melting curve 55°C 00:07 min 40 cycles
2.10 DNA analysis

2.10.1 DNA isolation

DNA was isolated from the Qiazol lysates (2.9.1) after the supernatant with RNA was completely removed. DNA was precipitated by addition of 300 µl 100% [v/v] ethanol, washed with ice cold 70% [v/v] ethanol, and solved in 50 µl H₂O.

After isolation, DNA analysis was carried out in cooperation with Dr. Sascha Tierling in the Institute of Genetics/Epigenetics (Prof. Dr. Walter, Saarland University).

2.10.2 Determination of DNA concentration

In addition to the method described in 2.3.5, DNA was determined using a Nanodrop instrument at 260 nm (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

2.10.3 Bisulfite treatment

Bisulfite treatment is a method to convert unmethylated cytosines of DNA into uracils. 500 ng DNA were mixed with 187 µl sodium-bisulfite solution (1.9 g NaHSO₃, 750 µl 2 M NaOH, 2.5 ml H₂O) and 73 µl scavenger solution (98.7 mg (+ -)- 6 hydroxy-2, 5, 7, 8-tetramethylchromane-2-carboxylicacid C₁₄H₁₈O₄, 2.5 ml Dioxan). The reaction was performed with a master cycler (Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany).

**reaction conditions:**

| Denaturation | 99°C | 15 min |
| Sulfonation and desamination | 50°C | 30 min |
| Denaturation | 99°C | 5 min |
| Sulfonation and desamination | 50°C | 1.5 h |
| Denaturation | 99°C | 5 min |
| Sulfonation and desamination | 50°C | 1.5 h |

After addition of 150 µl H₂O, samples were centrifuged (15,500 x g, 24 min) through a filter unit (Centrifugal Filters Ultracel, Millipore™, Darmstadt, Germany), which was desulfonated with 500 µl 0.3 M NaOH (incubation 10 min, centrifugation 15,500 x g, 17 min) and washed with 500 µl TE buffer (15,500 x g, 17 min). Elution of DNA was
carried out with 50 µl prewarmed (50°C) TE buffer on the turned filter unit using centrifugation (4,000 x g, 25 min). Samples were stored at 4°C.

2.10.4 PCR of bisulfite DNA

2 µl of bisulfite DNA was merged with the reaction mixture (Table 6 and Table 7) and the reaction was carried out in a Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany). During PCR, methylated CG sequences were amplified as CG and unmethylated CG, which were converted into UG by bisulfite treatment, were amplified as TG.

Table 6: primers of bisulfite DNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gene</th>
<th>primer sense (5´ → 3´)</th>
<th>primer antisense (5´ → 3´)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DUSP1p</td>
<td>GAA AAG GGG TAT AAG AGT ATG T</td>
<td>CTA CCA ACT AAA ACT AAC CTC C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUSP1ed</td>
<td>GTT TTG GTT TTG AGT AAG TTT GAT G</td>
<td>TAA CCC TCA AAA TAA TTA AAA CAA TTA A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUSP1eu</td>
<td>GTT ATT GGG ATT TAG GGT A</td>
<td>CTA AAC TAA AAA CCT CCA AC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H19</td>
<td>GGG TTT GGG AGA GTT TGT GAG GT</td>
<td>AAC ACA AAA AAC CCC TTC CTA CCA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: conditions for the PCR of bisulfite DNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gene</th>
<th>cycles</th>
<th>annealing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DUSP1p</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>54°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUSP1ed</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>52°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUSP1eu</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>56°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H19</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>57.6°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

reaction mixture (one sample) (DUSP1)

- primer sense (10 µM)          0.5 µl
- primer anti-sense (10 µM)      0.5 µl
- 10x buffer B (Solis BioDyne)   3 µl
- MgCl₂ (25 mM, Qiagen)          3 µl
- dNTPs (10 mM, 2.5 µM each, Sigma) 2.4 µl
- Hot fire pol (50 U/µl, Solis BioDyne) 0.5 µl
- H₂O                             18.1 µl
reaction mixture (one sample) (H19)
- primer sense (10 µM) 0.5 µl
- primer anti-sense (10 µM) 0.5 µl
- 10x reaction buffer (Qiagen) 3 µl
- MgCl₂ (25 mM, Qiagen) 3 µl
- dNTPs (10 mM, 2.5 µM each, Sigma) 2.4 µl
- *Hot Star Taq* (5 U/µl, Solis BioDyne) 0.3 µl
- H₂O 21.3 µl

reaction conditions:
- denaturation 95°C 30 min
- denaturation 95°C 1 min
- annealing x 1 min
- elongation 72°C 45 s
- final elongation 55°C 10 min

A possible contamination in the bisulfite treatment was controlled using agarose gel electrophoresis with an 1.2% [w/v] agarose gel in 0.5% TBE (2.9.5).

### 2.10.5 Exonuclease phosphatase treatment (ExoSAP)

Degradation of remaining primers and dNTPs, which were used in the PCR of bisulfite DNA, was done by addition of 1 µl Exonuclease I/SAP shrimp alkaline phosphatase (1 U / 9 U, USB Corporation, Cleveland, Ohio, USA) to 5 µl PCR product and incubation at 37°C for 30 min. For enzyme inactivation, samples were incubated at 80°C for 15 min.

### 2.10.6 Restriction digestion

A restriction digestion was performed in order to enhance the SNuPE signal during the H19 promoter analysis.

10 µl of the product were digested with 0.5 µl Tsp5091 (New England Biolabs GmbH, Frankfurt, Germany) at 37°C for 30 min. Restriction enzyme inactivation was performed at 80°C for 20 min. The products (182 bp and 146 bp) were controlled on a 1.2% [w/v] agarose gel in 0.5% TBE (2.9.5).
2.10.7 Single nucleotide primer extension (SNuPE)

The reaction mixture was added to the ExoSAP product (see below) and the reaction was performed with SNuPE primers as indicated below (Table 8). For DUSP1ed primers, it was necessary to have two different preparations, because of a poor HPLC signal separation. Additionally, primer 2 of DUSP1eu needs ddGTP and ddATP instead of ddCTP and ddTTP, because the primer lies on the reverse strand. The primers are located in front of a possibly methylated CpG region (Table 9). Whithin the reaction, primers are elongated with the corresponding base (methylated CpG → cytosine, unmethylated CpG → thymine).

Table 8: SNuPE primers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gene</th>
<th>primer 1 (5'→3')</th>
<th>primer 2 (5'→3')</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DUSP1p</td>
<td>AGA GGG AGG AG</td>
<td>TAA GGT AGG TGG TA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUSP1ed</td>
<td>TTG TAT TTG GGT AGT G</td>
<td>CAA CAT ATC CTT AC (reverse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUSP1eu</td>
<td>TTG GAT TTT GTT TT</td>
<td>AGG GTT GTG GT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H19</td>
<td>TGT TAG TAG AGT G</td>
<td>GTG ATT AGT ATA AGT T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9: positions of analyzed cytosins in GRCh37/hg19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gene</th>
<th>localisation</th>
<th>position (primer 1)</th>
<th>position (primer 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DUSP1p</td>
<td>promoter</td>
<td>C at position nt 172,198,585</td>
<td>C at position nt 172,198,562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUSP1ed</td>
<td>enhancer region downstream</td>
<td>C at position nt 172,196,754</td>
<td>C at position nt 172,196,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUSP1eu</td>
<td>enhancer region upstream</td>
<td>C at position nt 172,199,333</td>
<td>C at position nt 172,199,291</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| H19      | promoter           | C at position nt 1,998,506 | C at position nt 1,998,376 |
reaction mixture (one sample):

- primer 1 (30 µM) 2.4 µl
- primer 2 (30 µM) 2.4 µl
- 10x buffer C (Solis BioDyne) 2 µl
- MgCl₂ (25 mM, Qiagen) 1.6 µl
- ddCTP (1 mM, Larova) 1 µl
- ddTTP (1 mM, Larova) 1 µl
- Termi Pol (50 U/µl, Solis BioDyne) 1 µl
- H₂O 2.6 µl

reaction conditions:

denaturation 96°C 2 min

denaturation 96°C 30 s

annealing 50°C 30 s 50 cycles

elongation 60°C 1 min

SNuPE products were analyzed using ion pair reversed phase high performance liquid chromatography (IP/RP-HPLC) with an HPLC WAVE 3000TM (Transgenomic), a DNASep-Column at 50°C, and a flow rate of 0.9 ml/min. The principle of the analysis is the separation of elongated primers (first: cytosine – guanine – adenine – thymine) based on size, charge, and hydrophobicity.

2.11 Protein analysis

2.11.1 Protein isolation

Two methods for protein isolation were used.

- Proteins were isolated from Qiazol lysates (2.9.1, 2.10.1) from the supernant after DNA precipitation. Precipitation of proteins was done with 600 µl of supernant, mixed with 1.4 ml acetone. After incubation of 10 min and centrifugation (10 min, top speed, 4°C), the pellet was washed for three times with 1 ml guanidine solution (0.3 M guanidine hydrochloride in 95% [v/v] ethanol and 2.5% [v/v] glycerol (1:1)). During every washing step, samples were mixed, incubated for 10 min at room temperature, and
centrifuged (5 min, 8,000 x g, 4°C). Another washing step with ethanol containing 2.5% glycerol [v/v] was performed, centrifuged, dried at room temperature, and dissolved in 1% SDS solution. All steps were carried out on ice unless otherwise noted.

- Cells were lysed with SB lysis buffer (50 mM tris-HCl, 1% [m/v] SDS, 10% [v/v] glycerol, 5% [v/v] β-mercaptoethanol, 0.004% [m/v] bromophenol blue), supplemented with a protease inhibitor mixture (Complete®, Roche, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s guidelines and frozen at -80°C. After thawing, samples were treated with ultrasound and centrifuged (10 min, 17,000 x g, 4°C).

2.11.2 Determination of protein concentration

The protein concentration was measured using the Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.11.3 SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-Page)

After thawing, equal amounts of samples were mixed 3:1 with loading dye (Roti® Load), denatured at 95°C for 5 min, and loaded onto the gel (Table 10). Proteins were separated in electrophoresis buffer (24.8 mM tris base, 1.92 mM glycine, 0.1% [w/v] SDS) at 80 V for 45 min, followed by 2 h at 120 V. To identify the proteins based on their molecular mass, a prestained protein marker was included.

Table 10: composition of the SDS gel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>resolving gel (12%)</th>
<th>stacking gel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>3.3 ml</td>
<td>3.4 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30% acrylamide / 0.8% bis-acrylamide solution</td>
<td>4 ml</td>
<td>0.83 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tris base (1.5 M pH 8.8)</td>
<td>2.5 ml</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tris base (1 M pH 6.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS (10% [w/v])</td>
<td>100 µl</td>
<td>50 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APS (10% [w/v])</td>
<td>100 µl</td>
<td>50 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMED</td>
<td>10 µl</td>
<td>5 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.11.4 Western Blot
After the separation of proteins with the gel, they were blotted onto a PVDF membrane (Immobilion-FL, Millipore, Schwalbach am Taunus, Germany) using the Mini-Transblot cell (Biorad, München, Germany). The membrane was activated by incubation with methanol for 30 s. Afterwards, the membrane, sponges, and blotting papers were preincubated in transfer buffer (24.8 mM tris base, 1.92 mM glycine, 20% [v/v] methanol, 0.05% [w/v] SDS), followed by a sandwich preparation with the gel. The blot was performed in transfer buffer overnight at 80 mA. On the following day, the membrane was incubated for 1 h at room temperature in rockland blocking buffer (RBB) (Rockland, Gilbertsville, PA, USA) in order to block unspecific binding sites.

2.11.5 Immunodetection
After blocking, membranes were incubated with diluted primary antibodies (see Table 11 for specific conditions). After primary antibody incubation, membranes were washed, each with the indicated buffer of the used primary antibody (see in Table 11) (2 x 5 min), and further washing steps were performed with PBST (2 x 5 min). Membranes were incubated with diluted secondary antibody as denoted and washed again with PBST (2 x 5 min) and PBS (2 x 5 min). The detection was carried out with the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA). Relative signal intensities were determined by the Odyssey software or the ImageJ software.
Table 11: antibodies, dilution, and incubation conditions for immunodetection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>primary antibody</th>
<th>dilution</th>
<th>incubation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti human tubulin, mouse IgG</td>
<td>1:1,000 in PBST + 5% [m/v] dried milk</td>
<td>3 h room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti human GILZ, goat IgG</td>
<td>1:200 in gelatine buffer</td>
<td>3 h, 37°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti human GILZ, rabbit IgG</td>
<td>1:1,000 in RBB</td>
<td>over night, 4°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti human DUSP1, rabbit IgG</td>
<td>1:200 in PBST + 5% [m/v] dried milk</td>
<td>over night, 4°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti human TLR2, rabbit IgG</td>
<td>vessels: 1:1,000, HUVEC: 1:500 in PBST + 5% [m/v] dried milk</td>
<td>3 h room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti human ZFP36, rabbit IgG</td>
<td>1:1,000 in RBB</td>
<td>3 h room temperature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>secondary antibody</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IRDye©800CW conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG</td>
<td>1 :5,000 in RBB</td>
<td>2 h, room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRDye©680 conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG</td>
<td>1 :10,000 in RBB</td>
<td>1.5 h, room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRDye©680 conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG</td>
<td>1 :5,000 in RBB</td>
<td>2 h, room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRDye©800 conjugated donkey anti-goat IgG</td>
<td>1 :10,000 in RBB</td>
<td>2 h, room temperature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PBST: (0.1% [v/v] tween 20 in PBS (see 2.4.3))
RBB: rockland blocking buffer
gelatine buffer, pH 7.5: (gelatine A 0.75% [w/v], tween 20 0.1% [v/v], tris base 20 mM, NaCl 137 mM)

2.12 Statistics

Data are shown as mean +/- SEM using OriginPro9.1G (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, MA, USA). Statistical significance was determined by student’s t-test (two samples) for cell culture experiments using Excel (Microsoft) and by Wilcoxon rank sum test for human samples using OriginPro9.1G unless otherwise noted.

* p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001
3 Results
3.1 Downregulation of glucocorticoid-induced leucine zipper (GILZ) promotes vascular inflammation

3.1.1 GILZ expression in degenerated vein bypasses

To identify degenerated vein bypasses as inflamed tissue, mRNA expression of the inflammatory markers CCL2 (MCP1) and TLR2 were measured and the expression levels were compared to healthy veins (Diesel et al., 2012; Weber et al., 2003). Both inflammatory markers were significantly increased (Figure 13 A, B). Additionally, inflamed veins revealed a significantly decreased GILZ mRNA expression (Figure 13 C). Similar results were observed analyzing GILZ and TLR2 on protein level (Figure 14 A, B).

![Figure 13: mRNA expression in human veins - CCL2 (A), TLR2 (B), and GILZ (C) mRNA expression in saphenous veins (n=23) and degenerated aortocoronary saphenous vein bypass grafts (n=15) were measured by real-time RT-PCR using ACTB (β-actin) for normalization. Data are presented as individual values (black squares) as well as 25th and 75th percentiles as boxes within geometric medians (line), arithmetic medians (square), 10th and 90th percentiles as whiskers, and ends of values (cross).]
Figure 14: GILZ (A) and TLR2 (B) protein expression in human veins. Equal protein amounts were assessed by Western blot analysis using tubulin as loading control. One representative blot out of 4 independent experiments with 11 healthy and 12 degenerated samples is shown. Signal intensities are shown relative to the tubulin values, and values for healthy samples were set as one.
3.1.2 Localisation of GILZ in vessels

An antibody staining was performed in order to identify GILZ expressing cells. First, the specificity of the GILZ antibody was evaluated in THP-1 cells using a protocol for histological samples (Figure 15). As expected for GILZ expression, the treatment with the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) activator dexamethasone (Dex) resulted in a slightly stronger staining, while treatment with the TLR1/2 ligand Pam3CSK4 (Pam), which enhanced the inflammation via activation of TLR1/2, reduced the GILZ staining compared to control (Co).

![Figure 15: Specificity of the GILZ antibody](image)

For the detection of GILZ localisation in vessels, murine and human histological samples were used (Figure 16). GILZ was clearly shown in the endothelial layer of both types of vessels and both species.
3.1.3 Inflammatory response in EC

Both, immunohistochemistry as well as data previously presented by ourselves and others suggested a distinct expression of GILZ in EC (Cheng et al., 2013; Hahn et al., 2014; Hoppstädter et al., 2012). As shown in Figure 17 A, GILZ protein was down-regulated under inflammatory conditions, i.e. after TNF-α treatment. Interestingly, the mRNA binding protein ZFP36, known to destabilize GILZ mRNA in MΦ (Hoppstädter et al., 2012), was strongly induced by TNF-α (Figure 17 B).
Early after TNF-α treatment (1 h), ZFP36 was present in its low-phosphorylated, low molecular weight form, which is known to be the active, but instable variant. At later time points, the phosphorylated high-molecular weight isoform of ZFP36, which is inactive but stable (Brook et al., 2006), predominated (Figure 17 B).

Figure 17: Time-dependent GILZ and ZFP36 protein expression after TNF treatment - HUVEC were treated with 10 ng/ml TNF-α for the indicated time points. Protein levels were measured by Western blot analysis using tubulin as loading control. For GILZ (A) one blot of two, for ZFP36 (B) one blot of three independent experiments is shown with the respective quantification (duplicates).
In order to examine the functional link between GILZ and ZFP36 expression in HUVEC, a small hairpin RNA (shRNA)-mediated knockdown of ZFP36 was performed, which resulted in a diminished ZFP36 expression in contrast to the control lacZ plasmid transfected cells (co) (Figure 18 A). Simultaneously, this knockdown led to an enhanced GILZ protein expression (Figure 18 B). This suggested a key role for ZFP36 in the regulation of GILZ expression.

**Figure 18: ZFP36 knockdown** - HUVEC were transfected with 1 µg lacZ (co) or shZFP36 plasmid and cultivated for 24 h. Protein levels of ZFP36 (A) and GILZ (B) were measured by Western blot analysis using tubulin as loading control and quantified by ImageJ.
3.1.4 Regulation of GILZ and ZFP36 by anti-inflammatory laminar shear stress

Laminar shear stress is a physical force, which affects the mRNA and protein expression as well as the surface of EC in the vessel. Depending on this force, cells changed their shape and aligned in the direction of flow (Figure 19).

![Figure 19: Alignment of HUVEC](image)

Figure 19: Alignment of HUVEC - Light microscopy showed HUVEC, either cultured statically or exposed to 24 h laminar flow (20 dynes/cm²). The pictures were taken with a Zeiss LSM 510 confocal microscope (magnification 50x).

Laminar shear stress, generally seen as an anti-inflammatory and antiatherosclerotic stimulus, elevated *GILZ* mRNA levels in HUVEC (Figure 20 A), while inflammatory conditions, i.e. TNF-α treatment, downregulated GILZ. The same effect was observed on protein level (Figure 20 B). The anti-inflammatory activation state of HUVEC upon laminar shear stress was confirmed by elevated HO1 mRNA expression (Figure 20 C).

While ZFP36 was induced by TNF-α on the transcriptional level, its gene expression tended to decrease during laminar flow (Figure 20 D). A combination of laminar flow and TNF-α completely abrogated GILZ downregulation, which was typically observed upon TNF-α treatment in statically cultured HUVEC (Figure 20 E). Concordantly, ZFP36 induction by TNF-α was abrogated in cells exposed to laminar flow (Figure 20 F), suggesting that the lack of ZFP36 induction contributes to the elevated GILZ expression in TNF-α-treated shear stressed cells.
Results

Figure 20: GILZ, HO1, and ZFP36 expression under inflammatory and anti-inflammatory conditions - HUVEC were treated with 10 ng/ml TNF-α under static conditions or exposed to 24 h laminar flow (20 dynes/cm²) as indicated. GILZ (A), HO1 (C), and ZFP36 (D) mRNA levels were determined by real-time RT-PCR using ACTB for normalization. Values for untreated cells were set as one, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 compared to untreated cells under static conditions. Data were obtained from four independent experiments performed in duplicate. GILZ (B, E) and ZFP36 (F) protein levels were measured by Western blot analysis using tubulin as loading control and quantified by ImageJ ((B, F) n=6; (E) n=8 derived from 4 independent experiments). Values for untreated cells were set as one as indicated, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, *(B) p=0.051, *n.s. (E) p=0.092, *n.s. (F) p=0.187 compared to untreated cells.

These results were also confirmed analyzing human tissues. ZFP36 protein levels were elevated in degenerated, inflamed venous bypasses compared to healthy veins (Figure 21).
Results

Figure 21: ZFP36 expression in human veins - Equal protein amounts were assessed by Western blot analysis using tubulin as loading control. One representative blot out of three independent experiments with 8 healthy veins and 10 degenerated, inflamed venous bypasses is shown. Signal intensities were measured relative to tubulin values, and values for healthy samples were set as one.

3.1.5 Mechanisms of GILZ downregulation in inflammation

Our data suggest an inverse regulation of ZFP36 and GILZ in inflammation and under anti-inflammatory conditions in HUVEC. In fact, ZFP36 has been reported to be a destabilizer of GILZ mRNA in MΦ (Hoppstädt et al., 2012) and to be regulated by DUSP1 (Huotari et al., 2012), which inhibits MAPKs, most importantly p38 MAPK (Kiemer et al., 2002a).

To assess the influence of p38 MAPK activation on ZFP36 and GILZ expression, HUVEC were transfected with a dominant negative mutant of p38 resulting in a significantly reduced ZFP36 mRNA expression, which was diminished in a greater extent by TNF-α treatment (Figure 22).

Figure 22: ZFP36 expression after overexpression of dominant negative (dn) p38α MAPK - HUVEC were transfected with empty control vector (empty) or dn p38α (p38dn) for 24 h and treated with 10 ng/ml TNF-α for another 4 h. Transfection was kindly performed by Dr. Kerstin Hirschfelder (Pharmaceutical Biology, Saarland University). mRNA levels were determined by real-time RT-PCR using ACTB for normalization. Values for cells transfected with control vector, untreated as well as TNF-α treated, were set as one hundred percent. Data show means +SEM of four independent experiments performed in duplicates, *p<0.05, **p<0.01 compared to cells transfected with control vector.
As an additional approach, p38 phosphorylation in HUVEC was inhibited by pre-treatment with the p38 MAPK inhibitor SB203580 prior to TNF-α challenge. p38 inhibition antagonized TNF-α-mediated ZFP38 induction both on mRNA and protein level (Figure 23 A, B and E). Reduced ZFP36 expression was accompanied by an abrogation of GILZ downregulation (Figure 23 C, D and F). These results suggest that p38 inhibition enhances GILZ expression by reducing ZFP36 levels.
Figure 23: ZFP36 and GILZ expression after inhibition of p38 MAPK activity - HUVEC were pre-treated with solvent control DMSO or SB203580 (10 µM), followed by treatment with 10 ng/ml TNF-α for 2 h (A-B, E-F) or 4 h (C-D). Protein levels were measured by Western blot analysis using tubulin as loading control (A-D). mRNA levels were determined by real-time RT-PCR using ACTB for normalization (E-F). Values for cells pretreated with the solvent control DMSO, either in the presence (B, E) or absence (D, F) of TNF-α, were set as one hundred percent. Data show means of three (A-D) or two (E-F) independent experiments performed in triplicates, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, n.s: not statistically significant. Experiments were kindly performed by Nina Hachenthal and Dr. Jessica Hoppstädter (Pharmaceutical Biology, Saarland University).
Interestingly, a significant downregulation of DUSP1 protein expression in degenerated vein bypasses was detected (Figure 24 A, B). In cultivated HUVEC, an upregulation of DUSP1 mRNA levels by laminar shear stress and downregulation by TNF-α was observed (Figure 24 C).

Figure 24: DUSP1 expression under inflammatory and anti-inflammatory conditions - (A) DUSP1 protein expression in human veins. Equal protein amounts were assessed by Western blot analysis using tubulin as loading control. One representative blot out of four independent experiments with 11 healthy and 12 degenerated samples is shown. Signal intensities were measured relative to tubulin values, and values for samples from healthy tissues were set as one. (B) DUSP1 mRNA expression under pro- and anti-inflammatory conditions. HUVEC were treated with 10 ng/ml TNF-α under static conditions or exposed to 24 h laminar flow (20 dynes/cm²) as indicated. mRNA levels were determined by real-time RT-PCR using ACTB for normalization. Values for untreated cells under static conditions were set as one, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 compared to untreated cells. Data represent means of four independent experiments performed in duplicate.
3.1.6 Functional implications of GILZ downregulation

We aimed to determine whether GILZ downregulation has functional implications in inflammatory activation of HUVEC. We knocked down GILZ in HUVEC by siRNA resulting in reduced GILZ protein levels (Figure 25 A). Using a luciferase reporter gene under an NF-κB promoter, we showed that GILZ knockdown significantly increased NF-κB activity compared to control transfected cells (Figure 25 B); functionality of the luciferase assay was verified measuring TNF-α-induced NF-κB activity (Figure 25 C).

![Figure 25: NF-κB activation after GILZ knockdown](image)

**Figure 25: NF-κB activation after GILZ knockdown** - (A) HUVEC were transfected with GILZ siRNA (siGILZ) or control siRNA (siCo). Cells were harvested after 20 h. GILZ protein expression was analyzed by Western blot using tubulin as loading control. One representative blot out of four independent experiments is shown. (B) HUVEC were transfected with either siCo or siGILZ and an NF-κB driven luciferase reporter construct. Cells were harvested 20 h post transfection. NF-κB activity was determined by measuring luciferase activity. Data represent means of four independent experiments performed in quintuplicate. (Three of these experiments were performed by Dr. Kerstin Hirschfelder (Pharmaceutical Biology, Saarland University). Values for siCo were set as one, ***p<0.001, compared to siCo transfected cells. (C) HUVEC were transfected with luciferase plasmid for 15 h and treated with 10 ng/ml TNF-α for 5 h or left untreated (co). NF-κB activity was measured by luciferase assay. Data show one experiment each with 9 samples and data for co were set as one, **p<0.01.
3.2 Lack of endothelial glucocorticoid-induced leucine zipper (GILZ) induction under atherogenic flow conditions

3.2.1 Inflammatory activation of HUVEC by low and oscillatory flow

Both, laminar shear stress at low flow rates as well as oscillatory shear stress, were previously reported to promote inflammatory activation of the endothelium (Hastings et al., 2007). When we applied laminar flow of 2 dynes/cm² or oscillatory flow to primary HUVEC we in fact observed an elevated expression of a set of inflammatory mediators under both conditions (Figure 26). Oscillatory shear stress led to a significant upregulation of all inflammatory mediators with the exception of VCAM. Concurrently, VCAM is the only inflammatory marker, which was diminished by low shear stress. The other adhesion molecules and the cytokines CCL2 and IL-6 were slightly enhanced in response to low flow, while IL-8 and TLR2 were significantly upregulated.

Figure 26: Expression of inflammatory mediators under inflammatory flow conditions - HUVEC were exposed to 24 h low (2 dynes/cm²) or oscillatory flow. mRNA levels were determined by real-time RT-PCR using ACTB for normalization. Values for untreated cells were set as one hundred percent. For low flow, data were obtained from three independent experiments, for oscillatory flow, from 8 independent experiments. All experiments were performed in duplicates.
Laminar shear stress of 20 dynes/cm² strongly induced the anti-inflammatory mediator HO1 (Blumenthal et al., 2005; Hahn et al., 2014; Kiemer et al., 2003a), while oscillatory flow exhibited this effect to a much lower extent and low flow conditions even reduced HO1 mRNA levels compared to static cultivation (Figure 27).

Figure 27: HO1 mRNA expression under different flow conditions - HUVEC were exposed to 24 h laminar (20 dynes/cm²), low (2 dynes/cm²) or oscillatory flow. mRNA levels derived from four or three (low flow) independent experiments performed in duplicates were measured by real-time RT-PCR using ACTB for normalization. Values for untreated cells were set as one. Statistical differences were determined with Kruskal-Wallis-ANOVA followed by post-hoc-analysis with Mann-Whitney-U-test.

3.2.2 GILZ downregulation under inflammatory conditions

The results shown in chapter 3.1 demonstrate that the anti-inflammatory mediator GILZ is induced by laminar flow and downregulated under inflammatory conditions. We therefore hypothesized a lack of GILZ induction under atherogenic flow conditions. Low flow had in fact no effect on GILZ expression compared to static cultivation, while oscillatory flow even reduced GILZ mRNA levels (Figure 28 A). We therefore focussed the further work on oscillatory shear stress conditions. GILZ has previously been shown to decrease during inflammatory cell activation, such as TLR activation of MΦ (Hoppstädt et al., 2012) or TNF-α treatment of EC (chapter 3.1.3). We confirmed a respective downregulation of GILZ protein levels in HUVEC upon inflammatory oscillatory stress (Figure 28 B). Interestingly, TNF-α did not further reduce GILZ levels during oscillatory stress (Figure 28 B). These findings were confirmed on mRNA level (Figure 28 C).
Figure 28: GILZ expression under inflammatory flow conditions - (A, C) GILZ mRNA expression under flow conditions. HUVEC were kept under static conditions or were exposed to 24 h laminar (20 dynes/cm²), low (2 dynes/cm²), or oscillatory flow. TNF-α treatment (10 ng/ml) was done for 2 h before the end of the experiment. mRNA levels were measured by real-time RT-PCR using ACTB for normalization and values for untreated cells were set as one. Data represent means of four or three (low flow) independent experiments performed in duplicate. (B) GILZ protein expression under oscillatory flow. HUVEC were set under oscillatory flow (osc) for 24 h without or with TNF-α treatment (10 ng/ml) for the last 3.5 h. Signal intensities of three independent experiments (duplicates) were measured relative to tubulin values. Values for statically cultivated cells were set as one. Statistical differences were determined with Kruskal-Wallis-ANOVA followed by post-hoc-analysis with Mann-Whitney-U-test.
3.2.3 Mechanism of GILZ downregulation under oscillatory flow

We previously showed that the mRNA binding protein ZFP36/TTP destabilizes GILZ mRNA and therefore is responsible for TNF-induced GILZ downregulation under inflammatory conditions (Hoppstädter et al., 2012). Accordingly, we suggested that ZFP36 induction might be responsible for oscillatory flow-induced GILZ downregulation. In fact, oscillatory flow slightly induced ZFP36 mRNA, although data did not reach statistical significance (Figure 29 A).

The results of chapter 3.1 demonstrate that laminar shear stress induced the phosphatase DUSP1, which counteracted ZFP36 induction. We hypothesized that oscillatory shear stress lacks this effect on DUSP1. However, we surprisingly observed that oscillatory shear stress had the same effect on DUSP1 mRNA levels as laminar shear stress: both induced DUSP1 mRNA expression (Figure 29 B). TNF downregulated the flow-induced DUSP1 expression under both flow conditions, while laminar flow was only slightly decreased and oscillatory flow led to a significant reduction (Figure 29 B). These data suggest that lack of DUSP1 induction is not the critical signalling factor distinguishing laminar and oscillatory flow-induced actions on GILZ expression in EC.

Figure 29: Mechanism of GILZ downregulation - ZFP36 (A) and DUSP1 (B) mRNA expression. HUVEC were exposed to laminar or oscillatory flow for 24 h without or with TNF treatment (10 ng/ml) for the last 2 h (A) or 3.5 h (B). mRNA levels derived from four independent experiments (duplicates) were determined by real-time RT-PCR using ACTB for normalization. Values for untreated cells were set as one.
3.2.4 Inflammatory activation in atherosclerotic clinical samples

We aimed to investigate the clinical relevance of our in vitro analyses in atherosclerotic vessels and observed that GILZ mRNA is downregulated in inflamed/atherosclerotic arteries compared to healthy arteries (Figure 30 A). Although mean and most samples were lower in atherosclerotic vessels, the data did not reach statistic significance. Concordantly, ZFP36, the regulator of GILZ, was significantly induced in atherosclerotic arteries (Figure 30 B). The mRNA of indicators for inflamed vessels, TLR2 and CCL2, were significantly increased in atherosclerotic samples (Figure 30 C, D).

Figure 30: Inflammatory status in clinical samples - GILZ (A), ZFP36 (B), TLR2 (C), and CCL2 (D) expression in human arteries. mRNA expression (A, C, D) in radial arteries (n=17) and atherosclerotic aorta (n=12) was quantified by real-time RT-PCR using ACTB for normalization. Equal protein amounts (B) were calculated by Western blot analysis compared to tubulin as loading control. Data show values of 12 healthy and 11 atherosclerotic samples. Signal intensities were determined relative to tubulin values. Data (A,B,C,D) are shown as individual values (black squares) as well as 25th and 75th percentiles as boxes within geometric medians (line), arithmetic medians (square), 10th and 90th percentiles as whiskers, and ends of values (cross). Values for healthy samples were set as one.
3.3 **Epigenetic regulation by shear stress**

3.3.1 **IGF2 and H19 under flow conditions**

Shear stress has been described to be a regulator of epigenetic events (Hastings et al., 2007; Zhou et al., 2011). **IGF2** and **H19** are well known epigenetically regulated genes and their products are known to play a role in atherosclerosis. In fact, they are important regulators of VSMC cell proliferation in atherosclerosis (Han et al., 1996; Li et al., 2009; Zaina & Nilsson, 2003; Zaina et al., 2002). In this work, a significant regulation of both, **IGF2** and **H19**, was detected by different kinds of flow: while **IGF2** was upregulated by laminar and oscillatory flow (Figure 31 A), **H19** mRNA was significantly increased by laminar flow and decreased by oscillatory flow (Figure 31 B). Downregulation of **IGF2** by TNF-α after 3.5 h was abrogated with both kinds of flow (Figure 31 A). The downregulation of **H19** after TNF-α is abrogated by laminar flow and significantly increased by oscillatory flow after 2 h (Figure 31B).
Results

Figure 31: *IGF2* (A) and *H19* (B) expression under oscillatory flow - HUVEC were exposed to laminar or oscillatory flow for 24 h without or with TNF-α treatment (10 ng/ml) for the last 2 h or 3.5 h as indicated. mRNA levels derived from four independent experiments (duplicates) were determined by real-time RT-PCR using *ACTB* (β-actin) for normalization and values for untreated cells were set as one. Significance is calculated between untreated and TNF-α treated cells of the same flow state except otherwise noted. Statistical differences were determined with Kruskal-Wallis-ANOVA followed by post-hoc-analysis with Mann-Whitney-U-test.

3.3.2 DNA Demethylation in HUVEC

To analyze if a demethylation of DNA methylation can alter the gene expression in HUVEC, we used 5-azacytidine (aza) as DNA demethylation reagent. *IGF2* (Figure 32 A), *H19* (Figure 32B) (Diesel et al., 2012) as well as *CTCF* (Figure 32 C) were
differently expressed after azacytidine treatment suggesting that DNA methylation is involved in the altered gene expression upon shear stress. Also *DUSP1* was significantly upregulated after azacytidine treatment (Figure 32 D). In contrast, azacytidine treatment did not lead to any significant alteration of *TLR2* (Diesel et al., 2012) as well as *GILZ* mRNA expression in HUVEC (Figure 32 E, F).

**Figure 32: DNA demethylation** - HUVEC were treated with 2 µM 5-azacytidine (aza) for 48 h, whereby 5-azacytidine-containing medium was renewed after 24 h. mRNA levels derived from three (A, C, E, F), 5 (B) or 7 (D) experiments (duplicates) were determined by real-time RT-PCR using *ACTB* (β-actin) for normalization and values for untreated cells (co) were set as one. Experiments were performed in part by Nadège Ripoche and Dr. Britta Diesel (Pharmaceutical Biology, Saarland University).
3.3.2.1 DNA Demethylation under flow conditions

The results after azacytidine treatment suggest a possible regulation of mRNA expression under shear stress via DNA demethylation. Therefore, we analyzed the promoter methylation of different genes of interest under flow conditions with SNuPE. The mechanism of DUSP1 regulation under flow conditions was a matter of particular interest of this work. DUSP1 promoter was hypothesized to be demethylated by laminar and oscillatory flow as mechanism of its mRNA upregulation. For the SNuPE analysis, 6 CpG positions (Table 9) of bisulfite-DNA in three different regions were selected by Dr. Sascha Tierling (Genetics/Epigenetics, Prof. Dr. Walter, Saarland University) using UCSC Genome Browser, EMBOSS transeq, CBS.dtu, and VISTA Enhancer Browser. These regions had good expectations because of supposable enriched DNA methylations, enriched H3K4 methylations or binding sites of STAT.

Two different positions in the promoter region were analyzed in the 5-aza-2-deoxycytidine (DAC) treatment sample set (one experiment, duplicates), whereby neither a methylation in the controls nor a demethylation by DAC was detected. Four further positions in two different predicted enhancer regions were investigated both in the DAC experiment and in one flow experiment (static, laminar and oscillatory flow, with and without TNF-α, duplicates). Still, none of these positions showed methylation of controls, nor demethylation in both experiments although the mRNA expression was enhanced by flow as well as by DAC (flow results are included in 3.2.3, DAC experiment in Figure 33 A). Upregulation of H19 mRNA expression by demethylation was determined to validate the DAC experiment (Figure 33 B).
Results

**Figure 33: DNA demethylation** - HUVEC were treated with 1 µM 5-aza-2-deoxycytidine (DAC) for 2 d and 4 d, whereby 5-aza-2-deoxycytidine-containing medium was renewed each 24 h. mRNA levels derived from one experiment (duplicates) were determined by real-time RT-PCR using ACTB (β-actin) for normalization and values for untreated cells (co) of 2 d were set as one. Experiments were performed in part by Dr. Sonja M. Kessler (Pharmaceutical Biology, Saarland University). Significance is calculated between the respective untreated and treated cells of the same time point.

H19, which is well known as a demethylation-regulated gene, was also analyzed by SNuPE. We hypothesized DNA demethylation to occur during flow, because H19 mRNA was strongly upregulated by laminar as well as oscillatory flow. Two CpG positions (Table 9) in the H19 promoter region were used in the examination, which is known for the regulation of H19 independent of IGF2 (Diesel et al., 2012; Gao et al., 2002), because the expression of the two genes was not inversely regulated by flow. The SNuPE analysis showed a methylation of the promoter position in untreated cells, which was neither affected by flow nor by TNF-α.

Experimental procedures of bisulfite treatment and SNuPE analysis were in part kindly performed by Viktoria Weinhold, Beate Schmitt, Christina LO Porto and Dr. Sascha Tierling (Genetics/Epigenetics, Prof. Dr. Walter, Saarland University).
4 Discussion
4.1 Validation of the cell culture model for shear stress

4.1.1 Shear stress models

Two different methods to apply shear stress on cells are commonly accepted. One method is the production of flow with a cone and plate viscometer, which is turning above the cells (Dewey, Jr. et al., 1981), another method is the use of a parallel plate flow chamber, wherein the cells are sitting and where the flow is produced by a pump (Frangos et al., 1988). Both methods have been widely used for a long time and neither can be favoured, except perhaps because of planned downstream applications (i.e. direct microscopic visualization in a cone and plate viscometer vs. great amount of cells of the same flow for RNA analysis in a parallel plate flow chamber) (Brown, 2000). This work was generated with the second method and chambers were newly designed and constructed after Frangos et al. (1988).

4.1.2 Effects of shear stress

Shear stress is shown to be a regulator of gene expression via mechanotransduction (Davies, 2009). Furthermore, it is widely accepted that laminar flow is anti-inflammatory in contrast to disturbed as well as low flow, which are atheroprone (Cunningham & Gotlieb, 2005). The results of this work support these findings.

HO1 is known to have cell protective properties in various tissues and an anti-atherosclerotic potential as shown in different experimental settings (Immenschuh & Ramadori, 2000; Han et al., 2009; Stocker & Perrella, 2006). Anti-inflammatory effects are achieved especially by the production of carbon monoxide and by the suppression of TLR4 signalling (Wang et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2014). Importantly, HO1 is induced by many stressors and counteracts their effects (Stocker & Perrella, 2006; Ryter et al., 2006). An induction of HO1 by anti-inflammatory stimuli was also detected (e.g. ANP, IL-10) (Kiemer et al., 2003a; Lee & Chau, 2002) as well as a significant induction by laminar flow in different systems: in HUVEC after 24 h and 12 dynes/cm² (Ali et al., 2009; Zakkar et al., 2008) or 25 dynes/cm² (McCormick et al., 2001), in human aortic endothelial cells (HAEC) after 48 h at 20 dynes/cm² (Chen et al., 2003), and in VSMC after 24 h at 20 dynes/cm² (Wagner et al., 1997). Oscillatory flow led only to a slight HO1 induction compared to laminar flow of 12 dynes/cm² (Ali
et al., 2009; Zakkar et al., 2008). These results, the increased expression by laminar flow and the lowered, slight induction by oscillatory flow, were confirmed by our data employing 20 dynes/cm² for 24 h. In the literature, HO1 upregulation was already seen after 4 h (15 dynes/cm²), whereby after this time no difference was detected compared to low flow (2 dynes/cm²) (Warabi et al., 2007). Contrarily to these results, a significant decrease of HO1 expression under low flow compared to static conditions was detected by us after 24 h, indicating an inflammatory state of low flow after a longer time. The flow induced regulation of HO1 can be mediated by ARE in the HO1 promoter (Chen et al., 2003).

For further confirmation of the inflammatory effect of low and oscillatory flow, 7 inflammatory mediators were analyzed and almost all of the analyzed genes were at least slightly enhanced, while IL-8 and TLR2 were significantly induced indicating an inflammatory activation of EC by low flow. For TLR2, no data under low shear stress are as yet available in literature. IL-8 is often analyzed as target under low flow and has been shown to be significantly enhanced by low flow (Hastings et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2005). In the endothelial cell line EA.Hy926, induction of IL-8 was shown to be triggered via NF-κB and AP-1 (Zhang et al., 2012), whereas in HUVEC a MAPK dependent signalling was published (Cheng et al., 2005; Cheng et al., 2008). The slight induction of CCL2 under low flow in HUVEC and VSMC was previously shown by Hastings et al. (2007). CCL2 and IL-8 were also described to promote leukocyte adhesion under low flow inducing vascular inflammation (2 dynes/cm²) (Gerszten et al., 1999). IL-6 has been described to be already upregulated after 8 h under low flow (4 dynes/cm²) (Shaik et al., 2009). Whereas our data support a significant downregulation of VCAM under 24 h low shear stress compared to static cultivation, a significant upregulation has been described by several authors for HUVEC after 6 h (Zeng et al., 2009), for HAEC (Zhu et al., 2004), and for human retinal microvascular endothelial cells (HRMEC) (Ishibazawa et al., 2013). This discrepancy may be explainable with an induction at an early time point with a subsequent counterregulation. The low upregulation of the adhesion molecule ICAM as observed in our hands has been described to be significantly increased in the literature in HUVEC (Yin et al., 2011) (Zeng et al., 2009), whereas for E-selectin, data exist only in HRMEC (Ishibazawa et al., 2013).
Oscillatory flow has been identified as inducer of an inflammatory state in the literature (Davies, 2009; White & Frangos, 2007), and it seemed to have more inflammatory potential than low shear stress in our results. In fact, the inflammatory mediators mentioned above were all, except for VCAM, significantly upregulated at oscillatory conditions. An increased expression of VCAM as well as an upregulation of the other adhesion molecules ICAM and E-selectin at similar conditions has already been described for HUVEC (Chappell et al., 1998) (Cicha et al., 2008), in porcine aortic valve (Sucosky et al., 2009), and in HAEC (Estrada et al., 2011). The discrepancy of VCAM results in HUVEC might be explained with an induction of mRNA expression at an earlier time point (max. after 4 h), which is reduced after 24 h (Chappell et al., 1998).

TLR2 expression has been described to be enhanced by disturbed shear stress (Mullick et al., 2008) and its induction by TNF-α treatment could be diminished by laminar, but not by disturbed flow (Dunzendorfer et al., 2004). On the one hand, CCL2 was shown to be increased under oscillatory flow mediated by an increase in transglutaminase activity (Matlung et al., 2012; Cheng et al., 2007), while also no effect of oscillatory flow on CCL2 and IL-6 has been described (Urschel et al., 2012).

### 4.2 GILZ downregulation at inflammatory conditions

GILZ is an anti-inflammatory mediator, which is inducible by anti-inflammatory stimuli such as glucocorticoids or IL-10 in different cell types (Berrebi et al., 2003; Ayroldi & Riccardi, 2009; Godot et al., 2006; Ayroldi et al., 2014; Thiagarajah et al., 2014). Its anti-inflammatory activity is mainly mediated via inhibition of NF-κB and AP-1 by direct binding and preventing their nuclear translocation (Fan & Morand, 2012) or additional by inhibition of ERK (Hoppstädter et al., 2015).

Stimulation with the inflammatory cytokines IL-1, TNF-α, and INF-γ leads to a GILZ decrease in epithelial cells (Eddleston et al., 2007). These results are here confirmed by downregulation of GILZ protein expression after TNF-α treatment in HUVEC. Inflammatory stimuli, such as TLR ligands and TNF--α, also downregulate GILZ in MΦ (Hahn et al., 2014; Hoppstädter et al., 2012). Furthermore, we present evidence for a diminished GILZ expression in both degenerated vein bypasses and atherosclerotic arteries. Inflammation in the diseased vessels was confirmed by enhanced TLR2 and CCL2 expression. TLR2 upregulation was already shown in atherosclerotic plaques
of carotid arteries compared to internal mammary arteries (Edfeldt et al., 2002) and CCL2 is a general marker for cardiovascular disease and inflammatory activation in EC (Niu & Kolattukudy, 2009; Szmitko et al., 2003; Tucci et al., 2006).

A GILZ downregulation or even absence in other inflammatory diseases, such as chronic rhinosinusitis, Crohn’s disease, or tuberculosis has been reported in the literature, indicating that the absence of GILZ is a general phenomenon in inflammation (Berrebi et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2009).

Generally, atherosclerosis is known as a disease of arteries, while veins are not affected (Roy et al., 2009). Still, vein graft remodelling, where pieces of veins after bypass surgeries are localized at atherosusceptible regions, is also characterized by inflammatory events, (Karper et al., 2011; McPhee et al., 2013) with only minor differences to the processes in arteries (Yazdani et al., 2012). These differences are not based on the differences in the constitution of veins and arteries, but rather on the peripherals e.g. systemic hypertension, high plasma lipids, and altered local hemodynamics (Cox et al., 1991; Hamby et al., 1977; Hamby et al., 1979). Interestingly, a significant GILZ downregulation was detected in degenerated veins contrarily to arteries. This fact may be explained by a basal inflammatory activation of healthy arteries of surgery patients in contrast to healthy veins, because atherosclerosis is characterized by a systemic infestation and various arteries of atherosclerotic patients might show signs of inflammation (Jashari et al., 2013).

4.3 Mechanism of GILZ regulation under laminar flow

Blood flow influences atherosclerosis and the formation of atherosclerotic plaques by exerting shear stress on the vascular endothelium, which differs in magnitude and characteristics depending on the vascular anatomy and blood pressure (Frueh et al., 2013). Shear stress alters the phenotype of EC, which respond to it via mechanosensory mediators that translate mechanical distortions into various molecular signals (Tzima et al., 2005). A microarray study performed on 6 h and 24 h of laminar shear stress of 25 dynes/cm² on HUVEC already suggested an upregulation of GILZ by laminar flow (McCormick et al., 2001). Still, the results were neither confirmed by realtime RT-PCR or on protein level, nor further mechanistic studies existed.
GILZ induction by laminar shear stress may be a result of GR activation, as described for bovine aortic endothelial cells (BAEC) (Ji et al., 2003). Correspondingly, multiple GREs are present in the GILZ promoter (Ayroldi & Riccardi, 2009). Additionally, a regulation via SSREs in the promoter is possible. Two known SSREs, GA-GACC (Resnick et al., 1993) (16x) and the more potent TGACTCC (Shyy et al., 1995) (3x), can be found upstream of the GILZ transcription start site. Another possibility is the involvement of KLF2, which is known to cooperate with GRs resulting in anti-inflammatory answers (Chinenov et al., 2014) and reduced by laminar flow (Wang et al., 2006).

Furthermore, an epigenetic regulation is also conceivable, although as yet only little is known about epigenetic mechanisms in GILZ regulation. An indirect regulation was described, whereupon GR-dependent GILZ activation was inhibited by miR-124a and -18 overexpression by their binding to GR (Vreugdenhil et al., 2009). So far, a direct regulation of GILZ by miRNA is not described. Recently, some first findings were kept in our laboratory (Hachenthal et al., 2013): miRNA-21 has been shown to enhance GILZ expression. miRNA-21 is also known to be induced by 15 dynes/cm$^2$ laminar flow for 24 h (Weber et al., 2010b), so it might be an appropriate candidate for further investigations. An additional candidate could be miRNA-18a, because it was kept as hit from in silico studies about miRNA dependent GILZ regulation (http://ophid.utoronto.ca/mirDIP/) and is induced by oscillatory flow compared to pulsatile flow at 12 dynes/cm$^2$ for 24 h (Wu et al., 2011).

DNA methylation as a regulatory mechanism of gene expression was recently investigated and described to play a role in shear stress (Dunn et al., 2014). We hypothesized it binding to regulate GILZ expression. Still, the treatment with the demethylation reagent 5-azacytidine did not result in a significant expression difference.

### 4.3.1 ZFP36 dependent GILZ downregulation

While TNF-α strongly downregulated GILZ in static HUVEC, a TNF-α challenge failed to diminish GILZ levels in HUVEC exposed to laminar shear stress. Accordingly, ZFP36 upregulation is missing in response to TNF-α treatment under laminar flow, whereas TNF-α strongly enhanced ZFP36 without flow in EC as well as in different other cell types i.e. in THP-1 (Tsai et al., 2009) or in mouse fibroblasts (Chen et al., 2012c). In a previous study, TLR activation was shown to induce GILZ downregu-
tion in primary human MΦ via the mRNA-binding protein ZFP36 (Hoppstädtet al., 2012). Also in HUVEC, the TNF-α dependent GILZ downregulation was paralleled by an earlier, extensive induction of ZFP36, indicating a possible role of ZFP36 as a repressor of GILZ. This hypothesis was confirmed by knockdown of ZFP36, where TNF-α mediated GILZ downregulation was abrogated, as well as overexpression of ZFP36 in HUVEC resulting in reduced GILZ levels (Hahn et al., 2014). We also showed an induction of ZFP36 in atherosclerotic arteries as well as in degenerated veins. This Similar findings were reported for human and murine EC overlying atherosclerotic plaques (Zhang et al., 2013). Interestingly, though, the authors suggested that ZFP36 upregulation was an atheroprotective process, since ZFP36 inhibits activation of NF-κB and binds to cytokine mRNAs to reduce their transcript stability (Zhang et al., 2013). These findings are conform with the general opinion of ZFP36 having anti-inflammatory properties, as a destabilizer of cytokine mRNAs, i.e. TNF-α, IL-8 and IL-6 (Aslam & Zaheer, 2011; Lai et al., 1999; Lai et al., 2006; Balakathiresan et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2011; Sanduja et al., 2011). Additionally, ZFP36 is a target of glucocorticoids, which are able to reduce mRNA stability of inflammatory mediators through elevation of ZFP36 protein expression (Smoak & Cidlowski, 2006; Anderson et al., 2004).

In contrast, another group published a reduced ZFP36 expression by glucocorticoids in activated MΦ (Jalonen et al., 2005), suggesting inflammatory properties for ZFP36. In addition, the anti-inflammatory mediator IL-10 was identified as a target of ZFP36, being elevated because of diminished decay in primary MΦ from ZFP36(-/-) mice (Stoecklin et al., 2008). These facts rather point to inflammatory actions of ZFP36. Taken together, ZFP36 might act either as an inflammatory or an anti-inflammatory mediator. Therefore, additional factors might be needed to orchestrate ZFP36 actions or a difference in the activation mechanism of ZFP36 may be responsible for inflammatory or anti-inflammatory transmission (Hammaker et al., 2014). In this context, other mRNA-binding proteins might be involved, whose binding might be further modulated by miRNAs (George & Tenenbaum, 2006; Ciafre & Galardi, 2013). Furthermore, a direct regulation of ZFP36 by miRNA is supposable (Lu et al., 2014; Rosenberger et al., 2012; Zawada et al., 2014).
4.3.2 DUSP1 in atherosclerosis

The p38 MAPK pathway is known to induce ZFP36 expression in MΦ and human pulmonary microvascular endothelial cells (Ronkina et al., 2010; Stoecklin et al., 2004; Shi et al., 2012). In accordance with these results, a p38 inhibition via SB203580 also markedly reduced ZFP36 levels in HUVEC, whereas GILZ downregulation upon TNF-α-treatment was abrogated, indicating that p38 regulates GILZ expression via a mechanism involving ZFP36. SB203580 acts as a competitive inhibitor at the ATP binding site of p38 MAPK α and β (Kumar et al., 1997; Young et al., 1997). These two isoforms are mainly expressed in HUVEC compared to the other isoforms (Hale et al., 1999). Additionally, ZFP36 downregulation was activated via isoform specific inhibition of p38α by overexpression of dominant negative p38α MAPK suggesting isoform p38α to be responsible for this effect.

DUSP1 is a well known inhibitor of p38 MAPK (Kiemer et al., 2002a) and therefore is considered to be an anti-inflammatory factor (Wanck et al., 2012), which is also induced by glucocorticoids (Toh et al., 2004). DUSP1 was also shown to be elevated by anti-inflammatory laminar flow, protecting arteries from inflammation. Dephosphorylation of p38 leads to decreased VCAM levels diminishing leukocyte adhesion (Zakkar et al., 2008). Correspondingly, DUSP1 suppressed ZFP36 expression by abrogating p38 activity in different MΦ and epithelial cells (Huotari et al., 2012). Our data suggest a similar mechanism, because DUSP1 induction by laminar shear stress was paralleled by moderately reduced ZFP36 levels and an enhanced GILZ expression. Additionally, DUSP1 was expressed in healthy, but not in degenerated veins.

Our results suggest an anti-atherosclerotic effect of DUSP1, a topic, which was discussed controversially in the literature. The results of Kim et al. (2012) and Zakkar et al. (2008) are in line with our findings, whereas Imaizumi et al. (2010) and Shen et al. (2010) showed the opposite, i.e. DUSP1 deficiency decreased atherosclerotic lesion development in mice as shown in apoE°/° mice. The data supporting anti-atherosclerotic actions of DUSP1 were not only generated in DUSP1 deficient mice but also in human cells. These findings, that the use of apoE°/° mice might have an impact on the DUSP1 effects. Therefore, the pro-atherosclerotic action of DUSP1 should be verified in another experimental setup. Importantly, atherosclerosis in mice is not absolutely comparable to the disease in humans (Libby et al., 2011).
4.3.3 Regulation of DUSP1 by shear stress

Recently published results postulated a positive regulation of DUSP1 by GILZ in rheumatoid arthritis (Fan et al., 2014), leading to a possible loop with self reinforcing anti-inflammatory effects, additionally to the GILZ regulation mechanisms discussed in chapter 4.3. Different mechanisms might be arguable for the induction of DUSP1 by laminar shear stress (Wancket et al., 2012). These include regulation via SSREs or AREs, whereby as yet no SSREs or AREs have been identified in the DUSP1 promoter.

A further possibility is a regulation of DUSP1 expression via epigenetic mechanisms. Two miRNAs, which are known to be influenced by shear stress, regulate DUSP1 expression: miRNA-210 (Jin et al., 2014), which was downregulated under laminar flow (Hergenreider et al., 2012), and miRNA-101 (Gao et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2013), which was upregulated under laminar flow (Chen et al., 2012b).

DNA methylation is also a possibility to modulate DUSP1 expression (Chen et al., 2012a). In fact, treatment of HUVEC under static conditions with the demethylating reagent 5-azacytidine resulted in a significant DUSP1 upregulation. In this work, an epigenetic regulatory mechanism of DUSP1 expression by shear stress was not detected, although DNA methylations of six different positions (Table 9) in the DUSP1 promoter and two enhancer regions were investigated via SNuPE. In this process, the necessary negative controls of PCR and bisulfite treatment were always performed and examined with agarose gel electrophoresis. All steps were exactly performed and a demethylation mechanism by flow on the analyzed, well selected positions can be largely excluded, although normally, at least three experiments have to confirm a result. Still, DNA methylation processes can not be completely excluded, because the methylation might be located in different position.

Other epigenetic regulation, i.e. histone modification, remains to investigate. Furthermore, DUSP1 is acetylated and therefore deacetylated and regulated by HDAC-1, -2, and -3 by deacetylation (Jeong et al., 2014), which all are shear stress responsive enzymes (Chen et al., 2013). This post-translational modification do not change the DUSP1 expression itself, except via a self reinforcing loop, but it directly increased MAPK signaling downstream (Jeong et al., 2014).
4.4 Mechanism of GILZ regulation under oscillatory flow

In contrast to atheroprotected regions under laminar flow in straight vessels, atherosclerotic plaques are localized in bifurcations or curvatures of vessels where disturbed and low shear stress develop, which exhibit inflammatory potential (Cunningham & Gotlieb, 2005; Wang et al., 2013a). Inflammatory properties of low and oscillatory shear stress were confirmed via increased mRNA expression of different inflammatory mediators (see 4.1.2). It is known that oscillatory shear stress is able to alter gene expression into both, the same direction as laminar shear stress, but to a different extent, and the opposite direction (Rhee et al., 2010). Even though in general the enhancement of inflammatory genes and proteins are detected under atheroprone flow conditions, the expression of anti-inflammatory mediators is rarely shown. Additionally, only few studies show the expression under all possible shear conditions. The gene expression of antioxidant NAD(P)H dehydrogenase, quinone 1 provided (NQO1) is generally enhanced under flow compared to static conditions, but the increase is higher under laminar flow compared to low as well as oscillatory flow (Chen et al., 2003). Others revealed a decreased eNOS expression under oscillatory flow, while it is upregulated under laminar flow (Rhee et al., 2010). Flow-mediated differences in HO1 expression were discussed in 4.1.2.

We showed that the expression of the anti-inflammatory mediator GILZ is not changed at low flow and diminished at oscillatory flow, in contrast to the increased levels under laminar flow. Suggesting a similar mechanism decreasing GILZ by oscillatory flow in contrast to laminar flow, we analyzed ZFP36 and DUSP1 levels. The enhancement of ZFP36 expression confirmed this hypothesis. However, DUSP1 was upregulated to the same extent as in laminar flow. Therefore, the mechanism of GILZ regulation by oscillatory flow has to be distinguished from general inflammatory cell activation and the activation under laminar flow. Other mechanisms of GILZ regulation are discussed in chapter 4.3.

Interestingly, TNF-α did not further reduce GILZ and enhance ZFP36 levels during oscillatory stress, which might suggest a slight protection against further inflammatory activation. This conclusion was recently drawn by Gauci et al. because disturbed flow enhances anti-inflammatory homeobox genes (Gauci et al., 2014). Simultaneously, DUSP1 expression was reduced by TNF-α under oscillatory flow, which emphasises
the existence of a different regulation. Mechanisms of DUSP1 regulation are discussed in chapter 4.3.3.

The upregulation of anti-inflammatory DUSP1 (Wancket et al., 2012) by oscillatory shear stress is contrary to the concept of inflammatory oscillatory flow (Wang et al., 2013a) as well as DUSP1 as anti-inflammatory mediator. Furthermore, it is in contrast to the other results of this work, i.e. its increase under laminar flow and its down-regulation in degenerated veins. This effect of DUSP1 may be the reason for the downregulation of VCAM, because VCAM is a well known target downregulated by DUSP1 (Zakkar et al., 2008).

4.5 Functional implications of GILZ downregulation

The anti-inflammatory properties of GILZ are postulated to play an important role in various inflammatory diseases (Berrebi et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2009; Cannarile et al., 2009). Furthermore, in EC overexpressing GILZ, it was recently shown to play a key role in vascular inflammation by inhibiting inflammatory leukocyte recruitment (Cheng et al., 2013). So far, the functional activity of endogenous GILZ was not investigated in EC. The main anti-inflammatory properties of GILZ are mostly mediated via NF-κB inhibition (Ayroldi & Riccardi, 2009) but also by inhibition of ERK (Hopp-städter et al., 2015).

NF-κB is an important pro-inflammatory transcription factor, which consists of five subunits (p65, RelB, c-Rel, p50, p52), forming homo- or heterodimers, predominantly the p65:p50 heterodimer (Hoffmann et al., 2002). Activation is achieved by degradation of inhibitory protein kappa B (IκB), which binds NF-κB in the cytosol, followed by translocation into the nucleus and binding to NF-κB sensitive gene sequences (Hayden & Ghosh, 2004). Nuclear translocation of NF-κB results in the expression of mainly inflammatory modulators, such as cytokines, growth factors, and adhesion molecules (Kiemer et al., 2002b; Hayden & Ghosh, 2008). GILZ has been shown to inhibit NF-κB by binding to the p65 subunit, leading to diminished cytokine transcription in various cell types, including EC (Ayroldi & Riccardi, 2009; Berrebi et al., 2003; Cheng et al., 2013; Di Marco et al., 2007). Furthermore, GILZ knockdown was shown to activate cytokine expression in airway epithelial cells and to enhance NF-κB acti-
vation in LPS-treated \( \Phi \) (Eddleston et al., 2007; Hoppstädtter et al., 2012). In accordance with these findings, the absence of GILZ resulted in an enhanced NF-\( \kappa \)B activity in HUVEC, paralleled by nuclear translocation of p65 and p50 and NF-\( \kappa \)B-dependent transcription of the inflammatory mediators TLR2, E-selectin and ICAM1 (Hahn et al., 2014). Endothelial cell-specific NF-\( \kappa \)B inhibition has been shown to protect mice from atherosclerosis and vascular remodelling. Therefore NF-\( \kappa \)B might link reduced GILZ to the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis (Gareus et al., 2008; Saito et al., 2013). These findings show that the disappearance of GILZ liberates NF-\( \kappa \)B and induces its activation suggesting that the absence of GILZ drives a proinflammatory response.

### 4.6 Regulation of H19 and IGF2 under shear stress

The imprinted genes \( H19 \) and \( IGF2 \) are mainly prenatally expressed and strongly downregulated after birth in most tissues (Weber et al., 2001). Their expression is mainly described for various tumors suggesting a role in tumorigenesis (Kessler et al., 2013; Taniguchi et al., 1995; Matouk et al., 2013). Additionally, IGF2 is known to be an important regulator in atherosclerosis and has been identified as atherogenic factor in human VSMC and in a mouse model (Zaina & Nilsson, 2003; Zaina et al., 2002). \( H19 \) has also been described to be expressed in VSMC of atherosclerotic lesions (Han et al., 1996). Other investigations showed a function in cell proliferation of VSMC, with an increase in \( H19 \) expression and a decrease of \( IGF2 \) expression, which is mediated by enhanced CTCF expression and hypomethylation of an unmethylated imprinting control region (Li et al., 2009). These findings are in contrast to our results, where \( H19 \) is upregulated in EC by anti-inflammatory laminar flow and downregulated by oscillatory shear stress. Additionally, the regulatory mechanisms have to be different under laminar flow, because of an enhanced IGF2 expression. Under oscillatory flow, regulation via an imprinting control region is possible, because \( H19 \) is decreased while \( IGF2 \) is increased. According to this, two different mechanisms have to exist, probably including differently methylated sites in DNA. Gene expression data after treatment with 5-azacytidine indicate the relevance of this mechanism regulating the expression of these imprinted genes in HUVEC. Still, via SNuPE,
a differential DNA demethylation under flow was not detected, although atherosclerosis is known to be strongly regulated by DNA methylation (Zaina et al., 2014). In the SNuPE experiment, the necessary negative controls of PCR and bisulfite treatment were always performed and examined with agarose gel electrophoresis. All steps were exactly performed and demethylation mechanism by flow on the analyzed, well selected positions in the promoter of H19 (Table 9) (Diesel et al., 2012; Gao et al., 2002) can be largely excluded, although normally, at least three experiments have to confirm a result. Furthermore, a demethylation mechanism is not totally impossible for the regulation of H19, the methylation can also be at another position. Other epigenetic regulation, i.e. histone modification, remains to investigate. Due to their strong regulation in EC by different types of flow, IGF2 and H19 might still play a role in the formation of atherosclerosis. Whereas H19 is differently regulated at different flow types, IGF2 is generally upregulated. Furthermore, they are regulators of obesity and overweight (Perkins et al., 2012; Morita et al., 2014), which are risk factors for the development of atherosclerosis. Upregulation of H19 was also reported for the inflammatory disease rheumatoid arthritis (Stuhlmuller et al., 2003). In contrast, in EC, H19 expression is diminished at inflammatory conditions such as oscillatory flow or TNF-α treatment. In chondrocytes, TNF-α also leads to a decrease of H19 (Steck et al., 2012). Interestingly, the downregulation of both, IGF2 and H19, upon TNF-α is abrogated under laminar and oscillatory flow. Data in the literature on the role of H19 and IGF2 in the development of atherosclerosis were obtained from VSMC, where they are potent regulators of cell proliferation, a key event in the development of atherosclerosis (Han et al., 1996; Li et al., 2009; Zaina et al., 2002; Zaina & Nilsson, 2003). Similar to EC, the gene expression of VSMC is also modulated by shear forces of blood flow, therefore this effect might be flow-induced.

The functions and regulatory mechanisms of H19 and IGF2 in EC have to be elucidated in further experiments.
5 Summary
5.1 Summary

Atherosclerosis is a chronic inflammatory cardiovascular disease with high prevalence and a major cause of morbidity and mortality. High risk factors for development and progression of atherosclerotic plaques are low and disturbed shear stress, while laminar flow is important for physiological functions of the endothelium. Endothelial dysfunction is involved in the pathological processes of atherosclerosis, which is characterized by an inflammatory activation of the endothelium.

The anti-inflammatory factor glucocorticoid-induced leucine zipper (GILZ), which mediates the anti-inflammatory actions of glucocorticoids, was a matter of particular interest of this work. A downregulation and following NF-κB activation under inflammatory conditions was indicated for several cells and diseases. As regulation mechanism, ZFP36 was already shown to be a destabilizer of GILZ in macrophages and to be regulated by DUSP1 in lung epithelial cells. However in EC, GILZ was only known to be constitutively expressed as well as upregulated under laminar flow without any further mechanistic studies.

Enhanced NF-κB activation, caused by GILZ knockdown, was also confirmed for EC, suggesting the promotion of vascular inflammation by GILZ absence. Further, a downregulation of GILZ was shown in EC under inflammatory conditions: (I) upon treatment with the inflammatory cytokine TNF-α, (II) upon oscillatory flow and (III) in human inflamed vessels. In contrast, anti-inflammatory laminar flow increased GILZ expression. The TNF-induced downregulation of GILZ was facilitated by induction of the mRNA binding protein ZFP36, which was also elevated in human inflamed vessels. In contrast, the downregulation of GILZ by inflammatory oscillatory flow had to be independent of ZFP36 (Figure 34).

As an anti-inflammatory stimulus, laminar flow was used, whereby the GILZ expression was upregulated, while a diminished ZFP36 and an enhanced DUSP1 expression was detected. Mechanistic examinations showed a dependency of GILZ upregulation by ZFP36, which itself was downregulated via inhibition of p38 MAPK (Figure 35 A). Additionally, laminar flow is able to enhance the TNF-α mediated GILZ downregulation by suppressing ZFP36 induction (Figure 35 B).

Although DUSP1 expression was independently upregulated under oscillatory flow, GILZ downregulation was also paralleled by diminished DUSP1 levels in human inflamed vessels.
Figure 34: Inflammatory activation of GILZ

Figure 35: Mechanism of GILZ upregulation by laminar flow (A) and abrogation of TNF-α-induced inflammatory activation by laminar shear stress (B)

Taken together, our data show that the downregulation of GILZ in human EC promotes vascular inflammation by suppressing NF-κB activation. This assumption is supported by decreased GILZ levels found in atherosclerotic vessels and by oscillatory flow, while laminar flow leads to GILZ enhancement, suggesting GILZ as a key factor in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis and the upregulation of GILZ as a potential target for the treatment of the inflamed endothelium.
6 Supplement
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